

A summary of the representations submitted to the independent examiner

Ref no	Name	Summary of Comments			
	Representations received at Submission consultation stage				
PNP 001		Offers suggestions to improve pedestrian and cycle routes in and around the village in relation to para 6.4, 6.5 and 6.10. Provides links to documents and maps to assist. There is no mention of the Village Green next to the A59			
		Park & Ride. What is its purpose? Who will look after it? It is becoming over grown.			
PNP 002		Particularly supports: PNP1 which seeks to preserve Green Belt; PNP6A which seeks to prioritise brownfield development on sites such as British Sugar ahead of greenfield sites such as Civil Service Sports Ground; traffic policy PNP5 which seeks to improve and extend cycle and pedestrian access; PNP6B which requires that the redevelopment of Blairgowrie site is restricted to the footprint of the existing property; PNP9A which allocates site R1 for recreational purposes.			
PNP		Particularly supports: PNP1 which seeks to preserve			
003		existing Green Belt; PNP4 which requires new development to comply with village design statement; PNP5 which states that the development of cycle and pedestrian routes should be supported; PNP6 which prioritise brownfield over greenfield sites and requires British Sugar development to be phased and the impact on infrastructure, amenities and traffic congestion assessed and addressed before civil service sports ground is developed; PNP6B which states that Blairgowrie should be developed within footprint of existing buildings; PNP8, PNP9, PNP10 which support leisure and sport; PNP7 which requires new business development to provide enough off-street parking.			
PNP 004		Particularly supports: PNP1 which seeks to preserve existing Green Belt; PNP5 which states that the development of cycle and pedestrian routes should be supported; PNP6A which prioritise brownfield over greenfield sites and requires British Sugar development to be phased and the impact on infrastructure, amenities and traffic congestion assessed and addressed before			

		civil service sports ground is developed; PNP6B which
		states that Blairgowrie should be developed within
		footprint of existing buildings; Any new development
		should follow village design statement (PNP4); PNP9A
DND		which support recreational space in the village (site R1)
PNP 005		Fully agrees with the Neighbourhood Plan's aims, vision
005		statement & policies. Especially supports maintaining the historic character and prioritising brownfield over
		greenfield. Welcomes clear position on the green belt
		and feels that green infrastructure needs protecting from
		development. Agrees that a green belt needs to be
		maintained between Poppleton and York. Agrees with
		village design statement and feels that high quality
		design is important.
PNP		Support the Plan. Strongly support paragraph 8.2 that
006		there should be no further expansion of Northminster
		Business Park beyond the existing curtilage
PNP		Support the Plan. Strongly support paragraph 8.2 that
007		there should be no further expansion of Northminster
	_ 	Business Park beyond the existing curtilage
PNP		Support the Plan. Strongly support paragraph 8.2 that
800		there should be no further expansion of Northminster
		Business Park beyond the existing curtilage
PNP		Support the Plan. Strongly support paragraph 8.2 that
009		there should be no further expansion of Northminster
		Business Park beyond the existing curtilage
PNP		NP is premature to York LP. NP uses old obsolete
010		evidence from past plans. Object to the Parish Council
		stating that they will preserve and promote Wheatlands
		Woodland as it is this private land. Object to tree
		plantation being included as green infrastructure - its private land. Propose Minster Vet land for housing.
		Object to Plan including Wyevale garden centre for
		employment rather than housing. Promotes land
		southwest of A1237 and A59 for housing, object to Plan
		which shows it as woodland. Promotes land east of
		Northfield Lane and south or Poppleton for housing,
		objects to Plan which keeps it as fields. Objects to land
		east of Northfield Lane being proposed as Green Belt.
PNP	Natural	Refers to pre-submission response, stating that not all
011	England	their comments have been taken account of relating to
		Housing Development and Environment. Recommend
		that specific reference is made to providing biodiversity
		mitigation in the larger housing allocations (H1 and H4)
		within the Neighbourhood plan. These allocations were
		assessed as having negative effect on biodiversity in the
		Strategic Environmental Assessment and providing
		mitigation would help the plan meet the requirements of
		para 118 of the NPPF. This could be achieved by linking the allocations to policy PNP 2 A and stating that these
		sites provide an appropriate opportunity to implement
		this policy, namely to enhance and expand green
		infrastructure.
PNP	Gallagher	NP shouldn't define Green Belt. SEA is 'bolted on' and
		1 - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I - I -

