ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about	Q2: Do you agree with				Q6: Do you	Q7: Do you			Any further issues of concern? FSC
	the Local Plan as a	us that the overall	with us that	Local Plan proposals as a whole.	the recent	support the	agree with us	you agree	the Local Plan 'Further Sites'	General Comment)
	•	•	Labour's Local	(Non FSC Comment)	Local Plan		that the Council	with us	proposals in your area. FSC	
	do you support the	Labour are proposing	Plan is in		'Further Site'	for 15 acres of	should not	that the	General Comment)	
	Labour Council's	is unsustainable and is	appropriate for a		proposals in	housing to the	'safeguard' land	Council		
	plans for over 16,000	likely to outpace	historic cathedral		your area to	north of Escrick	for future	should		
	houses on York's	investment in	City like York?		what extent do	and	development if it	not		
	greenbelt? (Non FSC	infrastructure? (Non	(Non FSC		you agree that	'safeguarding'	is not required	allocate		
	Comment)	FSC Comment)	Comment)		the Elvington	of a further 7.5	to do so? (Non	land for		
					Traveller Site	acres for future	FSC Comment)	wind and		
					proposal	long term		solar		
					should be	development?		farms if it		
					withdrawn on	(Site Reference		is not		
						183)		required		
					rural greenbelt			to do so?		
					location? (Site			(Non FSC		
					reference 747)			Comment		
					,			)		
								′		
11203	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	9	Strongly	No	Yes		Until able to demonstrate can get	
				with the existing level of	Disagree				planning right within the city why	
				population. What chance of any					embark on haphazard ideas in	
				suitable plan if the population					greenbelt? Could damage the	
				expands as indicated?					very nature and unique charm of	
									our city and is surrounding areas.	
11212	Strongly Opposed	Don't Know		Concerned that when houses are	Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
				built on flood plains like Fulford (in	1					
				between the A19 & Fordlands)						
				where will the flood waters go?						
				Will Fulford Road flood more						
				often, or will it back up down to						
				Naburn?						
			1							

		No		housing then the implications will be higher house prices and more people travelling into York by car from further afield. Campaign for the best development we can, world class cycle and bus links to the city instead of nimbyism.	Don't Know	Yes			If you want York to remain a great city then plan for the long term.	
11224	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes		Totally opposed to more development on the A 19. The A19 already has more traffic on t than it can cope with and there are daily traffic jams at peak times. Local services could not sustain any further development.	
11319	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	No	Yes	Know	The A19 is congested enough. No thought been given to already overused single carriageway for the extra volume of traffic created if more houses are being built either Selby, Escrick or Fulford. How we are supposed to travel is going to be even more problematic.	
11321	Strongly Opposed	Yes		Determined to ruin our city and the lives of its inhabitants.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes		Plan received a few years ago made no mention of any	Great concern regarding increased use of the A19 south of York i.e. Escrick, North Selby Mine & Germany Beck. Seems to plan to make only logical route into York into several miles of stationary traffic.

11322 Stro	ongly Opposed	Don't Know	Doesn't affect 'historic' city. Affects rural areas and infrastructure.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Don't keep increasing rural village sites where there are no facilities and traffic routes are already congested at peak times.	
11324 Stro	ongly Opposed		Plan is totally inappropriate for the area and will ruin the south of York, just as the north of York has been ruined.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	in traffic, air pollution in York is not good at the best of times. The nature reserves of wildlife will suffer and never recover from this expansion.	Where will the people come from to occupy these houses and where will they work? Will cause irretrievable damage to the countryside and life in the area, spoiling it for future generations. Countryside needs to be cherished.