Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
General	Objection - concerned about the reference to increased crime associated with student residences. This statement should be substantiated or removed. The Local Plan should include wording to explain the context for student housing provision which includes the following aspects: the on-going viability of dedicated student housing that is owned or contracted by the HE institution is fundamental to its provision. There is no cross subsidisation of funding and full occupation of facilities has to be assured. Universities cannot afford to carry voids. It must always be economically prudent to provide additional dedicated student residences, Students can be encouraged to live in HEI provided accommodation but cannot be coerced, In assessing need for new provision related to the development of new academic facilities, the citywide provision should be taken into account. There is a fluid situation on the supply of bespoke student housing, including new speculative provision by private operators granted permission by CYC.	38/12917	York St John University
	Support – support Option 1. More appropriate option as it will support the deliver of the right type of housing based on the housing market in the area and based on the site characteristics.  Objection– the council's preferred option in relation to housing mix set out on page 129 is Option 3, which is to provide a local level policy to ensure appropriate housing mix provision.	6357/16063	Ainscough Strategic Land

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM1 Balancing The	Support – comments on housing mix and that sustainable villages require a mix of household types to support a range of local amenities.	59/12651	Dunnington Parish Council
Housing Market	Objection – for example, policies ACHM1 and CC2 should include a BREEAM minimum standard of Excellent in the building or conversion of non-residential properties and a Code of Sustainable Homes Level of 5 or 6 for building or conversion of residential properties.	90/12824	Friends of the Earth (York and Ryedale)
	Objection – this policy is inflexible and could be onerous in certain circumstances. The Nation Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires local planning authorities to adopt and ensure consistency with national sustainability standards. This will ensure that the Local Plan complies with the national policy and hence is sound. Additionally, Policy ACHM1 states that all Strategic Sites (over 5 hectares) will be expected to undertake an assessment of need for appropriate accommodation for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia and integrate this provision within the development. Framework is clear that local planning authorities should Plan for a mix of housing based on current and future demographic trends, market trends and the needs of different groups in the community (such as, but not limited to, families with children, older people, people with disabilities, Identify the size, type and range of housing that is required in particular locations, reflecting local demand. The onus is therefore on the Local Planning Authority to undertaken this work, rather than the applicant. This requirement should be removed from Policy ACHM1. This will make the Local Plan sound through ensuring it is consistent with the Framework.	144/12886	Hogg Builders (York) Ltd
	Objection – appropriate accommodation for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia and integrate this provision within the development. The need for such accommodation should be assessed by the Council and any requirement based upon this study. There is a further requirement that all properties be built to Building for Life (BfL) standards. Should not be a mandatory requirement upon developers, the policy should seek to encourage its use. Recommends that the viability implications of this policy are thoroughly assessed prior to the next stage of consultation. In addition the requirement for all new housing development to build to Lifetime Homes and the requirement to assess the need for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia should be deleted.	145/13867	Home Builders Federation
	Support – welcome an approach that seeks to balance the housing market, as this provides the best foundation for creating mixed, integrated, sustainable and cohesive communities.  Comment – concerned that only strategic strategy sites will have to assess the wider	178/13900	York Council for Voluntary Service

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	needs of their local community. In some areas dwellings of several dozen, up to over 200 dwellings can be built with no consideration of additional support needs of local people. Would prefer to see the requirement threshold lowered but a full assessment made proportional to the initial level of local need identified. Would ensure that if there are readily identifiable local residents with additional housing needs, they can be considered and hopefully accommodated within their own community, even when it is a small development. Would also wish the list of additional needs to include mental health conditions more broadly (not just dementia) and to include other long term conditions, not just physical impairments; for example conditions that limit independence such as sensory impairment or increase fatigue (this list is not exhaustive).		
Policy ACHM1 Balancing The Housing Market continued	Comment –bearing in mind the requirements of Policy ACHM2 which seeks an overall balance of 70% houses and 30% flats over the lifetime of the plan, the requirement to achieve 50 dwellings per hectare in the York urban area and in new settlements and 40 dwellings per hectare in suburban area seems particularly onerous.	304/14180	Shirethorn Ltd
	Comment – commend the Council for taking a positive approach in seeking to provide appropriate accommodation to meet the needs of its ageing population particularly within the appropriate justification to Policy ACHM1: Balancing the Housing Market. This toolkit demonstrates that the demographic profile of the City of York is projected to age. The "What Homes Where Toolkit". The table below (see original response) has been replicated from the toolkit and shows the projected changes to the demographic of York City Council between 2008 and 2033. The ageing population will have a significant impact on local housing requirements. Whilst we are in broad agreement with the general tone and objectives of Policy ACHM1, some aspects do concern us:"The justification for Policy ACHM1, specifically the 'Specialist Housing' sub-section details at length the benefits and need for specialist accommodation for the elderly stating 'We must plan for homes and communities so that people can live out their lives, as long as possible, independently and safely with their families and friends around them. This includes Lifetime Homes but also specialist housing and assisted living for those that can no longer live totally independently' (emphasis own)."  Recommend that Policy ACHM1 is reworded in line with the advice provide in the Housing in Later Life: Planning Ahead for Specialist Housing for Older People toolkit. "The Council will encourage the provision of specialist housing for older people across all tenures in sustainable locations. The Council aims to ensure that older people are able to secure and sustain independence in a home appropriate to their circumstances	315/14184	McCarthy & Stone Retirement Lifestyles Ltd

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	and to actively encourage developers to build new homes to the 'Lifetime Homes' standard so that they can be readily adapted to meet the needs of those with disabilities and the elderly as well as assisting independent living at home. The Council will, through the identification of sites, allowing for windfall developments, and/or granting of planning consents in sustainable locations, provide for the development of retirement accommodation, residential care homes, close care, Extra Care and assisted care housing and Continuing Care Retirement Communities."		
Policy ACHM1 Balancing The Housing Market continued	Objection – disagree with the need for the Council to prescribe the housing mix at the local level. The Council's Strategic Housing Market Assessment provides an overview of the type of housing that is needed and where and therefore we don't see the need to replicate this within a Local Plan Policy. Also consider that the market is best placed to determine what housing is appropriate for certain locations and therefore would not like the Council to be prescribing what should or shouldn't be included within specific developments. Similarly, we object to the proposals for housing mix which not that there should be a requirement for 30% apartments. The inclusion of this percentage of apartments in developments in the smaller villages and rural areas would be onerous on developers, as there is unlikely to the level of demand in these locations for these types of units and should therefore be omitted.	432/16551	Church Commissioners for England
	Comment – needs to be some flexibility in the standards proposed. It would be more reasonable to seek a proportion of new housing to be provided to Lifetime Homes Standards. Equally is may not be the most appropriate or inclusive approach to provide for specialist housing on all sites.	452/16613	Miller Homes Ltd
	Objection – construction of Lifetime Homes adds to development cost and is a flawed concept. Households no longer live in one house for life; they move around. Provision of Lifetime Homes is a luxury that the Country has moved away from. The policy is wholly at odds with the density policy. If houses are built at 50 dwellings per hectare they have nowhere to go; there is no space for extensions or changes because they will be so closely cramped together. The two policies are in direct conflict and suggest the implications of both policies have not been thought through. Policy ACHM1 also requires all strategic sites (over 5 hectares) to undertake an assessment of need for appropriate accommodation for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia and integrate this provision within the development. This is an onerous undertaking and is unnecessary as the North Yorkshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment, at section 9, includes information on such requirements. Therefore, we recommend deletion of this part of Policy ACHM1. Whilst we do not	659/15081	Persimmon Homes

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	object to the Building for Life initiative, this should not be a mandatory requirement upon developers. Recommend that the following points are deleted from Policy ACHM1: Requiring that new housing is built to Lifetime Homes Standards; Requiring developers to incorporate Building for Life recommendations; All strategic sites (over 5 hectares) will be expected to undertake an assessment of need for appropriate accommodation for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia and integrate this provision within the development.		
Policy ACHM1 Balancing The Housing Market continued	Objection – considered unsound as they unduly burden development and are not consistent with national policy. The policy seeks to ensure all new housing is built to Lifetime Homes Standards. The council's current viability assessment does not take adequate regard of either policy requirement and therefore its viability cannot be substantiated at this stage. The policy requires developments over 5ha to undertake an assessment of need for appropriate accommodation for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia and integrate this provision within the development. Should be assessed by the Council and any requirement based upon this study. The North Yorkshire Strategic Housing Market Assessment, Section 9, includes information on such requirements. This as well as subsequent updates should be used to assess requirements, it is not the responsibility of the developer to provide such evidence.	673/16847	Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd
	Comment – these services are what most of us consider the most important feature of our council tax payments.  Comment – if the privately owned housing stock remains the same, it seems that as more and more dwellings are being purchased for letting then the housing stock available for purchase own occupation will diminish. The effect of this imbalance could: Drive up the prices for the remaining stock available for purchase for own occupation. And as the housing stock diminishes force people to stay in a house that does not suit their current or future needs, leading to a stagnating housing market.	679/16863 1141/17081	
	Objection – concerned with the first bullet point which requires new housing to be built to Lifetime Homes Standards. Consider the proposed requirement for Lifetime Homes Standards to be in advance of the national timescale, which remains unconfirmed. There is no national policy or building regulations requirement that stipulates all homes should be built to full Lifetime Homes Standards. Considers this section of Policy ACHM1 to be inconsistent with national planning policy. Believes that Council needs to be realistic in the standards they seek to impose through their emerging policy. Object to the wording of the thirds bullet point which seeks to secure provision of new	1337/17287	Halifax Estates

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	specialist housing schemes within major housing developments. The policy is seeking to bring forward a "blanket approach" for delivering these proposals within all strategic housing development sites. Believe that provision of specialist schemes should be considered on a site by site basis and focus on those locations where there may be a specific local need. Recommends the Council undertakes comprehensive viability testing of this policy to fully appreciate the implications and impact it may have on the aspirations of bringing sites forward for development. It is critical that policies that add on significant costs to development should not threaten delivery of the Local Plan. Recommends the requirement for all new housing developments to build Lifetime Homes and the requirement to assess the need for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia on strategic housing sites should be deleted.		
Policy ACHM1 Balancing The Housing Market continued	Support – the general thrust of the Policy which is to help balance York's housing market, address local housing need and that housing is adaptable to the needs of residents through their lives.  Comment – However, like to question why the Council expects developers of sites over 5 hectares to undertake an assessment of need for those with learning and physical disabilities, and dementia? The NPPF makes clear local planning authorities are required to identify housing needs and then plan to meet those needs.  Objection – Recognition of the challenge posted by dementia and how dementia friendly communities have more opportunity to support people is, they believe, critical to the success of the Local Plan. The Council should therefore determine what need exists that require additional/different levels/types of support and then plan accordingly. The current Plan requirement could lead to delays in sites coming forward for a number of reasons including the requirement for such research. The Council should undertake the exercise of identifying need and planning for that need.	1346/17307	Joseph Rowntree Housing Trust
	Support – sustainable villages require a mix of household types to support a range of local amenities. Also recognise that as well as family homes, need to cater for our ageing population. By including bungalow or other retirement type provision. Broadly support this policy and the approach to Lifetime Homes Standard and assume that any developed sites will include an element of bungalow provision.  Objection – unduly burden development and are not consistent with national policy.	1457/17407 1514/17478	Monks Cross North
	The policy seeks to ensure all new housing is built to Lifetime Homes Standards. The Council's current viability assessment does not take adequate regard of wither policy requirement and therefore its viability cannot be substantiated at this stage.		Consortium

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Assessment of need for appropriate accommodation for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia. The need for such accommodation should be assessed by the Council and any requirement based upon this study. It is		
Policy ACHM1 Balancing The Housing Market continued	not the responsibility of the developer to provide such evidence.  Objection – policy is inflexible and could be onerous in certain circumstances. Trust that future iterations of the Local Plan will be consistent with this approach and that they will relate to the national standards. Additionally, PolicyACHM1 states that all Strategic Sites (over 5 hectares) will be expected to undertake an assessment of need for appropriate accommodation for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia and integrate this provision within the development. The onus is therefore on the Local Planning Authority to undertake this work, rather than the applicant. This requirement should be removed from Policy ACHM1. This will make	1523/17501	Commercial Estates Group, Hallam Land Management & T W Fields Ltd
	the Local Plan south through ensuring it is consistent with the NPPF (para. 182).  Objection – the requirement for lifetime homes is unnecessary and unjustified. Should be a recommendation at most. Voluntary but not compulsory. Furth burden that should not be applied to an existing hard pressed sector. The whole concept of living from the cradle to the grave in one dwelling is ridiculous.  Support – the general approach, there are a number of specific points to raise.	1526/17519 1592/17602	Laverack Associates Architects  York Civic Trust
	Comment – Lifetimes Homes Standards no longer exist in their own right. The standards were subsumed into Code for Sustainable Homes. This is clear from reading the official website. It is therefore unnecessary to refer to them specifically because the standards are picked up through the more up to date Code.  Objection – concerned that the Council intends to allow higher density development within the most accessible locations to provide homes for students and graduates. Surely, new student accommodation should be located so it is accessible to the University rather than located in the most sustainable locations? Not all accessible locations are easily accessible to the Universities, especially the campus at Heslington. Furthermore, there has in recent years been a spate of purpose build student accommodation built within the city centre that is changing the profile of existing communities. The Local Plan is required to maintain balanced communities, suggest requires the Council to look more closely at the implications of this particular Policy.	1392/17002	TOTA CIVIC TRUSC
	Objection – object to the first bullet point in the policy which requires that new housing is built to Lifetime Homes Standards, policy does not explicitly indicate that account will be taken of viability issues that may arise on individual sites. Council do not have a viability assessment which takes into account Lifetime Homes Standards and therefore	1668/15038	Barratt & David Wilson Homes

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	there is no evidence to demonstrate that such a policy requirement is viable. Therefore ask that the second bullet point is amended accordingly and would suggest the following amendment; - "requiring encouraging developers to incorporate Building for Life recommendations". It is unclear as to what evidence there is to warrant the inclusion of the third bullet point which requires all strategic housing sites over 5 hectares to undertake an assessment of need for appropriate accommodation for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia and integrate provision within the development. Not aware of any evidence on the need for such accommodation and certainly should not be the responsibility of the developer to carry out such work. Provision of such specialist housing schemes should be considered on a site by site basis and focused towards those locations where there is specific need. Overall we have severe concerns regarding Policy ACHM1 as currently worded and request that bullet point's one and three are deleted.		
Policy ACHM1 Balancing The Housing Market Continued	Support – need to not only ensure the quantum of housing delivered reflects needs but that housing quality and choice is appropriate and reflects the needs of the area.  Comment – Unclear how the Council will apply some of the elements of this policy in practice and judge developments against these criteria. Gladman query whether the requirements in this policy, such as the Lifetime Homes Standards, have been considered in relation to the overall viability of developments.  Support – specifically support the recognition that there may be a need to promote higher density housing development in the most accessible locations to provide homes	1705/9786 1748/9844	Gladman Developments  Diocese of Middlesbrough
	for young people in higher education or recent graduates.  Objection – Disagree with approach to impose the Lifetime Homes standards for the following reasons: Any increase in these standards over and above the building regulations will penalise all Housebuilders who build in the York area. Increased costs will be particularly hard to absorb for the smaller Housebuilder. Lifetime Homes standards are not practical for city centre sites and some infill sites. Extra space required to meet all of the Lifetime Homes standards would be unachievable in many cases due to the shape and size of the sites. It will cause fewer houses to be built in the York area. It will hamper design flexibility. It should be realised that every time something like this is introduced, it has a financial cost for business. Scrap the commitment to the Lifetime Homes standards on the York local plan which is unnecessary under the Building Regulations for England and Wales.  Comment – build more bungalows for the elderly.	2053/924	Moorside Developments Ltd
	Objection – lifetime homes is a ridiculous concept.	3066/7936	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Support – the comments on housing mix and that sustainable villages require a mix of household types to support a range of local amenities. Also recognise that as well as family homes, need to cater for our ageing population. Developments can make a contribution towards releasing under occupied family homes by including bungalow or other retirement type provision. Broadly support this policy and the approach to the Lifetime Homes Standard and assume that any developed sites will include an element of bungalow provision.	5178/12349	
Policy ACHM1 Balancing The Housing Market Continued	Comment –future iterations of the Local Plan should be consistent with this approach and that they will relate to the national standards. This will ensure that the Local Plan complies with national policy and hence is sound. States that all Strategic Sites (over 5 hectares) will be expected to undertake an assessment of need for appropriate accommodation for those with severe learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia and integrate this provision within the development. The onus is on the Local Planning Authority to undertake this work, rather than the applicant. This requirement should be removed from Policy ACHM1. This will make the Local Plan sound through ensuring it is consistent with the National Planning Policy Framework.	5245/14338	Hogg Builders (York) Ltd
	Comment – other key issues which must also be addressed include: Effects on price/demand for current privately owned properties; Engaging with the private sector to best utilise the large numbers of properties that are currently available for rental and planning for the effects on this stock if tenants are able to purchase alternative properties or rent social/affordable properties; Investigating types of accommodation more closely targeted at those in most need (students, younger people on means tested benefits, older people); Effects of any controls on HMOs and resulting demand, especially if student numbers increase as is forecast; The effect of benefit changes on ability to access existing social housing stock, especially smaller properties and those suitable for occupation by the under 25's.	5622/13226	
	Comment – brownfield sites used first. Ensure all homes built to lifetime standards (not just if possible .Ensure there is enough single person accommodation and homes for older people built too.	5632/13254	
	Comment – some control on buy to let and on the price of private rentals in York is much needed.	5634/13261	
	Comment – a lot of houses in York are already buy-to-let, this plan doesn't say how it will address this issue from getting worse.	5717/13472	
	Comment – there is no national policy which requires all new houses to be built to lifetime homes standards. In addition, there is nothing within this policy that allows	6062/15517	ID Planning

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	any flexibility in the event that meeting these requirements would make the delivery on housing on a site unviable. It is maintained that some flexibility should be built into Policy ACHM1 which would enable the requirement to meet these provisions to be set aside where it is demonstrated that compliance would result in a scheme being unviable.		
Policy ACHM1 Balancing The Housing Market	Support –policy ACHM1 seeks to locate student accommodation in the most accessible locations.	6166/15679	Yorvale Projects LLP & Maple Grove Developments Ltd
Continued	Objection – unduly burden development and are not consistent with national policy. Lifetime Homes Standards. Current viability assessment does not take adequate regard of either policy requirement and therefore its viability cannot be substantiated at this stage. Policy requires developments over 5ha to undertake an assessment for appropriate accommodation for those with sever learning disabilities, physical disabilities and dementia and integrate this provision within the development. The need for such accommodation should be assessed by the Council and any requirement based upon this study. It is not the responsibility of the developer to provide such evidence.	6351/17634	
	Comment – there is no national policy which requires all new houses to be built to lifetime homes standards. In addition, there is nothing within this policy that allows any flexibility in the event that meeting these requirements would make the delivery on housing on a site unviable.	6357/16061	Ainscough Strategic Land
	Support – support this policy	6518/16395	York Green Party

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM2 Housing Mix	Support – the providing 70% of development to be houses (30% flats) on the basis that houses include bungalows.	59/12652	Dunnington Parish Council
_	Support – agree with the preferred option to guide housing mix in this section.	77/12767	Strensall with Towthorpe Parish Council
	Objection – considered unsound and will conflict with policy H4. The achievement of such targets will be difficult given the densities required. It is recommended if the Council wish to achieve such ratios it needs to relax its density requirements.	145/13868	Home Builders Federation
	Comment – In that context and bearing in mind the requirements of Policy ACHM2 which seeks an overall balance of 70% houses and 30% flats over the lifetime of the plan, the requirement to achieve 50 dwellings per hectare in the York urban area and in new settlements and 40 dwellings per hectare in suburban area seems particularly onerous.	304/14181	Shirethorn Ltd
	Objection – disagree with the need for the Council to prescribe the housing mix at the local level. The Council's Strategic Housing Market Assessment provides an overview of the type of housing that is needed and where and therefore we don't see the need to replicate this within a Local Plan Policy. Also consider that the market is best placed to determine what housing is appropriate for certain locations and therefore would not like the Council to be prescribing what should or shouldn't be included within specific developments. Similarly, we object to the proposals for housing mix which not that there should be a requirement for 30% apartments. The inclusion of this percentage of apartments in developments in the smaller villages and rural areas would be onerous on developers, as there is unlikely to the level of demand in these locations for these types of units and should therefore be omitted.	432/16552	Church Commissioners for England
	Comment – can often be unviable to dictate housing mix on smaller development sites which can potentially lead to a site becoming non deliverable. It is vital that the Council allow smaller housing sites to come forward without restriction on house types. Typically, small sites can become unviable if too many onerous requirements and planning obligations are placed up on them.	528/16669	York Diocesan Board of Finance
	Comment – support the overall aim of delivering a minimum 70% houses but consider there is not a case for a minimum 30% flats. The achievement of such targets will be difficult given the current density ranges within Policy H4. Recommended change: "We recommend that the Council needs to relax its density requirements at Policy H4, in order to achieve a minimum 70% houses."	659/15082	Persimmon Homes
	Objection – considered unsound and will conflict with Policy H4. The achievement of such targets will be difficult given the densities required. The inclusion of both of these	673/16848	Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	policies will simply result in protracted negotiations between the Council and development industry. It is recommended if the Council wish to achieve such ratios it needs to relax its density requirements.		
Policy ACHM2 Housing Mix continued	Comment – no mention in the plan of the type of houses envisaged. In order to attract businesses and their senior management. It should be clear that houses for senior management need to be planned as well as run of the mill semis and bungalows.	703/16876	
	Support – the overall aim of delivering a mix of 70% houses to 30% flats. However, to meet this mix, the density assumptions at policy H4 will need to be amended as we have recommended.  Comment – acknowledges what the policy is seeking to achieve, we are concerned that a cumulative viability assessment has not been undertaken as part of this process. We have therefore recommended that this is undertaken by the Council, and City of York Council amend the policy accordingly.	1337/17288	Halifax Estates
	Support – agree that it is appropriate to encourage more houses to be built than flats, especially in order to protect the skyline of the city.  Objection – Cannot understand how the Council expects to achieve the suggested ratio of houses to flats given the densities suggested under Policy H4.	1346/17308	Joseph Rowntree Housing Trust
	Support – the providing 70% of development to be houses (30% flats) on the basis that houses include bungalows.	1457/17408	
	Objection – unsound and will conflict with Policy H4. The achievement of such targets will be difficult given the densities required. Recommended if the Council wish to achieve such rations it needs to relax its density requirements.	1514/17479	Monks Cross North Consortium
	Comment – would therefore like to discuss the housing mix at Clifton Gate with the Council and how more flexibility can be incorporated into this policy.	1523/17502	Commercial Estates Group, Hallam Land Management & T W Fields Ltd
	Support – agree that it is appropriate to encourage more houses to be built than flats, especially in order to protect the skyline of the city.  Objection – Cannot understand how the Council expects to achieve the suggested ratio of houses to flats given the densities suggested under Policy H4. Policy ACHM2 provides sufficient reason for the densities in Policy H4 to be reviewed.	1592/17603	York Civic Trust
	Objection – unlikely that 30% of York's housing requirement over the plan period will be delivered via flatted development which is based on our client having a very good understanding of the housing market in York. Policy ACHM2 is requiring York to deliver 6,580 apartments. Our client believes that a more realistic aspiration would be in the	1668/15039	Barratt & David Wilson Homes

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	region of 2,000 apartments being delivered across York in 15 years. Therefore request that any split between houses and flats should be 90/10% respectively.		
Policy ACHM2	Objection –policy is too prescriptive.	1705/9787	Gladman Developments
Housing Mix continued	Objection – note that this is expressed as an 'aim' clearly much will depend on individual site circumstances and whether the site is an urban central location which is accessible, where it may be appropriate in accordance with ACHM1 to develop a higher proportion of flats. Concerned that this policy does not express how this aim will translate into implementation or any particular development management tools. The Council should not be overly prescriptive.	1748/9845	Diocese of Middlesbrough
	Objection – where are the Council properties for the over 60's in a proper retirement village.	2418/6692	
	Comment – provide local level policy to ensure appropriate housing mix provision.	2846/7547	
	Objection – disagree that we need houses rather than flats.	3151/8117	
	Support – provision of local level policy to ensure appropriate housing mix provision. Policy ACHM2 support the providing 70% of development to be houses (30% flats) on the basis that houses include bungalows.	5178/12350	
	Comment – policy ACHM2 requires that the Council will aim to deliver a mix of 70% houses and 30% flats over the plan period and development of the Strategic Sites should achieve a minimum of 70% houses. This implies a mix of up to 30% flats, which is a significant proportion.	5245/14339	Hogg Builders (York) Ltd
	Comment – need to ensure that we have filled all brown sites before building on green spaces. Cheap low level flats would enable young people to get onto the market rather than building more houses.	5277/14388	
	Comment – housing mix is an important as housing numbers as is using current stock more efficiently. Need to dramatically ramp up purpose built student accommodation to reclaim houses of multiple occupancy for families in the city. Need to ramp up availability of attractive retirement apartments to release family homes.	5357/14552	
	Comment – Housing Plan should have proposed 50+ Residential Accommodation and Warden Assisted Accommodation which would have allowed our elderly residents easy transition from independent living to supported living.	5360/14561	
	Comment – although the builders use current room size regulations it does not make for happy occupants to live in and the council can have a say in this.	5409/14682	
	Objection – no rationale or justification for the location and precise size of each proposed development. There is little information on the required optimum composition of the housing mix.	5622/13224	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM2 Housing Mix continued	Comment – it is maintained there is no need for the Council to set out a policy on housing mix in the Local Plan. It is more appropriate for housing mix to be determined on a site by site basis, as there are sites where flats may be unsuitable and similarly, sites where delivery of 70% of all dwellings to be houses may be unviable, such as conversion schemes or City Centre schemes.  Objection – Do not support this option. Option 1 is to not specify any housing mix and enable the market to determine the housing requirement. It is maintained this is a more appropriate option as it will support the delivery of the right type of housing market in the area and based on the site characteristics. Housing mix should be agreed at the planning application stage when the site's characteristics, viability and local need can be appropriately considered.	6062/15518	ID Planning
	Objection – considered unsound and will conflict with Policy H4. The achievement of such targets will be difficult given the densities required. It is recommended if the Council wish to achieve such ratios it needs to relax its density requirements.	6351/17635	Gladedale Estates
	Comment – it is maintained there is no need for the Council to set out a policy on housing mix in the Local Plan. It is more appropriate for housing mix to determined on a site by site basis.	6357/16062	Ainscough Strategic Land
	Objection – mix of housing proposed in the Local Plan is simplistic and crude in that it is a 'one size fits all' approach. Misses the opportunity for local involvement and the possibility of individual approaches to the housing mix which accords with the neighbourhood circumstances and the relevant Neighbourhood Plan.	6363/17701	
	Support –agree with preferred approach.	6508/17673	City Of York Council Conservative Group
	Support – subject to 5 yearly reviews of local housing needs.	6518/16396	York Green Party
	Support –agree with number 2 for housing mix targets, the preferred approach.  Objection – would prefer option 1: Do not specify any housing mix and enable the market to determine the housing requirement.	6519/16473	Cllr Jenny Brooks
Para 11.05	Objection – would prefer option 1 which is to rely on National Planning Policy Framework to ensure appropriate housing mix provision.	6508/17674	City Of York Council Conservative Group

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And	Objection – City of York Council should be satisfied that less environmentally sensitive areas are not available, if not adverse effects must be mitigated against. The Sustainability Appraisal should further explore alternatives.	2/11591	Natural England
Showpeople	Objection - support Dunnington Parish Council objections.	32/928	Catton Parish Council
Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. This is an inappropriate allocation due to the size and vulnerability of the Site of Importance for Nature Conservation site.	42/11712	Yorkshire Wildlife Trust
	Comment- York, with the exception of Doncaster, has the highest population of Traveller sites in Yorkshire.	56/12610	Clifton Without Parish Council
	Objection – Dunnington. The site is grossly inappropriate, insensitive and unreasonable. The site is located in the Green belt. Suggest that if there is proven demand the two new proposed villages should be considered as a opportunity to provide sites. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Any required screening would be an eye saw, Proximity to children's play area, Proximity to industrial area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility; capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision; adjacent to an isolated house.	59/12628	Dunnington Parish Council
	Objection – Elvington. The planning inspector was very clear in stating that this was a very marginal decision and that the land should be vacated and revert back to green belt in 2016.	61/12686	Elvington Parish Council
	Comment – include a criteria-led policy to guide gypsy, traveller and showpeople pitch provision. The criteria should include ones to protect areas essential for greenbelt purposes and to preserve the amenity of existing residents.	62/12706	Fulford Parish Council
	Objection – supports Dunning Parish Council objection. Detrimental to visual amenity, Hassacarr nature reserve and should not be sited on a floodplain.	68/12736	Kexby Parish Council
	Objection – Osbaldwick. The difficulties experienced by the council, the police, local residents and the site's on residents clearly indicates that the priority should be in making the site more acceptable to its residents and to the local community as a whole and the lack of coherent data for accommodation in York, it is not sensible to increase the size of the site.	69/12741	Murton Parish Council

