ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt? (Non FSC Comments)	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure? (Non FSC Comments)	Q3: Do you agree with us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York? (Non FSC Comments)	the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comments)	Q5: Turning to the recent Local Plan 'Further Site' proposals in your area, to what extent do you agree with the Council's decision to increase the overall size of the proposed Metcalfe Lane development? (Site Reference ST7)		Q7: Do you support the Council's recommendation to withdraw the proposed 20 pitch Traveller site on Malton Road from the Local Plan? (Site Reference 3)	Q8: Do you agree with us that the Council should not allocate land for wind and solar farms if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comments)	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comments)	Any further issues of concern? (FSC General Comments)
1207(ii)	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Agrees some expansion required but scale is excessive.	Disagree	Yes	Yes	No		
1934	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
1946(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	The Christ Church site is within the urban footprint. Should it be Metcalfe Lane area? For sake of the area if cannot be both. We have not GP, secondary school and primary is oversubscribed.	
2052	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Changes to date have ruined the character of York.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
2470	Opposed	Yes	Yes	More houses need to be built but not as many as planned	Disagree	No	Yes	Don't Know	Would prefer wind farms to fracking. More housing may be absolutely necessary - especially affordable.	
2599	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
2994(i)	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Object as too much development for York's infrastructure and congestion levels.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes		
4287	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Would prefer to exhaust all Brownfield sites before greenbelt. Not to build any more housing than necessary.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Don't Know		Would like council to listen to residents and respond to issues rather than go ahead. E.g. 20mph speed limits.
	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Don't Know			
	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
7255 7258(ii)	Strongly Opposed Strongly Opposed	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Not a proper plan. Spotted large swaths of land to destroy and character of the various areas.	Strongly Disagree Strongly Agree	No No	Yes Don't Know	Yes Yes	More sites being commandeered by council - need to realised they are there to carry our wishes not dictate.	Roads & footpaths should be fit for purpose.

	Opposed Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Brownfield sites should be exhausted before greenbelt. And houses and regeneration of empty buildings.  Greenbelt should only be	Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree	No No	Yes	Yes		
7237(1)	Strongly opposed		ics	considered when all brown field and unoccupied sites have been exhausted.	Strongly Disagree		Tes	Tes		
7346	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Qu6 Have the diocesan been consulate. Traffic on Stockton Lane is bad enough.	
7404	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Where will jobs be/extra services doctors, schools, hospitals, new roads. York could be grid locked with pedestrians. What are the procedures to evacuate people if a large fire within the walls.			Don't Know	Yes	More amenities need to be built to reduce the unnecessary miles around York.	Sudden closure of Hungate car park - York needs every space. Couldn't all p&r buses be electric?
7417	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Does York need all the extra houses? How many unoccupied houses/people on housing list are there?	Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
7436	Opposed	Yes	No	Agree more housing but need allowance for where roads can handle extra traffic and other services can cope with the increase in demand.	Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Unhappy with proposal of housing on Land north of Stockton Lane.	
9256(ii)	Strongly Opposed		Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
9704(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	too much too quickly. Numbers are questionable.	Don't Know	No	Yes	No	qu6 Objection is NIMBY Stockton Lane up to the greenbelt is wide road already encouraging speeding, More building bound to increase traffic.	
10132(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Infrastructure is key issue. No consideration for this, should come before housing.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		

