City of York Local Plan

Schedule of Revisions to Main Modifications

August 2023

Consultation of the proposed Main Modifications to the Local Plan ran for 6 weeks from 13th February to 27th March 2023. Many of the representations received included recommendations for alternative wording. Following review of these comments, the table below identifies those revisions that the Council supports. it does not consider these changes to be of such significance to warrant either additional consultation or further amendment to the Sustainability Appraisal or Habitat Regulation Assessment reports. They are matters that the Inspectors can address as they see fit in the final set of Main Modifications to be published in their Inspectors' Report.

Mod Ref	Proposed main modification revisions	Reason for change
MM3.7 Policy SS3: York City Centre	Within the city centre, as defined on the Proposals Policies Map, the following development types are acceptable in principle: Retail (A1 E) — within the designated Primary Shopping Area (PSA). Outside of the PSA the sequential test and impact tests will apply in order to protect the vitality and viability of the city centre; Office (B1a E); Food and Drink (A3/A4/A5 E, and sui generis pubs/ drinking establishments and hot food takeaways);	Addition of sui generis pubs/ drinking establishments and hot food takeaways to reflect previously acceptable use classes A4 and A5.
MM3.27 Policy SS10: Land North of Monks Cross	iv. Explore the creation of Provide a new green wedge to the west of the site south of Garth Road to play an important role in protecting ecological assets, safeguarding the historic character and setting of the city and conserving on-site heritage assets including Ridge and Furrow, archaeology, hedgerows and trees that contribute to the setting of Huntington. It should be linked into the adjacent new housing scheme currently under construction development at Windy Ridge/Brecks Lane	To recognise that Garth Road is in third party ownership and a link cannot therefore be guaranteed.

MM3.74 Policy SS20: Imphal Barracks, Fulford Road	iii. An agreed masterplan to ensure the site's redever contribution to the character and distinctiveness of the analysessment of the architectural and historic interincluding the parade ground and other open areas, and York's development as a garrison town; and,	ne local area, informed by: erest of the site and its buildings,	To include 'an assessment of the' prior to architectural and historic interest, in response to comments made by Historic England.
MM3.79 Policy SS23: Land at Northminster Business Park	vi. Provide a high quality landscape scheme in order mitigate impacts and screen the development and/o appropriate relationship with the surrounding landsc the site's relationship with the countryside to the west boundary of the site, with Moor Lane (bridleway) and	r to provide providing an ape. Attention should be given to st of the site, to the southern	Minor changes to correct drafting errors.
MM5.21 Policy H10: Affordable Housing	Policy H10: Affordable Housing To help maximise the planning system's contribution to meeting Council's target to deliver 3,265 affordable dwellings, affordable Council will support residential schemes for 2 5 or more dwelling criteria are satisfied which: i. reflect the relative viability of development land types in Your provided percentage levels for site thresholds as set out in minimum. Higher rates of provision will be sought where compromised.	hity across the housing market, the higs will be permitted where the following ork by providing affordable housing is accordance with Table 5.4 as a	Table 5.4, note 2 amended to make clear the 1,000sqm floorspace threshold only applies to schemes of between 5 and 10 dwellings in accordance with the Planning Obligations PPG (Paragraph: 031
	Table 5.4: Affordable Housing Site Thresholds Threshold	Target	Reference ID: 23b- 031-20161116).
	Brownfield sites => of 15 or more dwellings (gross)	20%	
	Greenfield sites => of 15 or more dwellings (gress)	30%	
	Urban, Suburban and Rural All sites 11 5 -142 dwellings	2 <u>1</u> 0%¹	
	Urban brownfield sites 5-10 dwellings ²	15% ¹	

Urban greenfield sites 5-10 dwellings ²	19% ¹
4Urban brownfield sites 2-4 dwellings²	<mark>6%</mark> ⁴
Urban greenfield sites 2-4 dwellings ²	10% 1
Sub-urban brownfield sites 5-10 dwellings ²	10% ¹
Sub-urban greenfield sites 5-10 dwellings ²	15% ¹
Sub-urban brownfield sites 2-4 dwellings ²	2% ¹
Sub-urban greenfield sites 2-4 dwellings ²	7% ¹
Rural brownfield sites 5-10 dwellings ²	11% ¹
Rural brownfield sites 2-4 dwellings ² that	<mark>3%</mark> 1
Rural greenfield sites 5-10 dwellings ²	17% ¹
Rural greenfield sites 2-4 dwellings ²	<mark>8%</mark> 1

Notes to Table

- 1. This is the target percentage to be used in the off-site financial contribution calculation following sub-clause (iii) below
- 2. For sites <u>5-10 dwellings</u> that have a maximum combined gross floorspace of more than 1,000sqm (excluding outbuildings)
- ii on sites of 15 homes and above on-site provision will be expected, unless off-site provision or a financial contribution of equivalent value can be robustly justified.
- iii. on sites of 2–15 5-14 homes an off site financial contribution (OSFC) is required in accordance with the approved formula set out below:

Average York Property price – Average York Fixed RP Price x 10% Target = OSFC per dwelling

