	the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's	us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing	Q3: Do you agree with us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York? (Non FSC Comment)	the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comment)	Q5: Turning to the recent Local Plan 'Further Site' proposals in your area, do you support the addition of 102 acres of 'strategic green space' to the proposed 'Clifton Gate' development? (Site Reference ST14)	Q6: Do you agree with us that the proposed changes to the 'Clifton Gate' development are largely superficial and the whole proposal should be withdrawn? (Site Reference ST14)	Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not 'safeguard' land for future development if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)		Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comment)	Any further issues of concern? (FSC General Comment)
807	Strongly Opposed	Don't Know	Yes	The Old Grain Store Clifton should be used for homes. Also the land at Rowntree.	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Build flats for pensioners - affordable prices - then can sell larger homes to families.	
808	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Plenty of Brownfield sites available within the ring road. Without going into green belt	
822	Opposed	Yes	No	Infrastructure cannot support amount of development.	No	Yes	Yes	No	new energy supplies should be encouraged.	
839	Supportive	Yes	Yes	Not show effective infrastructure changes that would be required to happen. Does not indicate any benefit to current residents or number of jobs will sustain increase in population.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Hardly appropriate to hire an outsider at the cost of £700 per day when many residents have local knowledge to advise a committee on any changes that should take place. PC's village plans have been put forward.	
989(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Concerned proposed to build so many houses. Opposed to building on greenbelt and land near a nature reserve (Askham Bog). Need habitat for wildlife. Osbaldwick meadows has gone, need more meadow land and more areas to soak up rain water.	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Protect land for crops etc. The grain store site in Clifton could be made into a wedge of green space, no parks this side of Water Lane. Drainage needs to be a priority.	Flooding major concern - need flood plains. Should not build near areas of flooding. More housing means more traffic - surrounding countryside will be spoilt.

	1	1			1	T	ı	1	,	
1914(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	No reference to promote the use of vacant Brownfield sites first.	No	Yes	Yes		Proposed Clifton Gate development is effectively a new township. Required ne amenities/services with addition to significant infrastructure. A1237 cannot accommodate extra traffic demands likely to be placed on it. Sewage and Surface water increases would also overwhelm systems.	
	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
3034(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Proposals not well thought-out in respect of local issues. Ring road, Park& Ride not needed and proposed 40 houses off church lane is not feasible with no proper access to the site. Destroy rural surroundings.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Proposed wind farms North & South of Skelton would have negative value as the plain of York. As can be verified by RAF meteorologist at RAF Leeming.	
315	Supportive	Yes	Yes	Basic problem for York in limitation of transport system. Any proposal that adds cars to the congested ring road will be detrimental for all.	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes	York does not cope with present population. Too much attention and energy goes to tourism. Not roads, education, medicine or concern for residents.	
347	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know	ring road struggles to cope with current level of traffic. Plans for new doctors etc to serve proposed development?		Yes	Yes	Yes		

430	2/;\	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Do not consider a need for such	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
430	2(1)	Strongly Opposed	res		plans for York	NO	res	res	res		
					plans for Tork						
513	5(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	III throughout - infrastructure	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
					and additional traffic problems.						
					Need land for food production						
					not concrete.						
	5533	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Development of land north of	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	All the council is doing is trying	Congestion on the outer ring road.
		0, 11			Clifton Moor is ridiculous. The					to bribe people to accept the	
					bypass is too congested to add					development North of Clifton	
					further houses to the area.					Moor.	
-	5012	Characha Onarasad	V		Namba of Cliffers are a large	N-	V	V	V	A -l -l'. L'	Ciifit llf t
	5912	Strongly Opposed	Yes		North of Clifton moor has no	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Significant lack of transparency from CYC
					possible success without						when FOIA submitted.
					dualling of the ring road. Also the ability to function as a					If a need for such large scale urban growth a new town	
					separate community.					approach would be better rather	
					Unreasonable unless detailed					than sprawl.	
					planning of schools/services.					tilali sprawi.	
					Urban sprawl in its location						
					effectively splits up the Green						
					belt.						
					DCIC.						
	5922	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	A1237 ring road and other	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Qu7 But it is the Conservative government
				·-	roads are full to capacity - ring	-					that is pushing councils to allocate huge
					road improvement overdue.						areas for new housing.
					We don't want more traffic.						
					Also do not want to lose more						
					open countryside.						
					,						
	5930		Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
	5933		Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
	7471	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	York & surrounding villages are	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Why build more shopping	
					choking to death with influx of					centres on greenbelt land.	
					houses, people, cars.					When there are many empty	
					Infrastructure overburdened					shell of stores.	
										Green generation of electricity	
										will never be a bad thing.	

