ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Policy GI				
42	GI1	Comm	The policy is supported. There could be further detail as to the appropriate planting in new areas of Green Infrastructure. The provision of an SPD on GI and Biodiversity would be supported.	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust
349	GI1	Comm	Sport does happen in areas with landscape protection designations; landscape protection does not necessarily rule out a sporting event taking place. Sport England considers that it is important that the policy recognises the sporting events that take place and do not introduce policies that could restrict such events happening.	Sport England
1675i	GI1	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Taylor Wimpey
13103	GI1	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes, K Hudson and G M Ward Trustees
13104	GI1	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes and Linden Homes.
13161	GI1	Comm	Urging the council to protect Holgate community Garden and Park from development as part of the York Central "southern option" access road. Mentions the ward lacking green space, that the garden is an Asset of Community Value and its importance for recreational amenity.	Friends of Holgate Community Garden
42	GI1	Supp	The policy is supported.	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust
238	GI1	Supp	We support this Policy and, especially, the recognition, in Criterion v, of the contribution which the City's heritage assets make to the Green Infrastructure network.	Historic England
12655	GI1	Supp	DIO supports this policy and integrated approach to Green Infrastructure.	GVA on behalf of DIO Estates (MOD)
13417ii	GI1	Supp	Vital to maintain open green space in future developments. Need to do this in cooperation with wildlife trusts and nature supportive organisations so developments are rich in nature, wildlife and social amenity.	

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/	Summary	Respondent (name of
		Comm		individuals removed)
13520	GI1	Supp	Supports the policy to ensure the protection of existing green areas which will include SSSIs,	Strensall with Towthorpe
			SACs and SINCs as well as smaller green spaces in the community.	Neighbourhood Plan Steering
				Group
13637i	GI1	Supp	CPRENY welcome this policy in its entirety, particularly point vi) to extend current networks where possible. Recognition in the supportive text that a green infrastructure system approach to assessing biodiversity, open space and areas of public realm as one entity are not jut in isolation is considered a best practice methodology and is supported.	CPRE - North Yorkshire

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Policy GI	2			
42	GI2	Comm	The policy is supported. Point iii) support the policy of achieving net gain in biodiversity through developments, it will be necessary to account for losses of habitat and the total area of habitat created. It would be valuable to ensure that the assessment of biodiversity on development sites is done to a consistent standard - ecological surveys to British Standard BS42020:2013 and the surveyor should have membership of a body such as CIEEM. A biodiversity SPD would be a valuable addition and include Green Infrastructure.	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust
210	GI2	Comm	Welcomes parts v and vi of the policy to protect and enhance biodiversity.	Canal & River Trust
1675i	GI2	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Taylor Wimpey
13103	GI2	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes, K Hudson and G M Ward Trustees
13104	GI2	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes and Linden Homes.
13526	GI2	Obj	The Princess Road site and southern part of Southfields Road site are identified as SLIs however there is no clear justification for this so the designation should be removed.	Lichfields on behalf of Wakefield Properties
42	GI2	Supp	The policy is supported.	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/	Summary	Respondent (name of
		Comm		individuals removed)
13520	GI2	Supp	Supports the policy to ensure continued biodiversity of the countryside.	Strensall with Towthorpe
				Neighbourhood Plan Steering
				Group

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Policy GI3	3			
42	GI3	Comm	Support for this policy. Green Corridors are valuable city and region wide. The policy could contain a reference to connecting up Green Corridors as part of co-operating with Neighbouring authorities. Green Corridors are valuable within and between developed areas.	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust
1675i	GI3	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Taylor Wimpey
13103	GI3	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes, K Hudson and G M Ward Trustees
13104	GI3	Comm	Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes and Linden Homes.
13637i	GI3	Comm	Whilst supportive of the text within GI3 dealing specifically with Green Infrastructure Networks, CPRENY believe this policy could be incorporated in Policy GI1 to avoid duplication and provide an more detailed first policy.	CPRE - North Yorkshire
42	GI3	Supp	Support for this policy.	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/	Summary	Respondent (name of
		Comm		individuals removed)
238	GI3	Supp	We support this Policy which should help to protect the integrity of York's Green Infrastructure network - a key element of the special character of the historic City.	Historic England
13520	GI3	Supp	Supports the policy which ensures the protection of the green infrastructure network.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Policy GI				
42	GI4	Comm	Support for this policy. The policy could have a presumption in favour of planting native trees and hedgerow plants in new developments. It could also specify adequate buffers for hedgerows within developments.	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust
238	G14	Comm	We support this Policy especially the requirement, in the third bullet-point, that trees which contribute to the character of a Conservation Area or Listed Building or are an element of a designed landscape should be retained. However, as currently worded, this aspect of the Policy only applies to trees which contribute to the setting of a Conservation Area. In many cases, there are trees within the Conservation Area itself which contribute to its character. It would also be preferable to use the term "positive contribution" since this more closely reflects the terminology of the NPPF. Policy GI4 Criterion iii amend to read:- " retains trees and hedgerows that make a positive contribution to the character or setting of a Conservation Area, to the setting of a Listed Building, etc"	
434	GI4	Obj	The British Sugar application seeks to ensure the retention of all mature trees where possible in the context of the need to remediate the site. This policy should recognise that such landscaping should be retained wherever possible in the context of the necessary infrastructure provisions for the future development. Alternative wording given to criterion ii.	Rapleys LLP on behalf of British Sugar PLC
1675i	GI4	Obj	Why is a developer contribution required to protect existing trees and hedgerows? Considered that this fails the test.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Taylor Wimpey
13103	GI4	Obj	Why is a developer contribution required to protect existing trees and hedgerows?	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes, K Hudson and G M Ward Trustees
13104	GI4	Obj	Why is a developer contribution required to protect existing trees and hedgerows?	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes and Linden Homes.
42	GI4	Supp	Support for this policy.	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust

	ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/	Summary	Respondent (name of
			Comm		individuals removed)
ſ	13520	GI4	Supp	Supports the policy to ensure protection of existing trees and hedgerows.	Strensall with Towthorpe
					Neighbourhood Plan Steering
					Group

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/	Summary	Respondent (name of
		Comm		individuals removed)
Policy GI5				
349	GI5	Comm	Sport England understands that York is about to commence with a new Playing Pitch	Sport England
			Strategy following Sport England's latest methodology. The policy should refer to this most	
			up to date evidence base.	
1675i	GI5	Comm	Why is a developer contribution required to protect existing pitches from development?	Johnson Mowat on behalf of
			Considered that this fails the test.	Taylor Wimpey
12640i	GI5	Comm	Though 9.16 states there is a presumption against the loss of open space, this needs to be	
			made more of a priority as many open spaces are under threat.	
146	GI5	Obj	Directions Planning on behalf of Joseph Rowntree Housing Trust. On the Proposals Map, the	Directions Planning
			land to be protected by Policy G15 is annotated to make clear the land to which the Policy	Consultancy Ltd
			applies. Within the village of New Earswick, certain areas of land have been identified as	
			being subject of the Policy GI5, including land to the west of Red Lodge off Haxby Road,	
			south of Limetree Avenue and north of the car parking serving the Folk Hall. This area of	
			land has been the subject of a planning application to develop a new care home with	
			independent living accommodation. The planning application also included proposals for	
			the relocation of the MUGA and tennis club facilities to other locations within New	
			Earswick. As a consequence of the permission that was granted under the reference	
			165/00758/FULM, the current extent of open space within this central area to the village is	
			to be altered. Construction of New Lodge is to commence in November 2017 with	
			completion phased over approximately 18 months. Consequently, it would be appropriate	
			for the Local Plan Proposals Map to show the extent of the open space incorporated into	
			the development given construction is likely to be near completion (or even completed) by	
			the time the Local Plan has been adopted. If the development is ignored then the Local Plan	

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/	Summary	Respondent (name of
		Comm		individuals removed)
349	GI5	Obj	Sport England object to the policy on the following grounds: The policy seems to only cover playing pitches that are of recreational importance; importance is very subjective and there is no definition in supporting text as to what defines 'importance'. The policy is therefore imprecise - Sport England would object to this element of the policy unless the reference to importance was omitted; Further, as currently worded the policy appears to only apply to pitches. Sport England would therefore object until the policy's scope is clarified - this could be achieved by referring to pitches as including playing field in the Glossary of terms, or by changing the name of the policy from 'pitches' to 'playing field'.	Sport England
434	GI5	Obj	As part of the planning application for British Sugar there has been a commitment to providing a combination of on-site sports pitches, open space and playing pitch provision and contribution to off-site facilities. The timescales for the delivery of off-site facilities are in the control of the council. This should not delay the redevelopment of ST1 where appropriate timescales for the off-site replacement are committed to via a s106 agreement. There is no definition within the policy or its supporting text as to the precise meaning of the words area of benefit. This must be precisely clarified. New wording suggested.	Rapleys LLP on behalf of British Sugar PLC