MM6.4 Policy HW1 explanation – paragraph 6.10	iv. make provision which reflects tenure split in terms of social renting and intermediate housing, as set out in the most up to date SHMALHNA or other best available evidence. v. fully integrated the affordable housing is fully integrated by pepper potting throughout the development with no more than two affordable dwellings placed next to each other. The size and type of homes should be a pro rata mix of the total homes provided on site, taking into account current assessments of local need where on-site provision is required. The affordable housing should be and is visually indistinguishable from the open market dwellings. This policy will apply if a development proposal below thresholds in table 5.4 is followed by an obviously linked proposal at any point where the original permission remains extant or up to 5 years following completion of the first scheme, and the combined total of dwellings (or floorspace) is 5 or more dwellings (or 1.000sqm or more floorspace). The Local Plan has an important role to play in ensuring that community facilities are provided in the most effective and accessible way. Existing services must be protected as much as possible, however, it is also important to ensure that existing facilities are 'fit for purpose'. Changes in the economic climate may mean that some commercial facilities (such as public houses) are no longer financially viable. Only in such circumstances, and when no alternative community use is possible, will a loss of commercial facilities will be permitted. Evidence that the facilities have been appropriately marketed for a minimum of a two years year without success will be required to demonstrate they are unviable. This should consist of (as a minimum) a report explaining the marketing process and its outcomes, including the terms offered, any interest received and why it was not successful. In addition, an open book based viability appraisal must be submitted to demonstrate that the facilities not viable, and could not reasonably be made viable	Minor changes to correct drafting errors.
MM8.5 Policy D4:	ii. would enhance or better reveal its significance-or would help secure a sustainable	Removal of text
Conservation Areas	future for a building; and	reflecting discussion in Phase 4 hearings
MM8.7 Policy D5:	Proposals affecting a Listed Building or its setting will be supported where they:	A few of the changes
Listed Buildings	i. preserve, enhance or better reveal those elements which contribute to the	forming part of
	significance of the building or its setting. The more important the building, the greater	MM8.7 were included
	the weight that will be given to its conservation; and	in error and were not

ii. help secure a sustainable future for a building at risk.

iii. are accompanied by an appropriate, evidence based heritage statement, assessing the significance of the building.

Changes of use will be supported where it has been demonstrated that the original use of the building is no longer viable and where the proposed new use of the building would not harm its significance.

Harm to an element which contributes to the significance of a Listed Building or its setting will be permitted only where this is outweighed by the public benefits of the proposal. Substantial harm or total loss of a Listed Building will be permitted only where it can be demonstrated that the substantial harm or loss is necessary to achieve proposal would bring substantial public benefits that outweigh that harm or loss, or all of the following apply:

- the nature of the heritage asset prevents all reasonable uses of the site; and
- no viable use of the heritage asset itself can be found in the medium term through appropriate marketing that will enable its conservation; and
- conservation by grant-funding or some form of charitable or public ownership is demonstrably not possible; and
- the harm or loss is outweighed by the benefit of bringing the site back into use.

Applications should be accompanied by an appropriate, evidence based heritage statement, assessing the significance of the building. sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on the significance of the building.

consistent with those agreed in the SOCG with Historic England and later at the hearing session. The Council recommends MM8.7 reflects text previously agreed through correction of the following:

- reinstate bullet point ii:
- remove additional text from paragraph 2 '...the proposed new use of the building would not harm its significance and would help secure a sustainable future for a building at risk.'
- remove additional text from paragraph 3 'Harm or substantial harm to an element...'

MM8.10 Policy D6 explanation paragraph 8.31	8.31Within the historic core, substantial harm is defined as greater than 5% disturbance to the most significant buried archaeological deposits through foundation design and infrastructure development as described in the York Development and Archaeology Study (1990). Within the historic core, substantial harm to nationally-important remains will be permitted only where it meets this target and up to 95% of the most important deposits remain preserved in-situ or disturbance to buried archaeological deposits is minimised as far as possible, where it can be demonstrated that the proposal would bring substantial public benefits considered to outweigh the archaeological harm caused, and remaining deposits are preserved insitu. This policy approach has been adopted to ensure both the continued economic vitality of the city centre and the preservation in-situ of these highly significant deposits. In all other parts of the City of York, substantial harm to or loss of designated or undesignated features or deposits of national importance will be permitted only where this is outweighed by the public benefits of the proposal.	Following comments from, and informal discussion with, Historic England, minor changes have been proposed for clarification.
MM8.12 Policy D7 Explanation – paragraphs 8.35 to 8.37	8.35 The National Planning Policy Framework (2012) encourages Local Authorities to consider the significance of non-designated all heritage assets. The concept of describing and appraising the significance of listed buildings, conservation areas and ether 'designated assets' is longstanding in legislation and guidance, and is to be protected through the application of other policies in this section. There are a number of processes through which non-designated heritage assets may be identified, including the local and neighbourhood plan-making processes, conservation area appraisals and reviews and as part of the decision-making process on planning applications. Following adoption of a Local List, this will be used to identify non-designated heritage assets. However, prior to this applicants should consult relevant evidence alongside This the policy's however provides clear local criteria, to identify non-designated heritage assets. The policy criteria help guide development decisions, enabling applicants and decision makers to better understand what is meant by 'significance' in relation to local non-designated heritage assets and their settings. Any development proposals that relate to non-designated heritage assets and their settings must be accompanied by an assessment of their significance in line with the criteria in Policy D7.	Clarity provided that once adopted the Local List will be used to identify non-designated heritage assets.

MM9.6 Policy	9.8g Queen Elizabeth Barracks in Strensall, currently occupied by the Defence	Change proposed to
Gl2a: Strensall	Infrastructure Organisation British Army	reflect accurate
Common Special		situation.
Area of		
Conservation		
(SAC)		