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy,	Objection – Knapton. Concerns over potential loss of Greenbelt and views of York and the number of plots needed.	74/12750	Rufforth with Knapton Parish Council
Traveller And Showpeople	Objection - traveller site at Knapton will have adverse effect on Poppleton and neighbouring parishes.	78/134	Upper Poppleton Parish Council
Allocations continued	Objection – Knapton and Dunnington based on our experience of problems with existing sites at Layerthorpe and Osbaldwick, in relation to problems caused to users of publicly available paths thereabouts.	91/12844	Ramblers Association (York Group)
	Comment – why was the St. Oswald's site not included in the total sites in York. City of York Council should demonstrate that they are seeking funds to provide sites for a minority group, otherwise policies could well be considered purely aspirational and essentially undeliverable.	103/12858	York Tomorrow
	Objection – feels that there has been little evidence to support the council's assertion that the site at Knapton was the most appropriate for the use as Showperson's site, and this appears to have been backed by the withdrawal of permission to use the land.	110/12867	Chapelfields Residents Association
	Objection – more sites are proposed than are legally required. The existing sites should be extended.	164/13886	
	Support – all allocations. Would welcome greater clarity as to how sites will be developed in a way that ensures cohesion and integration with the local resident population and neighbouring communities.	178/13901	York Council for Voluntary Service
	Objection – Chowdene and Dunnington. Chowdene has inherent drainage issues and these need to be resolved adequately. Dunnington has two major water courses. Obstruction of these watercourses would have catastrophic consequences.	190/13966	York Consortium of Drainage Boards
	Objection – Knapton. Currently in the greenbelt, it is on two strategic green approaches to York and contributes to the landscaped setting of the city. The site does not have suitable access to education, health, welfare and employment and limited access to public transport.	192/14007	
	Comment – whichever sites are eventually chosen, it is essential that the development of these areas does not harm the special character or setting of York. Several of the areas of search include land which, if developed, could compromise the Green belt objectives relating to the preservation of the historic city.	238/14089	English Heritage
	Support – strongly support the plans to significantly increase the number of Gypsy and traveller sites and pitches over the next 5 years.	262/15017	York Traveller's Trust
	Objection - Travellers should be kept on the move and never be given permanent sites.  Objection - Hassacarr Nature reserve would suffer extensive damage from the	274/55 401/16524	York Ornithological Club
	proposed development.	,	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy,	Objection – questioning the need. The need should be distributed amongst already developed sites.	419/16528	
Traveller And	Objection – see response 5	437/16596	
Showpeople	Support – extra pitches are badly needed.	446/3512	
Allocations	Objection – extra traffic poses a danger to road users.	515/4301	
continued	Objection – inappropriate to village life.	546/16648	
	Alternative – cancel the new park and rides and site the Gypsy sites at these locations.	553/16793	
	Objection – too close to a residential area.	570/16796	
	Objection – questions the need figures.	657/16802	
	Objection - strongly object to site off Malton Road/New Lane.	716/3278	
	Objection – Chowdene. Should not be so close to visitor's first impression of the city.	529/16683	
	The roads and infrastructure cannot cope with this development. The habitats nearby would be destroyed.		
	Comment – Traveller and Gypsy sites can be exceptions to the greenbelt but there needs to be insurances that there is no fly tipping by outsiders.	698/16872	
	Comment - uneasy about proposed site off Knapton. Addition of more cars, traders etc. not very safe	731/197	
	Objection – see response 11	737/16885	
	Objection – Chowdene. Increased light and noise pollution. The traffic congestion will be further exacerbated. There will be damage to the nearby wetland reserve. Council tax should not be used to pay for this type of development.	777/16890	
	Objection – see response 11	796/16896	
	Objection – see response 9	801/3709	
	Objection – developing Gypsy and Traveller sites on the greenbelt is inconsistent with "the local plan will conserve and enhance York's biodiversity and natural environment". Queries numbers. Chowdene site is inappropriate so close to the retail park and as a gateway to the city. The local infrastructure is inadequate. The nature reserve would be destroyed by the development.	835/16907	
	Objection – areas which are surrounded and shaded by trees should be used, Dunnington and Chowdene are inappropriate.	837/16925	
	Objection – see response 9	895/3619	
	Objection – see response 8	938/16944	
	Objection – See response 10A. Object to site on Common Road, Dunnington. Sewage issues relating to site, Dunnington surface water system runs into open stream around	945/162	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	site, village sports field runs alongside full length of proposed site, a public path and nature reserve pond is positioned on south side of site, site has been successful agricultural land, increased traffic from industrial estate which now flows along Common Road, access to site difficult over a small bridge, noise produced by movement of wagons, caravans, horses etc. would ruin peaceful ambience.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. This land is a buffer between the residential land and industrial land. This land should be used to relieve the parking problem at the sports club. The infrastructure cannot cope with this development. The access to the site could prove very dangerous.	955/16986	
Allocations continued	Objection – The site at Dunnington is inappropriate.  Objection – See response 1  Comment - unwise to site encampment off Malton Road directly behind a working garage.	961/16987 964/5781 973/226	
	Objection – see response 9  Objection – Dunnington. This site is greenbelt land and acts as a partition between the village and industrial estate.	977/3848 981/17003	
	Objection – See response 8  Objection – Dunnington. Land should be identified as suitable rather than allocating whatever land is put forward. This size is too large and would provide significant visual intrusion. There would be noise and vehicle and artificial light disturbance. People would stop using the sports facilities as a result. The touring caravan site business will be affected and next to ammunition store. The site is on the green belt and provides a buffer. This site adjoins Hassacarr nature reserve.	991/4302 995/17028	
	Objection – Dunnington. The site is next to the nature reserve and acts as a buffer, it is too close to existing housing and is inappropriate in a residential area. The land is required as an extension to the sports club. It is too close to the children's play area. Comment – site should be considered carefully and the effect on the immediate surroundings. They should not be in the greenbelt, brownfield sites are more	996/17033	
	appropriate.  Objection – Elvington. Brownfield sites are more appropriate and there should be no permanent dwellings with a maximum stay allowed.  Objection – they should not be sited near existing communities.  Objection – devaluation of property in the area.	1027/17041 1045/17043	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington.	1047/4303	
Gypsy,	Objection – Dunnington. This site is on greenbelt land and there are no special or	1056/5782	
Traveller And	exceptional circumstances. Brownfield sites should be considered first. This site		
Showpeople	adjoins the nature reserve. There are road traffic safety issues for cars and		
Allocations	pedestrians.		
continued	Alternative – existing sites should be extended and sites to the south or west of the city.		
	Objection – Knapton. Disproportionally sized and will cause disruption and increased traffic.	1076/17058	
	Alternative – Next to the new park and ride at Poppleton.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Result in the loss and deterioration of irreplaceable habitats needed for health and well being of the community. Impact on drainage/hydrology.	1109/17191	
	Objection – Dunnington. Inappropriate on the greenbelt, risk to nature reserve, entrance to the village, and site floods.	1110/17063	
	Objection – An industrial/commercial site would be more appropriate. This site would risk Knapton and Acomb merging.	1141/6039	
	Objection – Chowdene. New lane cannot cope with increased traffic. The green space is needed.	1144/17086	
	Objection - Knapton. Former industrial and is more suitable than Greenfield sites.	1159/17088	
	Objection – Knapton. There is no proof that traveller/showpeople sites are needed. The development will totally change the village settling and greenbelt areas act as the lungs of the area.	1206/17102	
	Objection - Knapton.	1217/17121	
	Objection – see response 9	1231/17131	
	Objection – Dunnington	1259/17137	
	Objection – Knapton. No proven need, Protected green belt land and green corridor, prime farmland, industrial use of land, history and heritage of the site.	1320/17253	
	Objection – all sites. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, .Brownfield sites should be considered first. Dunnington - Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial	1355/17314	
	garden (Julia's Garden), Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow. Knapton - Green Belt		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	and green corridor location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent		
	position / impact on history and heritage of Village, Impact on visual		
	character/noise/pollution of Village. Malton road - Green Belt and green corridor		
	location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents, impact on protected species, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision. Osbaldwick - Site is already		
	poorly managed. Elvington - Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances		
	demonstrated, Temporary planning permission granted only		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Chowdene. Concerned about stray horses.	1394/17353	
Gypsy,	Objection – Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding, Query robustness of evidence base	1401/17375	Shepherd Construction
Traveller And	and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	1101/17373	Shephera construction
Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including	1457/17384	
Allocations	quality of school provision, Impact on property values, Other more suitable sites,	,	
continued	including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.		
	Objection – see response 9	1579/17532	
	Objection - see response 9	1580/17542	
	Objection - Knapton. Negative impact on small community. Green Belt and green	1583/17549	
	corridor location, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Query		
	robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other		
	authorities' requirements, Increased noise and light pollution.		
	Objection – see response 9	1585/3657	
	Objection – See response 9	1588/4121	
	Objection - Knapton. Green Belt and green corridor location, no exceptional	1589/17566	Nether Poppleton Parish
	circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on history and heritage of Village, Impact on visual character/noise/pollution of Village.		Council
	Objection – Se response 9. Traveller sites should be further along the A59.	1590/17583	
	Objection – the same criteria can (should) be applied to locating them in zones that	1596/9923	
	suit all parities, and do not have a detrimental effect on the quality of existing	,	
	settlements.		
	Objection – See response 9	1597/3905	
	Objection – Knapton. The scale of the proposed development is larger than the village.	1599/9935	
	The village setting and green belt will be undermined by the showpeople development.		
	Objection – see response 9	1604/4127	
	Comment - concerned regarding site at Knapton particularly relating to evidence to	1605/130	
	support increased number of pitches and basis on which Knapton has been proposed.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And	Comment – the requirement in years 6 – 10 can only be an estimate and will need to be reviewed. This should be recognised in supporting text. This applies to Table 11.1 in the Local Context section.	1654/9939	The National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Groups
Showpeople Allocations	Objection – there are plenty of brownfield sites in York suitable. The greenbelt should be preserved.	1657/9941	
continued	Objection – Elvington. Inappropriate screening currently around the site. Questions the evidence base paper. Previously had planning permission refused for no special circumstances.	1666/5783	
	Objection – Elvington. The site is in the greenbelt. The development is unsightly and not in keeping with its surroundings. The land is low lying, boggy and liable to flooding and sewage facilities risk contamination. The Council do not have the authority to overturn the temporary planning permission.	1667/5784	
	Objection – the National Planning Policy Framework states that planning permission should be refused for developments resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats and would thus be harmful to the character and appearance of the local area. In this context it is significant that the Local Government Secretary, Mr Eric Pickles has apparently recently withdrawn a guidance document issued to councils in 2005 called "diversity ad Equality in Planning" as a means of protecting Green Belt Land and the communities who live in and around it.	1692/9768	
	Comment – request as the landowner to have part of the land designated as safeguarded land for plots after the plan period for extended family use.  Support – The stables at Elvington is a suitable site just to good vehicle access, safe pedestrian access, good local facilities, the site is well screened and the family living on the site is positively integrated into village life.	1722/9803	
	Objection – site at Dunnington is in the green belt, majority of the field is in a flood risk area, screening would be expensive and intrusive, it is adjacent to a nature reserve.	1723/9804	
	Objection - Chowdene due to proximity to housing and nature reserve. Queries the need for extra pitches. There has already been a tremendous increase in traffic on Malton road and new lane already. There will be an increase in anti-social behaviour, the land is not big enough for the number of pitches proposed, there are already flood and drainage issues in the area, the site is in the greenbelt, the local services cannot cope with more development and there will be a devaluation of local properties.	1727/5785	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Comment - provision of space for associated livestock should be included to avoid any	1742/2127	NFU
Gypsy,	adverse issues associated (highways, trespass etc). Does not give any indication as to		
Traveller And	provision of adequate land for livestock or livestock number per unit area.		
Showpeople	Objection – Chowdene is the wrong site for a Gypsy and Traveller site.	1752/9851	
Allocations	Support – The Stables, Elvington. The site already benefits from a restricted planning	1753/4304	
continued	consent and is available for continued use for a single showman's family.		
	Objection – see Response 8	1791/9873	
	Objection – see Response 5	1856/6445	
	Objection – Dunnington. Main areas of concern impact to greenbelt, visual amenity and	1869/6446	
	Hassacarr nature reserve.		
	Objection – traveller sites are not necessary.	1886/9901	
	Objection – Knapton site is on green belt land and the site is far too big in comparison	1891/7810	
	to Knapton village, the A1237 cannot cope with anymore traffic and questions who will		
	fund this development.		
	Objection – see response 9 no mention of the numbers involved.		
	Objection – Knapton. The Local Plan does not reflect local people's views, brown field	1893/50	
	sites should be used before green field, the site should not be on the gateways into the		
	City.	1004/52	
	Objection – Dunnington. The village is too small to support this type of development	1894/52	
	and is too close to residential properties, the site will spoil the image of the village and		
	it is not appropriate development in the greenbelt.  Objection – see Response 3 and 7	1896/57	+
	Objection – See Response 3 and 7  Objection – Chowdene. Impact on local traffic, current housing, schools, doctors as	1897/58	+
	well as being on the greenbelt and adding to threat of flooding.	1097/30	
	Objection – Dunnington. Planning permission should be refused for development	1898/62	
	resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitat. Inappropriate due to its	1090/02	
	size, scale and activities associated with it and it is in the greenbelt. Next to the nature		
	reserve, and opposite the sports club which should be used for parking. Questioning		
	the needs assessment figures.		
	Support – Extension to Osbaldwick and Chowdene sites.		
	Objection – all sites. Increase in crime, rubbish, horse and human manure, demand on	1903/76	
	police time.	1505/70	
	Objection – Knapton. The need has not be adequately demonstrated, sites should not	1904/77	
		1301///	
	be situated in the gateways to the city, the site boarders an extremely busy road, poor access, situated in the greenbelt, there are not services or facilities in the village that		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	could serve the site, the site is larger than the village of Knapton, the site floods in winter. A brownfield site would be more appropriate for this type of industrial development which will have high levels of noise, nuisance and disruption for people of Knapton and Acomb.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. This site was earmarked for the extension of the sports club. It boarders the nature reserve. The site will be visible to all approaching the village and will be an eyesore. Main areas of concern impact to greenbelt, visual amenity and Hassacarr nature reserve.	1911/91	
Allocations continued	Objection – Dunnington. Questions requirement for council to provide sites, adjacent to a nature reserve, used for recreational purposes, site will generate extra traffic, and the A1079 is a dangerous junction for large, slow moving vehicles.	1912/92	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. The inclusion of a Gypsy and Traveller site is not in keeping with the character of the village plus there would be an increase in crime and other anti-social behaviour.	1913/93	
	Objection – Chowdene. Devaluation of properties in the area, increase in crime, the infrastructure cannot cope with the extra demand, planning permission has been refused in the past for a caravan park. They would be more suitability placed on the outskirts.	1915/111	
	Objection – Knapton. The disproportional size of the proposed site to the village of Knapton is a major concern. There is no direct access from the ring road on to the site. The extra traffic will be large and noisy and at anti-social hours. The area in question is not suitable due to the lack of local services.	1916/112	
	Support – Traveller Sites will never be popular but every site must make available its fair share of pitches. If this is not done then rural verges of Heworth Without will be ruined by "fly camping" and the clear up will still have to be funded by the City Council.	1918/123	
	Objection – Chowdene. Querying the number of pitches needed in York. The site will dominate the local area, threaten the great crested newts, access road cannot accommodate two way traffic, increase in anti-social behaviour, devaluation of properties, the area regularly floods, and the site is in the green belt.	1919/124	
	Objection – Knapton. Brownfield sites are preferred by Showmen, the site is in the greenbelt, added strain to the local infrastructure.	1925/137	
	Objection – Knapton. B1237 cannot cope with traffic levels at present. Showpeople will add to this traffic. Infrastructure to the site will need to be provided. Queries the demand for the site. Important to preserve the countryside.	1932/151	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington and Knapton. Knapton would be overwhelmed by this	1933/152	
Gypsy,	development and greenbelt should be preserved. Brownfield sites should be used first.		
Traveller And	Disproportionate expansion of pitches in York to other urban areas in the country.		
Showpeople	Objection – Chowdene and extension at Osbaldwick.	1934/157	
Allocations	Comment – withdrawal of site at Knapton by landowner.	1938/171	
continued	Objection – Dunnington. Proposed site is on a busy road, dangerous those using the sports ground and the proposed site. Most of the field is in a flood zone as well as green belt land. Next to the nature reserve. This land acts as a buffer between the residential land and industrial land.	1939/172	
	Objection – Chowdene. Object to small sites, there should be larger sites and kept under control.	1945/200	
	Objection – Chowdene. To put a site on the eastern gateway to York is a crazy notion.	1946/205	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site is part of a flood plain and near nature reserve. Closeness to children's playground and playing field would cause hazard to increased traffic.	1951/223	
	Objection – Knapton. Site will overwhelm village that has no shops or facilities. Inappropriate development in greenbelt. Will affect character and appearance of area and living conditions of nearby residents with loss of outlook, noise and disturbance.	1955/241	
	Objection – Knapton. The development will lead to an increase in traffic. It will ruin the gateway to the city. It is situated on the greenbelt. Is it not possible to increase the capacity of existing sites?	1957/245	
	Objection – see response 10A	2005/593	
	Objection – see response 9. Objection to Knapton site.	2009/6489	
	Objection – Query the number of pitches needed in York. Pressure on infrastructure.	2010/605	
	Comment – development for Gypsy and Traveller is only allowed on green belt in very special circumstances and new guidance advises that fairness is afforded to local communities in deciding the position of gypsy sites.	2012/6500	
	Objection – See Response 8	2047/6503	
	Objection – all traveller sites.	2052/6504	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site is earmarked for extra sports provision, it is on green belt land and adjacent to a nature reserve. Already an issue of illegally tethered horses.	2055/929	
	Objection – Travellers should be re-housed in houses, reducing number of sites in York.	2057/931	
	Objection – Knapton. The size of the site will overwhelm Knapton village. The area is popular with walkers and the proposal conflicts with green belt policy. The village lacks	2123/1429	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	the services and facilities this type of community needs.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And	Objection – Knapton. Site is on the greenbelt and is home to extensive wildlife. Brownfield sites should be considered first, such as Clifton Moor and Monks Cross. See response 11	2124/1430	
Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Knapton. Devaluation of property in the area. Spoil an attractive gateway to the city. Suggestion of Harrogate as more suitable location.	2125/1431	
continued	Objection – Dunnington. Site is in greenbelt and is a visually pleasing entrance into the village. City of York Council is making a large number of allocations to Gypsy and travellers, why is this? Site not sustainable due to proximity to wildlife and endangered species. Village does not have the infrastructure to support this development. More sustainable locations in new settlement and North of Clifton Moor should be considered.	2126/6046	
	Objection - sites suggested are inappropriate to picturesque and rural villages.	2127/1436	
	Objection - sites close to towns and villages are inappropriate.	2128/934	
	Alternative - Sugar beet site is a better place for fairground wagon site.	2278/3262	
	Objection – Knapton. Against Policy C Item 12 and Policy H Item 23 in Planning Policy For Travellers Sites. Site is bigger in area than that of village. Do not believe that showmen, families, vehicles and associated equipment could get onto an area of only 10% of the site. Neither Wetherby Road nor Blands Lane are suitable for large HGVs. Village has no facilities and infrastructure is unsuitable. Problems with surface water and the sewage system. Concern about noise that might be generated.	2279/3263	
	Objection – Knapton. Do not destroy green belt with a showman site.	2307/3266	
	Objection - Wetherby Road, Knapton - Drainage problems; will increase flooding danger to nearby properties including electric sub-station; inadequate roads; no nearby schools; low water pressure; noise from work on site.	2308/3267	
	Objection - Wetherby Road, Knapton - Increase in local traffic (specifically commercial) and industrial noise; loss of crop growing/grazing land. There are industrial sites which would be more suitable.	2309/3270	
	Objection – Chowden. Area has been subject to gross over-development in recent years leading to drainage and traffic problems.	2311/3272	
	Objection – oppose ACHM3.	2312/3273	
	Objection – Chowdene. Totally opposed. Build on a brown field site within City Walls close to amenities.	2314/3276	
	Objection – see Response 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6. Common Lane and Hassacarr Lane, Dunnington – Does not take into account presence of great crested newt and water	2315/3277	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure,	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or
Para etc.			organisation)
	voles. Site is an important area that separates village from nearby industrial estate.		
	Will impair visual character of approach to village. In recent years a number of planning applications in this area have been refused on green belt grounds. To be		
	consistent proposal should be refused. Existing problem of vehicular congestion		
	compounded by adding more commercial vehicles and equipment movements giving		
	rise to severe safety issues.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Highway safety – sports centre has inadequate parking. Water	2316/3279	
Gypsy,	voles present in waterways and must be protected. Impact on surface water drainage.		
Traveller And	Objection - Dunnington - Will cause more traffic and consequently accidents. Sports	2317/3280	
Showpeople	club could use this land for parking and further sports facilities. School is already full to		
Allocations	capacity.		
continued	Objection - Travellers should be travelling.	2322/6526	
	Objection - York has already more than enough travellers sites.	2334/3281	
	Objection - Dunnington - Increased traffic and use of heavy vehicles and trailers will	2335/3285	
	add to road safety issues. Works to create a footway would encroach into and damage		
	a memorial garden. Parking already difficult for sports centre. If land is available for		
	use, villagers keen to see it as overspill facilities and parking for sports playing fields		
	and centre. A number of development applications have been refused in this area on		
	green belt grounds. Given prominent location would be harmful to character and		
	appearance of local area. Land is in green belt, providing crops and an essential buffer between residential properties and business estate. Nature reserve provides essential		
	habitat to protected wildlife such as great crested newt and water vole. Waterway		
	round field contains mayfly and attracts kingfishers, therefore development is		
	inappropriate. Why has Council not undertaken proper background work ahead of		
	making this proposal?		
	Objection – Chowdene. Totally oppose proposal.	2336/3287	
	Objection – Chowdene. York has more sites per head of population than both Leeds	2337/3288	
	and Sheffield.		
	Objection - Dunnington. Proposal totally inappropriate. Land in green belt and not	2338/3289	
	clear what specific identified need or exceptional circumstances are. Land acts as a		
	green buffer between residential and commercial elements in village. Will detract from		
	visual aspect of village. Site too large and inappropriate for village size of Dunnington.		
	Close to nature reserve and natural water course and will be detrimental to immediate		
	environment, wildlife and nature. Disagree that there is a safe and convenient		
	vehicular and pedestrian access to site. More appropriate to use land for community,		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure,	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or
Para etc.			organisation)
	leisure and open space facilities. Safety concerns over traffic movements in village. Primary school already full. Will add further pressure on remaining health care within village. Degree of ambiguity and unknowns about how many travellers exist in area and broad assumptions to arrive at assessed need for traveller pitches. Majority of site		
	lies within Flood Zone 3A. Does not allow any land to create an adequate buffer between traveller community and existing settlement. A more thorough investigation and review of all potential and more appropriate sites should be undertaken. There are two newly proposed large settlements, one to south of Heslington and one to north of Clifton. Should be a master plan for these areas including a provision for travellers sites.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy,	Objection - Dunnington. Why not provision for young couples who have lived here all their lives. The site floods. Can local services cope?	2339/3291	
Traveller And Showpeople Allocations continued	Objection - Dunnington. Land is green belt and provides a buffer between residential properties and business estate. Size, scale and location would harm openness of green belt. No special circumstances. Would detract from visual amenity and encroach into open countryside. A number of rare species and species of importance at the nature reserve could be affected including water vole, great crested newt and mayfly. Access, parking and safety. Site is also a flood plain.	2341/3294	
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is greenbelt and development would be harmful to the character and appearance of the local area. This area floods regularly and has been classed as a "pollution area".	2344/4305	
	Objection – Dunnington. There will be noise and light disturbances. The land is draft greenbelt and part of a green corridor. The traffic would be increased.	2346/4306	
	Objection – Dunnington. Many planning applications have been refused in this area and CYC should be consistent with this approach. This is greenbelt land and this type of development Is inappropriate in the greenbelt. This is an already dangerous road and parking is difficult for the sports centre.  Alternative – these sites should be built into the new settlements.	2347/4307	
	Objection – questions the numbers	2348/6529	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. Greenbelt land, prominent location, borders nature reserve, surface water channels to this site, there are traffic issues on Common Lane and it is hazardous to have HGVs and trailers so close to the village. Brownfield sites should be used.	2349/6532	
	Objection - Chowdene. Inappropriate site and questions the need numbers.	2351/4308	
	Objection – Dunnington. Common road is narrow and dangerous now. The outlook of	2352/4309	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	the sports club is peaceful, clean and organised. This would not apply if the site was occupied as proposed.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to village and green belt area. Proximity to the sensitive site of Hassacarr pond. Increased traffic near an already busy area opposite the Sports complex. Inappropriate usage of what was a buffer zone between the industrial estate and the main village. Existing drainage problems in the village.	2353/4310	
Allocations continued	Objection – Dunnington. The infrastructure cannot cope. The land proposed is in the greenbelt.	2355/4311	
	Objection – do traveller sites really need to be on Greenfield sites? See response 10C Whilst Travellers need somewhere does it really have to be on the greenbelt land? Some other area well away from homes.	2356/4312	
	Objection – Chowdene. There is n o room or need for this.	2361/4313	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site is greenbelt and adjacent to a nature reserve.	2362/4314	
	Objection – Chowdene.	2364/4315	
	Objection – Chowdene. Schools are full to capacity.	2365/4316	
	Objection – Chowdene. Questioning need.	2366/4317	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt and prone to flooding.	2398/4318	
	Objection – Dunnington. Sensitive location which would harm the visual amenity of the village. Increased use of vehicles on an already busy road. The wishes of traveller wishing to move into houses does not seem to have been taken into account.	2399/4319	
	Objection – Dunnington. Sensitive location which would harm the visual amenity of the village. Increased use of vehicles on an already busy road. The wishes of traveller wishing to move into houses does not seem to have been taken into account.	2400/4320	
	Objection – Dunnington. Such a site would damage the cohesiveness of the village and threaten the nature reserve. Question numbers. Concerns on the flooding and drainage. Volume of traffic will increase.	2401/4321	
	Objection – Dunnington. Increased traffic, the land is within the greenbelt, it is a gateway into the village, there is a lack of privacy, there are drainage problems, it is adjacent to a nature reserve and multiple planning applications have been refused on the site.	2402/4322	
	Objection – Dunnington. Questioning numbers. Traveller sites are inappropriate in the greenbelt. Concerns over impact on the environment. Visual impact. Road safety.	2403/4323	
	Objection – Knapton. Impact on road network and visual amenity. The site would over whelm the village.	2405/4324	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And	Objection – see response 10A. Low Moor and Hob moor could be used for Gypsy camp. Dunnington. This site is in the greenbelt. Alternative sites – Low Moor, Fulford, Hob Moor, Dringhouses or the Knavesmire.	2406/4325	
Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Village and infrastructure would be overwhelmed. Site should be used for sport provision.	2407/6568	
continued	Objection – see Response 1, 3, 4, 7. Dunnington. Not acceptable in proximity to existing properties in the area and there are drainage problems.	2408/4326	
	Objection – Dunnington. Damage the nature of the village and cohesiveness. Put a strain on resources, local and city wide. The environment will be damaged by pollution and industry. Increase of traffic and vehicle movement. Questions the numbers and that brownfield sites have been considered.	2409/4327	
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is on greenbelt land and is a buffer. There are unoccupied brownfield sites such as Clifton Moor, British Sugar, tear drop site that could be used. This site has been the subject of refused planning applications in the past. The roads and verges are frequently congested and the road lacks a footpath on one side. The area is bordered by an open ditch watercourse and prevents flooding in other parts of the village. This development is likely to adversely affect the nature reserve. The infrastructure will be adversely affected and there are electrical supply and flooding issues.	2415/4328	
	Objection – sites should be distributed across all areas of the city.	2416/6655	
	Objection – concerned about noise and disturbance.	2420/4329	
	Objection – Dunnington. There are endangered species in the nature reserve. This site should be used for the sports club and extra parking. Questions numbers.	2424/4330	
	Objection – Knapton. Poor background papers, questions need. This site is in the green belt and in a green corridor and is agricultural land. The A1237 is already congested and extra traffic causes a safety concern. The use would be industrial and is inappropriate in a Greenfield area.	2425/6709	
	Objection – Knapton. Site lies within the greenbelt and green corridor and development would damage the outlook and distinct boundaries.	2426/6710	
	Objection – Dunnington. The site is full of wildlife and is valuable. The village is very congested as it is with no enough school places.	2427/6711	
	Objection – Knapton. Increase in slow traffic on the already congested ring road, visual impact of the site, potential danger of the site dog walkers and horse riders, the site is prime farmland and should be protected, the use would be fundamentally industrial and as such this is inappropriate, brownfield sites are more appropriate.	2428/6713	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Inappropriate due to Greenfield setting. Brownfield sites should be used. The authority cannot provide robust evidence for this number of pitches needed. Planning applications on this site have previously been rejected. The field provides a buffer. The village needs this site for community use.	2429/5787	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. The village needs this site to develop its own amenities.  Objection – Dunnington. Not suitable based upon existing infrastructure, including drainage and sewerage and increased traffic. Inappropriate due to visual intrusion. There will be increased traffic and congestion posing a safety issue to children crossing to use the play park. The proposed site encroaches into an area classed as a site of importance for nature conservation and a home to a number of protected species.  Objection – Dunnington. The proposal will alter both the physical ad psychological	2430/5788 2431/6714 2432/6715	
	character of the village.  Objection – Chowdene. New lane has enough traffic and houses will loss value.  Objection – Chowdene. Is the infrastructure is in place to cope with this?  Objection – Chowdene  Objection – Dunnington. This site is in the greenbelt and there are no special circumstances or exceptional circumstances. The site is at a key visual gateway and does not respect the existing character of the village. The site is adjacent to the nature reserve and maybe harmed.	2433/6716 2434/6717 2435/6718 2436/6719	
	Objection – Chowdene. Too close to existing and proposed housing. Local infrastructure cannot cope.  Objection – Chowdene.  Objection – Dunnington. The proposed land lies within greenbelt, the size of the site is inappropriate, radically effect the pleasing visual appearance of the area, borders Hassacarr nature reserve, there is a risk of accidents for children crossing to the play park, the area is subject the flooding. The new settlements south-east of York and	2437/4331 2438/4332 2439/4333	
	Clifton Moor north should provide provision.  Objection – Chowdene. Extra traffic on New Lane, noise pollution, air pollution, contamination of land. Impact adversely on the landscape and environment.  Objection – Chowdene. Extra traffic on New Lane, noise pollution, air pollution, contamination of land. Impact adversely on the landscape and environment.  Objection – Knapton. This is semi-industrial use and views in and out of the village will be adversely affected. This is inappropriate use of Greenbelt land. Brownfield land or sites where industrial uses are already be present should be used.	2440/4334 2441/4335 2442/4336	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy,	Objection – Chowdene. This would affect a residential housing area and a large business area now being established.	2443/4337	
Traveller And	Objection - Chowdene.	2444/4338	
Showpeople Allocations continued	Objection – Dunnington. It is adjacent to Hassacarr nature reserve. It will be visually dominant. Traffic will be increased. Surface water is channelled in the steams on the perimeters of the proposed sites. The site is green belt and a buffer.	2445/4339	
	Objection – Chowdene. There will be more traffic and schools will suffer. Question need figures.	2446/4340	
	Objection – Chowdene.	2447/4341	
	Objection – Knapton. The scale of this development is too large. Lack of infrastructure. Inappropriate use of the greenbelt.	2453/4342	
	Objection – Chowdene. Question numbers. There is no sign of the infrastructure being improved.	2454/4343	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site will be visually dominant and will be in close proximity to the nature reserve and the existing nature reserve.	2455/4344	
	Objection – Chowdene.	2458/4345	
	Objection – Dunnington. Visual amenity will be lost. Boarders the nature reserve and a memorial garden. Questions the need assessment. There will be a significant road safety risk from increased vehicles and the sports club has very little parking. Housing would be more appropriate than gypsy and traveller site.	2459/4346	
	Objection – Dunnington. General safety of villages, especially children. There are a limit amount of school places.	2463/4347	
	Objection – Dunnington. The site is ext to Hassacarr pond and the development would have a significant impact on the drainage/hydrology of the site. Wildlife in the area will be lost forever. The site acts as a natural buffer and development would be harmful to the character and appearance of the village. The increased traffic would add to the risk to pedestrians.	2464/6047	
	Objection – Chowdene.	2465/4348	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position on edge of village contrary to government guidance – no special exceptional circumstances and not appropriate development on green belt. Not included in Dunnington Design Statement. See Response 3 and 10A. Against the gypsy site, mostly because it is a green belt land and we are against extra housing because we want to keep the village as a village.	2467/1902	
	47	J.	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Planning Policy for Travellers Sites considers these to be inappropriate in green belt except in very special circumstances. No such circumstances. Location fails to respect natural grain of village and established boundaries between green belt and residential area. Would harm visual amenity. The "Call For Sites" submission by landowner was incomplete. A supplementary letter indicated that the site be used for housing. Fails to take account of the protection of endangered species and their habitat in the nearby nature reserve. See Response 7	2468/1903	
	Objection – only provide what the local authority is required to provide.  Objection – Knapton. Inappropriate use of the greenbelt. A brownfield site would be more suitable.	2470/6751 2474/4349	
	Objection – Knapton. Inappropriate use of the greenbelt. A brownfield site would be more suitable.	2475/4350	
	Objection – Knapton. Inappropriate use of the greenbelt. A brownfield site would be more suitable.	2476/4351	
	Objection – Dunnington. The land provides a break between residential and industrial land. The infrastructure cannot cope.	2479/4352	
	Objection – Knapton. There will be a strain on local infrastructure and amenities. This site is greenbelt and there is not real need demonstrated.	2480/4353	
	Objection – Chowdene.	2481/4354	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. Increase in traffic, cars, lorries, horses and caravans.	2487/4355	
	Objection – Dunnington. Hassacarr nature reserve proximity to the site. The safety of the roads. The site should be used towards sports club parking. The infrastructure cannot cope this this. Questions the numbers. No appropriate on the greenbelt, floodplain or drainage issues.	2492/4356	
	Objection – Chowdene. Questions need and infrastructure capacity.	2502/4357	
	Objection – Dunnington.	2503/4358	
	Objection – Dunnington. Have an adverse effect on the scenic character of the village and road safety of children and sports people. The site has drainage and sewerage issues.	2505/4359	
	Objection – Dunnington. Too near to existing homes, on the greenbelt, prone to flooding and is too close to Hassacarr nature reserve.	2506/4360	
	Objection – Dunnington. This is a greenbelt area and the development would not be keeping with the character and nature of the surrounding properties and the village. It would be more appropriate to create purpose built sites at Cliftongate or Whinthorpe.	2507/4361	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure,	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or
Para etc.			organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Comment – Chowdene. Travellers should be charges for services such as clearing up	2508/4362	
Gypsy,	the site after them and school once they depart.		
Traveller And	Objection – Dunnington. Detrimental to the character and appearance of the local area.	2509/4363	
Showpeople	Land is within the greenbelt area and would be a visual intrusion.		
Allocations			
continued			

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. This is a green space which buffers the residential from the	2511/6782	
Gypsy, Traveller	industrial area. The proposal is at the social heart of the village. The village has		
And Showpeople	intentions to use this land for additional parking. It would create further problems for		
Allocations Continued	the foul and surface water infrastructure.	2512/6707	
Continued	Objection – opposed to all sites.  Objection – Dunnington This is greenbelt land and a buffer. It would spoil the gateway	2512/6787 2514/4364	
	into the village. There are concerns about the access to the site and increase in traffic.  The site already suffers from flooding.	2314/4364	
	Objection – see Response 1. Dunnington. Neighbouring authorities should be consulted. Preference should be given to Brownfield sites, not on Greenfield sites as this is. It is out of place with the rest of the development in the area and will effecting flooding in the area.	2515/6797	
	Objection – Dunnington. There are insufficient parking for the sports club. The Children's play area is opposite which would add to people trying to cross the busy road. This site is on a flood plain. Questions needs assessment. It will have a negative effect on the nature reserve. Brownfield sites should be given priority or build into new settlements at Holme Hill or Cliftongate.	2516/6799	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. Too close to the nature reserve and Julia's garden.	2517/6803	
	Objection – Dunnington. Scale is out of keeping with the village and negatively affect visual amenity and Hassacarr Nature reserve. A preferred location would be to site this in one of the new settlements.	2518/4365	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt area and a rural area. It is on a very busy road. Should be an ecological and traffic survey conducted.	2519/4369	
	Objection – Dunnington. There would be serious risk to the nature reserve and the waterways and ditches that surround the proposed site.	2520/4370	
	Objection – see response 11	2521/6809	
	Objection – Chowdene. The land should be used for more houses as there is no need for more pitches.	2522/4371	
	Objection – Chowdene. The infrastructure cannot cope and there is no need.	2526/4373	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site is in the greenbelt and is a buffer. The traffic is already at its limit in the village. The nature reserve will be affected and there are parking issues surrounding the sports centre and drainage and flooding are an issue in the village.	2527/4374	
		1	

Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Objection – Dunnington. This site is in the greenbelt and a buffer. It is adjacent to	2528/4375	
	2530/4377	
	2524/4270	
	2531/43/8	
	2522/4270	
	2532/43/9	
	2533/4380	
	23337 1300	
Objection – Dunnington. This site is on the greenbelt.	2534/4381	
Objection - Dunnington. Increase of traffic.	2535/4382	
Objection – No demonstrated local need. Land is on the greenbelt and this is industrial use.	2536/6820	
sustainable and the infrastructure is not in place. The village could not absorbed the economic impact of this proposal.  Alternative – These sites could be masterplanned into the sites south of Heslington and	2537/6824	
	2522/4222	
	2540/4384	
	25/1//285	
	2341/4303	
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is in the greenbelt and a buffer. It is adjacent to Hassacarrr nature reserve. A brownfield site would be more appropriate.  Objection – Dunnington. Objection – Dunnington. This land forms a barrier between the industrial site and residential properties. Issues with rainwater runoff from the fields. The nature reserve must be protected.  Alternative – Space within the actual industrial estate must be more appropriate. Dunnington – Dunnington. The sports centre and childrens play park are both opposite the site and will endanger children having to cross a busy road. Hassacarrr nature reserve needs to be protected.  Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. The site is on the greenbelt. The road is very busy and is a great danger to children. This site is an important part of defining the open character at the entrance to the village.  Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. The site is inappropriate due to being in the greenbelt. It suffers from flooding. The road will be a danger to children due to lorries using it.  Alternative – on the old Rowntree's factory site.  Objection – Dunnington. This site is on the greenbelt.  Objection – Dunnington. Increase of traffic.  Objection – No demonstrated local need. Land is on the greenbelt and this is industrial use.  Objection – Dunnington. The greenbelt provides a natural buffer. This site is not sustainable and the infrastructure is not in place. The village could not absorbed the economic impact of this proposal.	Objection – Dunnington. This site is in the greenbelt and a buffer. It is adjacent to Hassacarrr nature reserve. A brownfield site would be more appropriate.  Objection – Dunnington.  Objection – Dunnington. This land forms a barrier between the industrial site and residential properties. Issues with rainwater runoff from the fields. The nature reserve must be protected.  Alternative – Space within the actual industrial estate must be more appropriate.  Dunnington – Dunnington. The sports centre and childrens play park are both opposite the site and will endanger children having to cross a busy road. Hassacarrr nature reserve needs to be protected.  Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. The site is on the greenbelt. The road is very busy and is a great danger to children. This site is an important part of defining the open character at the entrance to the village.  Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. The site is inappropriate due to being in the greenbelt. It suffers from flooding. The road will be a danger to children due to lorries using it.  Alternative – on the old Rowntree's factory site.  Objection – Dunnington. This site is on the greenbelt and this is industrial use.  Objection – Dunnington. The greenbelt provides a natural buffer. This site is not sustainable and the infrastructure is not in place. The village could not absorbed the economic impact of this proposal.  Alternative – These sites could be masterplanned into the sites south of Heslington and north of Clifton Moor.  Objection – See Response 1  Objection – See Response 8 and 10A. Dunnington. The nature reserve adjacent to the site is used for nature classes. The site is in the greenbelt and is an essential buffer. There are problems in the village with flooding and drainage.  Objection – Dunnington. The site is in the greenbelt and the size and scale would detract from the openness of the greenbelt. The development would seriously detract from the openness of the greenbelt. The nature reserve is adjacent to this site and provides es

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 7	2542/4386	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – The land proposed is in the greenbelt which provides a visual and practical buffer. Planning permission has previously been refused on this site. The presence of protected species in the nature reserve should be a practical consideration.	2543/4387	
	Objection – Chowdene. There are already traffic problems in this area.	2544/6830	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Significant amount of additional vehicle movements. The scale will have a visual impact on the area. The site is in the greenbelt, and provides an essential green buffer. There should be a meaningful search for brownfield sites.	2545/4388	
	Objection – Chowdene. A terrible use of greenbelt and not a good advertisement for the gateway to York.	2548/6844	
	Objection – see response 9 Showpeople sites should be concentrated in rural area away from populated area and located adjacent to main roads eg A1, A59.	2550/6855	
	Objection – Dunnington. Adjacent to Hassacarrr nature reserve. Adjacent to established housing. Proximity to recently developed play area. Opposite sports centre. Includes designated flood plain. Exacerbation of current sewerage problems. Precludes expansion of the sports fields. Loss of the buffer. Screening will enclose the open green belt area.	2551/6861	
	Objection – Dunnington. The site is disproportionate for the size of the village. Location fails to take into account the rural character of the village. It will increase the traffic and congestion. It is a recognised flood plain and in the greenbelt and acts as a buffer/the development will be detrimental to the nature reserve.	2552/4389	
	Objection – Dunnington. There is no need for so many sites.	2553/6872	
	Objection – Chowdene. The site is not in keeping with the openness of the greenbelt. The site would be highly visible from the ring road. There will be additional traffic. There is a lack of amenities for local people.	2554/4390	
	Objection – Dunnington. Here should be protection of local amenity and the environment. Flooding, drainage and pollution all need to be considered. More suitable places need to be considered.	2555/4391	
	Objection – Dunnington. A number of planning applications in this area have been refused. The site is at risk of flooding. The site is a visual gateway to the village. There will be an increase in traffic on an already busy junction.	2556/6873	
	Objection – Dunnington. There will be increased traffic on common road. The sports club and children's play area will make this site unsafe.	2557/4392	
	Objection – Dunnington. There are traffic problems in this area which will be made worse by this proposal. The nature reserve would be at risk by this proposal. A large	2558/4394	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	section of the field is on the flood plain. Business owners have concerns and are considering relocating if this goes ahead. There have been refused planning applications in this area.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. The sire acts as a greenbelt buffer and would harm the character and appearance of the village if it was to go ahead. The nature reserve and its wifelife would be threatened. It will spoil the visual approach to the village and cause harm to the character and appearance.	2560/4395	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. The site acts as a greenbelt buffer and would harm the character and appearance of the village if it was to go ahead. The nature reserve and its wifelife would be threatened. It will spoil the visual approach to the village and cause harm to the character and appearance. there is a lack of parking space and playing fields for the sports club.	2561/6892	
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is on the greenbelt. The nature reserve has a rich diversity of plants and animals which may be harmed. The site provides a vital buffer. There are speed and traffic issues on this stretch of road.	2562/4396	
	Objection - Dunnington. This site will have an effect on road safety and put pressure on the primary school.	2563/4397	
	Objection – Dunnington. This land is a buffer zone and int he greenbelt between the industrial land and housing. This land should be reserved for future expansion of the sports field.	2564/4398	
	Objection – Dunnington. Travellers need good trunk roads in an area related to their trade, This site is not ideal. Clifton gate would be better to meet their needs. Hard standings will increase surface water runoff and that will be a problem for the site and residents in Waterlane.	2565/6897	
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is a buffer between residential and industrial land. It is very close to the local nature reserve and natural beauty spot. Access to the village by cyclists and motorists will be a major problem. Common road is a main road into the village and people will be in grave danger of injury.	2566/6904	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site is bordered on one side by a sports centre, one side by houses and bungalows, another by an industrial state and also a nature reserve. Local facilities will not be able to cope with the influx of more families. The traffic will increase. Alternative sites should be Holme Hill (Whinthorpe) and Cliftongate as purpose built traveller sites. Preference should be given to developing brownfield sites in the first instance.	2567/4399	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. The sports club needs a larger car park and extra (sports)	2568/4400	
Gypsy, Traveller	pitches and the field in question is ideal. The area will need a newt survey.		
And Showpeople	Objection – this land is in the greenbelt and is agricultural land.	2572/4401	
Allocations	Objection – Chowdene. The local schools will not be able to take more children.	2573/4402	
Continued	Question the numbers.		
	Objection – see response 9	2575/3522	
	Objection – agree that a traveller site is needed but this is not the correct location. This	2576/4403	
	site is an important buffer between the residential and industrial land. It is adjacent to		
	a nature reserve. Green belt land and there are no exceptional circumstances to put it		
	here. This will generate a lot of traffic and cause greater danger to young people.		
	Alternative – Hagg Lane site.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This site has been refused planning permission for housing.	2577/4404	
	Difficult to imagine what a travellers site can offer that a housing site cannot.	23///4404	
	Objection – general objection.	2579/4405	
	Objection – see response 9	2580/6916	
	Objection – See response 9  Objection – Dunnington. This site should remain in the greenbelt between the housing	2582/4406	
	and industrial estate. The village will be spoilt by unnecessary noise.	2302/4400	
	Objection – Dunnington. He are is a greenbelt buffer between a commercial zone and	2583/6922	
	the village. The current proposals will destroy the exceptional charm and beauty of the	2303/0322	
	village. The site would put extra pressure on local services such as schools and		
	healthcare. The site would better serve the community as additional sports facilities.		
	Objection – Dunnington. The site is located next to Hassacarr nature reserve and	2587/4407	
	develop would fail to protect endangered species. Question the numbers and co-		
	operation with neighbouring authorities. It would be more sensible to develop traveller		
	sites on one of the large housing proposals. The school will need to be extended and		
	installation of new drainage and water runoff pipe work as this area is prone to		
	flooding. The site will produced heavier and larger traffic congestion.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is greenbelt and there are no exceptional or very	2588/4408	
	special circumstances that change that status. The site provides a clear boundary		
	between the residential and commercial areas. The waterways around the site are		
	polluted and are at danger of flooding. The nature reserve has a great amount of		
	wildlife. The A1079 hull road junction is already a dangerous junction and his		
	development would increase traffic and endanger children using the play park.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. The site is inappropriate due to proximity to existing private	2590/4409	
Gypsy, Traveller	housing, the access is poor and congested. The proximity to Hassacarrr nature		
And Showpeople	reserve, the affect on schools in the village, the devaluation of proposed new housing		
Allocations	in the village. There needs to be an ecological survey and guarantee the wildlife will be		
Continued	protected. There are flooding and drainage issues with this site. Parking is limited at		
	the sports ground and the road and surrounding junctions are very dangerous.		
	Objection – Chowdene. All properties with 1.5miles will be instantly devalued. The	2591/6938	
	crime rate will increase. There will be more horses running amok. People living with		
	this blight on their doorstep should have a reduction in council tax and an increase in		
	police patrols.  Objection – Dunnington. This site is a buffer between the residential land and business	2592/6939	
	park. Traffic congestion is inevitable. The site is very close to the nature reserve and	2392/0939	
	settled community. There will be safety concerns for pedestrians and motorists. This		
	development would detract from the pleasing and rural entrance to the village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Hassacarr nature reserve, boundary dykes and protected	2593/6943	
	species. Increased traffic and use of heavy vehicles and trailers.	2333,0313	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site is within the greenbelt. Previously refused planning	2594/6951	
	applications. This is a prominent location which would be harmful t the character and	,	
	appearance of the local area. It is a recognised flood plain. The impact of heavy		
	vehicles and trailers and the safety of road users and pedestrians must be considered.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This is an essential greenbelt buffer. The general village	2596/6955	
	design and character will be detrimentally affected by the proposed development. The		
	close proximity of the nature reserve would mean the biodiversity of the site would be		
	harmed. Common road is a dangerous road, made worse by the sports club not having		
	enough parking.	2502/5055	
	Objection – Dunnington. Inappropriate in the greenbelt. Alter rural character of the	2598/6956	
	village. Impact on the nature reserve. Increase in traffic on common road. Applications		
	have been refused on the site in the past.  Objection – Chowdene. Infrastructure issues. Questioning need.	2599/6957	
		2600/3531	
	Objection – see response 9 Objection – see response 9	2600/3531	
	Objection – see response 9  Objection – see response 9	2605/3541	
	Objection – see response 9 Objection – see response 9	2607/3571	
	Objection – See response 9  Objection – Dunnington. The infrastructure cannot cope with this development.	2618/3494	
		2010/3494	
	Increase is traffic. Concerned about flytipping. Proximity to Hassacarr nature reserve.	2010/3434	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Questions if Gypsy and Travellers want to live so close to the settled community. The roads are already congested. The nature reserve provides homes to many birds and mammals that are in decline. The site is on a flood plain.	2620/3502	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Common road is not wide enough for the increase in traffic. The road is busy with children crossing to the playground. The site is on a recognised flood plain. The protected species at Hassacarr nature reserve would be endangered. The two larger sites identified (cliftongate and whinthorpe) would be more suitable for gypsy/traveller sites.	2621/3503	
	Objection – Dunnington. This development would be totally out of character for the village. The number of horses would need to be controlled. The surrounding water courses would be polluted. Residents should be compensated for this.	2622/3504	
	Objection – Dunnington. All sense of community will be crushed. There is a preservation of their traditional way of life at the possible expense of the general community.	2623/6963	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. The village school is already oversubscribed. It will generate extra traffic, damage to verges and visual intrusion. It may pollute the whole area and the siting of caravans and stable blocks would spoil the look of the village.	2624/3505	
	Objection – Dunnington. This is an unsuitable site due to the proximity of the nature reserve. Straying horses would cause safety issues. The field in question sits in a flood plain and is designated greenbelt. Business owners on the industrial estate have concerns and may locate. The impact on the village the community would be devastating.	2627/3506	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. This is greenbelt and a natural habitat for wildlife, it provides a buffer between the houses and industrial land. The size and scale is inappropriate for the area and would visually impact on the gateway to the village. The road is already hazardous.	2628/3507	
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is a natural greenbelt buffer. It will be harmful to the character and appearance of the local area, and will detract from the identify of the village. The road is and already. The nature reserve and wildlife will be severely impacted upon.	2629/3508	
	Objection – Dunnington. Questions numbers.  Objection – opposed to all sites. Questions numbers.  Objection – Dunnington. Too close to the centre of the village or a garden of rest and other peaceful areas. For safety reasons it should not be so close to the sports and	2630/3515 2631/6980 2632/4410	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	social club and play area. It will create more traffic. The site has poor drainage.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. The land is greenbelt and directly abuts the	2633/6982	
Gypsy, Traveller	nature reserve. Questions the needs assessment. House prices has decrease with just		
And Showpeople	the suggestion of a travellers site. It is a recognised flood plain.		
Allocations	Alternative – Cliftongate or Whinthorpe.	252445224	
Continued	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. The land is greenbelt and directly abuts the nature reserve. Questions the needs assessment. House prices has decrease with just the suggestion of a travellers site. It is a recognised flood plain.  Alternative – Cliftongate or Whinthorpe.	2634/6984	
	Objection – Dunnington. Situated in the greenbelt. Total lack of consideration given to wildlife and habitats. It would detract from the visual amenity of the village. Traffic would be increased. This site experiences flooding already. It seems to number needed has been overestimated.  Alternative – build sites in the new proposed villages (Whinthrope and Cliftongate.)	2635/6985	
	Objection – Dunnington. The site would increase traffic which would make accessing the children's play ground dangerous.	2637/4411	
	Objection – See Response 3	2641/4412	
	Objection – Dunnington. The area is in the greenbelt and will have a harmful visual impact. A number of planning applications have been rejected on this site. The evidence to justify need is not robust enough. The field floods. The Council has a duty to preserve biodiversity such as Hassacarrr nature reserve. The site would bring increased heavy traffic into the village.	2642/7001	
	Objection – Dunnington. Concern about the damage that would be done to Julia's garden and Hassacarrr nature reserve.	2644/3509	
	Objection – see Response 2	2645/6979	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site is int he green belt. Preference should be given to brownfield sites. The sports club is short of parking spaces. The site would encroach on the Hassacarrr pond and would be detrimental to natural wildlife and biodiversity. See response 10A	2646/3510	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site is in the green belt. Preference should be given to brownfield sites. The sports club is short of parking spaces. The site would encroach on the Hassacarrr pond and would be detrimental to natural wildlife and biodiversity.	2647/3511	
	Objection – see Response 7	2648/4413	
	Objection – Dunnington. Detract from the appearance of the village, damage and	2657/3513	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	proximity to Hassacarr nature reserve, increase and impact of traffic.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. A intrusive embankment would be needed to screen the site.	2661/7044	
Gypsy, Traveller	There are no very special circumstances to remove this from the greenbelt. A previous		
And Showpeople	planning application was refused. The road is already very busy and dangerous.		
Allocations	Pollution or flytipping in the drain ditches surrounding the site would cause flooding.		
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. It is quite clear that this site is int he greenbelt. Traveller sites	2666/7057	
	are inappropriate in the greenbelt. It would be harmful to character and appearance of		
	the local area. There is an over estimation of need. The site floods. There is high		
	demand in the village for school places		
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site is in the greenbelt. The site is a buffer	2667/4414	
	between housing and industrial land. It is next the Hassacarrr nature reserve and		
	protected species. There are flooding, drainage and sewerage issues on the site.		
	Objection – Chowdene. The access to the site is inadequate.	2668/7066	
	Objection - Knapton. Devaluation of houses in the area.	2673/7085	
	Alternative – The area north of Clifton moor identified as ST14 would make an ideal		
	showpeople plot.		
	Objection – Chowdene.	2674/7088	
	Objection – all sites. Questioning need.	2676/7094	
	Objection – see Response 1, 4. Dunnnington. Dangerous due to proximity to sports	2677/7095	
	club and playing fields. It is a greenbelt site. There are issues with the drainage and		
	flooding. Hassacarrr nature reserve needs protecting.		
	Alternatives – Whinthorpe or Cliftongate.		
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. Inappropriate development in the	2679/7109	
	greenbelt. A number of planning applications have been refused on the site. The		
	proposal would be harmful to the character and appearance of the local area. The		
	nature reserve and species should be protected. Development will bring more need for		
	parking for the sports ground.		
	Objection – see response 10A. The proposed development is within the greenbelt.	2682/7119	
	Previous development has been refused on this site previously. Hassacarr nature		
	reserve is an important conservation area. The site is a recognised flood plain. The		
	impact of heavy vehicles and trailers on the highways and safety of other road users		
	and pedestrians.	2602/7126	
	Objection – Dunnington. Additional development will make the surface water drainage	2683/7128	
	problems and sewerage problems worse. The proposal will change the character and		
	aspect of the village and impact adversely on the biodiversity. The roads and junctions		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	are already under pressure. Congestion will increase.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – all sites. Too many pitches proposed for the local environment, health	2686/7139	
Gypsy, Traveller	services and education services.		
And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. This proposal is in the greenbelt and is a buffer. It would	2688/4415	
Allocations	harm the character and appearance of the local area. The nature reserve adjacent		
Continued	needs protecting.		
Continuou	Objection – Dunnington	2671/3514	
	Objection – Dunnington. It is next to a nature reserve and on green belt land. The	2693/7143	
	infrastructure cannot cope with the demand this development will generate.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This is inappropriate in the green belt, and adjacent to an	2694/7144	
	important nature reserve. The access road is already congested. Drainage to the		
	existing houses should be taken into consideration.	2005/7145	
	Objection – Dunnington. The prominent location of the site will be detrimental to the	2695/7145	
	character and appearance of the area. It is in the green belt and is an important buffer.  Objection – Dunnington. Adverse visual impact on the entrance to the village. Adverse	2697/7150	
	effect on Hassacarrr nature reserve. Adverse traffic effect. It is located in the greenbelt and it is a natural buffer.	209///150	
	Objection – Dunnington. The land is prone to flooding. There will be additional danger of the added traffic.	2698/7155	
	Objection - Knapton. The site should stay in the greenbelt.	2703/7160	
	Objection – Dunnington. This is a buffer zone between residential and industrial land. The wildlife in the vicinity would be threatened.	2704/7161	
	Objection – Dunnington. Environmentally this site is unsuitable due to the proximity of Hassacarrr nature reserve and the wildlife. The amenities are not present in the village.	2705/7162	
	Objection – See Response 1, 4 and 6. Dunnington. This is a conservation area. The road is very congested. The site should be earmarked for additional parking for the sports club.	2706/5789	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. This site is a buffer in the greenbelt. Hassacarrr nature reserve and the wildlife should be protected. The site is badly drained. There are parking problems for the sports club.	2707/7170	
	Objection – Dunnington. This is inappropriate development in the greenbelt.	2708/7173	
	Objection – see Response 5	2709/7179	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. The site is not large enough to accommodate the proposed	2710/7184	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	number of pitches. It is too close to the village. The site will have further impact on the sewerage system. The close proximity to the nature reserve would adversely affect		
Allocations	it.		
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. This is greenbelt buffer. It is directly opposite the sports club.	2711/7185	
	Against existing housing. It is ina an inappropriate location. The nature reserve and		
	wildlife should be protected. This would generate additional traffic.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Planning application for additional parking was refused on the grounds of extra traffic it would generate.	2712/7194	
	Objection – Dunnington. There are no legal obligations to provide pitches. These types	2713/7200	Dunnington & Grimston
	of development are inappropriate on the greenbelt and in a floodplain. The area is also		Playing Fields
	home to protected species.	2714/7202	Association
	Objection – Dunnington. Inappropriate position due to nature reserve, Julia's garden. There is not enough parking for the sports club.	2714/7202	
	Objection – Dunnington. Obstructed views.	2717/7210	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traveller sites are inappropriate in the greenbelt. Past	2717/7210	
	planning applications have been refused on this site. The nature reserve and wildlife	2,10,,211	
	should be protected.		
	Alternative – this presents an ideal opportunity for incorporating the proposed gypsy		
	and traveller sites in the new settlements at Whinthorpe and Cliftongate.		
	Objection - Chowdene. This is Greenfield land.	2719/7212	
	Objection – see Response 6	2721/7215	
	Objection – Dunnington. There are not special circumstances to site this in the	2723/7217	
	greenbelt. The nature reserve and wildlife will be harmed. There will be increase traffic in the village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is in the greenbelt, the visual amenity will be	2724/7218	
	compromised, additional traffic and vehicals will create safety issues. The development		
	must take into account the protection of Hassacarrr nature reserve.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This is a necessary greenbelt buffer. There are drainage	2726/7222	
	issues on the site. There would be little privacy afforded to the existing residents. The endangered spieces at the nature reserve would be put at further risk. The narrow		
	roads are at capacity and the footpaths are not adequate. This site has previously been		
	refused for planning permission.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Increase in traffic. Over subscribed primary schools. It will	2727/7227	
	encroach on the countryside. Impact on the safety of children using the playpark. The	,	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	council has a duty to protect biodiversity.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Environmental impact on the nature reserve. The site is within the greenbelt. The site is prone to flooding. There will be more traffic , compromising safety.	2728/7228	
Allocations	Objection -Chowdene.	2729/7234	
Continued	Objection – Chowdene Alternative – Chowdene. The grain store at clifton	2730/7235	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is part of the greenbelt and a buffer. There are no special or exceptional circumstances to allow this. Harmful to the character and appearance of the local area and visual outlook of existing residences would be compromised.	2731/7236	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt buffer. Denigrate the visual gateway into the village. Detrimental effect upon the nature reserve and wildlife. Increase in heavy vehicles and trailers would cause concern.	2733/7240	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt buffer which provides crops. Detrimental effect on the identity of the village. The council has a duty to preserve biodiversity at the Hassacarrr nature reserve. Children in the park and those using the sports club will be made vulnerable by the increase in traffic. Property will be devalued. Alternative – extend the existing sites or build sites into the new Whinthorpe or Cliftongate.	2735/7245	
	Alternative – Whinthorpe or Cliftongate.  Objection – greenbelt with no special or exceptional circumstances to change this status. This would fail to respect the character and nature of the villages. The adverse impact on wildlife and protected species. Increased use of heavy vehicles and trailers would congest the highway and compromise road and pedestrian safety.	2736/7246	
	Objection – compromise greenbelt, visual amenity, highways and safety, Hassacarrr nature reserve, boundary dykes and protected species, flooding and drainage. Not convinced the land owner wanted to put the land forward for this use. The site has been refused planning permission on several occasions.  Alternatives – Whinthorpe or Cliftongate.	2740/7258	
	Objection – Dunnington. The site has poor drainage and floods. The site is productive. The volume of traffic it will produce.	2741/7260	
	Objection – detrimental effect on the visual amenity. Previous planning applications have been refused on the site. It is very close to the nature reserve and its protected species. The road is very busy and this will be made worse. The land is green belt.	2742/7261	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Alternatives – Should be incorporated into the new settlements at Whinthorpe or		
Delian ACUMO	Cliftongate.	2742/7267	
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – the city will no longer be attractive to tourists if the city is filled with Gypsy and Traveller sites. Questioning the numbers needed. Needs to be proper discussion as	2743/7267	
And Showpeople	to how many travellers should be accommodated within the city boundaries. Brownfield		
Allocations	sites should be considered first. This site is in a flood plain.		
Continued	Alternatives – Whinthrope or Cliftongate		
	Objection – Dunnington. This is a greenbelt buffer and there are no special or exceptional circumstances on this occasion. There will be increased traffic and possibly increase accidents. There should be more footpaths in the area. The site would impact	2744/7268	
	on the superb visual amenity of the village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. The site and materials would not compare with buildings in the local area. This site is in the greenbelt.	2745/7269	
	Objection – Dunnington. Hassacarrr has protected species with needs protecting. The site is in the greenbelt and close to residential homes.  Alternative – Brownfield sites at monks cross, acomb and Clifton moor.	2746/7270	
	Objection – Dunnington. The road is very busy.	2747/7272	
	Objection – Knapton. Questions numbers. It is in the greenbelt and a green corridor. The size, noise and pollution of the site will swamp Knapton village. The movement of large vehicles and increased traffic poses safety issues. The infrastructure will be unable to cope and there are no shops, school or post office in the village. The village already suffers from groundwater and sewer flooding.	2748/6048	
	Objection – Dunnington. Questioning numbers and occupancy of existing sites. Alternative - Brownfield sites should be given preference. The Knavesmire could be used or council owned land to avoid unnecessary expense.	2749/7273	
	Objection – Dunnington. The site is contrary to policy GB4 and H7 of the draft plan. It will damage wildlife	2750/4416	
	Objection – Dunnington. Visual amenity. Highways and safety, Hassacarrr nature reserve, boundary dykes and protect species, greenbelt status.  Alternatives – Brownfield sites or in the proposed new settlements.	2751/7277	
	Objection – Dunnington. Visual impact on the village. Need to protect the nature reserve and wildlife. It is opposite a children's play park and sports ground. Increased traffic. Greenbelt land and a buffer. The site has drainage problems.	2752/7278	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt land. Policing and enforcing good standards would be high. Roads are blocked by cars using sports club.	2753/7279	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt land. Policing and enforcing good standards would	2754/7280	
Gypsy, Traveller	be high. Roads are blocked by cars using sports club.		
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 8	2755/7281	
Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt buffer. Sustainable tree planting would be needed		
Continued	to screen the site. Harmful to the character and appearance of the local area. And		
	nature reserve.  Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt. Gateway tot he village. Traffic hazards will	2758/7289	
	increase.	-	
	Objection – Dunnington. This is too close to the sports club. No special circumstances for change of status from green belt. Brownfied sites should be used first. Hassacarr nature reserve should be protected.	2761/7296	
	Objection – Dunnington. An essential buffer and a flood plain. The wildlife at the nature reserve needs protecting. Common road is a very busy road with lots of parked cars. Privacy of existing residents will be compromised.	2767/7313	
	Objection – Dunnington. He nature reserved should be protected. The site should be used by the sports club.	2768/6448	
	Objection – Dunnington. Hassacarrr nature reserve, boundary dykes and protected species. Planning applications have been previously refused, this is greenbelt land, the local amenities are already stretched, drainage and sewerage issues.  Alternatives – Whinthorpe or Cliftongate. Brownfield sites.	2770/7332	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt land. Too close to Hassacarr Nature reserve. Pedestrian access to site would be hazardous	2771/7334	
	Objection - Knapton.	2773/7338	
	Objection – Dunnington. Increased traffic near playpark and sports club. Impact on nature reserve. Increase in danger of people crossing common road.	2774/7339	
	Objection – Dunnington. Drainage and sewerage issues.	2776/7346	
	Objection – Dunnington. Strain on local amenities. Road safety issues. Potential flooding issues and pollution areas.	2777/7348	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt and farm land. Profound effect on Hassacarr nature reserve. Preference should be given to brownfield sites. Recognised flood plain. Common road is a busy road and heightened risk of accidents.	2778/7356	
	Objection – Dunnington. Preference to brownfield sites. It is a floodplain and surface water is a risk. Increase of traffic and heavy vehicles and trailers. Proposed footway encroaching into the memorial garden. Need to considered the local amenity and environment.	2779/7362	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic on common lane. Wildlife and nature and garden	2780/7366	
Gypsy, Traveller	reserves. The land should be used by the sports club.		
And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Next to Hassacarr nature reserve and home to protected wildlife. Increase in traffic. Field is in flood zone 3a. The land is a buffer.	2781/7367	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent location at the gateway to the village. Proposed site in the greenbelt which provides crops. Increased traffic. Can the Council demonstrate co-operative working with parish council and other stakeholders? Alternative – Whinthorpe and Cliftongate	2783/7373	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt area. Hassacarr nature reserve and surroundings, parking and traffic. The water way will be contaminated by waste and seepage. The roads are unsuitable for large trailers.	2785/7378	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent visual feature. The site is a nature break in the housing and industrial park.	2786/7379	
	Objection – opposed to all sites.	2791/7403	
	Objection - Chowdene. Question numbers	2792/7404	
	Objection – Dunnington. Spoil the visual impact of the rural village. Road safety issues for children using the sports club. Alternative – Grid ref 699533	2793/7405	
	Objection - Chowdene.	2798/7428	
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is in the greenbelt. Disrupt the use of the sports club. It will test the drains to their limits. It is a recognised floodplain. Need is lower than estimated. Water voles in the waterways surrounding the site. Protection of local amenities.	2800/7431	
	Objection - Knapton. Concerned about stray horses on the ringroad.	2802/7440	
	Objection – Dunnington. Does the village have the resources to support education and healthcare facilities? Greenbelt status. All areas neighbouring the site will be adversely affected. Hassacarr nature reserve and waterways are important habitats. Increased volumes of traffic and poor footpaths. Recognised floodplain.	2803/7441	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green belt land. The land is used for crops. Would cause undue visual intrusion. Threatens water voles, great crested newts ad mayflies on the nature reserve.	2804/7442	
	Objection – Dunnington. Visual concerns, ecology concerns, establishment of need, drainage and sewerage.  Alternatives – Brownfield sites or buildinto new sites rather than an addition.	2807/7452	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Dunnington. Visual concerns, ecology concerns, establishment of need,	2808/7453	
Gypsy, Traveller	drainage and sewerage.		
And Showpeople	Alternatives –brownfield sites or build into new sites rather than an addition.		
Allocations	Objection – See Response 8. Dunnington. Greenbelt. Hassacarr Nature reserve. Floodplain. Questioning need.	2810/7460	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Should be on brownfield sites. Key visual approach to the village. Impact on wildlife. Over estimated need. Flooding and drainage problems.	2811/7464	
	Objection – Dunnington. Impact on greenbelt, visual amenity and Hassacarr Nature Reserve.	2812/7466	
	Objection – Dunnington. Impact on gateway to village.	2813/7468	
	Objection – see response 10C. Opposed to Knapton site.	2814/7471	
	Objection – See Response 4,10A. Dunnington. This is a very busy road and the site entrance would only add to this, it is too near the children's play area, and the sports centre. Dunnington would be spoilt of this plan goes ahead. Common road is busy and dangerous to cross. The site would be better placed somewhere sheltered. Drainage problems on the field.	2816/7476	
	Objection – main entrance to the village. Greenbelt. Sewerage and drainage issues. Roads are already heavily congested. The wildlife at the nature reserve will be threatened.	2817/7477	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green belt buffer. Increased road usage. Strain of existing amenities, compromise sewerage and drainage. Home to endangered flora and fauna. No evidence of need.	2819/7479	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green belt buffer. Increased road usage. Strain of existing amenities, compromise sewerage and drainage. Home to endangered flora and fauna. No evidence of need.	2820/7480	
	Objection – See Response 8. Dunnington. Greenbelt. Lad previously been refused planning permission. Adjoins Hassacarr Nature reserve with rare species. Risk of accidents at already hazardous junctions.	2821/7481	
	Objection – See Response 7. Dunnington. Greenbelt land. Close to Hassacarr reserve which is a SINC. Risk of flooding. Questioning evidence base. Additional traffic hazards. Should consider brownfield sites first.	2822/7482	
	Objection – See Response 4	2823/7483	
	Objection – See Response 1	2824/7484	
	Objection - Opposed to all sites and the inadequate evidence base.	2826/7491	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Narrow roads not suitable for two way traffic. Concerns about	2827/7494	
Gypsy, Traveller	living next to livestock.		
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 4. Dunnington. Greenbelt. Harmful to character and	2829/7498	
Allocations	appearance of the village. Increased traffic, heavy vehicles and trailers. Dangerous		
Continued	uncontrolled junction at Hull road needs to be addressed. Vulnerability of caravans to		
	flooding. Field is a flood zone and a pollution area. A number of applications have been refused on this area in the past.		
	Objection – see Response 6, 10A	2830/7499	
	Objection – see Response 1	2833/7503	
	Objection – see Response 3	2834/7504	
	Objection – Dunnington. Hassacarr nature reserve and array different species of	2835/7505	
	wildlife should be protected. Greenfield buffer.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt. Hassacarr is an important conservation area.	2836/7506	
	Recognised flood zone. Safety of other road users must be considered.	•	
	Objection – all sites.	2837/7517	
	Objection – Knapton. This size would over power the village. It is greenbelt and part of	2838/7519	
	the green corridor. There is no proven need for showman sites in York. Cause traffic issues.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This is a buffer between the houses and the industrial land. Close to Hassacarr nature reserve and Julia's garden. There is serious flood risk.	2839/7520	
	Objection – Dunnington.	2840/7521	
	Objection – Dunnington. The sports club need this site for sport and parking.	2841/7527	
	Objection – Dunnington. The Nature reserve is home to rare species. Greenbelt area. Risk of flooding. Safety issues along Common Road increased.	2842/7528	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt site. Scale will be out of character with village. Increase in traffic. Water voles and great crested newts are present in the waterways. Brownfield sites should be given preference.	2843/7534	
	Objection – Dunnington. Safety issues on the roads. Sewage and drainage issues. Hassacarr nature reserve and associated wildlife at risk.	2844/7535	
	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt lane.	2845/7536	
	Comment – all sites. Preferred approach is Option 2.	2846/7548	
	Objection – see response 10A	2847/7581	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt. Provides crops and farmland.		
	Alternatives – Cliftongate or Whinthorpe.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Comment – there are not enough options to place these venerable people.	2851/7591	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 9	2855/3601	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 9	2856/3610	
Allocations	Support – see response 9	2857/3624	
Continued	Objection – see response 9	2858/3632	
	Objection – see response 9 No mention of numbers involved.	2859/3639	
	Objection – see response 9	2860/3648	
	Objection – see response 9	2861/3666	
	Objection – see response 9	2862/3675	
	Objection – see response 9	2863/3682	
	Objection – see response 9	2864/3691	
	Objection – see response 9	2865/3700	
	Objection – see response 9	2866/3715	
	Objection – see response 9	2867/3722	
	Objection – see response 9	2868/3729	
	Objection – see response 9	2869/3735	
	Comment – never experienced any issue with this community despite living close to the existing site in Clifton.	2870/3984	
	Objection – see response 9	2872/4537	
	Objection – see response 9	2873/3757	
	Objection – see response 9	2874/3766	
	Objection – see response 9	2875/3775	
	Objection – see response 9	2876/3784	
	Objection – see response 9	2877/3793	
	Objection – see response 9	2878/3802	
	Objection – see response 9	2879/3811	
	Objection – see response 9	2880/3820	
	Objection – see response 9	2881/4543	
	Objection – see response 9	2882/3832	
	Objection – see response 9	2883/3841	
	Objection – see response 9	2884/3857	
	Objection – see response 9	2885/3866	
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 9. No need for more gypsy sites.	2886/3879	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 9 Should buy their own land.	2887/3888	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 9	2888/3897	
Allocations	Objection – see response 9. Risk of flytipping	2890/3919	
Continued	Objection – see response 9	2891/3925	
	Objection – see response 9	2892/3934	
	Objection – see response 9	2893/3943	
	Objection – see response 9. Do not feel either options are appropriate. No sites in York are appropriate for Gypsy and Traveller sites.	2894/3952	
	Objection – see response 9	2895/3961	
	Objection – see response 9	2896/3970	
	Objection – see response 9	2897/3979	
	Objection – Dunnington. Breach of Government policies and guidance. Unrealistic evidence base. Detailed comments in reply to evidence base.	2898/7611	
	Objection – Dunnington. This would spoil the area.	2901/7614	
	Objection – See Response 7	2903/5791	
	Objection – See Response 3 Objection – See Response 4	2904/7618	
	Objection – See Response 7. Dunnington. Greenbelt and visual amenity and Hassacarr Nature reserve.	2906/7620	
	Objection – See Response 8	2907/4417	
	Objection – See Response 3	2908/7621	
	Objection – See Response 4. Dunnington. Greenbelt and visual amenity and Hassacarr Nature reserve.	2909/7622	
	Objection – See Response 8	2910/4418	
	Objection – see response 9	2911/4140	
	Objection – see response 9	2912/4150	
	Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt land. Brownfield sites should be considered. Road hazard with extra traffic. Hassacarr nature reserve is officially a site of importance for the protection of rare species.	2914/7632	
	Objection – see response 10A	2915/7634	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing	2916/7646	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, ,		
	Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 3 and 8	2917/4419	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 8	2918/4420	
And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	2919/7647	
Allocations	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on		
Continued	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge		
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook	2920/4421	
	Objection – see Response 1	2921/7648	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	2922/5790	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	2925/7651	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	2926/7652	
	Objection – see Response 8	2927/4422	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents	2928/7653	
	Objection – Dunnington. Existing sites should be extended.	2929/7662	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	2930/7666	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Consistency - other applications on Green	2931/7670	
Gypsy, Traveller	Belt land refused in the past, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,		
And Showpeople	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,		
Allocations	Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
Continued	Objection – see Response 6	2933/7671	
Continued	Objection – see Response 3	2934/4423	
	Objection - Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	2936/7674	
	proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	2938/7678	
	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	2939/7679	
	impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual	2941/7687	
	character of Village, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity		
	to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Objection – See Response 6. Dunnington. Destruction of arable land.	2942/7694	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	2944/7701	
	demonstrated, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14		
	and ST15, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Land is a buffer between		
	residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve		
	and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's		
	Garden), Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	2946/4424	
	Objection – see Response 4		
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,	2947/7709	
	Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	2948/4425	
	Objection – see Response 8	2948/4425	
	Objection – see Response 8		
	Objection – see Response 8	2950/4427	
	Objection – see Response 8	2951/4428	
	Objection – see Response 8	2952/4429	
	Objection – see Response 8	2953/4430	
D II A CUING	Objection – see Response 8	2954/4431	
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	2955/4432	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Consistency - other applications on Green	2956/7710	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Site is at risk of flooding.	2957/7724	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	2958/7729	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge.	2959/7730	
	Objection – Chowdene. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Community integration issues, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	2961/7738	
	Objection – see Response 2	2963/4433	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Green Belt location, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to children's play area, Site is at risk of flooding.	2964/4434	
	Objection – see Response 4	2965/4435	
	Objection – see Response 8	2966/4436	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding.	2967/4437	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding.	2968/4438	
	Objection – see Response 8	2969/4439	
	Objection – see Response 2 and 5	2970/7750	
	Objection – Chowdene. The proposed sites at Knapton and Elvington should be enough.	2971/7751	
	Objection - Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	2972/7754	
	Objection – see Response 3 and 8	2974/4440	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 2 and 8	2975/4441	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	2976/4442	
And Showpeople	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other		
Allocations	applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr		
Continued	Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area,		
Continuou	Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection – see Response 8	2977/4443	
	Objection – see Response 8	2979/4444	
	Objection – see Response 8 and 10A	2980/4445	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,	2984/6200	
	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Green Belt location, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, need for facility.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,	2985/6050	
	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	·	
	Green Belt location, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, need for		
	facility.		
	Objection – see Response 8	2986/4446	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact	2987/4447	
	on protected species, Site is important for drainage / overflow.		
	Objection – see Response 8	2989/4448	
	Objection – see Response 1	2990/4449	
	Objection – Osbaldwick. The current site is badly managed.	2991/7775	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Greenbelt, visual amenity and Hassacarr nature reserve.	2992/4450	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	2993/7777	
	Objection – Chowdene.	2994/7780	
	Objection – Chowdene.  Objection – all sites. Travellers sites in isolation are perhaps the best option.	2994/7780	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Consistency - other applications on Green	2995/7790	
	Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	2330/4431	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's		
	Garden), Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of		
	accidents, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 3	2997/4452	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection - Chowdene. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility,	3000/7794	
And Showpeople	Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.		
Allocations			
Continued			

