

ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt? (Non FSC Comment)	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure? (Non FSC Comment)	Q3: Do you agree with us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York? (Non FSC Comment)	Q4: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comment)	Q5: Turning to the recent Local Plan 'Further Site' proposals in your area, do you support the Council's recommendation to withdraw the proposal for a 15 pitch traveller site in Dunnington? (Site Reference 9)	Q6: Do you support the Council's proposal to remove a further 12.5 acres of land from the greenbelt on East field Lane and safeguard it for future long term development? (Site Reference 811)	Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not 'safeguard' land for future development if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)	Q8: Do you agree with us that the Council should not allocate land for wind and solar farms if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC Comment)	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comment)	Any further issues of concern? (FSC General Comment)
117	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
1939	Opposed	Yes	Yes	too much building planned for land that is green belt	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	will make the village overdeveloped. The increase in traffic will cause more problems	
2506	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Why destroy the villages which tourists come to view.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
2682	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Against building on green belt land	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
5031	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes		
945(i)	Opposed		Yes	Brown sites must be used fist.	Strongly Agree	Don't Know				
995	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Over development. Should be using all brown field sites	Strongly Agree	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		
2406	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
2551(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Too much development of Green belt no one considering brown field sites	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Don't Know	Unnecessary	
2624	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	Yes	Yes	Yes		
2647	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
2677	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
2679	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
2794	Opposed	Yes	Yes	No building on green belt land.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
2835	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		
2847	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		

2958	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	green belt should not be used. Lack of food production is worrying & productive farmland should not be built on	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	further removal of greenbelt/farmland will affect the village negatively.		
3090	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Its been forced upon with no consultation not thought. Will have a negative effect on the village	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Putting off potential buyers of their house.		
3225	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
3290	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Dunnington should stay the same	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
3335	Strongly Opposed	Yes			Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
3453	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
3530	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Any change to the village will ruin the character.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
3534	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
3610(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Dunnington Floods in winter. Water, Electrics, sewage & roads is a struggle with current population. Current plans are for quantity not quality.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
3723	Opposed	Yes	No		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Until drains, Sewers & roads are up to standard to support extra housing further site proposals should not be made.		
3765	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
3932	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Look at improving infrastructure of Dunnington. Land damage, sewerage, power supplies, state of the roads.	Strongly Agree	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Dunnington full to capacity.		
3147	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	why can't Brownfield sites be used. Need more strategic thinking to what sort of city we want.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
3636	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		Bad Traffic	
3843	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	Yes	Yes	Yes			

3940	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
4045	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	Yes	Yes	Yes			
4265	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
4507	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Use all brown field land first.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Investigate using disused airfield sites		
3955(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Written letters of object for local plan	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
3789	Opposed	Don't Know	Don't Know		Agree	Yes	No	No			
4465(ii)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		disregard for historic context of York & existing green belt. Problems include: Drainage, traffic, water pressure & visual impact.	
4520	Don't Know	Don't Know	Don't Know		Strongly Agree	Don't Know	Don't Know	Don't Know			
4533	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Object to Traveller site opposite playing fields	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	reference 811 will lead to overdevelopment & change the character of the village. Adverse affect to the village as a whole		
4557	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
4804	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
4827	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	More homes necessary but inappropriate to use green belt land.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Traveller site would be inappropriate.		
4987	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Do not think east field side to 166 is a good idea. A lot of water.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
5187(i)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Plan to develop east field is inappropriate. The village cannot support or sustain further development.		
5241	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
5984	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
5990	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	cannot use green belt land for building.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
6098	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
6259	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Further development to Dunnington would affect Character & Identity. Infrastructure & amenities already stretched. Green belt should be kept to keep nature close		

6460	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Dunnington full to capacity.		
8596	Strongly Opposed	No		Not proved that further housing is needed	Yes	Yes	No	Yes			Strongly Agree
9281(ii)	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Don't Know			Strongly Agree
10549	Opposed	Yes	Yes	What would a conservative propose?	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	No	Individual applications for wind or solar energy installations should be considered in their own merit. Safeguarding is jargon.		
10554	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
10913	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		Bus stop/shelter at the York bound side of the A1079 at Dunnington needs a footpath, central refuge area for a safer crossing.	
11327	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Affect School, Traffic & Sewage		
11329	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		Strongly Against Wind and solar farms. Danger to birds.	
11331	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	York cannot handle the volume of houses	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	wrong to bulldoze green belt land.		
11334	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	Yes	Yes	Yes			
11337	Strongly Supportive	No	No		Agree	Yes	No	No			
11339	Opposed	Yes	Yes	proposing building without thought of facilities required.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	informed in 1979 village was not to be extended as the sewage system couldn't cope with additional waste. Already experienced problems.		
11340	Strongly Opposed	No	Yes	Village ill be overloaded with buildings therefore spoilt. Green belt should be left alone.	Strongly Agree	No	No	Yes			
11342	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Opposed to any building on green belt land.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Against using land on east field lane for any housing development.		

11343	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	York has too much housing development proposed. Amount proposed for Dunnington is inappropriate	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Will have a detrimental effect on Dunnington.		
11344	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes		Traffic behaviour in Dunnington.	
11346	Don't Know	Don't Know	Don't Know			Don't Know	Don't Know	Don't Know			
11348	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Don't Know		Strongly Agree	No	No	Yes	strongly apposed to remove land from green belt.		
11349	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
11350	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Plan too aggressive. Use of Brownfield sites should be prioritised. More homes are needed in York.	Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes	Greenbelt should be last option. Small areas over a wider geographical spread seems more sensible.		
11351	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
11356	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
11358	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
11359	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
11361	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
11364	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
11366	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	Don't Know	Yes	Yes			
11368	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			
11370	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		Strongly Agree	Don't Know	Yes	Yes			
11372	Opposed	Yes	Don't Know		Strongly Agree	No	Yes	Yes			