7402	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	Yes	Don't Know	Yes		
	Strongly Opposed Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Clifton Gate development -	
/300	ongry Opposed	163	163		163	163	163	163	ridiculous area can not cope.	
7870		Yes	Yes	There is a sure for housing and	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	narearous area can not cope.	
				for the sake of building, supply						
L				and workers.				<u> </u>		
10570	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Ring road will not sustain	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Green waste collection are too	
				population and tourism as it is					long apart in winter.	
				now not 30,000 more cars.						
				Regression using green belt						
				land to build.						
10571	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
10371	Strongly Opposed	i es	163		NO .	i es	163	163		
10572	Opposed	Yes	Yes	How can the proposal possibly	Yes	Yes	Yes	No		
				be supported/serviced by local						
				infrastructure available. Already stretched.						
				Why are extra houses required						
				to increase the population of						
				York.						
10573	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	There should be brownfield	No	Yes	Yes	No		Overgrown hedges on Longwood Road.
				sites or unused office space to						Delt with after reporting, please can
				accommodate any new housing.						someone deal with blocked drains at
										reported.
10574	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Air quality deteriorate if	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Need alternative work for the	
105/4	Strongly Opposed	ies	162	trees/grass disappear under	ies	ies	ies	ies	developers.	
				concrete. Where will fresh					acreiopers.	
				water come from? Where will						
				sewage go? Does York have				1		
				enough jobs or will it be a				1		
				dormitory development.						
10576	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	York, people and traffic is	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Haxby school was closed and	Invite local people to forums/discussions to
				already at suffocation point.					now there is a proposal for over	advise on plan before committing to the
				Traffic everywhere, including					400 houses & new school	cost.
				the ring road is a nightmare. Will there be work for all these				1	needed. Short-sighted.	
				people? Schools etc?				1		
				people: Julions etc:						
		1	1	I.	l	l	1	I .	I	l .

10861(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Do not agree all the houses. Not thinking of traffic on A1237,	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Strongly against proposed building sites.	
				Doctors, Hospitals, Schools, sewages, NHS can not cope					building sites.	
10914	Opposed	Yes	Yes	now. The ring road would not cope.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11127	Opposed	Yes	Yes	York cannot cope with additional housing and infrastructure associated. Loss of greenbelt disgusting when there are many derelict areas in York.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		P&R works at Poppleton are a disgrace. Major traffic issues for too long. Additional housing at Poppleton also a concern - roads cant cope, doctors, schools etc. Rawcliffe P&R - costs me more to walk to my local bus stop down Shipton Road than using the P&R. Penalised for walking.
11128	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	A1237 Cannot sustain large scale home building in the area. Same applies to local (not P&R) bus services.	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know		Lack of evening bus services in Rawcliffe, makes difficult to access town and contribute to economy.
11129	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Brownfield sites first, before green belt. Cut social houses.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11130	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Development dealing with the effect not the cause- over population.	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know		
11131	Strongly Opposed	Yes	No		No	No	Yes	Yes	More congestion/traffic. Need land to drain water not concrete to flood.	No transport after 8pm. Taxis are expensive. Carrying shopping from P&R is difficult. Not everyone owns a car.
11132	Supportive	Yes	No	Support but not the scale. Houses need to be built only affordable. Houses prices are too high. Nothing special about Yorks greenbelt.	Don't Know	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		No green collections in December & January would be ok.
11133	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Ring road & Clifton Moor becomes congestive at times and these proposals will only make matters worse.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Live where is subject to flooding at lower end of the street (YO30 5UR). No response when reported. May help if drains were cleaned more often.
11134	Supportive	Don't Know	No	Shortage of affordable housing in York and should be a priority.	Yes	No	Don't Know	Yes	More homes for the elderly also needed.	More to be done to encourage people to cycle. Safer routs, e.g. Helmsley Road from the ring road leading to Wiggington - lanes where grass verges are and street lights.
11135	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Concentrate on brown field sites. Help elderly in 3 bed properties to move to smaller . Support landlords & tenants more. Proposed estate is HUGE 3x size of Skelton.	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Road through Skelton is dangerous, without an increase in extra traffic. Totally remove the idea of a village still connected to York.	
11136	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	York is large enough. Don't need more homes or people. Over development will ruin what is left of York character.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Green bin collection should continue until Christmas each year.