10000101 1 0 1	1	T <sub>v</sub>	In	n 1.17	I	l.,	l.,	L. C. C. C. C. D. L.
10203 Strongly Opposed		Yes	Does not appear to have been thought through.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes	Lack of infrastructure. Road capacity is already under pressure with Stockton Lane already used as a rat run by drivers diverting off Malton Rd. Lack of facilities. Local secondary school has just been closed down, very few local shops in this area would mean more car journeys required by new residents causing further
11237 Opposed	Yes	Yes	Focus outward growth rather than Brownfield sites within York. E.g. Getting sites with planning actually built, also type of properties - retirement/smaller.	Don't Know	No	Don't Know	Yes	Heworth Without proposed development is too big. Will change the nature of the area, strain local infrastructure. Tenth of the size would be better.
11238 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	No mention of any infrastructure i.e New roads, schools, shops, doctors.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	
11239 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	
11240 Don't Know	Yes	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	
11241 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Against building on greenbelt. Will alter the appeal of the area. Increase in traffic in area since john Lewis. No new stadium. Heworth roundabout chaos. Don't need more traffic. Bus services have decreased in Heworth.
11242 Strongly Opposed	No	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	
11242 Strongly Opposed 11243 Strongly Opposed	No No	Yes Yes	Development on Stockton Lane & Derwenthorpe will put extra pressure on Stockton Lane traffic and schools.		No No	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	
11243 Strongly Opposed  11244 Strongly Opposed	No No	Yes	Development on Stockton Lane & Derwenthorpe will put extra pressure on Stockton Lane traffic and schools.		No No	Yes		
11243 Strongly Opposed  11244 Strongly Opposed  11256 Opposed	No	Yes Yes No	Development on Stockton Lane & Derwenthorpe will put extra pressure on Stockton Lane traffic and schools.  Heavy traffic on Stockton Lane and small schools will never take all extra children.	Disagree  Disagree  Disagree	No No	Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	
11243 Strongly Opposed  11244 Strongly Opposed  11256 Opposed  11258 Strongly Opposed	No No Yes	Yes Yes No Yes	Development on Stockton Lane & Derwenthorpe will put extra pressure on Stockton Lane traffic and schools.  Heavy traffic on Stockton Lane and small schools will never take all extra children.	Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree	No No No	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	
11244 Strongly Opposed  11256 Opposed  11258 Strongly Opposed  11260 Strongly Opposed	No No Yes Yes	Yes Yes No Yes Yes Yes	Development on Stockton Lane & Derwenthorpe will put extra pressure on Stockton Lane traffic and schools.  Heavy traffic on Stockton Lane and small schools will never take all extra children.	Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree Strongly Disagree	No No No No No	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	
11243 Strongly Opposed  11244 Strongly Opposed  11256 Opposed  11258 Strongly Opposed	No No Yes	Yes Yes No Yes	Development on Stockton Lane & Derwenthorpe will put extra pressure on Stockton Lane traffic and schools.  Heavy traffic on Stockton Lane and small schools will never take all extra children.  Upset - betrayed voter	Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree	No No No	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	

				1	•					
11264	Strongly Opposed	Yes		Ruined Kings Square looks like a shopping mall with a new road surface. York is historic city and should be remembered.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11265	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
					Strongly Disagree					
	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	No		
11269	Strongly Opposed		Yes	Not got the infrastructure for more houses in YO31 area. Council not listening to residents.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11270	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	More houses produce more traffic, congestion and pollution.	Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Stockton Lane is a busy road and would not like to see road bumps etc in place because of more traffic from more housing	
11271	Strongly Opposed	Yes	No		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11272	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes			No		Yes	Accepts houses must be built - Stockton Lane busy road. Number of houses should be lowered. Traffic lights must be put Stockton Lane/Malton Rd.	
11273	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Infrastructure is not up to it.	Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11274	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11275	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes	Why don't government have a plan to encourage homes to have solar Panels instead of wind turbines.	
11276	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Woodlands Grove is a 'rat run' from Stockton Lane to Malton Road. Heavy traffic cannot cope with extra traffic with the above plans.	
	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	City	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	what about road development to support the increase.	
11278	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Too ambitious	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11279	Strongly Opposed	Yes		Don't want another Clifton Moor grotto. Houses in York are spreading like Cancer. It will finally kill York		No	Yes	Yes		

