

ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt? (Non FSC Comment)	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure? (Non FSC Comment)	Q3: Do you agree with us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York?(Non FSC Comment)	Q4: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comment)	Q5: Turning to the recent Local Plan 'Further Site' proposals in your area, do you support the addition of 16 acres of 'strategic green space' to the proposed north Haxby development? (Site Reference ST9)	Q6: Do you agree with us that the proposed changes to the north Haxby development are largely superficial and the whole proposal should be withdrawn? (Site References ST9 and SF4)	Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not 'safeguard' land for future development if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)	Q8: Do you agree with us that the Council should not allocate land for wind and solar farms if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comment)	Any further issues of concern? (FSC General Comment)	
2421(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concerns for traffic, drains, schools, village style life. Wind turbines would spoil the country side.		
2456	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Already traffic problems. Over subscribed primary schools.	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Concern about ulterior motive. Cannot see the need to use green space.		

2484	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Fails to understand logic & sustainability of local plan. Don't see the need for this amount of housing. Who will be able to afford to live & work locally. Traffic congestion & pollution are already a problem.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Traffic would be ridiculous. Problems with Drainage & natural habitat of animals will be destroyed.		
2549(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
2566(i)				Concern about who in the council is support development. Re-evaluate and withdraw some							Survey incomplete
2739(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Will transform the character, not in keeping.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Roads, transport (rail) & sewage/water networks unable to cope with existing volume wont with increased demand.		
2763(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	All brown field sites should be used, green belt should never be used.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	concerns about very little green space & no park.		

2937(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Haxby can not cope with Proposed development. Council should look at using brown field sites/ vacant property/ the old Rowntree factory site.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
3180	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Worries about overpopulation	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Too much planned development. "further sites" should be out of the question		
3370	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
3520	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	why not use Brownfield sites ? Parking insufficient as it is. Village life may be spoilt	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Never ending traffic already. Do not need new development when there are old existing sites.		
3546(i)	Opposed	Yes	Yes	infrastructure wont be able to cope with population increase. Roads & schools would be full	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
3619	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	would negatively affect doctors, traffic & school places.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Haxby is already struggling with the population.		
3700	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Would negatively affect traffic, sewage & surface water. These are already a problem. Schools at full capacity. Use Brownfield sites first.	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know			
3708	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
3850	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Will cause massive gridlock on York, Haxby & Wigginton rd.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
3859(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Totally unsustainable	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
3859	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Totally unsustainable	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Disagree with proposals of plan. Infrastructure, sewage inadequate. Traffic increase. Against the extension of the travellers sites.		
4031	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Plan not sustainable, will destroy the attractiveness of Haxby. Infrastructure cannot cope with large scale development.		
4158(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Haxby cannot sustain development if this size. Protect our green belt sites	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	worries that the plan will be pushed ahead.		

4159(ii)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	proposed development is unsuitable. Area already suffers with flooding & surface water. More housing will cripple the infrastructure.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Removal of green belt land to build houses is to the clear policy guidance within the NPPF.		
4311	Strongly Supportive	Yes	Yes	Increase in cars will put a strain on an already busy roads. Health care, shops & dentist already under pressure. Sewage & drain are overloaded now.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Don't Know			
4359(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	roads busy already	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Haxby site totally out of scale. It is prone to flooding & no access to roads		
4465(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Student lodgings are empty for 1/4 of the year. Brown sites should be used. Houses in disrepair should be bought & made good.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
4714	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	no greenbelt development should be allowed. Will put strain on schools, traffic & doctors surgery.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	only build on green belt when all Brownfield sites are gone		
4797	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concerns about number of jobs available. Should not use green belt land. Wind turbine generators can cause interference with primary surveillance radars.		
496	Strongly Opposed		Yes	Haxby would come to a standstill. Drains will lock. Traffic is already bad, will be worse.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concerns that the council aren't going to take survey into consideration. Build on brown field sites.		
4826(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
5273	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Ill thought out use of greenbelt sites when Brownfield sites could be used. Infrastructure wont cope.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
5274(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes		Area needs Infrastructure plans before housing. Believes demand for this scale of housing doesn't exist.	