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/	Summary	Respondent (name of
		Comm		individuals removed)
13103	GI5	Obj	Why is a developer contribution required to protect existing pitches from development?	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes, K Hudson and G M Ward Trustees
13104	GI5	Obj	Why is a developer contribution required to protect existing pitches from development?	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes and Linden Homes.
62	GI5	Supp	Supports showing areas at School Lane, Fordlands Road and north and south of Broadway as open spaces under GI5. Consideration should be given to their designation as Local Green Spaces under paragraph 77 of the NPPF. Wishes to note that the pre-publication draft does not designate any Local Green Spaces within the city and considers that there should be a city-wide assessment of all green spaces to ascertain whether LGS designation is appropriate.	Fulford Parish Council
13520	GI5	Supp	Supports the policy to ensure provision of open spaces and playing pitches to meet the needs of the community.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Policy GI6	GI6	Comm	Most new open space provision can be enhanced for biodiversity and this should be expected in the design, using native species, providing habitat and sensitive management. A GI and Biodiversity SPD could cover these issues. OS10 design is important to stop far reaching impacts on wildlife and habitats in the area.	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust
71i	GI6	Comm	New open space proposals for the Poppleton area are supported at the new Manor Academy site and the site adjacent to the Poppleton Junior Tigers Soccer Field. Appears to be a typo as sites aren't properly numbered in relation to the Poppleton neighbourhood plan and the local plan policies map.	Poppleton Neighbourhood Plan Committee
78	GI6	Comm	New open space proposals for the Poppleton area are supported at the new Manor Academy site and the site adjacent to the Poppleton Junior Tigers Soccer Field. Appears to be a typo as sites aren't properly numbered in relation to the Poppleton neighbourhood plan and the local plan policies map.	Upper Poppleton Parish Council
198	GI6	Comm	The policy is supported and matches the ambitions of the York Central Partnership to provide significant areas of open space. The policy should however recognise and include the need for flexibility dependant upon the characteristics of the site.	National Railway Museum
349	GI6	Comm	Sport England suggests an additional criterion that makes clear off-site provision will be acceptable where a robust and up to date Playing Pitch Strategy and Built Sports Facility Strategy identify the need for such facilities.	Sport England

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/	Summary	Respondent (name of
		Comm		individuals removed)
1528	GI6	Comm	Policy G16 states that the identified areas of proposed new open space are indicative which	DPP Planning representing
			the Developers support. However, whilst policy G16 indicates that areas of proposed new	Linden Homes Strategic Land,
			open space are indicative the text to policy SS11 and the proposals map suggests otherwise.	Barratt Homes and David
			The	Wilson Homes Yorkshire East
			Developers are concerned about the requirement to provide a large area of strategic open space	Division
			to the south of the Site. This requirement is reflected in policy G16 and by the identification	
			of land under OS9. The Developers do not object to providing open space on the Site and	
			the southern part of the Site might end up being the most appropriate location but the	
			Developers feel that this should be determined by the master planning process which	
			would take into account all of the factors relevant to the provision of open space and	
			housing.	
1528	GI6	Comm	Policy G16 indicates that new open spaces to the south of site ST9 will be complemented by	DPP Planning representing
			further on-site provision of local green and open space. Policy G16 appears to be the	Linden Homes Strategic Land,
			principle policy for the provision of open space. It is difficult to see how further on-site	Barratt Homes and David
			provision of local green and open space can be required by policies other than G16. If the	Wilson Homes Yorkshire East
			Local Plan is suggesting that the development of ST9 should provide the open space	Division
			identified as OS9 as well as other open space that would normally be justified by the	
			erection of development then this could have material consequences. The allocation	
			identified as OS9 is about 9ha in size. 9ha of open space would on its own be equivalent to	
			122sqm of open space per dwelling if the assumed yield from Site ST9 of 735 dwellings can	
			be delivered. This is a significant quantum of open space. Large tracts of additional open	
			space would erode the developable area of the Site and the Developers question what	
			capacity work has been undertaken. The Developers request a meeting with the Council to	
			discuss the implications of policy G16 and the relationship of OS9 to the delivery of ST9 and	
			the capacity assessment undertaken.	
			the capacity assessment undertaken.	
<u></u>				