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Knapton. Include site as part of Green Belt, Loss of agricultural land, Scale	3003/7830	
Gypsy, Traveller	of showpeople's yard would be out of proportion to existing village, Impact on amenity		
And Showpeople	due to industrial nature of activity, Traffic implications.		
Allocations	Objection – see response 9	3004/7842	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Site is important for drainage / overflow, capacity / impact on	3006/7831	
	existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Green Belt		
	location, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas		
	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,		
	Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing		
	housing / harm to their outlook.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Consistency - other applications on Green	3007/4453	
	Belt land refused in the past, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.	30077 : 133	
	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,		
	Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing		
	housing / harm to their outlook.		
	Objection – See Response 6 and 10A	3008/4454	
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3009/7845	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden),		
	Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	3010/4455	
	impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area, Site is at risk of		
	flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.		
	Objection – See Response 1 and 3	3012/7849	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3013/7850	
	Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on		
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on		
	Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances		
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Site is at risk		
	of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Query robustness of evidence		
	base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements,		
	Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15	2011/11/5	
	Objection – See Response 4	3014/4456	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – See Response 3	3015/4457	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – See Response 8	3018/4458	
And Showpeople	Objection – See Response 8	3019/4459	
Allocations	Objection – See Response 8	3020/4460	
Continued	Objection – See Response 8	3021/4461	
	Objection – see response 9	3022/7862	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Traffic	3023/7864	
	Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3025/7868	
	Objection – See Response 8. Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	3026/4462	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3027/7871	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking	3028/7877	
	Objection – see response 9 The site is large for the existing community and will place pressure on the infrastructure.	3029/4163	
	Objection – see response 9	3030/4172	
	Objection – see response 9. Knapton. Greenbelt must be protected.	3032/4179	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, proximity to existing housing/harm to their outlook. Proximity to/impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to/impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species. Increase in traffic	3033/4463	
	Objection – see response 9 Too near existing houses.	3037/4188	
	Objection – see response 9	3039/4200	
	Objection – see response 9	3040/4209	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 9	3041/4215	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 9	3042/4224	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 9	3043/7896	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 8 and 9	3048/4464	
Continued	Objection – see Response 8 and 9. This proposal is too large for the small vialige and in the greenbelt. Consider brownfield sites.	3049/4465	
	Objection – see Response 8	3050/4466	
	Objection – see Response 8	3051/4467	
	Objection – see Response 8	3052/4468	
	Objection – see Response 8	3053/4469	
	Objection – see Response 1	3054/4470	
	Objection – see Response 1	3055/4471	
	Objection - Dunnington. Any required screening would be an eye saw, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.	3056/7908	
	Objection – see Response 3 and 8.	3057/4472	
	Objection – see Response 3 and 8.	3058/4473	
	Objection – Dunnington and Knapton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated	3060/7919	
	Objection – Dunnington and Knapton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated	3068/7942	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents	3069/7943	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a green buffer. Detrimental to rural feel of the village.	3074/4474	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	3076/7950	
	impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Green Belt location, Site is important for drainage / overflow		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, Land is a buffer between residential and	3080/7954	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to		
	/ impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Brownfield		
	sites should be considered first.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site	3081/7956	
Gypsy, Traveller	is at risk of flooding, Green Belt location, Land is a buffer between residential and		
And Showpeople	industrial areas, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook	2002/7062	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village	3083/7963	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision	3084/7965	
	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village	3085/7966	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3087/7969	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village		
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15	3088/7970	
	Objection – See Response 1. Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Site is at risk of flooding, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species	3089/7971	
	Objection – Knapton	3091/7977	
	Objection – Chowdene	3093/7979	
	Objection – Chowdene	3094/7980	
	Objection – Chowdene	3095/7981	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Designated pollution area by Yorkshire water	3096/7982	
	Objection – see Response 7	3097/7983	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to /	3098/7984	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety		
	matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Consistency - other		
	applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is		
	important for drainage / overflow, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other		
	more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Land is a		
	buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Knapton	3099/7993	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	3100/7994	
And Showpeople	impact on protected species		
Allocations	Objection – opposed to all sites	3104/8001	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,	3110/8014	
	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,		
	Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection – Elvington. Detrimental to rural area. Temporary permission and should not	3113/8022	
	be considered suitable.		
	Support – Knapton. Seems reasonably sized.	3116/8031	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3118/8033	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to /		
	impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Sports club		
	needs land for additional pitches / car parking	2120/0025	
	Objection – Knapton. Green belt must be protected. Traffic flows will increase.	3120/8035	
	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking	3122/8039	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3123/8044	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village	,	
	Objection – Dunnington	3124/8051	
	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3125/8052	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to		
	existing housing / harm to their outlook		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3126/8053	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Cars		
	parked on grass verge, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, Site is at risk of flooding, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15	3128/8056	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village	3131/8060	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area	3138/8080	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access	3140/8085	
	Objection – Knapton	3141/8089	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village	3144/8093	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village	3146/8105	
	Objection – Dunnington. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on	3147/8107	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	visual character of Village		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village	3148/8109	
	Objection – Dunnington, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to children's play area, Site is at risk of flooding, Not suitable for grazing for horses, Community integration issues, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas	3149/8110	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Unsuitable for horse grazing, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	3150/8111	
	Objection – opposed to all sites. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility	3151/8118	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated	3152/8125	
	Objection – see Response 8	3153/4475	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3154/8126	
	Objection - Knapton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated	3158/8133	
	Objection – Dunnington. proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area	3160/8135	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Chowdene Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Green Belt location, Site is at risk of flooding, Destruction of wildlife habitats, Noise and air pollution, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision	3161/8136	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15	3163/8140	
	Objection – Dunnington Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, Village roads are narrow / poor access	3164/8141	
	Objection – Dunnington Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden).	3165/8142	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents	3166/6449	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, No footpath on Common Lane, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Cars parked on grass verge, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding.	3167/8146	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	3169/8151	
Gypsy, Traveller	impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village		
And Showpeople	Objection - Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,	3171/8159	
Allocations	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,		
Continued	Site is at risk of flooding, Cars parked on grass verge		
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,	3172/8160	
	Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position /		
	impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Brownfield sites should be considered first, Query robustness	3175/8166	
	of evidence base and need for facility		
	Objection – Dunnington, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3176/8167	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club		
	needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Query robustness of evidence base and		
	need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Prominent		
	position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to		
	their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are		
	narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane	2170/0174	
	Objection – Knapton Potential environmental and social implications for the residents,	3178/8174	
	wildlife and natural heritage of the area. Destroy a vital green corridor and increased traffic and pollution.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity	3179/8175	
	to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent	31/9/01/3	
	position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional		
	circumstances demonstrated, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility,		
	Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Brownfield sites should be		
	considered first		
	Objection – Dunnington. Should be away from existing communities	3180/8180	
	Objection – Chowdene. Capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including	3187/8201	
	quality of school provision	,	
	Objection – Chowdene. The road is too narrow	3192/8215	
	Objection - Chowdene. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3196/8226	
	demonstrated.		
	Objection - Chowdene. Close proximity to the settled population. Devaluation of	3204/8245	
	property. Sites should be established well away from settled community.		
	Objection – Chowdene.	3205/8248	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Chowdene. Sites should be limited to the open countryside away from	3207/8256	
Gypsy, Traveller	settled community. Proximity to nature reserve.		
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 9	3209/8268	
Allocations	Objection - Knapton	3211/8276	
Continued	Objection - Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3213/8278	
	Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 8	3215/4476	
	Objection – see Response 8	3216/4477	
	Objection – see Response 8	3217/4478	
	Objection – see Response 8	3223/4479	
	Objection – see Response 8	3224/4480	
	Objection – see Response 8	3225/4481	
	Objection – see Response 8	3226/4482	
	Objection – see Response 8	3227/4483	
	Objection – see Response 8	3228/4484	
	Objection – see Response 8	3229/4485	
	Objection – see Response 8	3230/4486	
	Objection – see Response 8	3231/4487	
	Objection – see Response 2	3232/8293	
	Objection – see Response 8	3233/4488	
	Objection – see Response 8	3234/4489	
	Objection – see Response 8	3241/4490	
	Support – supportive of all sites. There musts be good and adequate sites for Gypsies &Travellers. When there are not, they camp anywhere they can and become unpopular through no fault of their own.	3242/8311	
	Support – supportive of all sites. Lack of delivering sites and this needs to be addressed. Relationship between hostile residents and travellers need improving.	3243/8313	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3244/8320	
	Objection – see response 11	3246/8331	
	Objection – all sites. There are already sufficient sites.	3247/8342	
	Objection - Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3248/4241	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Proximity to children's play area/sports ground, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for		
	drainage / overflow, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 9. Greenbelt location. Brownfield sites should be considered.	3249/4248	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 1 and 2	3250/6052	
And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Knapton. Include site as part of Green Belt, Important gap / buffer between village and ring road, Loss of agricultural land, Scale of showpeople's yard would be out of proportion to existing village, Impact on amenity due to industrial nature of activity, Traffic implications	3255/5995	The Knapton & West York Green Belt Protection Group
	Objection – see Response 4	3258/8371	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Site is at risk of flooding.	3259/8372	
	Objection – see Response 8	3260/4491	
	Objection – Dunnington Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past	3261/8374	
	Objection – Dunnington Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Cars parked on grass verge.	3262/8375	
	Objection – Dunnington Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to children's play area, Proximity to Sports club, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Any required screening would enclose the green belt area.	3263/4492	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	3264/4493	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – see Response 7 and 8. Dunnington. This is an inappropriate site, on a dangerous road. Common road is already a "race track" for existing vehicles of employees of the industrial estate, who exit through the village (no traffic lights on Hull Road), install traffic lights at A1079 junction. Greenbelt land.	3265/5792	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3266/8387	
	Objection – Chowdene.	3267/8389	
	Objection – Chowdene. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility	3269/8397	
	Objection – Chowdene.	3270/8401	
	Objection – see response 9	3271/4257	
	Objection – See Response 2 and 1	3274/8412	
	Objection – See Response 2 and 6	3275/8413	
	Objection – See Response 8	3276/8414	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Green Belt location, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding.	3277/8416	
	Objection – see response 9	3278/8425	
	Objection – see response 9 Knapton is a small village. The site will overwhelm it.	3284/4267	
	Objection – see response 9 too much for a small village.	3285/4276	
	Objection – see response 9	3287/4281	
	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 10A. Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village	3290/8434	
	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 10A.	3291/8442	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, capacity / impact on	3293/8457	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Query robustness		
	of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities'		
	requirements, Brownfield sites should be considered first		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 2 and 6. Dunnington Provision is in excess of other	3294/8458	
Gypsy, Traveller	authorities' requirements	2225/2462	
And Showpeople	Objection – opposed to all sites. Excessive numbers.	3295/8460	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 8	3296/4494	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3298/8467	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent		
	position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr		
	Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	3301/4495	
	impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's	3301/4493	
	Garden), Proximity to children's play area, Proximity to sports club, Sports club needs		
	land for additional pitches / car parking, Cars parked on grass verge.		
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt and ring road buffer.	3303/8476	
	Objection – see Response 5	3304/8477	
	Objection – See Response 5  Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3305/8478	
	Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor	3303/01/0	
	access, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve wood and impact on		
	protected species.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3306/8479	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3307/8481	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Consistency -		
	other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Prominent position / impact		
	on visual character of Village, Proximity to industrial area, proximity to existing		
	housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and		
	impact on protected species.		
	Objection – see Response 1	3308/8483	
	Objection – see Response 5	3309/8484	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,	3310/8485	
Gypsy, Traveller	Proximity to industrial area, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on		
And Showpeople	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
Allocations	Objection – see Response 8	3311/4496	
Continued	Objection – see Response 2	3312/8492	
	Objection – see Response 3	3314/8496	
	Objection – see Response 4	3315/8497	
	Objection – see Response 2	3316/8498	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements	3317/4497	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding	3319/8499	
	Objection – see Response 8	3320/4498	
	Objection – see Response 5	3321/8504	
	Comment – Dunnington. The field opposite the sports cluib is not the ideal place for further playing fields or car parking.	3322/8505	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Site is at risk of flooding, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3323/8506	
	Objection – see Response 4. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3324/8507	
	Objection – see Response 7	3325/8509	
	Objection – see Response 6	3326/8510	
	Objection – see Response 8	3328/4499	
	Objection – see Response 8	3329/4500	
	Objection – see Response 8	3332/4501	
	Objection – see Response 1	3333/8518	
	Objection – see Response 8	3334/4502	
	Objection – see Response 8	3335/4503	
	Objection – Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	3336/8519	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,		
	Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	3337/4504	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook,	3338/8520	
And Showpeople	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,		
Allocations	Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Sports club needs land for		
Continued	additional pitches / car parking		
	Objection – see Response 8	3339/4505	
	Objection – see Response 8	3340/4506	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Brownfield sites should be considered first,	3341/8521	
	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area		
	Objection – See Response 2	3342/8522	
	Objection – Chowdene.	3343/8527	
	Objection – Chowdene.	3350/8540	
	Objection - Chowdene. Greenbelt	3351/8543	
	Comment –sites should be spread equally across the city.	3356/8571	
	Objection – Chowdene. Question needs.	3361/8626	
	Objection – see Response 8	3362/4507	
	Objection – Elvington. Should not be long term. Insufficient drainage.	3363/8627	
	Objection – See Response 2. Chowdene. Not appropriate at gateway to city.	3366/8636	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Gypsy and Travellers prefer to live on LA managed sites	3368/5793	
	Objection – Chowdene. Greenbelt buffer from village to ring road. Provide valuable farmland.	3369/8645	
	Objection – Dunnington.	3370/8649	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Chowdene	3372/8656	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Chowdene. A local interest site for mature conservation.	3374/8663	
And Showpeople	Comment – against national policy for maximum suggested size of sites.	3376/8666	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 8	3380/4508	
Continued	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.	3383/4509	
	Objection – see response 9. Knapton. Pleased to see the proposal has been withdrawn.	3384/8690	
	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3385/8692	
	Support - Chowdene.	3386/4510	
	Support – Chowdene. Prevent illegal encampments in this area.	3387/4511	
	Support - Chowdene	3388/4512	
	Support - Chowdene.	3389/4513	
	Support - Chowdene	3390/4514	
	Support – Chowdene. Sensible position for travellers and local residents.	3391/4515	
	Support – Chowdene. Good, safe position for travellers and near by residents.	3392/4516	
	Objection - Chowdene. Too close to Huntington Cemetery.	3394/8695	
	Objection - Knapton.	3396/8702	
	Objection - Knapton	3397/8704	
	Objection – see Response 4 and 8	3398/4517	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15	3400/8706	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site	3402/8710	
Gypsy, Traveller	is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
And Showpeople	protected species, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, number		
Allocations	of travellers wanting to move into housing has not been recognised.		
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3405/6060	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden),		
	Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.	2406/0710	
	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Prominent position / impact	3406/8719	
	on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and		
	impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site		
	is at risk of flooding, No footpath on Common Lane.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,	3408/8723	
	Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Other more suitable	3100,0723	
	sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3409/8728	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent	,	
	position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3410/8729	
	demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past,		
	Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and		
	impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's		
	Garden), Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow		
	/ poor access, Site is important for drainage / overflow.		
	Objection – Dunnington and Knapton.	3418/8732	
	Objection – see response 9 too much to expect tax payers to pay for this site and extra	3419/4291	
	policing.	2424/0742	
	Objection – see Response 7	3421/8743	
	Objection – see Response 1	3422/8744	
	Objection – see response 9	3423/4300	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of	3424/6061	
	accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected		
	species, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Knapton. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3425/8748	
Allocations Continued	Objection – see Response 8 Objection – opposed to all sites. Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature	3426/4518 3427/8751 3431/8755	
	Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to Sports club, Sports club needs land for	3432/4519	
	additional pitches / car parking.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3433/8762	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3434/8792	
	Objection – Chowdene. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Proximity to / impact on environment and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3435/8793	
	Objection – Chowdene. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Proximity to / impact on environment and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3436/8794	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3437/8795	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3439/8800	
Continued	Objection – see Response 2 Objection – see Response 9 Objection – see Response 7 Objection – see response 9 Objection – see response 9 Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected	3440/8801 3441/8807 3442/8809 3443/8818 3445/8822	
	species.  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Any required screening would be an eye saw, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.  Objection – see response 10A. unnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3446/8823	
	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, cost of security and repair of a business in close proximity.	3449/8834	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, number of travellers wanting to hove into houses.	3452/8877 3453/8878	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt and pime agricultural land. Sewer and drainage issues.	3454/8879	
And Showpeople	Objection – See Response 4	3455/8880	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3456/8881	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3457/8882	
	Objection – see response 9	3458/8924	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Cars parked on grass verge, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	3459/8883	
	Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3460/8884	
	Objection – see Response 7. Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area, encroach on rural character.	3462/8887	
	Objection – opposed to all sites. York is not suitable for this type of development.	3463/8888	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3464/8892	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congestion, Cars parked on grass verge.	3466/8901	
	Objection – see Response 6	3467/8902	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 9	3468/8933	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 2	3469/8903	
And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3471/8906	
Allocations	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
Continued	protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding.		
	Objection – see response 9	3472/8941	
	Objection – see response 9	3473/8950	
	Objection – see response 9. Knapton. Greenbelt land. No demand. Priority should be brownfield sites.	3474/8959	
	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village	3477/8916	
	Objection – Dunnington. Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3478/8918	
	Objection – see response 9 too near private residential housing and Knapton.	3479/8976	
	Objection – see response 9	3481/8985	
	Objection – see response 9	3482/8995	
	Objection – see response 9	3483/9004	
	Objection – see response 9	3484/9015	
	Objection – see response 9 large cost to the local authority.	3485/9024	
	Objection – see response 9	3486/9034	
	Objection – see response 9	3487/9044	
	Objection – see response 9	3488/9053	
	Objection - Chowdene.	3489/8920	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 9	3490/9063	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 9	3491/9072	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 9	3492/9081	
Allocations	Objection – see response 9	3493/9090	
Continued	Objection – see response 9	3494/9099	
	Objection – see response 9	3495/9108	
	Objection – see response 9	3502/9117	
	Objection – see response 9	3503/9127	
	Objection – see response 9	3504/9137	
	Objection – see response 9	3505/9146	
	Objection – see response 9	3506/9155	
	Objection – see response 9	3507/9159	
	Support – Providing local sites with York.  Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Cars parked on grass verge, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Impact on property values, Site is at risk of flooding.	3508/9025	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	3509/9424	
	Objection – See Response 4. Dunnington. Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area.	3510/9432	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3512/9441	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic increase.	3513/9442	
	Objection – see response 10A. The site proposed for this is completely unsuitable as this is the green gateway to the village Hassacre nature reserve would be affected if this proposal went ahead. It is totally unsustainable. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature	3515/9444	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to children's play area, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Cars parked on grass verge.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congestion, Proximity to children's play area.	3519/9451	
	Objection – Dunnington. proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	3523/9461	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area.	3525/9467	
	Objection – See Response 7	3526/9468	
	Objection – Knapton. Primefarm land needed to grow crops. Vital historic importance.	3527/9469	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding.	3528/9470	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge.	3529/9471	
	Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	3530/9472	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3531/9476	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 4	3533/9483	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. Possibility of roadside animals, increased traffic, proximity to children's play area, Impact on property values, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3534/5794	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Cars parked on grass verge, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding.	3536/9487	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3537/9488	
	Objection – see Response 3. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3538/9493	
	Objection – Dunnington Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Brownfield sites should be considered first, other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	3540/9498	
	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	3541/9499	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3545/9505	
	Objection – see response 9	3550/9167	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 9	3554/9178	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 9	3555/9188	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 9	3556/9195	
Allocations	Objection – see response 9	3557/9206	
Continued	Objection – see response 9	3558/9210	
	Objection – see response 9	3559/9220	
	Objection – see response 9	3561/9237	
	Objection – see response 9	3562/9244	
	Objection – see response 9	3563/9253	
	Objection – see response 9	3565/9270	
	Objection – see response 9	3566/9279	
	Objection – see response 9	3567/9288	
	Objection – see response 9	3568/9298	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3569/9517	
	Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Cars parked on		
	grass verge.		
	Objection – See Response 5	3572/9528	
	Objection – See Response 4	3573/9529	
	Objection – See Response 4	3574/9530	
	Objection – Chowdene. No more sites are needed.	3575/9531	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, lack of privacy for travellers.	3576/9532	
	Objection – Knapton. Green Belt and green corridor location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, importance of history and heritage of area.	3577/9533	
	Objection – Knapton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Other more suitable sites, including alongside new park and site site.	3579/9535	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 2 and 6	3580/9536	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3581/9537	
And Showpeople	demonstrated, proximity to the sports club, Proximity to children's play area, capacity		
Allocations	/ impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision,		
Continued	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,		
	Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3582/9538	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Land is a		
	buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr		
	Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased		
	risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area, proximity to sports club, Query		
	robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other		
	authorities' requirements, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage /		
	overflow. Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.		
		3583/9548	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,	3363/9346	
	Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position /		
	impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of		
	accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, capacity / impact on existing services and		
	facilities, including quality of school provision.		
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Issues with tethered horses.	3585/9549	
	Objection – see Response 8	3586/9551	
	Objection – Dunnington. Travellers would be happier nearer town centre amenities or	3587/9552	
	industrial sites. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on	,	
	protected species, issues of flytipping and pollution.		
	Objection - Dunnington. Unsuitable size for the village, Green Belt location, no	3589/9561	
	exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for		
	drainage / overflow, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3590/9564	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, proximity to sports club.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Community integration issues, proximity to sports club,	3591/9570	
	Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area, Proximity to / impact on		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, No footpath on Common Lane, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	3592/9571	
	Objection – Dunnington. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	3595/9576	
	Objection – Knapton. Lack of consultation, draft greenbelt, green corridor, prime farmland, increase in traffic, important history and heritage.	3596/9577	
	Objection – Dunnington. Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to children's play area/sports club. Alternative sites include ex british sugar site, land in Stonebow, teardrop site, nestle complex.	3597/9578	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Alternative sites include ex British sugar site, land in Stonebow, teardrop site, nestle complex.	3599/9583	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	3600/9584	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow,	3601/9585	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Other more suitable sites,		
Dallar ACHMA	including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15	2605/0502	
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, lack of	3605/9592	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	privacy for site residents, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Other		
Allocations	more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.		
Continued	Objection – see Response 2 and 5	3607/9595	
Continued	Objection – see Response 2 and 6	3608/9596	
•	Objection – See Response 2 and 6 Objection – Knapton.	3609/9598	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 10A. Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr	3610/9600	
	Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial		
	garden (Julia's Garden), Village roads are narrow / poor access.	2611/0600	
	Objection – see Response 4	3611/9609	
	Objection – see Response 4	3612/9610	
	Objection – Dunnington, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including	3613/9611	
	quality of school provision, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,		
	Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to children's play		
	area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.  Objetion – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact	3614/9612	
	on protected species, Proximity to children's play area, Cars parked on grass verge,	3014/9012	
	Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor		
	access, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk		
	of flooding.		
	Objection – see Response 4	3615/9617	
	Objection – See Response 4  Objetion – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3616/9618	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Consistency -	3010/9018	
	other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on		
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on		
	Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), No footpath on Common Lane, Site is at risk of		
	flooding, Designated by Yorkshire Water as a pollution area, Site is important for		
	drainage / overflow.		
j	Objection – Knapton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated,	3618/9624	
	green corridor, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past,	2010, 3021	
	Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	access. site is at risk of flooding.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 9	3622/9302	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 9	3623/9309	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 9	3627/9322	
Allocations	Objection – see response 9 Old disused wartime aerodromes are best for these sites.	3628/9326	
Continued	Objection – see response 9	3629/9336	
	Objection – see response 9	3630/9342	
	Objection – see response 9	3634/9363	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, proximity to sports clubs.	3635/9648	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow	3636/9649	
	Objection – see response 9	3637/9373	
	Objection – see response 9	3639/9389	
	Objection – see response 9	3641/9405	
	Objection – see response 9	3642/9414	
	Objection - Dunnington. Proximity to existing homes.	3643/9655	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to children's play area, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	3645/9657	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to children's play area, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new	3646/9658	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	settlements ST14 and ST15.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area	3647/9659	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Cars parked on grass verge, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, sports club balcony would overlook site, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15	3648/9660	
	Objection – see Response 8	3649/9661	
	Objection – see Response 8	3650/9662	
	Objection – see Response 8	3652/9666	
	Objection – see Response 4	3653/9667	
	Objection – see Response 8	3654/9668	
	Objection – see Response 8	3655/9669	
	Objection – see Response 8	3657/9672	
	Objection – see Response 8	3658/9673	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, negative effect on recreational facilities.	3659/9674	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, Site is at risk of flooding, site is a "pollution area".	3660/9675	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Cars parked on grass verge, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3661/9676	
	Objection – see Response 8	3662/9677	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	3663/9678	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	3664/9680	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village	3665/9681	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15	3666/9682	
	Objection – see Response 8 Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15	3667/9683	
	Objection – see Response 8	3668/9684	
	Objection – see Response 8	3669/9685	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3670/9686	
	Objection – see Response 8	3671/9687	
	Objection – see Response 8	3672/9688	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3673/9689	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	3674/9690	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3675/9691	
And Showpeople	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to		
Allocations	existing housing / harm to their outlook, Land is a buffer between residential and		
Continued	industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased		
	risk of accidents, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15		
	Comment – look at Walton, West Yorkshire site and positive management of this site.	3677/9423	
	Objection – see Response 8	3678/9693	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3679/9694	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to /		
	impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of		
	flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's		
	play area/sports club.	2624/2722	
	Objection – Dunnington – Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking,	3681/9703	
	proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on		
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	2602/0700	
	Objection – Dunnington. proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is	3682/9709	
	at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, damage grazing horses can do.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3684/9714	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on	3004/9/14	
	protected species.		
	Objection – see Response 8	3685/9715	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3686/9719	
	proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Green Belt location, no	3000,3713	
	exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of		
	accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Cars parked on grass verge, Site is		
	at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species.		
	Objection – see Response 3	3687/9720	
	Objection – see response 10A. Do not think Dunnington is a suitable site for a	3688/9721	
	travellers site. The site proposed for this is completely unsuitable as this is the green		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	gateway to the village Hassacarr nature reserve would be affected if this proposal went ahead. It is totally unsustainable. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Cars parked on grass verge, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area and sports club.	3689/9730	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, "pollution areas" on the site.	3690/9731	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3691/9736	
	Objection – See Response 5 Objection – Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3692/9737	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to children's play area/spots club, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	3693/9738	
	Objection – see Response 8	3694/9739	
	Objection – see Response 8	3695/9741	
	Objection – Dunnington. proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Impact on property values, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	3696/9743	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area.	3697/9744	
	Objection – see Response 8  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Cars parked on grass verge, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	3698/9745 3699/9746	
	Objection – see Response 4, 6 and 8 Objection – see Response 7 Objection – see Response 6	3701/9982 3702/9983 3703/9984	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden).	3704/9986	
	Objection – Dunnington. proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3705/9987	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3706/9988	
	Objection – see Response 7 Objection – Knapton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and ringroad. Proximity to / impact on wildlife.	3707/9989 3709/9991	
	Objection – see Response 5 Objection – see Response 6 Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	3710/9992 3711/9993 3712/9994	
	impact on protected species.  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3713/9997	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 7	3717/10005	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 1	3718/10006	
And Showpeople	Objection – all sites. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision	3719/10008	
Allocations	is in excess of other authorities' requirements.		
Continued	Objection – see Response 8	3721/10017	
	Objection – see Response 8	3722/10018	
	Objection – see Response 2, 5, 7, 10A	3723/6467	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3724/10023	
	demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past,		
	Site is at risk of flooding, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to /		
	impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity /		
	impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, lack of		
	demonstration of cooperative working with neighbouring authorities.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3725/10024	
	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on	3/23/10024	
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.		
	Objection – see response 9	3730/10055	
	Objection – see response 9	3731/10065	
	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt location, loss of wildlife.	3732/10067	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3733/10068	
	capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school	,	
	provision.		
	Objection – see response 9	3735/10075	
	Objection - Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3736/10079	
	Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor		
	access/A1079 access junction, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities,		
	including quality of school provision.		
	Objection – see response 11. Knapton. Greenbelt location, protection of wildlife.	3737/10080	
	Objection – see response 9	3738/10090	
	Objection – see response 9	3741/10106	
	Objection – see response 9	3742/10116	
	Objection – see response 9	3743/10126	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3744/10127	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Other more suitable sites, including extending already existing sites, Community integration issues.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 9 increased traffic congestion.	3745/5803	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection - Dunnington. Green space, detrimental to the village character, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3748/10139	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	3749/10144	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3750/10145	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3751/10146	
	Objection – All sites. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements. Chowdene. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, potential damage to the environment.	3752/10148	
	Objection – see Response 4	3753/10151	
	Objection – see Response 6	3754/10152	
	Objection – see Response 5	3755/10153	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	3756/10154	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	3757/10161	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past,	3758/10162	
Allocations Continued	Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.		
	Objection – see Response 1	3759/10163	
	Objection – see Response 6	3760/10164	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	3761/10165	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	3762/10166	
	Objection – see Response 8 and 10A	3764/10168	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to sports club, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding, designated "pollution zone", Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	3765/10170	
	Objection – see Response 2	3766/10176	
	Objection – Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	3767/10177	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3768/10178	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 1	3769/10181	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 6	3770/10182	
And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnngton. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, impact on local businesses.	3771/10183	
	Objection – Dunnington Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, impact on local businesses.	3772/10184	
	Objection – See Response 2 and 3	3774/10187	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	3775/10188	
	Objection – see Response 5	3776/10189	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	3777/10190	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	3778/10191	
	Objection – see Response 8	3781/10200	
	Objection – see Response 8	3782/10201	
	Objection – see Response 8	3783/10202	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, poor access.	3784/10203	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club,	3786/10206	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Cars parked on grass verge, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3787/10207	
Continued	Objection – see Response 8 Objection – see Response 8 Objection – see Response 8 Objection – see Response 2	3788/10208 3789/10209 3790/10210 3791/10211	
	Objection – see Response 2 Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Site is at risk of flooding, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3792/10212 3795/10221	
	Objection – see Response 8 Objection – see response 9. Transport, parking, noise and pollution are all major issues.	3796/10222 3797/10228	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, consideration that some travellers wish to live in houses, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, designated "pollution area", Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	3798/10231	
	Comment – Chowdene. Consistency - other applications for a touring caravan site was refused in the past, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.  Objection – see Response 5	3799/10245 3801/10250	
	Objection – see Response 8	3802/10251	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	3803/10252	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3804/10253	
And Showpeople	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
Allocations	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
Continued	Objection – see Response 8	3805/10254	
	Objection – see Response 8	3806/10255	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3807/10256	
	Objection – see Response 8	3808/10257	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.	3809/6094	
	Objection – see Response 8	3810/10258	
	Objection – Dunnington. Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated. Brownfield sites should be considered first, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Cars parked on grass verge.	3812/10262	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.	3813/10263	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	3814/10264	
	Objection – see response 9	3815/7821	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – see Response 6. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3816/10265	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	3817/10266	
	Objection – see Response 5  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	3819/10276 3821/10284	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site would be more suitable on the A16 side of Dunnington where Travellers and horses are regually sited., Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, site is large and open and would be difficulty containing where the caravans spread out to, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3822/10285	
	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding/increase of surface water, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3823/10293	
	Objection – see Response 4	3824/10297	
	Objection – see Response 2 and 3 Objection – see Response 8	3825/10298 3826/10299	
	Objection – see Response 8	3827/10300	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	3828/10301	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, lack of	3829/6468	
Continued	privacy for prospective inhabitants, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Site is important for drainage / overflow, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.		
	Objection – see Response 1	3830/10302	
	Objection – see Response 1	3831/10303	
	Objection – see Response 4	3832/10304	
	Objection – see Response 8	3833/10305	
	Objection – see Response 8	3834/10306	
	Objection – see response 8	3835/10307	
	Objection – all sites. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	3836/10310	
	Objection – see Response 8	3837/10312	
	Objection – Chowdene. Potential impact on local businesses. Alternative sites include the racecourse, Tadcaster road, main street, fulford and centre of York.	3838/10314	
	Objection – all sites. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements	3839/10320	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	3840/10321	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3841/10322	
	Objection – Chowdene. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, poor access, Consistency - other applications for a touring caravan site have been refused in the past.	3842/10327	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access/busy junctions, Query robustness of evidence	3843/10329	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	base and need for facility.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	3844/10330	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 8	3845/10331	
And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3846/10332	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 5	3847/10333	
Continued	Objetion – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3848/10334	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to		
	/ impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety		
	matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area, Site is at risk		
	of flooding, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3853/10353	
	Community integration issues, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances		
	demonstrated, Site is important for drainage / overflow, capacity / impact on existing		
	services and facilities, including quality of school provision.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements,	3855/10356	
	negative impression of community, Community integration issues.		
	Objection – all sites. Query responsibility of council to provide sites.	3857/10364	
	Objection – see Response 8	3858/10365	
	Objection – all sites. Impact on property values.	3859/10373	
	Objection – see Response 1. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional	3860/10374	
	circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and		
	impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.		
	Objection – see Response 7	3861/10375	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3862/10376	
	demonstrated, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Prominent		
	position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr		
	Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3863/10378	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to		
	existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature		
	Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village	3866/10383	
	roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass		
	verge, Community integration issues, Prominent position / impact on visual character		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	of Village.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding.	3869/10392	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congestion, Impact on property values.	3870/10393	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	3871/10398	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3873/10405	
	Objection – Dunnington.	3874/10406	
	Objection – Dunnington.	3877/10413	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	3878/10414	
	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt/green corridor location.	3879/10416	
	Objection – Knapton. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Green Belt/green corridor location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, more suitable sites on industrial parks.	3880/10420	
	Objection – see Response 8	3881/4551	
	Objection – see response 11. Knapton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, buffer between the ring road and village.	3884/10423	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt location.	3885/10429	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Cars parked on grass verge, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	3886/4554	
	Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	3887/4555	
	Objection – see Response 2 and 3	3890/4572	
	Objection – see Response 8	3891/4573	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.	3892/4574	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	3895/4576	
	Objection – see Response 2, 3 and 8	3896/4577	
	Objection – see Response 8	3897/4578	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3898/4579	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt location, remote location with no amenities.	3899/4580	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 8	3900/4581	
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 5 and 8	3901/4582	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 8	3904/4591	
Continued	Objection – see Response 8	3905/4592	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	3906/4593	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to	,	
	/ impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.		
	Objection – see Response 8	3910/4594	
·	Objection – see Response 6	3912/4603	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of	3913/4604	
	accidents/congestion, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden).		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3914/4605	
	Objection – see Response 8	3915/4606	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Provision is in excess of other authorities', Requirements, Site is at risk of flooding, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	3916/4607	
	Objection – see Response 8	3917/10453	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	3918/4608	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	3919/4609	
Gypsy, Traveller	impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's		
And Showpeople	Garden), Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in		
Allocations	excess of other authorities' requirements, Sports club needs land for additional pitches		
Continued	/ car parking.		
	Objection – see Response 7	3921/4610	
	Objection – see Response 4	3922/4611	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, lack of privacy for the residents.	3923/4612	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	3924/4613	
	Objection – Chowdene. Size and scale of the site, poor access, possible flood risk, drainage.	3926/10463	
	Objection – see Response 8	3927/4614	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congestion, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3928/4615	
	Objection - see response 10C Opposed to showpeople site in Knapton. It would be detrimental to the area.  Objectin - Knapton. Greenbelt location, buffer to prevent urban sprawl, impact on history and heritage of the village.	3929/10464	
	Objection – see Response 8	3930/4616	
	Objection – see response 10A	3932/7813	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3934/10480	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 3 and 8	3935/4617	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Knapton. Green corridor designation, key part of the openeness of the	3937/10486	
And Showpeople	area.		
Allocations	Objection – see Response 3 and 8	3938/4618	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to children's play area/Sports club.	3939/4619	
	Objection – see Response 5	3940/4620	
	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	3941/4621	
	Objection – see response 9	3942/4630	
	Objection – see Response 3	3943/4632	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first Site is at risk of flooding. Site is important for drainage / overflow, designated "pollution area", Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species/unique habitats.	3944/10499	
	Objection – see response 2	3945/10504	
	Objection – see response 9	3946/4639	
	Objection – see response 9	3947/4647	
	Objection – see Response 8	3948/4648	
	Objection – see Response 8	3951/4650	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to children's play area, Cars parked on grass verge, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Other more suitable sites, including former airfield, Community integration issues, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	3952/4651	
	Objection – Dunnington, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	3953/4652	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Chowdene. Pressure on traffic, schools and services. Community integration issues.	3954/10524	
And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	3955/10528	
	Objection – see Response 3	3957/4654	
	Objection – all site. Community integration issues.	3958/10541	
	Objection – see Response 8	3959/4655	
	Objection – see Response 3	3960/4656	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	3961/4657	
	Objection – see Response 7	3962/4658	
	Objection – see Response 7	3963/4659	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding.	3964/4660	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congested junctions.	3965/4661	
	Objection – see response 9	3966/10550	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3968/4662	
Continued	Objection – all sites.	3970/10568	
	Objection – see Response 8	3972/4663	
	Objection – see Response 8	3973/4664	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	3974/4665	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.	3977/4667	
	Objection – Knapton. Size and scale inappropriate, queries need.	3980/10583	
	Objection - Chowdene. Queries need/	3981/10589	
	Objection – see Response 2	3982/10590	
	Objection – see Response 3	3985/4668	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, inappropriate size and scale, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	3986/4669	
	Objection –see Response 8	3988/4670	
	Objection – see Response 8	3989/5805	
	Objection –see Response 8 and 7	3990/4671	
	Objection – see Response 8	3991/5806	
	Objection – see Response 7	3993/10601	
	Objection – see Response 8	3995/4672	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure,	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or
Para etc.			organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	3997/10607	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 1	3998/5807	
And Showpeople	Objection - Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	3999/10608	
Allocations	capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school		
Continued	provision, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated,		
	Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.		
	Objection – see Response 8 and 6	4000/4673	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Knapton. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, congestion, impact on local businesses.	4001/10613	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	4004/10623	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	4005/10624	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4006/10625	
	Objection – see Response 3 Objection – Knapton. Green Belt/green corridor location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	4007/10626 4008/10627	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	4009/10628	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	4010/10630	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4013/10639	
	Objection -see Response 3	4014/10640	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Cars parked on grass verge, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4015/10641	
	Objection -see Response 8	4017/10644	
	Objection – Osbaldwick. Poor management of existing site.	4018/10648	
	Objection – see Response 8	4019/10649	
	Objection – see Response 8	4020/10650	
	Objection – see Response 8	4021/10651	
	Objection – see Response 8	4022/10652	
	Objection – see Response 8	4023/10653	
	Objection – see Response 8	4024/10654	
	Objection – see Response 8	4025/5808	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4026/5809	
	Objection – See Response 5	4027/10655	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, safety of tethered and exercising horses, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	4029/10658	
		<u> </u>	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	4030/10664	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congestion on roads, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Impact on property values, safety of tethered horses	4032/10666	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4033/10670	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Cars parked on grass verge, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4034/10671	
	Objection – See Response 8	4035/10673	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Cars parked on grass verge.	4036/10674	
	Objection – Chowdene.	4037/10675	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area, increased heavy vehicles.	4038/10678	
	Objection – all sites.	4040/10686	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	4041/10689	
	Objection – see Response 8	4042/10690	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Chowdene. Locate sites outside the ringroad.	4044/10699	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	4045/10700	
And Showpeople	impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,		
Allocations	Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters /		
Continued	increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, Site is at risk of flooding, Site		
	is important for drainage / overflow.		
	Objection – Chowdene.	4046/10710	
	Objection – all sites. Will attract more traveller to vergeside encampments	4052/10731	
	Obection – Dunnington. Travellers prefer to be nearer their settled families, Prominent	4053/4674	
	position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr		
	Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Objection – see response 9	4055/4683	
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4056/4685	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to		
	/ impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to /		
	impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of		
	accidents, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of		
	school provision, Site is important for drainage / overflow.		
	Objection – see Response 1	4058/4693	
	Objection – see Response 5	4059/4694	
	Objection – see Response 1	4060/4695	
	Objection – see response 10A Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional	4061/4696	
	circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of		
	Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Consistency - other		
	applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	4050/450=	
	Objection – see Response 8	4062/4697	
	Objection – see Response 8	4064/4698	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4067/4699	
	demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Impact on		
	property values, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species.		
	l .		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to	4069/4700	
And Showpeople	/ impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety		
Allocations	matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for		
Continued	facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Consistency - other		
	applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is		
	important for drainage / overflow, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other		
	more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15		
	Objection – Knapton. Brownfield sites are more suitable.	4070/10756	
	Objection – see Response 8	4071/4701	
	Objection – see response 9	4072/4706	
	Objection – Chowdene.	4073/4707	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location.	4074/10761	
	Objection – see response 9	4077/4716	
	Objection – see response 9 the development will attract further traffic which will significantly reduce safety and amenity in the area.	4078/4725	
	Objection – see response 9	4079/4734	
	Objection – see response 9	4080/4743	
	Objection – see response 9	4082/4752	
	Objection – see response 9 There are no amenities and the village will be overwhelmed.	4084/4762	
	Objection – see response 9	4085/5818	
	Objection – see response 9	4087/4771	
	Objection – see response 9	4088/4780	
	Objection – Dunnington. No footpath on Common Lane, Prominent position / impact	4089/4781	
	on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and		
	impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents,		
	Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area/sports club,		
	Site is at risk of flooding, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility,		
	Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	4000/4702	
	Objection – Chowdene.	4090/4782	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past,	4091/4783	
	Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to children's play area,/sports		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	club Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congested junctions, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	4092/4784	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	4093/4785	
	Objection – Chowdene. Existing site at Water lane, clifton should be expanded. Flooding issues, increased traffic.	4096/10790	
	Objection – see response 9	4097/4791	
	Objection – see Response 8	4100/4793	
	Objection – see response 9	4103/4802	
	Objection - Chowdene. Teathered horses pose a danger.	4105/4804	
	Objection – see Response 8	4106/4806	
	Objection – see Response 8	4107/4807	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	4108/4812	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	4109/4813	
	Objection – all sites. Traveller sites should be in the countryside.	4110/10812	
	Objection – see response 9 The site will overwhelm the village.	4111/4822	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Other more suitable sites, including the grain stores, Traffic Safety matters /	4113/4824	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4114/4825	
Gypsy, Traveller	demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past,		
And Showpeople	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,		
Allocations	Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4115/4826	
	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other		
	applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on		
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area.	4446/4007	
	Objection – see Response 8	4116/4827	
	Objection – see Response 6	4118/4828	
	Objection – see Response 5	4119/4829	
	Objection – see Response 1	4120/4830	
	Objection – see Response 4	4121/4831 4122/4832	
	Objection – see Response 7 Objection – Duppington Provincity to / impact on Hassacars Nature Reserve and	4122/4832	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	4123/4833	
	impact on protected species, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Proximity to industrial area, Site is at risk		
	of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Sports club needs land for		
	additional pitches / car parking, Cars parked on grass verge, Green Belt location, no		
	exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and		
	industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 8	4125/4835	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, impact on historic nature and character of village, impact on property prices.	4126/4836	
	Objection – see response 9	4127/4844	
	Objection – all sites. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4128/10833	
	Objection – see Response 7	4131/4845	
	Objection – Chowdene. Poor access, increase in traffic.	4132/10842	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4133/4846	
Gypsy, Traveller	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to		
And Showpeople	/ impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Query robustness of evidence base and		
Allocations	need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Traffic Safety		
Continued	matters / increased risk of accidents/congestion, Consistency - other applications on		
	Green Belt land refused in the past, Brownfield/industrial sites should be considered		
	first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.		
	Objection – see Response 8	4135/4847	
	Objection – see Response 4	4136/4848	
	Objection – see Response 3	4137/4849	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4138/4850	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads		
	are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding, designated "pollution areas".		
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, noise and disruption to existing village, not within walking distance to schools,.	4140/10851	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4141/4851	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to		
	existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature		
	Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden		
	(Julia's Garden), little privacy to travellers, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of		
	accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars		
	parked on grass verge, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Village roads	4142/4852	
	are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection – see Response 8	4144/4853	
	Objection – see response 9	4145/4862	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4146/4863	
	demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past,		
	Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Land is a buffer between		
	residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	4147/4864	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding.	4148/4865	
	Objection – see response 10A. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	4152/4867	
	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	4153/4868	
	Objection – see response 10A. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	4154/4869	
	Objection – all sites. Supply much larger than needed or desirable.	4155/10871	
	Objection – see Response 5	4156/4870	
	Objection – Chowdene.	4158/10878	
	Objection – Chowdene.	4159/10884	
	Objection – see Response 3 and 8	4160/4871	
	Objection – Dunnington. proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, No footpath on Common Lane, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4161/4872	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on	4164/4873	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	4165/5819	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 8	4166/5820	
<b>And Showpeople</b>	Objection – see Response 8	4167/5821	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Chowdene. Increase in traffic, community cohesion issues, rufforth airfield more suitable.	4169/10896	
	Objection – see Response 8	4170/4874	
	Objection – see Response 8	4171/4875	
	Objection – see Response 8	4172/4876	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	4176/4877	
	Objection – Chowdene. Proximity to cemetery.	4177/10909	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, special character of historic village, rich in wildlife.	4178/11753	
	Objection – see Response 8	4179/4878	
	Objection - Dunnington. Greenbelt location.	4180/10910	
	Objection – see Response 8	4183/4879	
	Objection – see Response 8	4184/4880	
	Objection – see Response 1	4185/4881	
	Objection – see Response 6	4186/4882	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	4187/4883	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	4188/4885	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 4	4189/4886	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 9	4191/4895	
Allocations	Objection – Chowdene.	4192/4896	
Continued	Objection – see Response 8	4194/4897	
	Objection – see Response 8	4195/4898	
	Objection – Dunnington.	4196/4899	
	Objection – see response 9	4198/10928	
	Objection – Dunnington. Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	4202/10944	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area.	4203/10945	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Consideration of the number of travellers that would like to be in housing.	4205/10946	
	Objection – see Response 4	4206/10947	
	Objection – see Response 8	4208/10949	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.	4209/10953	
	Objection – see Response 1	4210/10954	
	Objection – see Response 4	4211/10955	
	Objection – see Response 3 and 7	4212/10956	
	Objection – see Response 8	4213/10957	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, No footpath on	4214/10959	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Common Lane, Site is at risk of flooding, Provision is in excess of other authorities'		
D !! A G!!!!	requirements.	4245/40062	
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4215/10962	
Gypsy, Traveller	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent		
And Showpeople Allocations	position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm		
Continued	to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, No footpath on Common Lane, Site is at risk of flooding, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.		
	Objection – see Response 7	4218/10969	
	Objection – see Response 7	4219/10970	
	Objection – see Response 1	4220/10971	
	Objection – see Response 7	4221/10972	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	4224/10979	
	Objection – see Response 8	4226/10983	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	4227/5822	
	Objection – see Response 8	4228/10985	
	Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4229/10986	
	Objection – Dunnington and Knapton. Greenbelt location, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	4230/10987	
	Objection – all sites. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	4231/10990	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, proximity to existing housing / harm to	4232/10995	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4233/10996	
Gypsy, Traveller	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other		
And Showpeople	applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on		
Allocations	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters /		
Continued	increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area, capacity / impact on		
	existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.		
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4234/6452	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow,		
	Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection – see Response 8	4235/11000	
	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt location, increase in traffic, noise and light pollution.	4236/11001	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4237/11002	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent		
	position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr		
	Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased		
	risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.		
	Objection – see Response 8	4238/11003	
	Objection – see Response 8	4239/11004	
	Objection – see Response 8	4240/11005	
	Objection – see Response 8	4241/11006	
	Objection – see Response 6	4243/11010	
	Objection – see Response 1	4245/11017	
	Objection – see Response 5	4246/11018	
	Objection – see Response 7	4247/11019	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4248/11020	
	demonstrated, No footpath on Common Lane, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk		
	of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Cars parked on grass verge,		
	Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Prominent		
	position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr		
	Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Objection – see Response 5	4249/11021	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Knqapton. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, historic and heritage of village, loss of wildlife habitats, noise and light pollution.	4251/11024	
Allocations Continued	Objection – see response 10A. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.	4252/5823	
	Objection – see Response 8	4254/11031	
	Objection – see Response 7	4255/11032	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4256/11033	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Community integration issues, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	4257/11035	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/Sports club.	4258/11046	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Cars parked on grass verge, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	4259/11047	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Cars parked on grass verge, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	4260/11048	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4261/11049	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	4262/11052	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congestion.	4263/11053	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	4264/11056	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4265/11057	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4266/11059	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4267/11060	
	Objection – Chowdene. Poor access.	4268/11073	
	Objection – see Response 1	4269/11076	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.	4270/11077	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.	4271/11085	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	4272/11086	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 8	4273/11087	
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 8	4274/11088	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 8	4276/11092	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4277/11093	
	demonstrated, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements , Sports club		
	needs land for additional pitches / car parking.		
	Objection – see Response 8	4278/11094	
	Support - Elvington.	4279/11095	
	Objection – see Response 8	4280/11096	
	Objection – Chowdene Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in	4287/11121	
	the past, poor acees/ busy junctions. Osbaldwick. Badly managed at present.		
	Objection – see response 9	4288/11123	
	Objection – All sites.	4290/11128	
	Objection – Chowdene	4291/11133	
	Support – Elvington. Should remain as it is for one family.	4309/11186	
	Comment – should be sites for tourist caravans.	4312/11193	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	4316/5824	
	Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety		
	matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature		
	Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Support - Elvington. Well integrated into the community.	4319/11208	
	Support - Elvington. Site is ideal for this use and is well screened. The family is	4320/11209	
	growing and need more space. If the family were forced elsewhere it would be a loss		
	to the community.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	4330/11244	
	impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's		
	Garden), Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Land is a buffer		
	between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to children's play area, Prominent		
	position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm		
	to their outlook.	1001/115:-	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	4331/11245	
	impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's		
	Garden), Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Land is a buffer		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to children's play area, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding.	4335/11251	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species ,Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	4336/11252	
	Objection – see Response 5. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4337/5825	
	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	4339/11256	
	Objection – see Response 8	4340/11257	
	Objection -Knapton. Flooding issue, buffer for urban sprawl, greenbelt location.	4346/11265	
	Objection – Knapton. Green Belt location	4349/11270	
	Objection – Dunnington. Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Green Belt location, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	4352/11280	
	Objection – Dunnington. Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Green Belt location, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	4353/11285	
	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt location. Inapppropriae size and scale, development is	4356/11286	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	an industrial use and more suited to an industrial setting.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Chowdene. Encourage illegal encampments in the area.	4357/11290	
Gypsy, Traveller	Support – Elvington. No objection if the site was to stay the same as at present.	4358/11294	
And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Knapton. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Green Belt/green corridor location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, impat on history and heritage of village, use is industrial.	4360/11304	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4364/11311	
	Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Any required screening would be an eye saw, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	4365/11312	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4369/11319	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, industrial uses, query need, increase in traffic and congestion.	4370/11320	
	Objection – all sites. Against all sites for these people	4371/11324	
	Objection – Dunnington. Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	4373/11331	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Knapton. Inappropriate size and scale, greenbelt location.	4376/11334	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4383/6455	
And Showpeople Allocations	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Site is at risk of flooding, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of		
Continued	school provision, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
Continued	protected species.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4384/6457	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety	1301,0137	
	matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for		
	drainage / overflow, designated "pollution zone" by Yorkshire water, Prominent		
	position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr		
	Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Objection – see response 9. Knapton. Greenbelt location.	4386/11363	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	4387/11365	
	impact on protected species.		
	Objection – see Response 3	4395/11389	
	Objection – see response 8	4399/11397	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4401/4902	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to		
	/ impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety		
	matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for		
	facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Consistency - other		
	applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is		
	important for drainage / overflow, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other		
	more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.  Objection – Dunnington. Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in	4402/4908	
	the past.	4402/4906	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location.	4409/5048	
	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt location	4415/5049	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbert location  Objection – Knapton. Inappropriate size and scale.	4416/5058	
	Objection - Knapton, Query need.	4419/11442	
	Objection - Knapton. Eight and noise pollution. Better suited in an industrial setting.	4422/11449	
	Objection – see response 9	4425/5071	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	4426/4909	
	impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage /	1.20, 1505	
l .	impact on protected species, site is at risk of nooding, site is important for dramage,	I	I