5329	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Local railway station in Haxby?	
5446	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Seems short sighted to use green belt land when there are brown filed sites available. A lot of the North of Haxby is a flood plain.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	concerns about there being no jobs located near by for the new home owners or convenient buses.		
549(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Concerns about: drains & sewers. Increased amount of cars. Not enough schools, no open green space, the character of the village being spoilt & who is going to buy the houses.	
5597	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Infrastructure wont support all these houses. Drains struggle & there is flooding in the area. Traffic already bad.	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	No			
5971	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Haxby already has problems due to more property being built.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	should be investigated more before a decision is made.		
5672	Strongly Opposed		Yes	Opposed. Infrastructure concerns.		Yes	Yes	Yes	No further sites for development.		
5968(l)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	It will increase traffic. It will put strain on the schools. The doctors will be fully booked.		Yes	Yes	Yes			
6171	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	NO reason to develop on green belt land or any other on the out skirts of Haxby.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Nothing should be earmarked.		
6201	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
6388	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	No			
6455	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Once green belt has been built on it will never be the same again. The community will change		Yes	Yes	Yes	Roads flood in winter & are heavily potholed. Schools & doctors already cant cope.		
5673	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	will not be able to sustain.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	vast majority of Haxby residents are against the plan		
7042	Opposed	Yes	Yes	The village is too small to take more traffic, and would have to have new roads. But where?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Understand a P&R site could be a benefit.		
7050	Strongly Supportive	Yes	No	Until ring road is made bigger no more houses should be built. Road cant cope as it is.	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know			

7051	Strongly Opposed		Yes	huge development will swamp the village	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
7052	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Green belt should be protected & brown field should be used.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Infrastructure is not suitable to sustain such a huge development. New shops & schools would have to be built. Increase in already bad traffic.		
7053	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
7075	Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Don't Know	Yes			
7083	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Proposed plan is unsuitable. The existing infrastructure is stretched. Car park is needed.	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes			
7118	Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
7195	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	All brown sites should be for housing. All green spaces should be protected Scale unsustainable - local amenities stretched now Traffic congested No concern for quality of life.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
7216	Strongly Opposed	Yes		Haxby already overloaded. Schools, GP services are stretched.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
7852	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Schools would need to be made bigger & streets will be overloaded with cars	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	the roads, schools, shops & parking cannot cope with the number of people now.		
7891	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know	Doesn't see the point in having green belt land if it is not protected.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Don't Know			
904(i)	Strongly Opposed	No	Yes	ill thought out plan. Accommodation is needed but not on green belt land	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concerned there are no plans for infrastructure improvements		
94139(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	What about unused property and brown field for building. Traffic will be greatly increased.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Plan is strongly opposed due to infrastructure: Roads, drainage, schooling GP services etc.		
9439(i)	Supportive	Yes	No	Infrastructure must keep pace with development.	Yes	No	No	Yes	Houses are badly needed in the area		
9461(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	No			
9486(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know	Not prepared to discount the whole plan. Agrees and disagrees on certain points.	No	Yes	Yes	No	strongly opposed to large scale of plan. Will affect the character of area.		

9497(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Unsustainable - York cant cope as it is.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Haxby & Wiggington infrastructure is already beyond breaking point and the proposal is totally unacceptable.		
9604(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Feels this is a "vanity project". Build on Brownfield before greenbelt to keep the character of our city.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Not a good plan for the residents. Lack of planning for schools, traffic & infrastructure (drains)		
9585(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Should utilise the Brownfield sites before Greenfield. Brownfield are well place for infrastructure. 16,000 houses seems ambitious, unsustainable and unnecessary.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Opposed to Greystone Court - H37. Angry number of dwellings has increased. Unsustainable development.		
9609(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Green belt land should be preserved, use brown field sites & unused property. Traffic & parking is already a problem. Another school would have to be built. Will have a negative effect on sewerage, surface water & the health service.		
9752(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Will be masses of houses with no facilities. Southern part is on an area which floods	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	additional houses would create more traffic which is already bad.		
9764	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Unjustified number of house. No proposed infrastructure.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
9905	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	York is a rural city. Infrastructure already over inflated, especially roads. Proposed development will have a destructive effect on the city and surrounding areas.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Development of Greenfield sites should be a last resort to be considered when all Brownfield sites have been used.		
10229	Opposed		Yes	concerns about who the houses are for.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Concerns about parking-people parking on foot paths	

