

Contents

| 1. | Proposed Modifications Consultation June 2019 | 4 |
|----|--|----|
| | Purpose of this consultation | 4 |
| | Dates of consultation | 4 |
| | Consultation Documents | 4 |
| | Main Documents | 5 |
| | Background Evidence | 5 |
| | Consultation Material | 5 |
| | How to respond | 5 |
| 2. | Introduction | 6 |
| | PM1 – Whole Plan Reference change Proposals map to policies map | 8 |
| | PM2 - Removal of Deleted Policies from Contents Page | 8 |
| | PM3 – Explanation of City of York Housing Needs | 9 |
| | PM4 - Policy SS1: Delivering Sustainable Growth for York - Policy | 10 |
| | PM5 - Policy SS1: Delivering Sustainable Growth for York – Explanation | 10 |
| | PM6 - Policy SS10: Land North of Monks Cross | 10 |
| | PM7 - Policy SS12: Land West of Wigginton Road - Criterion vi | 10 |
| | PM8 - Policy SS12: Land West of Wigginton Road - Criterion vii | 11 |
| | PM9 - Policy SS13: Land West of Elvington Lane - Criterion iv | 11 |
| | PM10 - Policy SS13: Land West of Elvington Lane - Criterion vi | 11 |
| | PM11 - Policy SS13: Land West of Elvington Lane - Criterion x | 11 |
| | PM12 - Policy SS18: Station Yard, Wheldrake | 12 |
| | PM13 - Policy SS19: Queen Elizabeth Barracks, Strensall - Policy | 12 |
| | PM14 - Policy SS19: Queen Elizabeth Barracks, Strensall – Explanation | 17 |
| | PM15 - Policy SS20: Imphal Barracks | 20 |
| | PM16 - Policy EC1: Employment Allocations – Policy | 21 |
| | PM17 - Policy EC1: Employment Allocations – Explanation | 21 |
| | PM18 - Policy H1: Housing Allocations (H59) | 23 |
| | PM19 - Policy H1: Housing Allocations (ST35) | 23 |
| | PM20a to PM20d - Policy H1: Housing Allocations | 23 |
| | PM21a to PM21d - Policy H1: Housing Allocations | 24 |
| | PM22 - Policy H1: Housing Allocations Explanation | 24 |
| | PM23 - HW1: Protecting Existing Facilities | 26 |

| | PM24 - Policy D1: Placemaking | 27 |
|-----|--|-----|
| | PM25 - Policy D4: Conservation Areas | 27 |
| | PM26 - Policy GI2: Biodiversity and Access to Nature - Policy | 28 |
| | PM27 - Policy GI2: Biodiversity and Access to Nature – Explanation | 31 |
| | PM28 - Policy GI6: New Open Space Provision | 31 |
| | PM29 - Policies Map Green Belt Change - Moor Lane, Woodthorpe | 32 |
| | PM30 - Policies Map Green Belt Change - Rear of St Olaves and St Peters School. | 32 |
| | PM31 - Policies Map Green Belt Change - Windy Ridge, Huntington | 34 |
| | PM32 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Jockey Lane | 36 |
| | PM33 - Policies Map Green Belt Change - Land to the rear of Osbaldwick Village | 36 |
| | PM34 - Policies Map Green Belt Change - Land at Hull Rd, North of Grimston Bar | 36 |
| | PM35 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Heslington Road and Garrow Hill | 36 |
| | PM36 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Little Hob Moor | 37 |
| | PM37 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – South of Askham Bar Park and Ride | 39 |
| | PM38 - Policies Map Green Belt Change - York College, Tadcaster Road | 40 |
| | PM39 - Policies Map Green Belt Change - Strensall Village | 40 |
| | PM40 - Policies Map Green Belt Change - Elvington Industrial Estate, Elvington | 42 |
| | PM41 - Policies Map Green Belt Change - Knapton Village | 42 |
| | PM42 - Policy T7: Minimising and Accommodating Generated Trips | 43 |
| | PM43 - Table 15.2 - Delivery and Monitoring - Spatial Strategy | 44 |
| | PM44 - Table 15.2: Delivery and Monitoring - Housing | 44 |
| | PM45 - Table 15.2: Delivery and Monitoring – Green Infrastructure | 45 |
| | PM46 - Table 15.2: Delivery and Monitoring - Green Infrastructure | 45 |
| Ass | sociated Figures and Tables | 46 |
| | PM20a – Update to Figure 5.1: Housing Trajectory to 2033 to reflect the revised OAN 790 dpa. | |
| | PM20b – Update to Figure 5.1: Housing Trajectory to 2033 to reflect the revised OAN 790 dpa inclusive of a 10% non-implementation discount to extant planning permission and site allocations identified for housing development | ons |
| | PM20c – Update to Figure 5.1: Housing Trajectory to 2038 to reflect the revised OAN 790 dpa | |
| | PM20d – Update to Figure 5.1: Housing Trajectory to 2038 to reflect the revised OAN 790 dpa inclusive of a 10% non-implementation discount to extant planning permission and site allocations identified for housing development | ons |
| | PM21a – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2033 to reflect the revised OAN 790 dpa. | |

