ID Ref	the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt? (Non FSC	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure? (Non FSC Comment)	with us that	Q4: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comment)	Q5: Turning to the Local Plan proposals in your area and particularly those summarised on page one of this leaflet, do you support the proposed expansion of the University of York? (Site Reference 794)	Q6: Do you agree with us that the proposed changes to the Whinthorpe development are largely superficial and the whole proposal should be withdrawn? (Site References ST15 and SF3)	Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not 'safeguard' land for future development if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)	agree with us	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General comment)	Any further issues of concern? (FSC General comment)
1049	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Green belt should not be encroached upon. Many unoccupied sites at Clifton Moor that could be used for housing. University should be required to build accommodation and pay council tax if occupying a house needed by a local family.	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Wind & Solar power is needed but perhaps in smaller numbers not farms.	
5462	Strongly Opposed	Yes		In view of government directives that only Brownfield sites should be used for housing, it is disgraceful that large acres of farmland should be used in this area. The infrastructure of York would not be able to support a new village. The old village of Heslington is being spoiled by the volume of traffic and this would get even worse if the plans go through. the university has already encroached too much.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Totally disagree with it.	Hate the idea of wind farms and solar farms - they are a blot on the landscape.
	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes		Yes		
6439	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Ill-conceived.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	University expansion un-proven (falling student numbers in UK). Need for Whinthorpe un-proven. Wind farms poor form of green energy.	

405	s. 1 s :	T _a ,	Tu-		s 1. 14		Tu-	I.	1	
10558	Strongly Opposed	No	Yes	Insufficient exploitation of existing		Yes	Yes	Yes	Heslington under enough	
				Brownfield sites. Better use of vacant					pressure due to Whinthorpe	
				properties					development and university	
									expansion, character could be	
									destroyed.	
10559	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Concern the developments will ruin	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
				York. 1000 homes = 2000extra cars.						
10919	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Infrastructure already struggling to	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Small enlargement of	
				cope. Level of development					Whinthorpe will not solve	
				unsustainable.					infrastructure or environmental	
									problems.	
10923	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Ignoring the fact that it is a	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ridiculous proposal to embark	
				conservation village and have almost					on a new town close to York	
				encircled it with an ever growing					before considering the	
				'university'. Now considering even					implications of the traffic	
				more extensions to the campus.					commuting to York. There are	
				Have to suffer the consequences of					already chaotic situations of	
				the four banks in the village Main					bottlenecks in access roads into	
				Street for students and management					York at peak times. There is not	
				and traders to the detriment of the					sufficient access at peak times	
				residents of the village. Should have					and no prospect of any further	
				been sited on the Science Park.					accommodation of access for the	
									extra commutes.	
									extra commuces.	