

ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt? (Non FSC Comments)	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure? (Non FSC Comments)	Q3: Do you agree with us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York? (Non FSC Comments)	Q4: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comments)	Q5: Turning to the Local Plan proposals in your area and particularly those summarised on page one of this leaflet, do you support the proposed expansion of the University of York? (Site Reference 794)	Q6: Do you agree with us that the proposed changes to the Whinthorpe development are largely superficial and the whole proposal should be withdrawn? (Site References ST15 and SF3)	Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not 'safeguard' land for future development if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comments)	Q8: Do you agree with us that the Council should not allocate land for wind and solar farms if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comments)	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comments)	Any further issues of concern? (FSC General Comments)
896	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Building on or adjacent to flood plains endangers agricultural land and existing commercial and residential property. Traffic infrastructure, schools and hospitals need upgrading before building.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Extending the university should only be allowed if it includes accommodation and parking on site.	Flooding risk of agricultural land by allowing inappropriate building. East/West rail links (York+lancs) need major improvement
2935	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Germany Beck is totally wrong, it will cause more flooding, horrendous traffic and pollution problems.	No			Don't Know		
10556	Strongly Supportive	No	No	Need new houses. Undersupply pushing cost of renting. York should not be excluded from large house building campaign NIMBY. Rather spend 50% of my income on local economy than rent.	Don't Know	No	Don't Know	No		
10557	Supportive	Don't Know	No	Clear York needs to grow, new housing needs to be built to meet demand/cool house price inflation.	Yes	No	No	No	NIMBY-ism objections. University growth has had a positive impact on the city.	All information on local issues (from all parties) seems to be negative campaigning. Not helpful.
10925	Opposed	Yes	Don't Know		No	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		

10927	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Proposals are far too large and not thought through.	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	My main concerns are the building on flood plains and flooding is an ongoing problem and it seems to be completely foolhardy.	
10929	Opposed	Yes	Yes	More houses bring more residents looking for more jobs creating more congestion and overcrowded roads and facilities.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	More overcrowded roads and facilities.	
10931	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	Don't Know	No		
10932	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Housing is required but not at the expense of greenbelt and infrastructure. Concerns about building on flood plains (e.g. Germany Beck).	Yes	Don't Know	No	No		