| PM21b – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2033 to reflect the revised OAN of 790 dpa inclusive of a 10% non-implementation discount to extant planning permission and site allocations identified for housing development | |
|--|-----|
| PM21c – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2038 to reflect the revised OAN of 790 dpa5 | |
| PM21d – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2038 to reflect the revised OAN of 790 dpa inclusive of a 10% non-implementation discount to extant planning permission and site allocations identified for housing development | s |
| PM29 to PM41 Distribution of proposed modifications to submitted Polices Maps5 | 4 |
| Local Plan Publication Draft Policies Map February 2018 Key5 | 5 |
| PM29: Moor Lane, Woodthorpe5 | 6 |
| PM30: Rear of St Olaves and St Peters School5 | 7 |
| PM31: Windy Ridge, Huntington5 | 8 |
| PM32: Jockey Lane5 | ;9 |
| PM33: Land to the rear of Osbaldwick Village6 | 0 |
| PM34: Land at Hull Rd, north of Grimston Bar6 | 1 |
| PM35: Heslington Road and Garrow Hill6 | 52 |
| PM36: Little Hob Moor6 | ;3 |
| PM37: South of Askham Bar Park and Ride6 | ;4 |
| PM38: York College, Tadcaster Road6 | 5 |
| PM39: Strensall Village6 | 6 |
| PM40: Elvington Industrial Estate, Elvington6 | 7 |
| PM41: Knapton Village | : 2 |

1. Proposed Modifications Consultation June 2019

Purpose of this consultation

- 1.1 This consultation gives York residents, businesses and other interested groups the opportunity to comment on additional evidence and modifications to the city's Local Plan prior to the hearing sessions. The Planning Inspectors undertaking the examination of the submitted Local Plan have asked for the consultation as they consider the proposed modifications to be fundamental to what they are examining the soundness and legal compliance of the plan.
- 1.2 The Proposed Modifications are put forward by the Council without prejudice to the Inspectors final conclusions on the Plan. The consultation only looks at the specific proposed modifications and not other aspects of the plan. The Council is **not** inviting further comments on the submitted Plan where there are no proposed modifications.
- 1.3 The Council is also consulting on a Sustainabilty Appraisal (SA) of the Proposed Modifications along with an updated Habitat Regulations Assessment (HRA).

Dates of consultation

1.4 The consultation runs for 6 weeks from Monday 10th June to midnight on Monday 22nd July 2019.

Consultation Documents

- 1.5 All documents are available online on the Local Plan webpage (www.york.gov.uk/localplan) and a full set of hard copies of all of the consultation documents have been placed in:
 - West Offices Reception (Station Rise, York, YO1 6GA, open Monday to Friday 8.30am to 5pm);
 - York Explore Library to be viewed (Library Square, York, YO1
 7DS, open Monday to Thursday 9am to 8pm, Friday 10am to 6pm,
 Saturday 9am to 5pm and Sunday 11am to 4pm).

1.6 All CYC libraries hold a hard copy of the main documents with a cover sheet listing the background evidence and how this can be viewed. All locations have consultation materials as set out below.

Main Documents

- City of York Local Plan Proposed Modifications (June 2019).
- Sustainability Appraisal/Strategic Environmental Assessment Addendum (June 2019).
- Updated Habitats Regulations Assessment of the City of York Council Local Plan (February 2019)
- City of York Local Plan Publication Draft (February 2018) to be read alongside the proposed modifications schedule only [CD001]

Background Evidence

- City of York Housing Needs Update (January 2019).
- Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment Figure 6: Updated to 790 dwelling per annum Objectively Assessed Need.
- Topic Paper TP1: Approach to defining York's Green Belt Addendum (March 2019):
 - Annex 1 GIS Map Evidence:
 - Annex 2 GB Outer Boundary Descriptions and Justifications:
 - Annex 3 GB Inner Boundary Descriptions and Justifications:
 - Annex 4 Urban Areas within the General Extent:
 - Annex 5 Sites Proposed in the General Extent:
 - Annex 6 Proposed GB Modifications.