10266(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Large scale developments should not be allowed on green belt. Concerns it will impact production of food.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
10297(i)	Strongly Supportive	No	No	We need many more houses including social housing for the area & country.	Yes	No	No	Yes			
10316	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	existing infrastructure are already stretched.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
10369	Strongly Opposed		Yes								
10389	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes			
10550	Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
10551	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Concerned as would be affected living in Haxby - becoming busier and precious land ruined.	No	Yes	Don't Know	Yes			
10552	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Loss of small suburbs. Where will build a new school to accommodate children, health centre. Drains.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Where would the entrance be to houses to run at the back of Hilla Ave. York Road? Will not cope.		
10560	Strongly Opposed		Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
10561	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Don't Know	Yes	Yes			
10562	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Total rubbish	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes			
10563	Strongly Opposed		Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
10564	Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
10565	Don't Know	No	Yes	No infrastructure - overcrowding of many schools - spoiling local 'green' areas	Yes		Yes	Yes	Heworth Without area becoming over crowded and roads increasingly dangerous and noisy.		
10566	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Will ruin Haxby roads - too narrow through the village. Extra cars and people!	No 1 Bus service good but hampered by packed cars outside Oaktree Court.	
10567	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Unacceptable proposals. Haxby already at saturation point in terms of schools, homes etc.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Worrying- further sites York cannot support such projects without ruining the infrastructure.		
10568	Strongly Opposed	Don't Know	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	No			

10569	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Inappropriate development & Clifton being turned into a satellite town by over 3000 houses. Green belt space must not be touched.	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes	Development will destroy city of York. Do not need more out of town developments as this will destroy small business in the city centre. Permission to convert offices into flats on Clifton moor - ludicrous reducing potential employment opportunities in York.	Filthy state of city centre is unacceptable. State of residential roads is appalling _ Margate is in a disgusting state of road disrepair. Too much money spent on vanity projects.	
10765	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	There are huge swathes of Brownfield sites available and should be a priority. Imposition of affordable housing quotas on house builders immediately following a prolonged recession will not incentivise nor make economic viability in the market place.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
10915	Strongly Opposed	Yes	No		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
10917	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know		Don't Know	Yes	Don't Know	Yes			
10995	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Infrastructure unsuitable for building developments i.e. drainage, parking, doctors, roads & schools.	No	Yes	Yes	No			
10997	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Ring road already too busy.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Proposed developments would make traffic on York road a "joke"	Only 3 primary schools in area, concerns about them already being at capacity.	
10999	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	already crowded & bad traffic. Already problems with parking	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	New school& new health centre would be needed, drains flooding & blocking, roads need refurbishment. More houses would increase these problems		
11000	Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11003	Supportive	Yes	No		Yes	No	Yes	Yes			
11004	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			

11006	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Loosing green belt land will spoil the beauty & houses will have a massive impact on roads & parking.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11008	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	roads & parking bad enough as it is. People enjoy shopping in the area & are prepared to travel to it. Health centre & schools would be overloaded.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11009	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	infrastructure inadequate	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11010	Opposed	Yes	Yes	There are several brown field sites that could be used.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Planning for infrastructure development is not developed enough. Traffic is already bad.		
11012	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know			
11013	Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Don't Know	Yes			
11014	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	will destroy Haxby- schools, transport & community		Yes	Yes	No	Support green space but not plans for building.		
11015	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	extra traffic. Hospitals, doctors & dentist already struggle with population. Reclaim empty properties.	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Haxby has problems with drainage, water & sewerage- this would need to be sorted before further housing developments.		
11016	Supportive	Yes	No	Need housing but infrastructure needs fixing. Primary school essential	Yes	No	Yes	No	Wind & solar are good. All new housing should have mandatory roof panels. Development shouldn't be dropped but infrastructure needs fixing.		
11017	Supportive	No	No	suburbs could be improved with high quality development	Yes	No	No	No			
11018	Strongly Opposed	No	Yes	Traffic & parking bad already	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Concerned about giving money to the council and getting nothing in return.		
11019	Opposed	Yes	Yes			Yes	Yes	Yes			
11020	Supportive	No	No	We need more houses as there are jobs in York.	Yes	No	Yes	No			
11021	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Believe the village cannot sustain the present population.		Yes	Yes	Yes			
11022		Yes	Don't Know	Brown sites should be used first	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Medical centre is already overwhelmed		