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	overflow, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, capacity / impact on		
	existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Impact on property values.	4430/11456	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 9	4431/5081	
And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4433/4910	
Allocations	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
Continued	protected species, Brownfield sites should be considered first.		
	Objection – see response 9	4435/5090	
	Objection – see response 9	4437/5099	
	Objection – see response 9	4438/5108	
	Objection – see response 9	4439/5113	
	Objection – see response 9	4440/5123	
	Objection – see response 9	4441/5131	
	Objection – see response 9	4442/5140	
	Objection – see response 9	4444/5154	
	Objection – see response 9	4446/5170	
	Objection – see response 9	4447/5178	
	Support – all sites. Long standing need for more pitches.	4449/11463	
	Objection – see Response 8	4452/4911	
	Objection – see Response 8	4453/4912	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area/sports, Cars parked on grass verge.	4454/4913	
	Objection – Dunnington. proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Community integration issues, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to children's play area, Cars parked on grass verge.	4456/4914	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4457/4915	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4458/4916	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Brownfield sites should be considered first.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	4459/4917	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	4460/4918	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, noise pollution, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.	4461/4919	
	Objection – see response 9	4462/5186	
	Objection – see response 9	4463/5195	
	Objection - Chowdene. Impact on local businesses.	4464/11468	
	Objection – Chowdene. Poor access, proximity to settled community, impact on local businesses. Sites should be situated away from commercial businesses.	4467/11475	
	Objection – Dunnington & Knapton. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	4468/11480	
	Objection – Chowdene. Poor and narrow access, previous planning applications turned down, privacy of existing residents, issue of teathered horses, query need, brownfield sites should be considered first.	4470/4920	
	Objection – Chowdene. Poor and narrow access, previous planning applications turned down, privacy of existing residents, issue of teathered horses, query need, brownfield sites should be considered first.	4471/4922	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	4472/4924	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity	4473/4925	
Gypsy, Traveller	to children's play area/sports club.		
And Showpeople	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4474/4926	
Allocations	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
Continued	Objection – see Response 8	4476/4927	
	Objection – see Response 8	4477/4928	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4478/6476	
	demonstrated, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Prominent		
	position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congestion.		
	Objection – see Response 5	4479/4929	
	Objection – see Response 5	4480/4930	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4483/4931	
	demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past,	1100, 1001	
	Brownfield sites should be considered first, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of		
	accidents, congestion at junctions, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve		
	and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, capacity / impact on		
	existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4484/4932	
	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Consistency - other		
	applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on		
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection – see response 2	4485/4933	
	Objection – see Response 5	4486/4934	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4487/4935	
	demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past,		
	Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and		
	impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents,		
	Proximity to children's play area/sports club.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4488/4936	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent		
	position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm		
	to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, designated "pollution area", Traffic Safety		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – See Response 8	4494/4937	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding and designated as	4496/4938	
And Showpeople	"pollution area".		
Allocations	Objection – see Response 3	4497/4939	
Continued	Objection – see Response 3	4498/4940	
	Objection – Dunnington. Impact on property values, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	4499/11529	
	Objection – see Response 8	4500/4941	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, designated "pollution area", Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	4501/4942	
	Objection – see Response 3	4502/4943	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	4503/4944	
	Objection – see Response 8	4504/4945	
	Objection – see Response 8	4505/4946	_