Consultation Material

- Comments Form (electronic and hard copies);
- Statement of Representation Procedure (including Statement of Fact).

How to respond

1.7 If you wish to comment on these Proposed Modifications, you can do this by:

- Filling in the Comments Form, which is available electronically on the website (<u>www.york.gov.uk/localplan</u>) or in hard copies at West Offices Reception and at all York Libraries, or
- Using the Council's online 'Current Consultations' facility and completing an online response form (www.york.gov.uk/consultations).

Please state clearly which Proposed Modification number (PM) or document your response relates to.

2. Introduction

- 2.1 The City of York Local Plan was submitted for examination in public in May 2018.
- 2.2 The Council submitted a 'Schedule of Minor Modifications' (CD003), with the 'Regulation 19 Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018)', in May 2018. This 'Proposed Modifications Document (June 2019)' includes the changes proposed in CD003, where they are not superseded. This Proposed Modifications document should therefore, be read in conjunction with the 'Regulation 19 Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018)'.
- 2.3 The Council has now identified various changes that they consider may need to be made to the Plan (known as Proposed Modifications) in order to make the City of York Local Plan "sound". These Modifications are put forward without prejudice to the Inspectors final conclusions on the Plan. This document sets out the Proposed Modifications which will be consulted upon before hearing sessions commence.
- 2.4 Text that is proposed to be deleted is struck through (example) and additions are shown underlined and bold text (example).
- 2.5 As far as possible, Modifications are arranged in the order of the sections that they change, within the Submitted version of the City of York Local Plan.

2.6 The column headed 'Reason for change' indicates the reason for the Proposed Modification.

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modifica | tion | | Reason for Changes |
|--|--------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|
| General | | | | | |
| PM1 – Whole Plan Reference change Proposals map to policies map | Whole plan where applicable | Amend references fi | rom 'proposals map to 'po | olicies map' | To clarify title of accompanying maps to the plan |
| Index of Policie | es, Figures and Tab | oles | | | |
| PM2 – | 6 Pages in from | | | | |
| Removal of | Title Page | Policy Number | Policy Name | Page Number | Reference to Policy SS19 |
| Deleted Policies from | | Policy SS18 | Station Yard, Wheldrake | 62 | removed following removal of policy SS19/ Site |
| Contents Page | | Policy SS19 | Queen Elizabeth Barracks Strensall | 63 | Allocation ST35 (Queen Elizabeth Barrack, |
| | | Policy SS20 | Imphal Barracks, Fulford Road | 67 | Strensall) |
| Section 2: Vision | on and Developme | nt Principles | | | |