012	Planning	therefore dispute that EU legislation has been complied
012	i idining	with. Plan doesn't take account of CYC up to date
		evidence base re housing sites e.g. Wyevale. Plan's
		approach to Green Belt in relation to agricultural land is
		flawed.
PNP	NYCC	Considered cross boundary issues and infrastructure
013		implications. Green Belt boundary tightly drawn around
		the edge of settlements - important that NP provisions
		are aligned with strategic position in emerging LP.
		Strategic Transport vision includes the A59 as a key
	2) (2	strategic transport priority.
PNP	CYC	Green Belt terminology must be clear and consistent in
014		NP and SEA. RSS policies require that detailed inner
		and outer GB boundaries defined in LP. Policy PNP1
		does not fully comply with national policy. Absence of
		local evidence to support local asset designations. LP allocates Wyevale for housing. PNP10B goes beyond
		Hedgerow Regulations. Discrepancy re SEA and Civil
		Service Sports Ground.
PNP	Historic	Please to note that previous advice been incorporated.
015	England	No further comments.
PNP		Support NP. Concerned about impact of new housing on
016	-	infrastructure especially on road congestion. GB should
		be protected. Development of Wyevale should not be
		supported and there should only be limited development
		at Northminster.
PNP	Rapleys	Support allocation of British Sugar. However NP should
017		not specify number of dwellings on this proportion of the
		site - will be looked at as a whole through masterplan.
		Shouldn't specify amount and type of amenities
		required. Should include Millfield Lane as secondary access. Shouldn't require development to exceed
		building regulations.
PNP		General comments and suggested minor changes. Add
018		reference to Local Lists. Include more maps to show site
		layouts e.g. Blairgowrie House. Object to Civil Service
		Sports Ground (H4) as a housing site.
PNP	CoBalt	Site on Boroughbridge Road should not be designated
019	Builders	as GB. Should be allocated for housing.
PNP	Environment	All proposed development directed to areas at lowest
020	Agency	flood risk. No objections
PNP	Miller Homes	Support allocation of Civil Service Sports Ground (H4).
021		Do not object to the policies requiring a buffer between
		the site and Manor School nor the climate
		change/renewable energy policies. Re SEA, support
		appraisal of sites 17 and 18 although would highlight that because the sites are to be developed together,
		both sites will benefit from existing bus service available
		to Millfield Lane.
PNP	Indigo -	Delete allocation for employment at Wyevale Garden
022	Wyevale	Centre and allocate for housing.
PNP		Support NP. Comments refer to housing development
023		on civil service sports ground being on previous built
		footprint only; add requirement for any proposed

		,		
PNP 024 PNP 025 PNP	Johnson Mowat - Avant O'Neills - Cedar House	backland development to respect traditional housing forms; provision of a larger buffer zone between manor school and new housing; include cycle/pedestrian through route from Millfield Lane to roundabout; safeguard triangle of land (bounded by A1237, railway and Millfield Lane) for play space. Propose Land at Oaktree Nursery for approx 200 houses. NP should allocate Wyevale Garden Centre for housing and also Cedar House (Luigi's restaurant). A lot of good points but 'the village' needs a 'feet on the		
026		ground' opinion of green belt and development. GB decisions should be made centrally and boundaries off Poppleton should be allowed to stretch a little to allow for more housing to support services so that no more are lost. Poppleton committee needs to be made up of younger people to meet long term housing need. Policy PNP10A and B and too restrictive in terms of hedgerow restrictions.		
Representations received as part of additional consultation on SEA mid-examination				
PNP	NYCC	Officers from our relevant service areas have reviewed		
027		the documentation and have no further comments to make.		
PNP 028		Land adjoining the former Civil Service site, designated H4, in the neighbourhood plan documents is wrongly designated. In the SEA, and the body of the Neighbourhood Plan, it is referred to as "very good, Grade 2", and is accordingly coloured turquoise in the relevant mapping. This is incorrect. We cannot afford to lose excellent productive agricultural land, especially when there are alternative sites that can be used to satisfy the need for housing. This land should never have been considered for housing. I object most strongly to CYC and Poppleton Councils allowing this land to be lost to urban development. The Former Civil Service site is a different matter, and		
PNP	Natural	is, I believe, suitable for development. I can confirm that we have no further comment to make		
029	England	on the revised SEA.		
9NP 030	Environment Agency	We have no further comments to make regarding the changes made to this document.		
PNP 031	Spawforths	Blairgowrie House, the associated outbuildings and land is available to be developed for residential use. The site is in a suitable and sustainable location for development and there are no known environmental or physical constraints to development. The site can therefore come forward immediately, subject to planning and is therefore developable, deliverable and achievable.		