



# City of York Local Plan Modifications Consultation 2023

QUESTION SUMMARIES

DATA TRENDS

INDIVIDUAL RESPONSES

All Pages ▼

Respondent #409 ▼



COMPLETE

**Started:** Monday, March 27, 2023 5:40:26 PM  
**Last Modified:** Monday, March 27, 2023 6:13:05 PM  
**Time Spent:** 00:32:39  
**IP Address:** 86.138.121.78

Page 1: Survey Information

### Q1

Do you confirm that you have read and understood the privacy notice? You must select 'Yes' in order to take the survey.

Yes

Page 2: Register for consultation

### Q2

Your name:

Paul R Goulden

### Q3

Contact details: Please provide email and/or address

Address

City/town

Post code

Email address

### Q4

Do you wish to be notified when the City of York Local Plan is adopted by the Council? If yes we will use contact details provided above

No

Page 3: Your response

### Q5

To which consultation document does this response relate? Please note, links shown beside each option are for associated documents.

Proposed Policy Map Modifications - link

Share Link

COPY

459 responses



SIGN UP FREE



Respondent skipped this question

Page 5: Section 2: Vision

**Q7**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 6: Section 3: Spatial Strategy

**Q8**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 7: Section 4: Economy and Retail

**Q9**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 8: Section 5: Housing

**Q10**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 9: Section 6: Health and Wellbeing

**Q11**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 10: Section 7: Education

**Q12**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 11: Section 8: Placemaking, Heritage, Design and Culture

**Q13**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 12: Section 9: Green Infrastructure

**Q14**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Share Link

COPY

459 responses

Respondent skipped this question

Page 13: Section 10: Managing Development in the Green Belt

**Q15**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 14: Section 11: Climate Change

**Q16**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 15: Section 12: Environmental Quality and Flood Risk

**Q17**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 16: Section 14: Transport and Communications

**Q18**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 17: Section 15: Delivery and Monitoring

**Q19**

To which modification does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 18: Proposed Policy Map Modifications

**Q20**

To which modification does this response relate?

PMM50 - St Peters School (Policies Map North) link

Page 19: New evidence documents

**Q21**

To which evidence document does this response relate?

Respondent skipped this question

Page 20: Comment Form

**Q22**

Do you support or object to the proposed modification(s)?

Object

**Q23**

If you object, please select your reason from the list below (select all that apply):

---

Not positively prepared - i.e. strategy will not meet development needs

---

Not consistent with national policy - i.e. doesn't comply with the law

**Q24**

Please set out the reasoning behind your support or objection: Please note there is a 1000 character limit, therefore if your reason for support or objection is longer than this, please summarise the main issues raised.

---

Not positively prepared: Removal of the area from green belt and reassigning it as education, would potentially allow building or development of this area. The area is currently turf and as such provides an excellent soak-away for excess rain. If the area were to be developed, for example into all-weather pitches and carparking, a substantial drainage system would be required to compensate for the removal of the soak-away. Fortunately, planning proposal 22/02288/FULM for such a scheme has a mandatory flood risk survey, which shows the proposed drainage system to be wholly inadequate. ANY development of this green belt area WILL increase flood risk, which is already at flood risk level 3. Not consistent with the national policy: Removal of green belt status of any land in the city does not 'preserve the setting and special character' of our historic town. It merely encourages development, crowding, encroachment on existing open space, and excess use of vehicles.

Powered by  SurveyMonkey

Check out our [sample surveys](#) and [create your own now!](#)