| PM3 – Explanation of City of York Housing Page 17 of the Housing Needs Needs Page 17 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) Page 17 of the Population and the future population linked to the city's economic growth ambitions. This will require the provision of sufficient land for 867 790 dwellings per annum and will include substantial areas of land for 'garden village' development delivering exemplar new sustainable urban extensions such as British Sugar and York Central. In addition the plan will optimise the delivery of affordable housing to development sites; and address the needs of specific groups. To align with the updated housing requirement evidenced through the City of York – Housing Needs Update January 2019 published by GL Hearn To align with the updated housing requirement evidenced through the City of York – Housing Needs Update January 2019 published by GL Hearn | Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| | Explanation of City of York Housing | Page 17 of the Publication Draft Local Plan | throughout the plan period sustainable sites should be brought forward. By the end of the plan period sufficient sites will have been identified for viable and deliverable housing sites with good access to services and public transport to meet the housing needs of the current population and the future population linked to the city's economic growth ambitions. This will require the provision of sufficient land for 867 790 dwellings per annum and will include substantial areas of land for 'garden village' development delivering exemplar new sustainable communities at Land West of Wigginton Road, Land East of Metcalfe Lane and Land West of Elvington Lane, along with major sustainable urban extensions such as British Sugar and York Central. In addition the plan will optimise the delivery of affordable housing to meet identified need subject to not compromising viability of development sites; and address the needs | housing requirement evidenced through the City of York – Housing Needs Update January 2019 |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|--|--|---|--|
| PM4 - Policy SS1: Delivering Sustainable Growth for York | Page 26 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | Deliver a minimum annual provision of 867 <u>790</u> new dwellings over the plan period to 2032/33 and post plan period to 2037/38. This will enable the building of strong, sustainable communities through addressing the housing and community needs of York's current and future population. | To align with the updated housing requirement evidenced through the City of York – Housing Needs Update January 2019 published by GL Hearn |
| PM5 - Policy SS1: Delivering Sustainable Growth for York | Explanation Page 27 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | 3.3 Technical work has been carried out by GL Hearn in the Strategic Housing Market Assessment Update (2017). This work has updated the demographic baseline for York based on the July 2016 household projections to 867 790 per annum. Following consideration of the outcomes of this work, the Council aims to meet an objectively assessed housing need of 867 790 new dwellings per annum for the plan period to 2032/33, including any shortfall in housing provision against this need from the period 2012 to 2017, and for the post plan period to 2037/38. | To align with the updated housing requirement evidenced through the City of York – Housing Needs Update January 2019 published by GL Hearn |
| PM6 – Policy SS10: Land North of Monks Cross | Criterion x. Page 49 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | x. Demonstrate that all transport issues have been addressed, in consultation with the Council and Highways England, as necessary, to ensure sustainable transport provision at the site is achievable. The site will exacerbate congestion in the area, particularly at peak times given its scale and the capacity of the existing road network. The impacts of the site individually and cumulatively with sites ST7, ST9, and ST14 and ST35 should be addressed. | Reference to ST35 removed following removal of policy SS19/ Site Allocation ST35 from the plan. |
| PM7 - Policy SS12: Land West of Wigginton Road | Criterion vi. Page 53 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | vi. Ensure provision of new all purpose access roads to the east/south from A1237 Outer Ring Road/Wigginton Road/roundabout Clifton Moor Gate and off the Wigginton Road/B1363 (as shown on the proposals policies map). The internal layout of any future development on the site could be such that it creates discrete sectors, each with a specific access | To correct the roundabout name referenced. |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|--|---|--|---|
| PM8 - Policy SS12: Land West of Wigginton Road | Criterion vii. Page 53 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | vii. Demonstrate that all transport issues have been addressed, in consultation with the Council as necessary, to ensure sustainable transport provision at the site is achievable. The impacts of the site individually and cumulatively with site's ST7, ST8, ST9, and ST15 and ST35 should be addressed. | Reference to ST35 removed following removal of policy SS19/ Site Allocation ST35 from the plan. |
| PM9 – Policy SS13: Land West of Elvington Lane | Criterion iv. Page 54 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | iv. Create new open space (as shown on the proposals map) within the site to maintain views of the Minster and existing woodland. | To clarify that the openspace is not shown on the policies map. |
| PM10 - Policy SS13: Land West of Elvington Lane | Criterion vi. Page 54 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | vi. Follow a mitigation hierarchy to first seek to avoid impacts, then to mitigate unavoidable impacts or compensate unavoidable residual impacts on Heslington Tillmire SSSI and the Lower Derwent Valley SPA/Ramsar through the: incorporation of a new nature conservation area (as shown on the proposals policies map as allocation OS10 and included within Policy GI6) including a buffer of wetland habitats, a barrier to the movement of people and domestic pets on to the SSSI and deliver further benefits for biodiversity. A buffer of at least 400m from the SSSI will be required in order to adequately mitigate impacts unless evidence demonstrates otherwise; and provision of an detailed site wide recreation and access strategy to minimise indirect recreational disturbance resulting from development and complement the wetland habitat buffer area which will be retained and monitored in perpetuity. A full understanding of the proposed recreational routes is required at an early stage. | To clarify the link to new openspace (OS10) as detailed in the Habitat Regulation Assessment (2018) |
| PM11 - | Criterion xi. | xi. Demonstrate that all transport issues have been addressed, in | Reference to ST35 |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|--|--|---|--|
| Policy SS13: Land West of Elvington Lane | | consultation with the Council and Highways England as necessary, to ensure sustainable transport provision at the site is achievable. The impacts of the site individually and cumulatively with site's ST7, ST8, ST9, ST14, ST27, ST35 and ST36 should be addressed. | removed following removal of policy SS19/ Site Allocation ST35 from the plan. |
| PM12 - Policy SS18: Station Yard, Wheldrake | Criterion iv. Page 62 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | iv. Undertake a comprehensive evidence based approach in relation to biodiversity to address potential impacts of recreational disturbance on the Lower Derwent Valley Special Protection Area (SPA)/Ramsar/SSSI. This will require the developer to publicise and facilitate the use of other, less sensitive countryside destinations nearby (e.g. Wheldrake Woods) and provide educational material to new homeowners to promote good behaviours when visiting the European site. The former could be supported by enhancing the local footpath network and improving signage. | To clarify the mitigation required as detailed in the Habitat Regulation Assessment (2018) |
| PM13 - Policy SS19: Queen Elizabeth Barracks, Strensall | Pages 63-65 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | Policy SS19: Queen Elizabeth Barracks, Strensall Following the Defence Infrastructure Organisation's disposal of the site by 2021, Queen Elizabeth Barracks (ST35) will deliver 500 dwellings at this rural development site. Development is anticipated to commence in 2023. In addition to complying with the policies within this Local Plan, the site must be delivered in accordance with the following key principles. | Site removed following the outcomes of the Habitat Regulations Assessment (Feb 2019), which has not been able to rule out adverse effects on the integrity of Strensall Common Special Area of Conservation (SAC). |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--------------------|
| | | i. The mitigation hierarchy should be followed to ensure no net loss of biodiversity; where possible development should deliver biodiversity gain. Development will only be allowed where it can be demonstrated that it will not have an adverse impact, alone or in combination, upon the integrity of Strensall Common SAC and SSSI. ii. Take full account of the extent and quality of ecological interest on Strensall Common through the preparation of a comprehensive evidence base to support the required Habitat Regulations Assessment and other assessments to be able to fully understand and avoid, mitigate or compensate impacts. To help deliver this, a detailed Visitor Impact Mitigation Strategy must be prepared, which will be informed by comprehensive and repeatable visitor surveys (to be repeated as necessary). The Strategy will identify effective measures which will encourage both the use of alternative sites instead of Strensall Common and less damaging visitor behaviour on the Common. This will include (but not be limited to) the following measures: Within the site divert new users away from the SAC by: Providing natural green space within the site boundary attractive to a range of users, particularly dog walkers; The provision of a circular walk within the site; Ensuring no access throughout the life of the development either by vehicle, cycle or foot to adjoining land on the north, south and eastern site boundary, and Providing publicity, education and awareness to support these aims On Strensall Common ensure suitable behaviour by visitors by: | |