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
1528	GI6	Comm	The Developers are concerned with the inter relation of policy SS11 and G16 and how this might impact on the capacity of ST9. The Developers reserve the right to comment in more detail on this matter when the details of the Council's intentions are fully understood.	DPP Planning representing Linden Homes Strategic Land, Barratt Homes and David Wilson Homes Yorkshire East Division
3045(i)	GI6	Comm	Playing fields are being lost through development proposals. Demand will increase given expanded Manor Academy, Poppleton Tigers and the new Poppleton Cricket Club. Policy should encourage the provision and allocation of sports grounds, recreation and playing field use.	
5167	GI6	Comm	Welcomes the provision for flexibility within the policy in terms of off-site provision being acceptable in the circumstances identified. However it is suggested that the policy should be reworded to provide clarity on the open space requirements that will be sought through the inclusion of open space standards within the policy wording as this is not evident from the current use of statements such as the precise type of onsite provision required will depend on the size and location of the proposal.	Lichfields on behalf of Hungate (York) Regeneration Ltd
12659	GI6	Comm	Additional clarity on the appropriate forms of open space in an urban context.	Arup on behalf of the York Central Partnership
13003	GI6	Comm	There needs to be good open spaces in all places for children to play in.	Rachael Maskell MP
13628	GI6	Comm	New open space proposals for the Poppleton area are supported at the new Manor Academy site and the site adjacent to the Poppleton Junior Tigers Soccer Field. Appears to be a typo as sites aren't properly numbered in relation to the Poppleton neighbourhood plan and the local plan policies map.	Poppleton Neighbourhood Plan Committee
434	GI6	Obj	British Sugar is committed to the appropriate provision of new open space provision. However the provision of such facilities must accord with the CIL Regulations 122 and must directly relate to the site itself. Reference in this policy to addressing deficiencies is not appropriate and should be deleted. Deleted wording suggested.	Rapleys LLP on behalf of British Sugar PLC

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
1661	GI6	Obj	Policy provides lack of clarity on the open space requirements sought. Welcomes the mechanism in the policy which allows for off-site open space provision.	Lichfields on behalf of Linden Homes
1675i	GI6	Obj	We object to point 'iii' that requires further land beyond the boundaries of strategic sites. There is no justification for this request. The request also does not sit comfortably when the land is being retained as Green Belt. Further detail on the extent of developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Taylor Wimpey
12160i	GI6	Obj	Allocation OS10: Concerned about the removal of land from food production and its environmental impact for openspace; alternative locations should be identified.	
12655	GI6	Obj	The policy should include standards for openspace provision and that the precise type of on- site provision required will depend on the size and location of the development proposal and existing openspace provision.	GVA on behalf of DIO Estates (MOD)
13103	GI6	Obj	Further detail on the extent of the developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes, K Hudson and G M Ward Trustees
13104	GI6	Obj	Object to iii) that requires further land beyond the boundaries of strategic site. No justification for this. Request does not sit well when land is being retained as green belt. Further detail on the extent of the developer contributions is required.	Johnson Mowat on behalf of Redrow Homes and Linden Homes.
13213	GI6	Obj	The policy should recognise and include the need for flexibility dependent on the characteristics of the [York Central] site.	GVA on behalf of the Homes and Communities Agency (HCA)
71	GI6	Supp	New open space proposals for the Poppleton area are supported at the new Manor Academy site and the site adjacent to the Poppleton Junior Tigers Soccer Field. Appears to be a typo as sites aren't properly numbered in relation to the Poppleton neighbourhood plan and the local plan policies map.	Nether Poppleton Parish Council

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/	Summary	Respondent (name of
		Comm		individuals removed)
198	GI6	Supp	The policy is supported and matches the ambitions of the York Central Partnership to	National Railway Museum
			provide significant areas of open space.	
5167	GI6	Supp	Welcomes the provision for flexibility within the policy in terms of off-site provision being	Lichfields on behalf of Hungate
			acceptable in the circumstances identified.	(York) Regeneration Ltd
12659	GI6	Supp	Support the principles of Policy GI6, all development should contribute to the provision of	Arup on behalf of the York
			open space.	Central Partnership
13213	GI6	Supp	The policy is supported and matches the ambition of YCP to	GVA on behalf of the Homes
			provide significant new areas of open space within York Central.	and Communities Agency
				(HCA)
13520	GI6	Supp	Supports the policy if a need for additional open spaces are identified.	Strensall with Towthorpe
				Neighbourhood Plan Steering
				Group

ID	Policy	Obj/Supp/	Summary	Respondent (name of
		Comm		individuals removed)
Policy GI	7			
80	GI7	Comm	Further increased development of the area will increase the need for burial facilities .	Wigginton Parish Council
540	GI7	Obj	A separate section should be added as follows: "Green or woodland or pet burial grounds will be permitted in rural areas provided that: 1) as criterion iii in draft policy (surface water drainage); 2) as criterion iv in draft policy (no adverse impact on landscape quality etc); 3) as criterion v from draft policy (land management and maintenance programme needed). All above ground memorial features must comprise natural vegetation. No headstones or other monuments will be permitted."	Jennifer Hubbard Town Planning Consultant
13520	GI7	Supp	Supports the policy to ensure sufficient space is available for extension and/or enhancement of burial grounds.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group