11023	Strongly Supportive	Yes	Yes	Do need more houses but make use of brown field sites.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Two sons who do not live in York due to cost of housing. Do not think infrastructure could cope without huge investments in school & roads.		
11024	Supportive	No	No		Yes	No	Yes	No			
11025	Supportive	No	No	We need more housing. Use brown field sites	Yes	No	No	Yes	There is need to allocate good size of land for self build.		
4822(ii)	Don't Know	Yes	Don't Know	We need more houses. Use Brownfield sites first, should do more to aid Brownfield usage	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11026	Supportive	No	No	Need Cheap First time buyer houses needed.	Yes	No		No			
11028	Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	No			
11029	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know	Believe that all the land within York bypass should be used first.	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know			
11031	Opposed	Yes	Yes	too many houses & people already. Are lacks parks & open space		Yes	Yes	Yes	Ring & local road could not cope with increase in traffic. Lack of parks & open space. Not enough capacity in primary & secondary schools		
11032	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Already struggles to get an appointment in the doctors surgery	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11033	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Ludicrous plan. Will put a strain on Infrastructure.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11035	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Haxby & Wigginton have been expanded to full capacity. Ridiculous to even consider plan.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11039	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Drains, schools & roads not suitable for more development	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11040	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Too many houses on green belt land. Local services: Roads, Hospitals etc will not cope.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11042	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	There is a need for more housing but its wrong to build on this scale. Haxby currently has a strong community feel but fears this will disappear with a lot of extra housing.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			

11044	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Roads & drainage cant take further development. Traffic is already bad.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11046	Strongly Opposed		Yes	Already too big, infrastructure cannot cope.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Has already been massive expansion. The ring road is inadequate.		
11047	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Concerns that Council hasn't fully informed public of proposed plan.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Needs new drainage system before any further development is allowed.	Concerns about traffic on Wiggington road roundabout.	
11049	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Very short sighted plan. The infrastructure would be inadequate	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11051	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes		Infrastructure will be pushed beyond limits (including sewage & drains). Traffic and parking will be increased. It is a flood area which could cause problems for the residents.	
11053	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	plan would create added pressure to ambulance & schools. Supermarkets would not cope.	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11055	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know	The roads will be overwhelmed & cause pollution, noise & delays	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Will have a negative impact on their living.		
11057	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	No	Yes	Whole plan is rubbish.		
11059	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Proposed housing would lead to traffic. Traffic is already a problem & more would cause a road safety problem.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Plan has not been thoroughly thought through.		
11063	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	Yes	Don't Know	Don't Know			
11065	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Green belt should always remain. Should be illegal to build on.	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Any development should be done on Brownfield sites.		
11067	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Infrastructure could not take this sized development.	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11070	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know			
11073	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Proposed development will change residents lives for the worst. Will destroy slow pace of village & put pressure on existing services	No	Yes	Yes	No			

11074	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Facilities only just meet the needs of residents now. Schools & parking facilities wouldn't be able to cope.	No	Yes	Yes	Don't Know			
11077	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Extra traffic. New residents would need employment.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11078	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Proposed plan is unsuitable for Haxby.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Should use brown field sites. No green field should be used.		
11079	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Current infrastructure cannot cope now with houses & traffic. Does need more school housing & affordable homes.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	infrastructure can not cope with further housing developments. Sewage is appalling. Schools & traffic all too busy.		
11081	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know		No	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Not enough schools in area. Not enough parking.		
11083	Opposed	Don't Know	Yes		Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11084	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11086	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Would like realistic infrastructure proposals. Larger population will have a bad effect on drains & the land floods.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11088	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know		Yes	Yes	Yes	Don't Know			
11089	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11090	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	School, Doctors & amenities would be needed to service all the new potential families.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11092	Strongly Supportive	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Better to have maintenance on existing sites than increase & pollute the atmosphere.		
11094	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	present level development has swamped the infrastructure.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Health centre & dentist are full, as is parking.		
11096	Strongly Opposed		Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes		Drains, schools & parking will not cope with more houses. The area is a flood plain.		
11098	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Yes	No			
11099	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Brown belt should be considered firstly. Options must be thought of carefully of the local impact & issue with busy road network.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes			

11100	Strongly Opposed	Yes	No		Yes	No	Yes	No			
-------	------------------	-----	----	--	-----	----	-----	----	--	--	--