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	4506/4947	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 8	4507/4948	
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 8	4508/4949	
Allocations Continued	Objection – see Response 3, 4, 5 and 2. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4509/4950	
	Objection – see Response 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4510/4951	
	Objection – see Response 2 and 6	4511/5826	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4512/5827	
	Objection – see Response 4	4513/5828	
	Objection – see Response 7	4514/5829	
	Objection – see Response 8	4515/4952	
	Objection – see Response 8	4516/4953	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4517/4954	
	Objection – see Response 4	4518/4955	
	Objection – see Response 4	4519/4956	
	Objection – see Response 4 and 10A	4520/4957	
	Objection – see Response 4	4521/4958	
	Objection – see Response 4	4522/4959	
	Objection – see Response 4	4523/4960	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4524/4961	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4525/4962	
<b>Gypsy, Traveller</b>	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
And Showpeople	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
Allocations	Objection – See Response 7	4526/4963	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4527/4964	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – See Response 6	4528/4965	
	Objection – See Response 4	4529/4966	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4530/4967	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see Response 1	4531/4968	
	Objection – see Response 6	4532/4969	
	Objection – see Response 7	4533/4970	
	Objection – see Response 4	4534/4971	
	Objection – see Response 1	4535/5830	
	Objection – see Response 4	4536/5831	
	Objection – see Response 3 and 4	4537/4972	
	Objection – see Response 4	4539/4973	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4540/4974	
	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on		
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Consistency - other		
	applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Traffic Safety matters / increased		
	risk of accidents, congested junctions, Land is a buffer between residential and		
	industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see Response 5 and 6	4541/4975	
	Objection – see Response 2 and 7	4542/4976	
	Objection – see Response 1 and 4	4543/4977	
	Objection – see Response 1 and 5	4545/4978	
	Objection – see Response 6. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional	4546/4979	
	circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and		
	impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4547/6477	
Continued	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7  Objection – see Response 1, 2, 4, 6, 7. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4548/6478 4549/4980	
	Objection – see Response 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4550/5832	
	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3, , 7.	4551/4981	
	Objection – see Response 3	4552/4982	
	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4553/4983	
	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4554/4984	
	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4555/4985	
	Objection – see Response 2, 5, 7.	4556/4986	
	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4557/4987	
	Objection – see Response 6	4558/4988	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3.	4559/4989	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4560/4990	
	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 5.	4561/4991	
	Objection – see Response 4, 5, 7	4562/4992	
	Objection – see Response 4, 6, 7.	4563/4993	
	Objection – see Response 6	4564/4994	
	Objection – see Response 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4565/4995	
	Objection – see Response 8	4566/4996	
	Objection – see Response 8	4567/4997	
	Objection – see Response 8	4568/4998	
	Objection – see Response 8	4569/4999	
	Objection – see Response 3	4570/5000	
	Objection – see Response 2	4571/5001	
	Objection – see Response 3	4572/5002	
	Objection – see Response 3	4573/5003	
	Objection – see Response 2	4574/5004	
	Objection – see Response 5 and 8. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4575/5005	
	Objection – see Response 2, 3 and 8.	4576/5006	
	Objection – See Response 8	4577/5007	
	Objection – all traveller sites. Should be encouraged to travel. Support for all showpeople sites.	4578/11565	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 8	4579/5008	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 8	4580/5009	
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 8	4581/5010	
Allocations Continued	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species	4582/5011	
	Objection – see Response 6 Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4583/5833	
	Objection – see Response 5	4584/5834	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4585/5835	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Site is at risk of flooding.	4586/5012	
	Objection – see Response 4	4587/5836	
	Objection – see Response 8. Alternative - Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	4588/5013	
	Objection – see Response 8	4589/5014	
	Objection – see Response 8	4590/5015	
	Objection - see response 10A	4591/11569	
	Objection – Dunnington. Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4592/5016	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4593/5017	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 3	4594/5018	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 1	4595/5019	
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 4	4596/5837	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 6	4597/5020	
Continued	Objection – see Response 1	4598/5021	
	Objection – see Response 1 and 6	4599/5022	
	Objection – see Response 4	4600/5023	
	Objection – see Response 3 and 6	4601/5024	
	Objection – see Response 5	4602/5025	
	Objection – see Response 3	4603/5026	
	Objection – see Response 2	4604/5027	
	Objection – see Response 5	4605/5028	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4606/5029	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see Response 5	4607/5838	
	Objection – see Response 7	4608/5839	
	Objection – see Response 1	4609/5030	
	Objection – see Response 5	4610/5031	
	Objection – see Response 3	4611/5032	
	Objection – see Response 5	4612/5840	
	Objection – see Response 6	4613/5841	
	Objection – see Response 5	4614/5033	
	Objection – see Response 1	4615/5034	
	Objection – see Response 7	4616/5035	
	Objection – see Response 5	4617/5036	
	Objection – see Response 6	4619/5037	
	Objection – see Response 7	4620/5038	
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4621/5039	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection - see Response 1	4622/5040	
	Objection – see Response 1	4623/5041	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 7	4624/5042	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4626/5043	
And Showpeople	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, capacity /		
Allocations	impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision,		
Continued	Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on		
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, proximity to existing		
	housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for		
	drainage / overflow, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.		
	Objection – see Response 7	4627/5044	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4628/5045	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see Response 3	4629/5046	
	Objection – see Response 2	4630/5047	
	Objection – see Response 8	4631/5220	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4632/5221	
	demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are		
	narrow / poor access, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including		
	quality of school provision, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,		
	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species,		
	Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car		
	parking, Site is at risk of flooding		
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Prominent	4633/5222	
	position / impact on visual character of Village, Community integration issues, Cars		
	parked on grass verge.	4600/5000	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	4638/5223	
	impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Cars parked		
	on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Land is a		
	buffer between residential and industrial areas.	4620/5224	<u> </u>
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	4639/5224	Dunnington Motor Care
	impact on protected species, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities,		
	including quality of school provision, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	4640/5225	
	impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	is at risk of flooding.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, contradicts sustainable travel policies, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to children's play area, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding and unsuitable for grazing of horses.	4641/5226	
	Objection – Dunnington. proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Community integration issues.	4642/5227	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Site is at risk of flooding, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	4643/6064	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	4645/5228	
	Objection – Knapton. Increased traffic, better suited to an industrial area, impact on infrastructure and property values.	4646/5229	
	Objection – See response 1	4647/11773	
	Objection – Knapton. Query need, impact on historic and heritage character of Knapton, greenbelt location.	4648/5230	
	Objection – See response 10C These proposals will increase the noise and congestion. Knapton. Impact of size and scale, greenbelt status, traffic congestion on the ring road.	4649/5231	
	Objection – see response 9	4650/5244	
	Objection – see response 11	4651/11783	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 11	4652/11789	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 11	4653/11795	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 11	4654/11801	
Allocations	Objection – see response 11	4655/11807	
Continued	Objection – see response 11	4656/11813	
	Objection – see response 11	4657/11819	
	Objection – see response 11	4658/11825	
	Objection – see response 11	4659/11831	
	Objection – see response 11	4660/11837	
	Objection – see response 11	4661/11843	
	Support – see response 11	4662/11849	
	Objection – see response 11	4663/11855	
	Objection – see response 11	4664/11866	
	Objection – see response 11	4665/11861	
	Objection – see response 11	4666/11872	
	Objection – see response 11	4667/11878	
	Objection – see response 11	4668/11884	
	Objection – see response 11	4669/11889	
	Objection – see response 11	4670/11895	
	Objection – see response 11	4672/11904	
	Objection – see response 11	4673/11910	
	Objection – see response 11	4674/11915	
	Objection – see response 11	4675/11921	
	Objection – see response 11	4676/11927	
	Objection – see response 11	4677/11933	
	Objection – see response 11	4678/11939	
	Objection – see response 11	4679/11945	
	Objection – see response 11	4680/11951	
	Objection – see response 11. Dunnington and Knapton. Queries need.	4681/11955	
	Objection – see response 11	4682/11964	
	Objection – see response 11	4683/11970	
	Objection – see response 11	4684/11976	
	Objection – all sites. Traveller should not be allowed static caravans.	4687/14219	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Knapton. Green belt location, query evidence and need, potential noise and light pollution, more suitable on an industrial site, no local services or amenities, increase in traffic.	4689/14244	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access	4692/5232	
	Objection – all sites. Questions why travellers need perminant sites.	4693/11983	
	Objection all sites. Questions why travellers need perminant sites.	4694/11986	
	Objection – Dunnington. Community integration issues	4696/5233	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4697/5234	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden) Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	4699/5235	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden) Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	4700/5246	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	4705/5247	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4706/5248	
	Objection – see Response 6	4707/5249	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4708/5250	

Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 5	4709/5251	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 7	4710/5252	
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 3	4711/5253	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 8	4715/5254	
Continued	Objection – see Response 8	4716/5255	
	Objection - All sites. Green belt location, community intergration issues.	4721/12020	
	Objection – Chowdene. Increase in traffic, community integration issues.	4722/14257	
	Objection – See Response 8	4725/5256	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location. Size and scale, query need, industrial use would be better suited on an industrial site, no local amenities/services to support this	4726/14260	
	community, Impact on historic character of Knapton, buffer to ringroad.		
	Objection – see Response 3. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional	4728/5257	
	circumstances demonstrated, No footpath on Common Lane, Proximity to / impact on		
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on		
	Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Land is a buffer between residential and industrial		
	areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of		
	flooding.		
	Objection – see Response 3	4729/5258	
	Objection - Chowdene. Community integration issues, loss of property value.	4730/14265	
	Objection – see Response 6	4732/5259	
	Objection – see Response 8	4736/5260	
	Objection – see Response 8	4739/5261	
	Objection – see Response 8	4740/5262	
	Objection – see Response 8	4741/5263	
	Objection – see Response 8	4742/5264	
	Objection – see Response 8	4743/5265	
	Objection – see Response 8	4744/5266	
	Objection – see Response 8	4745/5267	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	4746/5268	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	4747/5269	
	Objection – Chowdene. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Site is at risk of flooding.	4748/12036	
	Objection – Dunnington. Increase traffic, safety to pedestrians.	4749/5270	
	Objection – see response 9	4755/5293	
	Objection – see response 9	4759/5312 4760/5842	
	Objection – Dunnington. proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, increase of pollution.	4760/3842	
	Objection – see response 9	4767/5321	
	Objection – see response 9	4768/5326	
	Objection – see Response 8	4772/5843	
	Objection – see Response 8	4773/5844	
	Objection – see Response 8	4774/5271	
	Objection - Dunnington. Increased traffic posing a hazard to pedestrians.	4775/5272	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4776/5273	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, a brownfield or industrial site would be more appropriate.	4780/12073	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding, designated "pollution area", Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Cars parked on grass verge, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	4781/5274	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	4782/12075	
	Objection – see Response 8  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4783/5275 4784/5276	
	Objection – see Response 1 Objection – see Response 1 Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, size and scale Objection – Chowdene. Community integration issues, Impact on property values,	4785/5389 4786/5390 4789/5391 4790/5392	
	impact on local businesses.  Objection – Knapton. Size and scale, increase in traffic, noise and light pollution from industrial nature of workings, impact on wildlife.	4792/5393	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	4794/5394	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden).	4795/12092	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	4796/12094	
	Objection – see Response 8. Dunnington. A more sustainable location should be found.  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	4798/6224 4799/5395	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	4800/5396	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	4801/5397	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, brownfield sites more suitable.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	4802/12103 4803/5398	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	4804/5399	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congestion, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	4805/5400	
	Objection – Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Other more suitable sites, including land between Springbank avenue and A166, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	4806/12109	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and	4808/5401 4809/12119	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	impact on protected species, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Traffic Safety		
	matters / increased risk of accidents.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Chowdene.	4810/12123	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Village roads are narrow / poor access, Green Belt location,	4815/5402	
And Showpeople	no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and		
Allocations	industrial areas, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to /		
Continued	impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk		
	of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	4010/5402	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to /	4818/5403	
	impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to /		
	position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm		
	to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are		
	narrow / poor access, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding.	4820/5404	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4821/5405	
	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on	1021/ 5705	
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4824/5406	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Other more	,	
	suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Prominent position		
	/ impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking,	4825/5407	
	Community integration issues, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial		
	areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected		
	species, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4827/5408	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Query		
	robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other		
	authorities' requirements, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Land is		
	a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4828/5409	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Query		
	robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	authorities' requirements, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and		
	impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars		
	parked on grass verge, no coach parking, Land is a buffer between residential and		
	industrial areas.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – all sites. Needs to manage current sites before more are developed.	4832/12158	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection - Knapton. This is a vital green lung for the city.	4833/5410	
And Showpeople	<b>-</b>	4834/5411	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 6	4835/5845	
Continued	Objection – see Response 2	4836/5412	
	Objection – see Response 7	4837/5846	
	Objection – see Response 7	4838/5413	
	Objection – see Response 7	4839/5414	
	Objection – see Response 7	4840/5415	
	Objection – see Response 5	4841/5416	
	Objection – see Response 4	4842/5417	
	Objection – see Response 4	4843/5418	
	Objection – see Response 2	4844/5419	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4845/5420	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 4	4846/5421	
	Objection – see Response 7	4847/5422	
	Objection – see Response 5	4848/5423	
	Objection – see Response 2	4849/5424	
	Objection – see Response 7	4850/5425	
	Objection – see Response 2	4851/5426	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4852/5427	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 3	4853/5428	
	Objection – see Response 2	4854/5429	
	Objection – see Response 4	4855/5430	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4856/5431	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on	<i>'</i>	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 7	4857/5432	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 6	4858/5433	
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 7	4859/5434	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 3	4860/5435	
Continued	Objection – see Response 6	4861/5436	
	Objection – see Response 3 and 5	4862/5437	
	Objection – see Response 6	4863/5847	
	Objection – see Response 7	4864/5438	
	Objection – see Response 7	4865/5439	
	Objection – see Response 7	4866/5440	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.	4867/5441	
	Objection – see Response 4	4868/5442	
	Objection – see Response 6	4869/5443	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.	4870/5444	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.	4871/5445	
	Objection – see Response 4	4872/5446	
	Objection – see Response 1	4873/5447	
	Objection – see Response 5	4874/5448	
	Objection – see Response 3	4875/5848	
	Objection – see Response 5	4876/5449	
	Objection – see Response 1	4877/5450	
	Objection – see Response 7	4878/5451	
	Objection – see Response 4	4879/5452	
	Objection – see Response 6 and 10A	4880/5849	
	Objection – see Response 1 and 10A	4881/5850	
	Objection – see Response 6	4882/5453	
	Objection – see Response 2	4883/5454	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 4	4884/5455	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see Response 5	4885/5456	
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 7	4886/5457	
Allocations	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4887/5458	
Continued	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4888/5459	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 3	4889/5460	
	Objection – see Response 6	4890/5461	
	Objection – see Response 2	4891/5462	
	Objection – see Response 5	4892/5463	
	Objection – see Response 2	4893/5464	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4894/5465	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4895/5466	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 3	4896/5467	
	Objection – see Response 1	4897/5468	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4898/5469	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 2	4899/5470	
	Objection – see Response 2	4900/5471	
	Objection – see Response 1	4901/5472	
	Objection – see Response 6	4902/5473	
	Objection – see Response 2	4903/5474	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4904/5475	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 3	4905/5476	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 1	4906/5477	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – See Response 6. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/congestion, Site is at risk of flooding.	4907/5478	
	Objection – see Response 6. Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area	4908/5479	
	Objection – See Response 6. Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area	4909/5480	
	Objection – see Response 1	4910/5481	
	Objection – see Response 4	4911/5482	
	Objection – see Response 5	4912/5483	
	Objection – see Response 2. Dunningoton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4913/5484	
	Objection – see Response 1	4914/5485	
	Objection – see Response 6	4915/5486	
	Objection – see Response 7	4916/5487	
	Objection – Dunningoton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	4917/5488	
	Objection – see Response 3	4918/5489	
	Objection – see Response 4	4919/5490	
	Objection – see Response 6	4920/5491	
	Objection – see Response 1	4921/5492	
	Objection – see Response 2, 4 and 6.	4922/5493	
	Objection – see Response 4	4923/5851	
	Objection – see Response 4	4924/5494	
	Objection – see Response 7	4925/5495	
	Objection – see Response 4	4926/5496	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 3	4927/5497	
<b>Gypsy, Traveller</b>	Objection – see Response 8	4928/5498	
And Showpeople	Objection – see Response 4	4929/5499	
Allocations	Objection – see Response 4	4930/5500	
Continued	Objection – see Response 6. Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual	4931/5501	
	character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic		
	Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access,		
	No footpath on Common Lane, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve		
	and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to children's play area.		
	Objection – see Response 6	4932/5502	
	Objection – see Response 4	4933/5503	
	Objection – see Response 6	4934/5504	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4935/5505	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 7	4936/5506	
	Objection – see Response 1 and 5	4937/5507	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4938/5508	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 6	4939/5509	
	Objection – see Response 4	4940/5510	
	Objection – see Response 3	4941/5511	
	Objection – see Response 1	4942/5512	
	Objection – see Response 1	4943/5513	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4944/5514	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.		
	Objection – see Response 7	4945/5515	
	Objection – see Response 5	4946/5516	
	Objection – see Response 4	4947/5517	
	Objection – see Response 1	4948/5518	
	Objection – see Response 1	4949/5519	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see Response 1	4950/5520	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 1	4951/5521	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 1	4952/5522	
Allocations	Objection – see response 1	4953/5523	
Continued	Objection – see response 1	4954/5524	
	Objection – see response 1	4955/5525	
	Objection – see response 1	4956/5526	
	Objection – see response 1	4957/5527	
	Objection – see response 1	4958/5528	
	Objection – see response 1	4959/5529	
	Objection – see response 1	4960/5530	
	Objection – see response 1	4961/5531	
	Objection – see response 1	4962/5532	
	Objection – see response 1	4963/5533	
	Objection – See response 5	4964/5534	
	Objection – see response 7	4965/5535	
	Objection – see response 5 and 1	4966/5536	
	Objection – see response 6	4967/5537	
	Objection – see response 5	4968/5852	
	Objection – see response 8 and 6	4969/5538	
	Objection – see response 6	4970/5539	
	Objection - Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	4971/5540	
	Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites		
	should be considered first, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,		
	Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, a		
	more remote location would afford traveller residents more privacy, Proximity to /		
	impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Provision is in		
	excess of other authorities' requirements.		
	Objection – see response 1	4972/5541	
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4973/5542	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 1	4974/5543	
	Objection – see response 1	4975/5544	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 1	4976/5545	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 1	4977/5546	
And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4978/5547	
Allocations	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
Continued	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4979/5548	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4980/5549	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 1	4981/5550	
	Objection – see response 1	4982/5853	
	Objection – see response 1	4983/5551	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4984/5552	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 5	4985/5553	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4986/5554	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 5	4987/5555	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4988/5854	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 5	4989/5556	
	Objection – see response 6	4990/5557	
	Objection – see response 7	4991/5558	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	4992/5559	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 5	4993/5560	
	Objection – see response 4	4994/5561	
	Objection – see response 1	4995/5562	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 2	4996/5563	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 3	4997/5564	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 3	4998/5565	
Allocations	Objection – see response 2	4999/5566	
Continued	Objection – see response 2	5000/5567	
	Objection – see response 2	5001/5568	
	Objection – see response 3	5002/5569	
	Objection – see response 2	5003/5570	
	Objection – see response 2	5004/5571	
	Objection – see response 1	5005/5572	
	Objection – see response 3	5006/5573	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5007/5574	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 2	5008/5575	
	Objection – see response 2	5009/5858	
	Objection – see response 2	5010/5576	
	Objection – see response 2	5011/5577	
	Objection – see response 2	5012/5578	
	Objection – see response 2	5013/5859	
	Objection – see response 2	5014/5579	
	Objection – see response 2	5015/5580	
	Objection – see response 2	5016/5581	
	Objection – see response 2	5017/5582	
	Objection – see response 5	5018/5583	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5019/5584	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 6	5020/5585	
	Objection – see response 6	5021/5860	
	Objection – see response 4	5022/5586	
	Objection – see response 2	5023/5587	
	Objection – see response 2	5024/5588	
	Objection – see response 6	5025/5589	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5026/5590	
Gypsy, Traveller	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
And Showpeople	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
Allocations	Objection – see response 1	5027/5591	
Continued	Objection – see response 4	5028/5592	
	Objection – see response 4	5029/5593	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5030/5594	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5031/5595	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 1	5032/5596	
	Objection – see response 4	5033/5597	
	Objection – see response 7	5034/5598	
	Objection – see response 6	5035/5599	
	Objection – see response 7	5036/5600	
	Objection – see response 5	5037/5601	
	Objection – see response 7	5038/5602	
	Objection – see response 6	5039/5603	
	Objection – see response 3	5040/5604	
	Objection – see response 5	5041/5605	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5042/5606	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5043/5607	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 1	5044/5861	
	Objection – see response 8	5045/5608	
	Objection – see response 7	5046/5609	
	Objection – see response 2	5047/5610	
	Objection – see response 1	5048/5611	
	Objection – see response 1	5049/5612	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5050/5613	
Gypsy, Traveller	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
And Showpeople	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5051/5614	
Continued	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 1	5052/5615	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5053/5616	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5054/5617	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5055/5618	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5056/5619	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5057/5620	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 1	5058/5621	
	Objection – see response 1	5059/5622	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5060/5623	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – See response 7 and 2	5061/5624	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5062/5625	
	demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – see response 6	5063/5626	
	Objection – see response 7	5064/5627	
	Objection – see response 4	5065/5628	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 1	5066/5629	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 3	5067/5630	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 4	5068/5631	
Allocations	Objection – see response 5	5069/5632	
Continued	Objection – see response 4	5070/5633	
	Objection – see response 4	5071/5634	
	Objection – see response 6	5072/5635	
	Objection – see response 5	5073/5636	
	Objection – see response 4	5074/5637	
	Objection – see response 5	5075/5638	
	Objection – see response 7	5076/5639	
	Objection – see response 1	5077/5640	
	Objection – see response 6	5078/5641	
	Objection – see response 4	5079/5642	
	Objection – see response 6	5080/5855	
	Objection – see response 4	5081/5856	
	Objection – see response 6	5082/5862	
	Objection – see response 6	5083/5863	
	Objection – see response 5	5084/5643	
	Objection – see response 5	5085/5644	
	Objection – see response 3	5086/5645	
	Objection – see response 3	5087/5646	
	Objection – see response 3	5088/5647	
	Objection – see response 3	5089/5648	
	Objection – see response 3	5090/5649	
	Objection – see response 3	5091/5650	
	Objection – see response 3	5092/5651	
	Objection – see response 3	5093/5652	
	Objection – see response 5	5094/5653	
	Objection – see response 4	5095/5654	
	Objection – see response 4	5096/5655	
	Objection – see response 5	5097/5656	
	Objection – see response 1	5098/5657	
	Objection – Dunnington. Other more suitable sites, including proposed new	5099/5658	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	settlements ST14 and ST15, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Community integration issues, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.  Objection – see response 3  Objection – see response 4  Objection – see response 1  Objection – Dunnington. Greenbelt location, risk of flooding, impact on the character of the village.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding and not suitable for the grazing of horses, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to children's play area, Any required	5100/5659 5101/5660 5102/5661 5103/5662 5104/5663 5105/5664 5106/5665	
	screening would be an eye sore.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Site is at risk of flooding and unsuitable for grazing of horses, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Site is at risk of flooding and unsuitable for grazing of horses, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5109/5667 5110/5668 5111/12218	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Chowdene. Impact on local infrastructure.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	5114/5669 5115/5670	
	Objection – Knapton. Green corridor location, increase in traffic. Better placed in an industrial setting.  Objection – Dunnington. Community integration issues, Impact on property values.  Objecion – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), No footpath on Common Lane, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	5117/5671 5119/5672 5120/5673	
	Objection – Dunnington. Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location. Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements. Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	5121/5674 5123/12231 5127/5675 5128/5676	
	Objection – all sites, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	5130/12248	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	5131/5677	
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, impact on historic character of Knapton, size and scale of site, poor access to local services.  Objection – Knapton. Impact of sixe and scale. Knapton has no village services.	5133/5678 5134/5679	
Allocations Continued	Greenbelt location used for food production.  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	5136/5681	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location and buffer between village and ring road.  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's	5138/12254 5140/5682	
	Garden), Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.  Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location.  Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt land.	5141/12260 5142/5683	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location.  Objection – Dunnington. Community integration issues, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	5144/12262 5149/5684	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding, No footpath on Common Lane, Proximity to children's play area, no privacy for traveller residents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	5150/5685	
	Objection – Elvington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, issue of flood risk, site has temporary planning permission.  Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Green	5153/5686 5154/5687	
	Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on	3134/308/	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Cars parked on grass verge, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	5155/5688	
	Objection – Dunnington. Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	5156/5689	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	5157/5690	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Site is at risk of flooding, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	5159/5691	
	Support – Chowdene. Owners comment.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5160/12907 5161/5692 5162/5693	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Site is at risk of flooding, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	5168/5694	
Continued	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, inappropriate size and scale.  Objection – Chowdene. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents/traffic congestion, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	5170/5695 5171/6072	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding.	5173/12311	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding.	5174/12315	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding/sports club, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	5176/5696	
	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Impact on property values, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Any required screening would be an eye sore, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden).	5178/12328	
	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity / impact on	5181/5697	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	5182/5698	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding.	5184/5699	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, impact on knapton's history and historic character.	5186/12396	
	Objection – Dunnington. Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, site goes against traveller's wishes, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	5187/5700	
	Objection – Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Community integration issues, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Impact on property values, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	5188/5701	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, No footpath on Common Lane.	5191/12408	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location.  Objection – Chowdene. Sites should be created at the edge of the city or on industrial	5192/12461 5194/12415	
	estates, like James street.	-	
	Objection – Chowdene. Increase in traffic, poor access, issue of tethered horses, risk	5196/5702	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	of flooding.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	5198/5703	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	5201/5704	
	Objection – Dunnington. Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	5204/5705	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area, Cars parked on grass verge, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	5207/5706	
	Objection – See response 10A Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	5208/12435	
	Objection – See response 10C The site will totally overwhelm the small community, spoil the scenery, and increase the noise and congestion.  Objection – Knapton. Narrow and poor access, poor drainage and risk of flooding, size and scale.	5211/12469	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5212/5707	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to /		
	impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity /		
	impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Traffic		
	Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access,		
Delieur ACHMO	Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.	F214/F700	
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at	5214/5708	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual		
Allocations	character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
Continued	protected species, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable		
Continued	sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Query robustness of		
	evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities'		
	requirements, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of		
	school provision.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Community integration issues, Green Belt location, no	5215/5709	
	exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature	,	
	Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual		
	character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads		
	are narrow / poor access.		
	Objection – Knapton. Important buffer for ring road and village.	5216/5710	
	Objection – Knapton.	5218/12483	
	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility,	5219/6073	
	Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Proximity to / impact on		
	Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Green Belt location, no		
	exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding.		
	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt location.	5220/12488	
	Objection - Knapton. Green belt location.	5221/12489	
	Objection – knapton. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements,	5225/5711	
	greenbelt location.	E227/E742	
	Objection – Chowdene. Community integration issues, impact on local businesses,	5227/5712	
	capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school		
	provision, increase in traffic, poor management of existing sites.	F220/12F2C	
	Objection - Knapton. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements	5228/12536	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5229/5713	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Tura etci	position / impact on visual character of Village, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Brownfield sites should be considered first. Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Traffic		organisación)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, No footpath on Common Lane, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new	5230/5714	
	settlements ST14 and ST15.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	5237/12558 5240/12578	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, impact on character of village.  Objection – Dunnington. The land in question has been earmarked for expansion of the sporting facilities and a car park.  Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	5241/5715	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	5244/5716	
	Objection – see response 1 Objection – see response 5 Objection – see response 4 Objection – see response 5	5247/5717 5248/5718 5249/5719 5250/5720	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 4	5251/5721	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 5	5252/5722	
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 6 and 4	5253/5723	
Allocations	Objection – see response 4	5254/5724	
Continued	Objection – see response 7	5255/5725	
	Objection – Dunnington. Developments should be in keeping with the village and this cannot be seen as a beneft to the village. The village requires extra sporting facilities and this site would be more appropriate for this.	5256/5726	
	Objection – Dunnington. There have been places to expand the sports facilities for 15 years. Attempts to purchase or lease the land have always been turned down by the landowners. The field provides a green belt buffer between housing and industrial land as well as a flood zone area. The site would also result in extra traffic in this area.	5257/5727	
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is inappropriate due to the need of the village for extra sports facilities in this area. This proposal is in conflict with Policy H3 as development would be detrimental to the character and basic form of the village. The field is adjacent to Julia's garden and Hassacarr nature reserve. The capacity of the school cannot cope with the increased numbers.	5258/5728	
	Objection – Chowdene. There are no traveller sites in the south of York. Malton road has a high level of anti-social behaviour. There will be increased traffic with this and the expansion at Monks Cross and community stadium.	5267/5729	
	Objection – Dunnington. The site is in the green belt. Hassacarr nature reserve would be harmed and there would be extra traffic around the sports club.	5268/5730	
	Objection. Dunnington. This site is not fit for purpose. Obvious problems from flooding. The land is too low. A better standard of land should be found in a truely suitable location.	5269/5731	
	Objection. Development should be on brownfield sites before Greenfield sites.	5282/14402	
	Objection. Dunnington. This site is in the greenbelt. The development will not be fitting with the existing village	5283/5732	
	Objection. Dunnington. The land should be used to preserve the rural quality of the area. The site is in close proximity to a nature reserve. There would be significant negative impact on the identify of the village.	5293/5733	
	Objection. Dunnington. This site is on the flood plain. It is in close proximity to existing housing and Hassacarr nature reserve. The sports club could use this land for much needed car parking.	5294/14422	
L	26	I	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection. Knapton. This development would swamp the village and destroy the greenbelt.	5297/14443	
And Showpeople Allocations	Objection. Knapton. It would seem more appropriate for such a site to be on brownfield site like Clifton moor trading estate.	5299/14446	
Continued	Objection. Dunnington. Dunnington is an important village historically and there is no doubt that its heritage did not include such a site in the past. This is green belt land and forms a buffer between the industrial and residential land. The site is prone to flooding. There must be other more appropriate sites that would cause less disruption to the environment.	5300/14447	
	Objection. Dunnington. Concerned about the safety of pedestrians and traffic along Common Lane. The possibility of straying horses. The site is on a flood plain, The area is in the green belt and forms a buffer between the industrial estate and residential area. The site would dominate the outlook of the existing houses and negatively impact on the nature reserve.	5303/5734	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site falls in the green belt and is a buffer between the industrial and residential land. It will generate extra traffic and impact on the adjacent nature reserve. The new settlements should be considered when looking for sites.	5309/5735	
	Objection – Dunnington. Poor research into the need for travellers and the siting of the sites.	5313/14475	
	Objection – Dunnington. Concerns about the impact to the greenbelt and visual amenity as well as conservation of biodiversity of the nature reserve. The land offers a buffer between the industrial and residential land. There is insufficient parking for the sports club and development will pose further safety issues.	5319/5736	
	Objection – Dunnington. This site acts as a barrier between the industrial site and the residential land. Development would mean more pollution and traffic and detract from the entrance of the village. The nature reserve may be negatively affected and have a deep impact on the adjacent residential area. Surely other offers of land have been made which would be more appropriate.	5328/5737	
	Objection – Knapton. The scale of the development is larger than then permanent settlement. The site is in the greenbelt. Rufforth Road should be considered for this use as it has good access routes.	5332/14998	
	Objection – Knapton. The proposed site would overwhelm the village and be very noisy. There are not local services nearby and there are safety concerns regarding the vehicles. There is no proven need for additional travellers in York.	5338/5738	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site is visually prominent and is one of the main gateways to the village. It would be visually inconsistent with other buildings. The site is adjacent to Hassacarrr nature reserve which is a great leanning tool for children and adults. It is a buffer for the industrial and residential land as well as being located on green belt land. It would increase traffic, noise and pollution on a hazardous road. Additional pitches should be placed on brownfield sites or incorporated in the plans of a purpose-built community. The location is a known flood plain.	5339/5739	
	Objection – Dunnington. What contribution is made to the area to which they move? Visual impact on the area. This area is very near the settled communities, do travellers want to be that near to the settle community? The council need to provide assurances that the nature reserve will be safe from damage or compensate for this.	5341/14530	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site will cause traffic problems as well as safety problems in its proximity to a children's play park. The local wildlife will be at immeasurable danger.	5345/5740	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site is in the greenbelt and would have a detrimental visual impact and would conflict with Dunnington Village Design Statement and GB4 and H7 of the draft plan. The caravans and heavy vehicles would have further detrimental effect on the village as well as the adjacent nature reserve and biodiversity which the council has a duty to conserve. The sports club has insufficient parking. The location will cause further problems to road users and those accessing the play park.	5347/5741	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site is in the greenbelt and would have a detrimental visual impact and would conflict with Dunnington Village Design Statement and GB4 and H7 of the draft plan. The caravans and heavy vehicles would have further detrimental effect on the village as well as the adjacent nature reserve and biodiversity which the council has a duty to conserve. The sports club has insufficient parking. The location will cause further problems to road users and those accessing the play park.	5348/5742	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed site is in the greenbelt and would have a detrimental visual impact and would conflict with Dunnington Village Design Statement and GB4 and H7 of the draft plan. The caravans and heavy vehicles would have further detrimental effect on the village as well as the adjacent nature reserve and biodiversity which the council has a duty to conserve. The sports club has insufficient parking. The location will cause further problems to road users and those accessing	5349/5743	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	the play park.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. The land provides a buffer between the industrial estate and housing. It is opposite a children's park and increase traffic will cause potential problems. The land was earmarked as much needed parking. The land is in the greenbelt and the plan recommends that brownsites should be developed first. The land is next to Hassacarr nature reserve.	5350/5744	
	Objection – Dunnington. It will completely spoil the village atmosphere.	5352/5745	
	Objection – Chowdene.	5355/14546	
	Objection – Dunnington. This will ruin the village, the safe feel of it and the way of life.	5358/5746	
	Objection – Dunnington. Crime figures uphold that an addition of a traveller site sees a rise in local crime, therefore a need for extensive policing. Issues of flytipping. The number of pitches is totally out of place for York. Education will be effected in a negative way.	5360/14560	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposal would damage Hassacarrr nature reserve which is a key resource for local schools and community groups. The land is unsuitable for grazing horses and selective grazing would grately impoverish the species mix. The site would dominate the approach into the village and the use of the sports club opposite. This piece of land forms a buffer between Dunnington and the industrial estate.	5366/5747	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposal would threaten Hassacarr Nature reserve. The site is located at a visual gateway into the village. The sports club would over look the site, affording the residents no privacy. Parking is very difficult at the sports club and makes the road hazardous. The city of York has a duty to protect biodiversity and this site is on the green belt. There will be a rise in pollution. There should be a preference for the development of brownfield sites first or this type of development could be incorporated in to plans for new settlements.	5373/5748	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposal would threaten Hassacarr Nature reserve. The site is located at a visual gateway into the village. The sports club would over look the site, affording the residents no privacy. Parking is very difficult at the sports club and makes the road hazardous. The city of York has a duty to protect biodiversity and this site is on the green belt. There will be a rise in pollution. There should be a preference for the development of brownfield sites first or this type of development could be incorporated in to plans for new settlements.	5374/5749	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. The proposal would threaten Hassacarr Nature reserve. The	5375/5750	
Gypsy, Traveller	site is located at a visual gateway into the village. The sports club would over look the		
And Showpeople	site, affording the residents no privacy. Parking is very difficult at the sports club and		
Allocations	makes the road hazardous. The city of York has a duty to protect biodiversity and this		
Continued	site is on the green belt. There will be a rise in pollution. There should be a preference		
	for the development of brownfield sites first or this type of development could be		
	incorporated in to plans for new settlements.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – no specific site.	5376/14589	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Question the need number. Identification of land at Clifton	5377/5751	
And Showpeople	gate or Holme Hill (Whinthorpe) seem idea for this purpose. The land identified for		
Allocations	development is within the greenbelt. The site acts as a break between		
Continued	business/commercial and the residential areas. Protection of local amenity such as the		
	nature reserve and Julia's garden. The land should be used for development of land for		
	sporting activities. There should be measures put in place to reassure people and pre-		
	empt the development of tensions between both communities if the development goes		
	ahead. The detrimental visual impact of the proposed development. The loss or		
	deterioration of irreplaceable habitats such as Hassacarr.		
	Objection – traveller sites and showman sites need to be out into the country.	5380/14604	
	Objection – Dunnington. The proximity to nature reserve and pond. The proximity to	5382/5752	
	existing housing. The site will dominate the houses outlook and he potential residents		
	of the site would have little privacy from the sport club balcony. Queries the need		
	number. The site has poor drainage and is prone to flooding. There will be increased		
	traffic on common road, posing a danger to children accessing the play park. The land		
	is in the green belt and provides crops.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This proposed site will be detrimental to the character and	5387/14622	
	appearance of the village. There are concerns over drainage and increased traffic.		
	Objection – no justifiable argument to use greenbelt for this purpose, existing sites	5389/14628	York Navigator Ltd
	should be extended or use brownfield sites.		
	Objection – Knapton. This type of development would be more appropriate sited in or	5390/14629	
	adjacent to existing industrial or semi-industrial such as the business park on		
	Northfield Lane, poppleton business park or Rufforth airfield. The size of the site will		
	swamp the village and encroach on the greenbelt. There is a lack of local facilities to		
	serve this site.		
	Objection – Chowdene. Harm the unique character of the area and cause severe traffic	5392/14639	
	congestion, overload the existing facilities and infrastructure.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. The data used by the council to assess need is questionable. This site forms a main gateway to the city and would be an eyesore. The village green, play area and memorial garden would all have their amenity reduced. The site would equate to 5% of Dunnington's population, covering 7.5% of Dunnington, this would be an imposing presence on the village. The site is a recognised flood plain and in the green belt and adjacent to Hassacarr Nature reserve. The daya on which need has been based is questionable.	5395/5753	
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is in the greenbelt, and is a buffer between the industrial land and existing residential properties. The land would be better used as car parking for the sports club. There is no evidence of communication with East Yorkshire. The privacy of travellers would be compromised from being overlooked by the sports club. Common road is a dangerous road, what road works are planned for this road? The site is adjacent to the nature reserve and what plans are proposed to meet the increased demands on the schools and surgeries?	5398/5754	
	Objection – see response 10A. This land is designated green belt land and is inappropriate development. The visual amenity of nearby homes will be severely harmed.	5401/5755	
	Objection – see response 10A. Dunnington. This land is designated green belt land and is inappropriate development. The visual amenity of nearby homes will be severely harmed.	5403/5756	
	Objection – additional Gypsy and Traveller sites appear unnecessary as York already has quite a lot.	5407/14670	
	Objection – the size and scale of development is too big.  Objection – it is wrong to site Gypsy and Travellers close to existing villages. Site should be to the south of York where they would cause little nuisance to local residents.	5408/14679 5410/14694	
	Objection – Dunnington. This proposed site is in the greenbelt, the development would be visually obvious and should not adjoin residential properties. The development would bring an increase of traffic on a already dangerous road. The site is adjacent to the nature reserve and forms a natural buffer between the industrial land and existing	5414/5757	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	houses. The local school as overcrowding problems, this will be made worse with other		
	proposed developments, coupled with the special needs of travelling families.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. The site forms a buffer between industrial land and	5415/5758	
Gypsy, Traveller	residential properties. Traffic is already a problem and parking for the sports club.		
And Showpeople	Travelling community would be better accommodated on brownfield sites.		
Allocations	Objection – Dunnington and Elvington. The local amenities cannot support this site	5422/14725	
Continued	and is inappropriate given its proximity to existing houses and being in the green belt.		
	Objection – Elvington. The level of travellers plots being proposed by the Council has	5423/14729	
	not been justified. There is no evidence that the number of sites is required.		
	Dunnington – The village does not have the infrastructure to support this site., it		
	would be damaging and negative to the local area.		
	Objection – Dunnington. The proposed sie is far too big and could have a negative	5424/14733	
	effect on Dunnington.		
	Objection – Dunnington. The site is too large, need should be distributed in smaller	5425/14735	
	blocks throughout all districts in York. Placing a large site on the edge of one small		
	community will have too large a negative impact on that village.		
	Support – proper provision based on evidence of need for Gypsy and Travellers in	5427/14743	
	designated areas.		
	Objection – Chowdene. This proposed site is not too far from the new John Lewis,	5432/5759	
	which would not a good advertisement for it. The council could have done more to		
	find a more appropriate site.		
	Objection – Chowdene. Here should not be more traveller/showpeople sites popping	5437/14770	
	up in well respected residential areas.		
	Objection – Dunnington. There are no special circumstances to justify placing this	5441/5760	
	development in Dunnington. It will badly impact on the character and appearance of		
	the Local Area. The proposed location is highly visible and will impact on houses		
	boarding the site.		
	Objection – Elvington. The village and surrounding area have no legacy or historical	5450/14790	
	reasons to support travellers, gypsies or showmen and there is no reason to change		
	this. There are no positive benefits of devoting sites to these groups.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Dunnington. Visual amenity. The development will harm the visual	5454/5761	
Gypsy, Traveller	amenity at the entrance of the village and of the people adjacent. The land serves as a		
And Showpeople	buffer in the green belt between the residential properties and the industrial estate.		
Allocations	Common road will become busier from the use of heavy vehicles and trailers and make		
Continued	the road more dangerous for children accessing the play park. The site is adjacent to		
	the nature reserve and the council have a duty to conserve biodiversity.		
	Objection – Dunnington. The development will make the road busier and the site next	5464/5762	
	to the adjacent nature reserve will have a devastating affect on the environment. The		
	site is often covered in water. There will be little privacy for homes or the residents of		
	the site.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is in the greenbelt, and has already been identified	5467/5763	
	by the parish council for playing fields. There are significant drainage issues on this		
	site, a new bridge would need to be built to access the site. The site is next to a		
	nature reserve.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This site is in the green belt and on a designated flood plain.	5468/5764	
	It is a buffer between residential and commercial property. The site would risk the		
	species at Hassacarr Nature Reserve.		
	Objection – the land is needed as parking for the sports club. The land serves as an	5472/14826	
	important buffer between the village and industrial estate. This land regularly floods.		
	Objection – Dunnington. The site backs onto residential housing, opposite a children's	5474/5765	
	play park, tennis courts and sports club which need more car parking. The road is		
	already very busy. The site is on a flood plain. The school and medical infrastructure		
	would be inadequate.		
	Objection – Dunnington. The sports club needs more parking. This are is on green belt	5475/5766	
	land and is adjacent to the nature reserve. Brownfield sites should be used instead,		
	There should be an ecological survey carried out on the site.		
	Objection – Dunnington. This site should remain in the green belt. A travellers site is	5478/5767	
	not in keeping with the village ethos and character. There would be an increase in		
	noise and traffic along an already busy road, especially during sporting events. The		
	site is alongside a nature reserve.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. This site would increase the traffic and there is frequently up to 40 cars parked on the grass verge.	5479/5768	
And Showpeople	Objection – Chowdene. This site was turned down as a campsite earlier in the year due	5482/5769	
Allocations Continued	to access problems.  Objection – Chowdene. The school in the area has large classes already. This site would increase the traffic and there is frequently up to 40 cars parked on the grass verge	5483/5770	
	Objection – Knapton. This is inappropriate development in the greenbelt, it is also a green corridor. The field is a key part of the openness of the area and was featured in the domesday book. The farmland is needed to provide food. There will be movements of large traffic which will increase the volume of traffic on the congested ring road. There would be issues with noise and light from the industrial nature of the site.	5485/14844	
	Objection – Knapton. This site is not adequate to provide an area that is required for the buildings ad vehicle activity. The natural flatness of the land would make it hard to disguise this development. Noise and light that would be generated from this site would become an issue. Here is an issue with drainage already. Road safety would be an issue.	5498/5771	
	Objection – Knapton. Wetherby Road is a B classified road, already carrying Air Quality classification traffic. The development of a showmen sites would further increase the heavy traffic. This is a residential area and repair and maintenance of equipment will create a noise nuisance. There must be a concerted effort to preserve the green areas around our city and to discourage commercial "sprawl" in residential areas.	5504/5772	
	Objection – Knapton. This proposal is in direct contravention of policies C and E within the DCLG document Planning Policy for Traveller sites.	5505/14883	
	Objection – Knapton. Brownfields sites would be more welcome for this type of development.	5506/14888	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Knapton. The infrastructure in this area is already struggling and the roads cope badly with extra traffic.	5512/5773	
And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Knapton. There are no immediate amenities in the village and the site would overwhelm the village.	5519/14931	
Continued	Objection – Knapton. The brownfield sites in York should be used first. The council should publish information on how the site was chosen.	5522/5774	
	Objection – Knapton. This site should be in industrial land and need should be demonstrated.	5524/14939	
	Objection – Knapton. This part of the ring road is heaily congested. The proposed site is larger than Knapton. No evidence has been gathered on showpeople. Huge concrete expanses will worsen surface water run off and flooding. Better sites would be closer to the A1 and arterial networks.	5526/14941	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green field sites should not be used and is particularly inappropriate on sites besides play parks, nature reserves and sports areas. The infrastructure would need to be improved, particularly the road safety around the play park and facilities at the local school.	5527/14942	
	Objection – Chowdene. The traveller sites should not be so close to residential areas.	5528/14945	
	Objection – Knapton. Not suitable on a Greenfield site next to a road. The infrastructure in the area cannot cope with this.	5529/5775	
	Objection – Knapton. This development will ruin the picturesque village of knapton. Sites like these shold be in sustainable, accessible locations, preferably as urban extensions.	5530/5776	
	Objection – Dunnington and Elvington. These sites could significantly impact the local communities and country landscape.	5531/14952	
	Objection – Knapton. There will be a lot of noise when operating their rides. The road is too narrow for large vehicles. This type of site should not be so near to villages. The site should be moved outside of the B1224 or by the airfield.	5534/5777	
	Objection – all sites.	5535/5778	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure,	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or
Para etc.			organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – all sites.	5536/5779	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Knapton. This site is in the greenbelt and has no immediate services.	5538/14957	
And Showpeople	Showmen prefer to be on a farm yard or edge of an industrial site as written as		
Allocations	evidence from the association of independent showman as evidence to the		
Continued	parliamentary select committee in Feb 2000.		
	Objection – Chowdene, Dunnington and Elvington. There will be a build up of traffic,	5546/14977	
	house prices will come down and schools will get busier. There should be an equal		
	spread of site over the Acomb side of York.		
	Objection – all sites. The infrastructure is struggling to cope .	5547/5780	
	Objection – see response 7	5550/5864	
	Objection – see response 3	5551/5865	
	Objection – see response 4	5552/5866	
	Objection – all sites. Excessive number of pitches.	5557/13035	
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5558/5867	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club		
	needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Consistency - other applications on		
	Green Belt land refused in the past, Query robustness of evidence base and need for		
	facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Proximity to / impact		
	on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters		
	/ increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.		
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5559/5868	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club		
	needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Consistency - other applications on		
	Green Belt land refused in the past, Query robustness of evidence base and need for		
	facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Proximity to / impact		
	on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters		
	/ increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking,	5562/5869	
	Cars parked on grass verge.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	5563/5870	
Gypsy, Traveller	capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school		
And Showpeople	provision, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land		
Allocations	for additional pitches / car parking.		
Continued	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5564/5871	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club		
	needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Provision is in excess of other		
	authorities' requirements, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,		
	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt location.	5565/13044	
	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt land notsuitable for industrial uise. More appropriated	5573/5873	
	to located on brownfield or industrial land.		
	Comment – Osbaldwick. The site needs to be controlled before it is enlarged.	5575/13066	
	Objection - Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5576/5874	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to /		
	impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual		
	character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads		
	are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Brownfield sites		
	should be considered first, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Brownfield sites/industrial sites should be considered first.	5603/5876	
	Comment – Knapton. Consideration should be made for neighbouring areas and their residents.	5620/13217	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Community integration issues, Site is at risk of flooding.	5623/5877	
	Objection – Knapton. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding.	5624/5878	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	5629/5879	
	Objection – all sites. Query numbers.  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	5634/13264 5636/5881	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	5641/5882	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	5644/5883	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	5649/5884	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility,	5650/5885	2
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, little consultation, with traveller community, Site is at risk of flooding, Land is a buffer between residential and		
Allocations	industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
Continued	protected species, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.		
	Objection - Chowdene. Issue of tethered horses, query the numbers needed.	5659/13314	
	Objection – all sites. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	5660/13318	
	Objection – Knapton. Industrial use on greenbelt location.	5662/13327	
	Objection – Chowdene. Issue with community intergration.	5665/5886	
	Objection – Dunnington & Knapton. Not suitable locations.	5666/13337	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	5668/5887	
	Objection – all sites. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	5672/13355	
	Objection - Chowdene. Strain on local infrastructure.	5673/13364	
	Objection – Elvington. The inspector has decided that the site must be returned to the greenbelt in 2015.	5677/13380	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Cars parked on grass verge.	5681/5888	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden) Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Site is at risk of flooding.  Objection – Dunnington.  Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	5683/5890 5684/13392 5687/5986	
	proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	5691/5891	
	Objection – all sites. Query numbers.  Objection – all sites. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.  Objection – Knapton. Industrial use on greenbelt land.  Objection – Chowdene. Consistency - other applications on this land refused in the past, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.  Objection – Dunnington. Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	5701/13433 5704/13438 5705/13443 5711/13460 5714/5894	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Knapton. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility,	5716/13469	
Gypsy, Traveller	Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, size and scale, Green		
And Showpeople	Belt/green corridor location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, impact on		
Allocations	historic character of the village, increase in traffic.		
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	5731/5895	
	Objection – Knapton. More suitable sites elsewhere in York.	5735/13510	
	Objection – all sites. There should be a better spread of sites across York	5740/13531	
	Objection – Knapton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, gateway to the city, pressure on local infrastructure, noise and light pollution, should be used to produce crops.	5745/13550	
	Objection – all sites. Query numbers.	5755/13603	
	Objection – Knapton. Smaller sites of 6 plots are more appropriate and in line with showman wishes, should be on industrial land.	5759/13614	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	5761/5896	
	Objection – all sites. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision	5765/13633	
	is in excess of other authorities' requirements.		
	Objection – Knapton. Green belt land.	5775/13659	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – all sites. Queries need and requirement.	5780/13679	
And Showpeople	Objection - Chowdene.	5789/13711	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Knapton. Sites should be incorporated into light industrial sites.	5795/5899	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, Green corridor, movement of large vehicles.	5799/13729	
	Objection - Knapton. Green belt location, needs for large buildings.	5800/13732	
	Objection - Knapton. Drainage infrastructure, no local services.	5803/5900	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Any required screening would be an eye sore.	5804/5901	
	Objection – all sites. Question needs.	5812/13753	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	5827/5902	
	Objection – Knapton. Impact on property values.	5829/13806	
	Support – Elvington. Family are part of the community, it is well screened and more interesting that the 1980s estates surrounding.	5832/5903	
	Objection – all sites. Questions numbers.	5834/15003	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety	5839/5904	Ashfield Holiday Cottages & Touring