11280	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Stockton Lane cant cope with traffic now- more housing will be mayhem. Green belt should stay Wildlife will be effected. Houses facing the fields would decrease in value - is there compensation.	Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes		Why should the people of this area have to give in letting the plans go ahead. If the travellers site in Dunnington doesn't go ahead it should be stopped in Huntington.
11281	Strongly Supportive	No	No	Not building enough homes and need to inside the ring road. Consents to pay for education and infrastructure - Particularly dualling the entire ring road.	Strongly Agree	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Our children have got to live somewhere.	
11282	Strongly Supportive	No	No	Houses for young people now conservative sold all the council houses.	Strongly Agree	Yes	Yes	No	If not coal for power it has to come from somewhere.	
11283	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Opposition to scale of sites for development. Following opposition to Derwenthorpe development difficult to see how such large allocation can find favour with electorate. Strain on greenbelt, infrastructure especially ring road.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Given the withdrawal of subsidies for 'small' wind farms there seems little point in allocating.	
11284	Don't Know	Yes	Don't Know	Need more homes - proposed does not have additional amenities - need to improve roads etc cant just build.	Disagree	No	Yes	Don't Know	Wind/solar farms need alternative fuel sources. So forward plan.	Funding for road repairs needs increasing.
11285	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes	Qu6 providing adequate drainage and road improvement.	
11287	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Proposed density of housing on St Lane next to church too great.	Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes		
11289	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		Road repairs on Algarth road waste of money. Concerned about footpaths in the area and also seat at bus stop by Christ Church.
11291	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Need for scale on green belt land? Strain on infrastructure; roads, drains, schools etc.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		Standard of repairs at Algarth Rd were poor.
11292	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Politically motivated plans. Where is the need for more homes- plans beyond requirement. Show lack of importance with the nature of York city.	Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes	Do not understand the nature or care about the city. Attempt to turn into another urban sprawl with political links to Leeds/Bradford providing housing for these other cities/	Monkgate Stray - gate onto Stockton Lane opposed.

	1		•	ı				T	1
11294 Strongly Supportive	No	No	city that is already overpopulated.	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	No	Strongly oppose any traveller sites around York. Strongly agree wind/Solar are needed.	
11297 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Why need huge amount of new homes when empty ones. Do not support building on green belt.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Don't Know	Likes Heworth Without is its own community - don't want to be apart of big urban sprawl. Greenbelt is what makes East York special.	If roads we maintained to a high standard repairs might remain repaired.  Monks Stray and others are looked after by the Freeman of the city have they been asked if can run events on them e.g. Tour de France.
11298 Strongly Opposed		Yes	More people/Growth is not suitable for small city. Idea of cars discouraged is ok for young but not elderly.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Sad to use green belt off Stockton Lane. Area near Layerthorpe semi-industrial near the city centre which would be appropriate for housing.	
11299 Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Don't Know		
11300 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Against building on land that is green belt. Only last resort.	
11301 Don't Know	Yes	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	where are all the people coming from to occupy the houses to be built.	Money from Lendal Bridge fines should be spent on road repairs.
11302 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		from flower kiosk at the bottom of Stockton Lane to the first bend is single lane with cars parked all day by owner who walk into town causing a bottleneck and an accident waiting to happen.
11303 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11304 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Don't Know	Yes		How bad are the road going to get before they are repaired properly.
11305 Opposed	Yes	Yes		Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Agree there is a need for more houses. Could land be sourced away from residential houses.	
11306 Supportive		No	Impressive, clear & responsible. Serious shortage of houses. N.B. Developments need support such as schools, shops, etc.	Agree	Yes	Yes	Don't Know		Support council plans.

11307	Strongly Opposed	Yes		Do not agree with Christ Church development. No proper access, overlooked Stockton Lane traffic. Local amenities schools etc. Would not cope. Why give up green country when there are other options.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11308	Strongly Opposed	Yes		Infrastructure wont cope with 16- 22,000 extra houses. Cant cope at present - traffic, drainage/flooding. What about amenities - GP/Schools?	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Stockton Lane development busy enough with traffic. Not thought through. Should not develop on protected green belt. Closing Burnholme College.	As a Freeman of the City still awaiting consultation on Monk Stray us for Tour de France.
11309	Strongly Opposed	Yes		Green belt or other green spaces should not be built on. Need spaces as many school fields have been used for housing. What about next generation Wildlife.	Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes		
11310	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Unsustainable, short-sighted urban sprawl.	Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not necessary - More houses=more people = more babies = more houses = unsustainable.	
11311	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Road system cannot cope at present. 16,000 homes ring road needs dualling. A further bridge over the river	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	No	Cannot support increase in housing, site for new village/town should be outside of ring road or well within the city boundaries and NOT greenbelt.	
11312	Opposed	Yes		Will Strain on drains & infrastructure. Increase flooding risk Increase congestion on roads unacceptable using greenbelt land when brown field is in available Shortage of Doctors, dentist and schools already.	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Stockton Lane - traffic already high/delays. Effect on wildlife massive. Pollution increase. Drainage & Sewers need up grading. Should not use green field sites cannot get these back.	
11378				Object strongly to any encroachment on the greenbelt.						