11137	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Do not build on Greenbelt.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Green space outside the city	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
		1.50	1.00	ring road should be maintained.				1.44		
				Housing at Clifton Gate would						
				excessively increase traffic.						
				Where would associated						
				facilities such as schools be						
				provided? Use brownfield.						
				provided: Ose brownineid.						
11139	Supportive	Yes	No		Yes	No	No	Yes		
11140	Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11141	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	using the national house/car	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Vanity project - attracting votes.	CoYC appear unaware of local issues state
				ownership ratio 16,000 new					Ratio of affordable housing is	of Rawcliffe country park - sewage pipe
				house= 14,600 extra cars.					not economical in a commercial	constructed access to the tanks, no dog
									world.	bins, no maintenance.
11142	Strongly Supportive	Don't Know	No	Not enough brownfield land for	Yes	Don't Know	No	Yes	Need wind and solar power	
				housing and employment. Flood					urgently. Oil and gas running	
				plain development so far forced					out.	
				is strictly in appropriate.						
11143	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11144	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Oversubscribed schools & NHS	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
	•			services. More houses means						
				more cars/people. Ring road						
				bad without development						
	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11146	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Congestion already problematic	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Much needed dual carriage way around
				without more housing. Building						north side of York to & including A64 on to
				would restrict a dual carriage						Malton.
				way being developed around						
				North side.						
11117	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Greenbelt is not protected if	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Future development should be	
11147	an ongry Opposed	ies	162	develop. York will become	INU	165	ies	ies	where infrastructure is already	
				urban sprawl. Must be more						
				options in the boundaries.					in place i.e. A64 proximity not where present traffic is	
				options in the boundaries.					standstill	
11148	Strongly Supportive	Yes			No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Scandottii	
	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Wrong direction cannot	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Wind & Solar are ugly and	
	5, .F		1	continue to build a city the size					expensive, will need replacing in	
				of Bristol every year. Only					20years.	
			1	solution to all associated					' ' '	
			1	problems of infrastructure, NHS						
				- immigration reduction	1					
					<u> </u>			1		
11325	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

	Strongly Opposed Strongly Opposed	No	Yes	Does York need so many new houses? Villages around York have already been completely changed by large housing developments. York is losing its identity by being swamped. Invest in infrastructure before	No	No Yes	Yes	Yes	Current roads, schools etc cannot cope with more housing developments.	
				any building takes place.					not be so close to the city. Wind power is expensive and not cost effective and is also unsightly.	
	Opposed		Yes	More use of Brownfield sites should be considered before destroying green belt e.g. Del Monte Site.	Don't Know	Don't Know	Yes	Don't Know		
			Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11335	Opposed	Yes	Yes	3,300 homes opening onto 2 roads already over crowded bypass is unthinkable. Rawcliffe sewage is already working at near full capacity.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	out of touch with what the residents of York want for their city.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	been informed about further sites consultation? Not had a	Keep going with fight against green belt development by labour. Comment on Qu6 where are the doctors surgeries, schools, community centres etc.?
11338	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Green belt must be preserved at all costs. Tethered horses I support Julian Sturdy this matter Green bin collection were stopped too early & recommended too late More salt bins required - junction of Bantree Ave & Sycamore close.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11341	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Appears to be based on growth and population assumption that is unproven. Unrealistically high. Brownfield sites should be prioritised over Greenfield	No The state of th	Yes	Yes	Yes	Great disappointment site for Clifton Moor new town has been extended by 50 acres. Direct opposition to what local residents want. Will place enormous strain on infrastructure, bad planning.	