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is		Caravan Park
	important for drainage / overflow.		
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Chowdene. Greenbelt location, drainage and sewerage, local services and	5841/13820	
Gypsy, Traveller	amenities struggling to cope, issues of tethered horses.		
And Showpeople	Objection Divington Dear and unavitable access	F042/12022	
Allocations	Objection – Elvington. Poor and unsuitable access.	5842/13822	
Continued	Objection – Chowdene. Proximity to existing housing.	5847/13842	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on	5849/5905	
	protected species, Brownfield sites should be considered first.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5857/15118	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, capacity /		
	impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Site is		
	important for drainage / overflow, Prominent position / impact on visual character of		
	Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position /	5861/5906	
	impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their		
	outlook, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to children's play area.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking,	5863/5907	
	Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position /	·	
	impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their		
	outlook, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to children's play area.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	5877/5908	
	demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are		
	narrow / poor access, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact		
	on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding.		
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, gateway to the city, questions numbers.	5885/15182	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection - Chowdene. Greenbelt location	5887/15189	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including	5891/5909	
And Showpeople	quality of school provision, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility,	5893/15198	
Continued	Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Prominent position / impact		
	on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – all sites. Community integration issues.	5900/15210	
	Objection – all sites.	5904/15221	
	Objection – all sites. Community integration issues.	5906/15226	
	Objection – see response 10C	5914/15239	
	Objection – see response 10I Travellers horses ruin verges.	5917/15244	
	Objection – see response 10I objection to extending pitches for travellers.	5920/15251	
	Objection – see response 10C This proposal is not in keeping with the area.	5940/15284	
	Objection - Knapton. Greenbelt, no local services or amenities.		
	Objection – Knapton. The greenbelt should not be used for this purpose and will over burden the roads, services and education.	5942/4372	
	Objection – see response 10C Showpeople site should not be built on the greenbelt. They are unsustainable, unsuitable and destroy the city's environment.	5945/15291	
	Objection – see response 10C This is greenbelt area, first class agricultural land and should remain so. The roads bordering the site are unsuitable for this use.	5947/15298	
	Objection – see response 9	5948/6249	
	Objection – see response 4	5979/15349	
	Objection – see response 10A	5982/15355	
	Objection – see response 10A	5983/6077	
	Objection – see response 10A	5984/15359	

Policy, Site,	Comments	Ref.	Name (where
Table, Figure,			business or
Para etc.			organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 7 and 10A.	5985/5910	
Gypsy, Traveller			
And Showpeople	Objection – see response 10A	5986/15362	
Allocations	Objection – see response 10A	5987/15364	
Continued	Objection - see response 10A	3907/13304	
	Objection – see response 10A	5988/15366	
	Objection – see response 10A	5989/5911	
	Objection – see response 10A	5990/15370	
	Objection – see response 10D. Opposed to development at Knapton.	5997/15383	

Policy, Site,	Comments	Ref.	Name (where
Table, Figure,			business or
Para etc.			organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 10D opposed to site at Knapton.	6009/15402	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – all sites	6025/15430	
And	Objection – all sites	6038/15459	
Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	6039/5912	
Allocations	demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Land is a buffer between		
Continued	residential and industrial areas, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car		
	parking, Cars parked on grass verge, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Traffic		
	Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility,	6044/5913	
	Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Other more suitable sites,		
	including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Consistency - other applications		
	on Green Belt land refused in the past, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of		
	accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is		
	important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve		
	and impact on protected species, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances		
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	/ O 4 E / E O 1 4	
	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility,	6045/5914	
	Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Other more suitable sites,		
	including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Consistency - other applications		
	on Green Belt land refused in the past, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is		
	important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve		
	and impact on protected species, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances		
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.		
	Objection – all sites. No extra sites should be built and existing ones should be better	6047/15479	
	managed.	0047713477	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	6051/5915	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club		
	needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Query robustness of evidence base and		
	need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Proximity to		
	/ impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety		
	matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	6053/5916	
	demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Sports club		
	needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Query robustness of evidence base and		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Knapton. Please to see site withdrawn. Question need and robust evidence base.	6055/15504	
And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	6058/5917	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	6059/5918	
	Objection – Dunington. Brownfield sites/light industrial areas should be considered first.	6060/5919	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, inappropriate size and scale, light industrial use of the site, increase of traffic on narrow roads.	6061/5921	
	Objection – see response 4	6063/5922	
	Objection – see response 11	6064/15524	
	Objection – see response 11	6065/15530	
	Objection – see response 7	6066/5923	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6067/5924	
	Objection – see response 1	6068/5925	
	Objection – see response 5 and 1	6069/5926	
	Objection – see response 4	6070/5927	
	Objection – see response 1	6071/5928	
	Objection – see response 8	6072/5929	
	Objection – see response 8	6073/5930	
	Objection – see response 4	6074/5931	
I	Objection - see response 1	6075/5932	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 1	6076/5933	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 7	6077/5934	
And	Objection – see response 1	6078/5935	
Showpeople	Objection – Knapton	6079/5936	
Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	6080/5937	
Continued	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to		
	/ impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	6081/5938	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to		
	/ impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Objection – see response 4	6082/5939	
	Objection – see response 7	6083/5940	
	Objection – see response 7	6084/5941	
	Objection – see response 1	6085/5942	
	Objection – see response 5	6086/5943	
	Objection – see response 4	6087/5944	
	Objection – see response 7	6088/5945	
	Objection – see response 4	6089/5946	
	Objection – see response 7	6090/5947	
	Objection – see response 1	6091/5948	
	Objection – see response 1	6092/5949	
	Objection – see response 4	6093/5950	
	Objection – see response 4	6094/5951	
	Objection – see response 1	6095/5952	
	Objection – see response 5	6096/5953	
	Objection – see response 1 and 2	6097/5954	
	Objection – see response 7	6098/5955	
	Objection – see response 3	6099/5956	
	Objection – see response 7	6100/5957	
	Objection – see response 7	6101/5958	
	Objection – see response 7	6102/5959	
	Objection – see response 4	6103/5960	
	Objection – see response 5	6104/5961	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 4	6105/5962	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – see response 1	6106/5963	
And	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	6107/5964	
Showpeople	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to		
Allocations	/ impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
Continued	Objection – see response 1	6108/5965	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	6109/15536	
	Objection – see response 2	6110/5966	
	Objection – see response 4	6111/5967	
	Objection – see response 4	6112/5968	
	Objection – see response 4	6113/5969	
	Objection – see response 5	6114/5970	
	Objection – see response 7	6115/5971	
	Objection – see response 5	6116/5972	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6117/5973	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6118/5974	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6119/5975	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6120/5976	
	Objection – see response 1	6122/5977	
	Objection – see response 1	6123/5978	
	Comment – Knapton. The site must remain in the greenbelt now the showman site has been dropped.	6124/15548	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Prominent position / impact	6125/5979	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding.	6126/5980	
And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	6128/5987	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	6129/5988	
	Objection – Knapton	6131/15562	
	Objection – Knapton. Size and scale.	6133/15579	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location  Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6134/15580 6139/15600	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6140/15606	
	Objection – Knapton. Impact on local business and education facilities. Increase in traffic, impact on house values.	6144/15615	
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	6146/15623	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	6147/15624	
And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area/sports ground, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	6148/15625	
	Objection – Knapton. Following withdrawal this site should be returned to the greenbelt.	6149/15632	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to children's play area, sports ground, Proximity to industrial area.	6150/15633	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	6151/15634	
	Objection – Dunnington. Flooding issues, encroach on the open land.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	6153/15643 6154/15644	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	6156/15647	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – Dunnington. Capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, Proximity	6157/15648	
Gypsy, Traveller	to children's play area, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,		
And	Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
Showpeople	Objection – see response 9	6158/15656	
Allocations	Support – Dunnington. The Planning Policy for Traveller Sites document which	6160/15667	
Continued	accompanies the National Planning Policy Framework indicates that local authorities		
	should prepare Local Plans with the objective of contributing to the achievement of		
	sustainable development and those local authorities should identify sites which have		
	access to education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure. In accordance		
	with the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites documents, the Local Plan identifies a need		
	to provide land for 59 permanent Gypsy and Traveller pitches. The need to provide		
	additional Gypsy and Traveller pitches is supported by evidence prepared by Arc4		
	which was produced on behalf the local authorities in North Yorkshire including York.		
	Within the settlement of Dunnington, York City Council have identified that the Land at		
	Common Road and Hassacarr Lane is suitable for a Gypsy and Traveller site as it has		
	been allocated to deliver 15 pitches between 2014/15 and 2018/19. The site therefore		
	has access to education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure and its allocation will contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. The Council's		
	recognition that the Land of Common Road and Hassacarr Lane, Dunnington, York is a		
	sustainable location to house some of the most vulnerable members of community and		
	that the site is suitable for residential or a residential type of use is wholly welcomed.		
	Support the allocation of the land as a Gypsy and Traveller site and it is clear that the		
	allocation has been justified and that it accords with National Policy.		
	Objection – Dunnington.	6161/15673	
	Objection – Burnington.  Objection – Knapton. Industrial use of the site, Greenbelt land, and existing	6162/15674	
	infrastructure cannot support this.	0102/130/4	
	Objection – Knapton. Green belt location, query numbers and need.	6163/15675	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	6164/15676	
	Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites		
	should be considered first Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and		
	impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents,		
	Village roads are narrow / poor access, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt		
	land refused in the past, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility		
	Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Site is at risk of flooding, Site		
	is important for drainage / overflow, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	settlements ST14 and ST15.		
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And	Objection – Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Proximity to children's play area/sports facilities, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	6165/15678	
Showpeople	Objection – all sites. Green belt locations	6167/15686	
Allocations Continued	Comment – all sites. Should be provision made for the keeping of horses. Smaller sites for family units should be provided.	6169/15693	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge.	6170/6079	
	Objection – Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	6172/6080	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	6173/6081	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	6174/6082	
	Objection – Chowdene. Poor access, community integration issues.	6175/15706	
	Objection – see response 5	6176/6083	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, issue of light and noise pollution.	6178/6084	
	Objection – Osbaldwick. Issue of tethering of horses, poor management.	6179/15716	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Chowdene. Increase in traffic, provision more sites than neighbouring authorities, Greenbelt location.	6180/6086	
And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents. Village roads are narrow / poor access, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	6182/6087	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	6183/6088	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Green Belt location, and no exceptional circumstances demonstrated. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	6184/6089	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	6185/6091	
	Objection – Dunnington. Community integration issues, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	6186/6092	
	Objection – Dunnington. Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Community integration issues.	6187/6093	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to children's play area/sports ground, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge, and site is at risk of flooding.	6188/6095	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 9	6191/6112	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, No footpath on Common Lane.	6192/6113	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Impact on property values, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Community integration issues, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	6193/6114	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Cars parked on grass verge, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access.	6194/6115	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	6195/6116	
	Objection – see response 1. Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding and is unsuitable for horses.	6197/6117	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	6198/6118	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	6199/6119	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6202/6120	
	Objection – see response 9	6203/6129	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to children's play area, sports ground, Proximity to industrial area.	6204/6131	
Allocations	Objection – see response 1	6205/6132	
Continued	Objection – see response 9	6206/6141	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding.	6207/6142	
	Objection – Chowdene. Impact on local businesses, Prominent position / impact on visual character of local area.	6208/6143	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas.	6211/6148	
	Objection – Dunnington. Capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Green Belt location, and no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6212/6152	
	Objection – all sites. Gypsy and traveller sites cannot be sustainable.	6213/15754	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6214/6153	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, No footpath on Common Lane, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	6215/6154	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – all sites. Query need. Issue of tethered horses.	6217/15758	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Chowdene. Too near settled community. Query numbers.	6218/6156	
And	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas,	6220/15765	
Showpeople	Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact		
Allocations	on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.		
Continued	Objection – Knapton. Green corridor/green belt location, impact on historic character of the village, increase in traffic, questions robust evidence base and need.	6222/15767	
	Objection – Dunnington.	6224/15777	
	Objection – all sites. Greenbelt locations and no evidenced need.	6225/15782	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6226/6157	
	Objection – Dunnington. Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated.	6234/6159	
	Objection – Chowdene. Preference to brownfield sites.	6236/6160	
	Objection – Dunnington. Community integration issues.	6237/15806	
	Objection – Dunnington. Congestion on the roads, proximity to children's play area.	6238/15814	
	Objection - Chowdene. Greenbelt location; should live away from settled community.	6239/6161	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Brownfield sites should be considered first, congested, dangerous junctions, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	6240/6162	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Cars parked on grass verge, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	6241/6163	
	Objection –see response 1	6242/6164	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6244/6170	
Showpeople	Objection – Knapton. A more rural area would be more suitable.	6245/6171	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	6246/6173	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6252/6176	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Community integration issues.	6257/6181	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6258/6187	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	6259/6188	
	Objection – all sites. Green belt locations.  Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Community integration issues, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area, Proximity to industrial area.	6260/15834 6261/6189	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Any required screening would be an eye sore, Cars parked on grass verge, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Site is at risk of flooding, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6263/6191	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Cars parked on grass verge, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	6264/6192	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to children's play area/sports club, Brownfield sites should be considered first.	6265/6193	
	Objection – Knapton. Green belt/green corridor location. Size and scale will dominate, no robust evidence of need, no amenities in the village.	6266/6194	
	Objection – Chowdene. Increase traffic.	6267/6195	
	Objection – see response 8. Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	6268/6196	
	Objection – all sites.	6269/15845	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding.	6270/15851	
	Objection – Dunnington. capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to children's play area, proximity to sports ground.	6272/6197	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area/sports ground, Site is at risk of flooding.	6273/6198	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	6274/6199	
Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6277/15867	Friends Of Rawcliffe Meadows
	Objection – Dunnington. Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, increased congestion and run running, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	6282/15877	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area, Cars parked on grass verge, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Site is at risk of flooding, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6287/15892	
	Objection – Knapton. Green corridor.	6288/15893	
	Objection – see response 2	6289/15896	
	Objection – all sites.	6291/15902	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	6295/15918	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	6301/15936	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt.	6302/15938	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Proximity to children's play area, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	6304/15941	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	6306/15944	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	6308/15950	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents.	6309/15951	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision.	6313/15961	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Cars parked on grass verge, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Site is at risk of flooding, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.	6318/15968	
	Objection – all sites.	6322/15979	
	Objection – all sites. Community integration issues.	6323/15983	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Site is at risk of flooding, Consistency - other applications on Green Belt land refused in the past.	0328/6205	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Prominent	6331/6211	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm		
Delieur ACUM2	to their outlook.	/ 222 /1500/	
Policy ACHM3	Objection – all sites.	6332/15996	
Gypsy, Traveller And	Objection – see response 1	6336/6212	
	Objection – see response 3	6337/6213	
Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to children's play area/ sports club, Proximity to /	6340/6214	
Continued	impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), No footpath on Common Lane, capacity /		
Continued	impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Cars		
	parked on grass verge, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact		
	on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,		
	proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.  Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	6342/6215	
	proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to children's play	0342/0213	
	area/sports club, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on		
	protected species, Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable		
	sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.		
	sites, including proposed new settlements of 14 and 5115.		
	Objection – see response 2	6343/6216	
	Objection- see response 1	6348/6217	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	6350/6218	
	proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Green Belt location, no		
	exceptional circumstances demonstrated.		
	Objection – see response 3	6352/6219	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village,	6353/6220	
	proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Traffic Safety matters /		
	increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Green Belt location,		
	no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Brownfield sites should be considered		
	first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15,		
	Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Land is a buffer		
	between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature		
	Reserve and impact on protected species.		
	Objection – Knapton. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated,	6354/6221	
	Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility. Provision is in excess of other		
	authorities' requirements.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements, Community integration issues, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden) Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	6363/6222	
Gentinaea	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Proximity to children's play area/sports club.	6366/16081	
	Objection – all sites.	6370/16089	
	Objection – Dunnington. Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	6372/16094	
	Objection – all sites. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility. Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6374/16100	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt land.	6379/6251	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6382/6253	
	Objection – see response 2	6394/6255	
	Objection – see response 3	6395/6256	
	Objection – see response 5	6396/6257	
	Objection – Knapton. Buffer and greenbelt location. Size and Scale.	6398/6258	
	Objection – Knapton. Buffer and Green belt location. Size and Scale.	6399/6259	
	Objection – Knapton. Buffer and green belt location. Size and scale.	6400/6260	
	Objection – see response 5	6401/6261	
	Objection – Knapton. Buffer and green belt location. Size and scale.	6402/6262	
	Objection – Knapton. Buffer and green belt location. Size and scale.	6403/6263	
	Objection – Knapton. Buffer and green belt location. Size and scale.	6404/6264	
	Objection – Knapton. Buffer and green belt location. Size and scale.	6405/6265	
	Objection – Knapton. Buffer and green belt location. Size and scale.	6406/6266	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6407/6267	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 1	6408/6268	
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Dunnington. Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15 Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6409/6269	
Continued	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6410/6270	
	Objection – see response 8	6411/6271	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to children's play area/sport ground, Community integration issues, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6412/6272	
	Objection – see response 9	6413/6281	
	Support – The provision of safe and necessary land for the city's Gypsy and Traveller community at Knapton.	6414/6290	
	Objection – Knapton. Buffer and green belt location. Size and scale.	6415/6291	
	Objection - Knapton	6417/6292	
	Objection – see response 9	6418/6297	
	Objection – see response 9	6421/6306	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, extension of existing sites.	6422/6307	
	Objection – See response 4	6424/6308	
	Objection – see response 9	6425/6317	
	Objection – Knapton. Green belt location, impact on property prices, more appropriate in a light industrial setting.	6429/6319	
	Objection – See response 8	6430/6320	
	Objection – See response 8	6431/6321	
	Objection – see response 9	6438/6361	
	Objection – See response 8	6440/6362	
	Objection – See response 8	6441/6363	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Objection – see response 2	6453/6364	
Gypsy, Traveller	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	6454/6365	
And	demonstrated, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.		
Showpeople	Objection – see response 8	6458/6374	
Allocations	Objection – see response 8	6459/6375	
Continued	Objection – see response 8	6460/6376	
	Objection – see response 8	6461/6377	
	Objection – see response 8	6462/6378	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is important for drainage / overflow.	6463/6383	
	Objection – see response 4	6464/6384	
	Objection – see response 3	6465/6385	
	Objection – see response 1	6466/6386	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances	6468/6394	
	demonstrated, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, capacity / impact on existing services and facilities, including quality of school provision, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow Brownfield sites should be considered first, Other more suitable sites, including proposed new settlements ST14 and ST15.		
	Objection – Chowdene. Proximity to existing community.	6470/16192	
	Objection – Chowdene. Impact on property prices.	6472/16196	
	Objection – Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Site is at risk of flooding, Site is important for drainage / overflow, Cars parked on grass verge, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking.	6473/6406	
	Objection – see response 8	6474/6408	
	Objection – see response 4	6475/6409	
	Objection – Chowdene. Community integration issues.	6476/16197	
	Objection – see response 9	6478/6414	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople	Objection – see response 1, 7, 4, 5, 2, and 3. Dunnington. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village.	6480/6415	
Allocations	Objection – see response 9	6481/6421	
Continued	Objection - Chowdene	6482/16206	
	Objection – see response 9	6483/6432	
	Objection – Chowdene. Impact on house prices.	6484/16209	
	Objection – Chowdene. Impact on house prices.	6485/16212	
	Objection – see response 2	6487/6440	
	Objection – see response 7	6488/6441	
	Objection – see response 5	6489/6442	
	Objection – Dunnington. Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species.	6490/6443	
	Objection – Chowdene. Community integration issues	6491/16219	
	Objection – Knapton. Greenbelt location, issue of flooding, increased traffic, question numbers and need.	6494/6444	
	Objection – see response 1	6499/6465	
	Objection – see response 1	6500/6466	
	Support – Elvington.	6504/16253	
	Objection – see response 11	6505/16259	
	Objection – see response 11	6506/16265	
	New site submission	6507/17239	City Of York Council Property Services
	Objection –all sites. Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6508/17672	City Of York Council Conservative Group
	Objection – Chowdene. Increase in traffic, previous planning applications refused.	6513/16301	Cllrs K, C & H Orrell, Runciman & Hyman
	Comment - such sites need good access and egress and to plan to site them adjacent to a busy main, road is undesirable. In addition, such sites would need to be in areas where good drainage, sewage and waste disposal were readily available and easy to provide.	6516/16331	City Of York Council Liberal Democrat Group

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM3	Support – all sites	6518/16397	York Green Party
Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection - Community integration issues, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook, Any required screening would be an eye sore, Land is a buffer between residential and industrial areas, Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Sports club needs land for additional pitches / car parking, Green Belt location, no exceptional circumstances demonstrated, Traffic Safety matters / increased risk of accidents, Village roads are narrow / poor access, Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility, Provision is in excess of other authorities' requirements.	6519/16471	Cllr Jenny Brooks
	Objection – Osbaldwick. Query robustness of evidence base and need for facility.  Need for better management of existing site.	6521/16497	Cllr Mark Warters
	Objection – all sites	6523/16509	
	Objection – Dunnington. Proximity to / impact on Hassacarr Nature Reserve and impact on protected species, Proximity to / impact on Memorial garden (Julia's Garden), Prominent position / impact on visual character of Village, proximity to existing housing / harm to their outlook.	6524/16513	
	Objection – lack of parking for the sports club and any increase in traffic would make this quite hazardous for people trying to cross the road. The junction of Common Lane and Hull Road has had a number of accidents and any increase in traffic can only increase the risks or an influx of traffic going through the village. Land adjoins Hassacarr Nature Reserve which is important for nature conservation and would be at risk. Land is green belt. If the land is to be used at all it should be as extra car parking for the sports club. Site backs onto to Julia's Memorial Garden.	6528/9985	
	Objection – Chowdene. Inclusion of site is counter to the NPPF which states that traveller sites in the green belt are inappropriate development. Site previously refused permission on grounds of access to site. The proposed development would be likely to intensify the use of substandard access of restricted width, which cannot be improved due to boundary features and land ownership issues. Access by trailers and caravans will be difficult with resultant problems for waiting or reversing onto Malton Road which will be detrimental to other road users particularly pedestrians and cyclists and interfere with the free flow of traffic including Park and ride buses. This conflicts with NPPF which states that decisions should take account of whether safe and suitable access to sites can be achieved for all people and policies T2a and V5 of the Local Plan.	7313/17763	Clir N Ayre
	Objection – Knapton. Object to the inclusion of land as a showperson's camp in the plan. Believe that this site should continue to be included in the green belt as it	Petition 3	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	protects the rural setting of the western approach to the city. The introduction of large areas of hard surfacing, together with intrusive storage facilities and maintenance activities, would have a substantial impact on nearby residential areas. Such facilities should be located in commercial estates.		
	Objection – Dunnington. Site will adversely affect: Hassacarr Nature Reserve; the open aspect of the gateway to the village; village green and conservation area; and the parking provision for sporting events.	Petition 5	
	Objection – Dunnington. Object to a traveller's site on Common Road.  Objection – Dunnington. Site is located on green belt land, adjacent to Hassacarr Nature Reserve and will have a major impact on the people living in the surrounding area, homes and businesses. There are plenty to areas around York that would be suitable for these traveller locations that would not affect local residences and businesses.	Petition 6 Petition 7	
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations	Objection – Chowdene. The placement of this traveller's site right at the heart of an established community would be disastrous. Locating it immediately adjacent to homes, nature reserve and businesses indicates a complete lack of concern for the impact on the way of life of Huntington and Heworth residents. It is hard to conceive of a more inappropriate proposal.	Petition 10	
Continued	Objection – Knapton. Proposed site will have a detrimental impact on the area and it is an inappropriate development on green belt land.	Petition 14	
	Objection – Knapton. Site should continue to be included in the green belt as it protects the rural setting of the western approach to the city which would otherwise begin to merge with the outer ring road.	Petition 16	
	Objection – Chowdene. The placement of this traveller's site right at the heart of an established community, locating it immediately adjacent to homes, a nature reserve and businesses would not be appropriate in this locality, by virtue of its potential impact on Huntington and Heworth residents. It is hard to conceive of a more inappropriate proposal. Additional comments from individual signatories: already enough sites; unfair that these proposals get preferential consideration compared to similar rejected applications; impact on local school and surgeries; rapid expansion of Huntington has not been supported by an improvement in facilities; significantly affect local schools (already overcrowded), residents, traffic, hospitals and other vital services; why such a high percentage compared to other cities?; will discourage future investment in Monks Cross area; impact on environment, sewage, water/drainage (already a problem) and bad traffic congestion; and noise and light pollution.	Petition 19	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Objection – Dunnington. Wholly inappropriate plan. Some controversy in the number of pitches needed in the York area. Housing proposals have been turned down as it would spoil the entrance to the village. Conservation area and memorial garden abut the site. In line with GI6, wish to retain the green approach to the village and keep the green buffer between the industrial estate and the village. Proposal is not an exceptional circumstance to allow it to be built in the green belt and to over ride past planning policies. Site would spoil the village outline. Worsen run off to surrounding properties and make management of surface water in the village even more difficult. Situated next to a nature reserve and the proximity of humans, horses, noise and traffic may disturb the wildlife and pollutants could get into the water. The importance of the running open water ad green corridors of the ditches to the biodiversity is unknown. Risk to children at opposite play area caused by large vehicles. Sports centre used by about 1000 people a week so there is no privacy contravening policy ACHM4. Junction with the Hull Road is dangerous. A potential alternative use would be to make a permeable paved car park in the field for the sports club and have the rest of the field in case it is required for expansion of the sports facilities. Would be better to incorporate site for gypsy and travellers into the new villages proposed in the plan.	Petition 20	
Policy ACHM3 Gypsy, Traveller And Showpeople Allocations Continued	Objection – Chowdene. The placement of this traveller's site right at the heart of an established community, locating it immediately adjacent to homes, a nature reserve and businesses would not be appropriate in this locality, by virtue of its potential impact on Huntington and Heworth residents. It is hard to conceive of a more inappropriate proposal.	Petition 21	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM4 Sites For Gypsies, Travellers And Showpeople	Objection - strong recommend that policy ACHM4 has another bullet point added to the first six to state that sites for Gypsies, travellers and showpeople will be located out of Flood Zone 3. This is because flood zone 3 is inappropriate for this type of development due to the type of development due to associated flood risk and vulnerability classifications. Caravans and mobile homes intended for permanent residential use are classed as "highly vulnerable". Reference should be made to the council's Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and its associated takes 4.1 and 4.2 that would support this bullet point.	3/11626	Environment Agency
	Support – the allocations and principles for gypsies, travellers and showpeople. Would welcome greater clarity as to how sites will be developed in a way that ensures cohesion and integration with the local resident population and neighbouring communities. Fully believe this is possible and would encourage engagement with relevant VCSE organisations at the earliest opportunity.	178/13902	York Council for Voluntary Service
	Comment – in terms of the policies for gypsies, traveller and showpeople, whichever sites are eventually chosen, it is essential that the development of these areas does not harm the special character or setting of York. Several of the areas of search include land which, if developed, could compromise the Green Belt objectives relating to the preservation of the historic city. Consequently, it is important that policy ACHM4 includes a requirement for any sites to safeguard those elements which contribute to the special character and setting of the historic city.	238/14090	English Heritage
	Support – the criteria that the City of York Council has adopted for finding new sites for the Gypsy, Traveller and Showpeople Community. At first reading the criteria of: Land to be for mixed use (living and working), Access for large vehicles, Proximity to local services and facilities seem sensible and appropriate. Is clear that it does not have the capacity or skills required to assess actual sites. For this reason the Trust will not make any comment on any of the sites suggested. The Council should aspire to the provision of sites of the highest quality. The current sites in York experience some significant problems, including poor drainage and the invasion of privacy through the siting of private security cameras, and the lack of basic facilities such as play equipment. The new sites, and the upgrading of current sites, should meet the needs of Gypsies and Travellers and their families and enable them to live with dignity. The Gypsies and Travellers should be involved in the planning of the sites. Is taking advice from similar agencies and the national umbrella bodies for Gypsies and Travellers on criteria for new sites. The information gathered can be shared with the City Council. Through the public discussion that the Local Plan will generate the right sites will be	262/15018	York Traveller's Trust

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	identified and agreed upon. We stress that doing nothing is not an option and that the Council must give priority to the process of finding the right sites to implement this plan.		
Policy ACHM4 Sites For Gypsies, Travellers And Showpeople	Objection - the Plan should provide detailed local criteria to guide Gypsy, Traveller and Showpeople provision. This should be derived from a proper City wide need and site analysis to protect the interests of the Gypsy and Traveller and Showpeople and the Settled Communities, not the current inappropriate site offer methodology that is being manipulated by land owners or their agents.	1457/17409	
continued	Support – supportive of the policy,	1654/9940	The National Federation of Gypsy Liaison Groups
	Support- support preferred option	2846/7549	
	Support – supportive of the preferred approach.	2894/7609	
	Comment – There must be good and adequate sites for gypsies and travellers. When there is not they camp- anywhere they can and become unpopular, through no fault of their own.	3242/8312	
	Support – as the plan highlights this group is the most marginalised and disadvantaged of all minority groups. Welcome the recognition in the local plan that there has been a lack of delivery of required plots and pitches. All these needs to be address – hence the request to landowners to identify suitable land.	3243/8314	
	Comment - there should be policies to support the management of Gypsy, Traveller and showpeople allocations. This is crucial to the successful implementation of the stated allocations.	3356/8572	
	Comment - ACHM4 is contradictory to the preferred site size at Dunnington.	5168/12305	
	Objection - the Plan should provide detailed local criteria to guide Gypsy, Traveller and Showpeople provision. This should be derived from a proper City wide need and site analysis to protect the interests of the Gypsy and Traveller and Showpeople and the Settled Communities, not the current inappropriate site offer methodology that is being manipulated by land owners or their agents.	5178/12351	
	Support – making proper provision based on evidence of need for gypsy and travellers in designated areas.	5427/14744	
	Comment - where are all these Showpeople currently living?	5780/13680	
	Support – supportive of the policy.	6518/16398	,
	Objection - The policy does not address the interests of the settled community. Support option 1. If sites area specified for the whole of the plan period the community near a site suffers a planning blight for all that period even if a site does not	6519/16472	Cllr Jenny Brooks

Policy, Site,	Comments	Ref.	Name (where
Table, Figure,			business or
Para etc.			organisation)
	materialise.		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM5 Student Housing	Comment – the Local Plan should include wording to explain the context for student housing provision which includes the following aspects: The on-going viability of dedicated student housing that is owned or contracted by the Higher Education institution is fundamental to its provision. Students can be encouraged to live in provided accommodation but cannot be coerced. In assessing need for new provision, citywide provision should be taken into account.  Objection – Consider that there should be a citywide Students Residences strategy. In terms of the current policy wording: There are no allocated 'student housing' sites in plan. There is no mention of policy for private providers. These comments have been shared with the University of York.	38/12918	York St John University
	Support – the plan should provide local policy to guide development of student accommodation towards campus locations.	59/12653	Dunnington Parish Council
	Objection –include a policy for student housing which states that 'Any proposals to increase student numbers at Higher Education Institutions must be matched by specific proposals to meet the corresponding additional need for student accommodation.'	62/12705	Fulford Parish Council
	Support – welcome the policy on student housing, would prefer to see future provision which is also aimed at improving upon the current student housing quality and provision.  Comment – feel that the local plan could make greater provision for improving the overall student housing and associated community provision, not just that for the potential additional student population.	178/13903	York Council for Voluntary Service
	Support – the action on students and student housing has been fair, particularly given the disastrous impact that national policies have had on student numbers, but more University accommodation is needed in the coming years.	525/16636	
	Comment – with regards to the argument that there is a lack of affordable housing in York, can the Council not limit the number of Houses in Multiple Occupation (HMOs) within the City? Are the Council actually doing that? Heard that they are not. Why isn't the University providing affordable housing for their students in line with if not cheaper than what landlords are charging for rooms in their HMOs. This would then relieve the housing problem and not force students into renting cheaper rooms in HMOs.	905/16943	
	Support – the Plan should provide local policy to guide development of student accommodation towards campus locations. Such accommodation located in residential areas is adversely affecting the amenity value of long established residential areas.	1457/17410	
	Comment – the Universities are likely to continue to expand and as part of their	1589/17556	Nether Poppleton Parish

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	expansion should be provision of student accommodation on site, not relying on York City to provide for them.		Council
Policy ACHM5 Student Housing Continued	Objection – appears to be in direct conflict with Policy ACHM1, ACHM1 suggests locating student housing within the most accessible locations, whilst ACHM5 suggests accommodation should be provided on campus first. Most accessible locations are not University campuses. Believe Policy ACHM5 is appropriate and ACHM1 should be reviewed in order to bring it in line with ACHM5.	1592/17604	York Civic Trust
	Comment – Universities will assess their needs with due regard to dedicated student housing provision made by third parties on a speculative basis. If additional accommodation is required the universities will endeavour to accommodate the levels of demand by on-campus solutions or on sites managed by themselves or linked to purpose built private sector accommodation provided it is economically prudent to do so.	1673/17645	University of York
	Support – the approach identified in draft policy ACHM5. This is particularly important to avoid an unacceptable impact on local residents through HMOs. Council could consider in its approach the allocation of such sites for student housing.	1748/9846	Diocese of Middlesbrough
	Comment – local policy to guide development of student accommodation towards campus locations. Provide detailed local criteria to guide location and concentration of HMOs.	2846/7550	
	Comment – the student population should be distributed more or less evenly throughout the city in order to minimise student hotspots which, generally speaking, tend to have a harmful impact on a neighbourhood. The main thrust of the policy should be to require the educational establishments to accommodate students on campus.	3356/8573	
	Comment – more control should be exhibited on student accommodation – both designated flats and HMOs. The two Universities, whilst being of benefit to the City, do not (should not) have priority over local indigenous needs.	3361/8624	
	Objection – all future housing should be built on University land and not multiple occupation in houses in the City.	3729/10042	
	Support – if the Universities built more accommodation on brown field sites near to the University, students could then be housed in University owned accommodation for the full three years and charged a reasonable rent, then over the summer months the accommodation could be let out to people visiting the city. This would then discourage landlords from buying up so many houses and would open up the market for affordable homes, particularly for the first and second time buyers.	3851/10339	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	Comment – there may be an increase in people coming into the city and probably more housing is needed, however the shortage of affordable housing lies with the fact that there has been a huge amount of housing being used to house students in their second and third years at our two Universities, both of which have expanded over the years, without providing accommodation for students. Large areas of our city which		
	were residential have been turned into 'buy to let' for students by private landlords.	2040/10510	
	Comment – much of the housing in this area is already taken over by student accommodation. This means that HMO housing is empty for over half the year every year. Students need somewhere to stay, but this should not be at the expense of housing for the local population.	3949/10510	
	Comment – HMOs must be carefully situated and universities must take responsibility for the student need for accommodation.	3970/10565	
	Comment – 20% of York is now taken over by students, so you have to look elsewhere to make up this shortfall. York University should be responsible for the student accommodation!	4290/11130	
	Comment – on student accommodation St Johns appear to be doing the right thing in away from residential areas unlike York University who encourage students to take cheap neglected landlord property in residential areas. Landlords should be forced to look after there properties making them more competitive and York University should not neglect their responsibilities to residents.	4295/11139	
	Comment – if the city council made the Universities house more students in Halls of Residence, then that would free up many family homes, particularly if the council turned down planning applications for homes of multiple occupancy.	4314/11196	
	Comment - there has been rapid growth in York's population in recent years as a result of the rapid expansion of the further education sector, especially at the University of York (U of Y). The proportion of students accommodated on campus at the U of Y has decreased from 62% in 1995/6 to 41% in 2011/12) – and this is a smaller proportion of a much higher number of students (5,411 in 1995/6 and 14,070 in 2011/12. This has led to an increasing demand for student accommodation in the private sector,	4327/11225	Badger Hill Residents Community Group
	from 2072 in 1995/6 to 8,295 in 2011/12, with the consequent loss of family housing as dwelling houses were converted into Houses of Multiple Occasion (HMOs). On Badger Hill, for example, more than 18% of good sized, quality family houses have been converted into HMOs. Most of this university led growth has now been accomplished and accommodated, so it is unlikely that the expansion rate will be		
	pronounced in future years. The effects of further university expansion will also be		

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	moderated by the provision of specialist student accommodation by private developers. The cumulative effect of all these developments will be to ease pressure on future local housing demand and increase housing availability for families.		
Policy ACHM5 Student Housing Continued	Comment –the expansions of York and St John's University have both taken large numbers of family sized houses for student accommodation. This must be resolved by the campuses to significantly increase the numbers of high density student housing either on campus or as close as possible to the sites.	4361/11306	
	Comment – too many family homes are being rented to students and neighbourhoods, becoming run down with little community spirit remaining. Policy should be to limit considerable change of use to multiple occupancy and landlords made to keep property & gardens in good repair.	4490/11508	
	Objection – if City of York Council were to cease immediately allowing any further family homes to become HMOs, this would be a start. Refusal of any further applications for HMOs to prevent the loss of family homes. Charging buy-to-let investors for services provided by the council. Actively work with the universities in the city to reclaim York's housing stock by encouraging students to live on campus.	5151/12283	
	Comment – the Plan should provide local policy to guide development of student accommodation towards campus locations. Such accommodation located in residential areas is adversely affecting the amenity value of long established residential areas.	5178/12352	
	Comment – in Fulford there is a shortage of houses because hundreds of family homes have been turned into HMOs. The University must take its share of responsibility by creating more student accommodation and encouraging students to live there.	5234/12549	
	Comment – York as a whole is full of student housing. Why? Students are away from the city approx. 5 months of the year leaving many houses empty and do not pay rates etc.	5308/14469	
	Comment – need to dramatically ramp up purpose built student accommodation to reclaim houses of multiple occupancy for families in the city.	5357/14553	
	Comment – instead of the proposals we should Develop brown field sites – re use derelict/empty properties – prevent the loss of housing in York to students and holiday homes.	5429/14755	
	Comment – affordable housing is needed by those who already live here and wish to remain, this can be addressed by encouraging the reduction of rents and the building of more property by the university to house their students thus freeing up more homes.	5436/14766	
	Comment – if the University fulfilled their commitment to house students, this would	5462/14805	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	release many family houses in and around York for other residents.		
Policy ACHM5 Student Housing Continued	Comment – the real reason there might be a housing shortage in York could be vast areas of student lets in Fulford, Tang Hall and other areas near the University and St Johns College pushing up rents.	5487/14848	
	Comment – probably have more free family homes if the council would stop people converting family homes into h.m.o's and pressurized the university to build more residences for its students.	5502/14879	
	Comment – get all the students onto campus accommodation & that will free up hundreds of homes being used by them at the moment. Why are you not concentrating on using the Brownfill sites?	5528/14948	
	Comment – York University bring in 16000 students each year and should not be dumping them on the local housing stock taking up some 4000 erstwhile family homes.	5633/13259	
	Comment – had the council not allowed so many houses to have been turned over to buy to let and student lets, then this need for the building of additional houses would not be required. Taken over by students, very few residential families living there. The Universities in York provide adequate campus hosting for students which is greatly under utilised by the student population, and this is to the detriment of families in York who require suitable housing.	5738/13519	
	Support – building of targeted student accommodation that meets the needs of students in a similar way to HMOs in the private sector near to the University of York would be a great benefit to the local communities.	5740/13530	
	Comment – family houses are used as student accommodation mostly as HMOs and the University should be providing more accommodation on campus and leaving the family houses to be used as intended.	5753/13581	
	Comment – if the campus is to continue to expand, the University must be seen to meet its responsibilities as part of The Plan.	5780/13681	
	Support – both universities should be encouraged to build more purpose-built student accommodation both on and off their campuses.	5826/13789	
	Objection – Beckett Drive has at least 50% of properties owned by landlords, who let out to students – 4 or 5 at a time. Lowers the value of other properties.	5917/15245	
	Comment – there seems to be no acknowledgement of the issue of so much rented housing around the University. The main issues seem to be that that area is becoming a bit of a student ghetto and it is taking away a huge amount of low cost housing away from the general population of York/first time buyers.	6042/15466	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM5 Student Housing Continued	Comment – reference is made to the contradiction between the aims and content of ACHM1 and the content of Policies ACHM5 and U1. Appropriate amendments are suggested to Policies ACHM5, U1, U4 and related elements of the LPPO to address this position. The amendments suggest equal weight be given to campus locations and other locations based on the accessibility credentials of each with preference for those offering the best access to the city centre, educational establishments and day to day services. Policy ACHM5 is therefore inconsistent with the reasoned justification and should be amended to give equal support for campus locations and appropriate, accessible off campus locations. Policy ACHM5 and Policy U1 suggest a preference of directing student accommodation to campus locations or to allocated sites managed by the institution in question or linked to purpose built dedicated private sector accommodation. No mention is made in Policy ACHM5 of accessibility credentials, although these are mentioned in the reasoned justification to Policy ACHM1 (Paragraph 11.9). These policies propose a "sequential" test for the location of student accommodation. The policy approach should be sequential (which the current approach could be interpreted as being) and should be drafted to provide greater flexibility in location (i.e. taking into account accessibility credentials). It is inappropriate for a sequential test to be applied to student accommodation. It is recommended that PolicyACHM5 be redrafted to read: "Higher education institutions must address the need for any additional student accommodation which arises because of their future expansion. Provision will be expected to be made on campus, in the first instance or otherwise on allocated sites in locations which offer the best access to the City Centre, higher education institutions and other day to day services and which are managed by the institution in question or linked to purpose built dedicated private sector accommodation".	6166/15680	Yorvale Projects LLP & Maple Grove Developments Ltd
	Objection – if York Council had not provided number of licences for multi occupancy use there would be more houses available for families, not student only areas.	6270/15852	
	Support – agree with preferred approach.	6508/17675	Conservative Group
	Support -supportive of page 127	6518/16399	York Green Party
	Support – agree with preferred approach.	6519/16474	Cllr Jenny Brooks

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM6	Comment – the Plan should provide detailed local criteria to guide location and	59/12654	Dunnington Parish
Houses In	concentration of HMOs, as well as defining minimum quality standards.		Council
Multiple Occupation	Objection – provide guidance on housing mix provision including the proportion of houses. Adopt a policy which would strictly control the creation and extension of houses in multiple occupation. Particular problem in Fulford and nearby areas of large and unsympathetic extensions being added to previously family houses to provide additional study bedrooms.	62/12704	Fulford Parish Council
	Support – the action on students and student housing has been fair, particularly given the disastrous impact that national policies have had on student numbers, but more University accommodation is needed in the coming years.	525/16637	
	Comment – recommend that criterion ii. is deleted.	659/15095	Persimmon Homes
	Support – the plan should provide detailed local criteria to guide location and concentration of HMOs, as well as defining minimum quality standards in co-operation with the universities concerned. More good quality residential accommodation should be provided on campus. Such accommodation is adversely affecting the amenity value of long established residential areas.	1457/17411	
	Objection – the residential area must also be capable of dealing with the influx of a population which is not easy to integrate.	2416/6656	
	Comment –ACHM6 seems to reflect current policy.	3356/8574	
	Comment – more control should be exhibited on student accommodation – both designated flats and HMOs. The two Universities, whilst being of benefit to the City, do not (should not) have priority over local indigenous needs.	3361/8625	
	Objection – all future housing should be built on University land and not multiple occupation in houses in the City.	3729/10043	
	Comment – accept that there may be an increase in people coming into the city and probably more housing is needed, however the shortage of affordable housing lies with the fact that there has been a huge amount of housing being used to house students in their second and third years at our two Universities, both of which have expanded over the years, without providing accommodation for students. Large areas of our city which were residential have been turned into 'buy to let' for students by private landlords.	3851/10340	
	Comment – much of the housing in this area is already taken over by student accommodation. This means that HMO housing is empty for over half the year every year. I realise that students need somewhere to stay, but this should not be at the expense of housing for the local population.	3949/10511	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Policy ACHM6 Houses In	Comment – HMOs must be carefully situated and universities must take responsibility for the student need for accommodation.	3970/10566	
Multiple Occupation Continued	Comment – the Plan should provide local policy to guide development of student accommodation towards campus locations. Such accommodation located in residential areas is adversely affecting the amenity value of long established residential areas.	5178/12353	
	Comment – in Fulford there is a shortage of houses because hundreds of family homes have been turned into HMOs. The University must take its share of responsibility by creating more student accommodation and encouraging students to live there.	5234/12550	
	Comment – need to dramatically ramp up purpose built student accommodation to reclaim houses of multiple occupancy for families in the city.	5357/14554	
	Comment – instead of the proposals we should Develop brown field sites – re use derelict/empty properties – prevent the loss of housing in York to students and holiday homes.	5429/14756	
	Comment – affordable housing is needed by those who already live here and wish to remain, this can be addressed by encouraging the reduction of rents and the building of more property by the university to house their students thus freeing up more homes.	5436/14767	
	Comment – if the University fulfilled their commitment to house students, this would release many family houses in and around York for other residents.	5462/14806	
	Comment – the real reason there might be a housing shortage in York could be vast areas of student lets in Fulford, Tang Hall and other areas near the University and St Johns College pushing up rents.	5487/14849	
	Comment – probably have more free family homes if the council would stop people converting family homes into HMO's and pressurised the university to build more residences for its students.	5502/14880	
	Comment – get all the students onto campus accommodation & that will free up hundreds of homes being used by them at the moment. Why are you not concentrating on using the Brownfield sites?	5528/14949	
	Comment – had the council not allowed so many houses to have been turned over to buy to let and student lets, then this need for the building of additional houses would not be required. Taken over by students, very few residential families living there. The Universities in York provide adequate campus hosting for students which is greatly under utilised by the student population, and this is to the detriment of families in York who require suitable housing.	5738/13520	
	Objection – HMO figures are too high to allow reasonable mixed use, suggest 10% for	5740/13529	

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
	an area and far less for a 100 metre section.		
Policy ACHM6 Houses In Multiple	Comment – family houses are used as student accommodation mostly as HMOs and the University should be providing more accommodation on campus and leaving the family houses to be used as intended.	5753/13582	
Occupation Continued	Comment – if the campus is to continue to expand, the University must be seen to meet its responsibilities as part of The Plan.	5780/13682	
	Objection – Beckett Drive has at least 50% of properties owned by landlords, who let out to students – 4 or 5 at a time. Lowers the value of other properties.	5917/15246	
	Comment – there seems to be no acknowledgement of the issue of so much rented housing around the University. The main issues seem to be that that area is becoming a bit of a student ghetto and it is taking away a huge amount of low cost housing away from the general population of York/first time buyers.	6042/15467	
	Comment – it is good that there is now a limit to student housing in an area but why are the landlords not paying Council Tax?	6169/15698	
	Objection – if York Council had not provided number of licences for multi occupancy use there would be more houses available for families, not student only areas.	6270/15853	
	Support – agree with preferred approach.	6508/17676	City Of York Council Conservative Group
	Support – supportive of page 127	6518/16400	York Green Party
	Support – agree with preferred approach.	6519/16475	Cllr Jenny Brooks

Policy, Site, Table, Figure, Para etc.	Comments	Ref.	Name (where business or organisation)
Question 11.01	Objection - disagree with approach and support alternative 1: Rely on national guidance to guide gypsy, traveller and showpeople pitch provision.	657/16800	
	Comment - a local plan to ensure adequate housing mix should be undertaken.	943/16955	
	Comment - need to make progress in housing development, prescriptive polices have led to stagnation.	1109/17190	
	Support - housing mix and that sustainable villages require a mix of household types to support a range of local amenities. Also recognise that as well as family homes we need to cater for our ageing population. Developments can make a contribution towards releasing under occupied family homes by including bungalow or other retirement type provision. Broadly I support this policy and the approach to the Lifetime Homes Standard and assume that any developed sites will include an element of bungalow provision.	1457/17406	