| Modification Plan Location Reference Number | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|--|--------------------|
| | □ Implementing actions to manage recreational pressure at points of arrival, by type of activity and location of activity on site; □ Ongoing menitoring that will specifically lead to the implementation of prompt remedial measures such as the closure of access points etc if adverse effects are identified, and □ Publicity, education and awareness iii. Ensure all ecological avoidance, mitigation and compensation measures are fully operational and functioning prior to commencement of any development. Measures must be supported by a long term management plan which includes ongoing monitoring and remedial measures. iv. Deliver a sustainable housing mix in accordance with the Council's most up to date Strategic Housing Market Assessment. v. The development of this area must be informed by an assessment of architectural interest of the site and its buildings. Those buildings which are considered to be of historic interest should be retained and reused. vi. Be of a high design standard, ensuring the development has a distinct identity from Strensall village and not be just a continuation of the existing development. The site should have its own identity and character that in its layout and spaces, reflects the site's long use as a barracks, its landscape context, and the natural site assets. vii. Retain all identified good quality trees, with appropriate distance to tree canopy, unless they pose an unreasonable restriction on development and their contribution to the public amenity and amenity of the development is very limited, and their loss is | |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---|--------------------|
| | | outweighed by the benefits and mitigation provided by the development. viii. Undertake an archaeological evaluation consisting of geophysical survey and excavation of trenches to identify the presence and assess the significances of archaeological deposits. ix. Prepare a Flood Risk Assessment and full drainage strategy. The strategy should be developed in conjunction with the Council and required statutory bodies and should ensure that the development will not exacerbate any existing issues with surface water and drainage. Hydrological studies that explore surface and sub-surface characteristics of the local hydrological regime would be required to identify the impact on the wet heath communities of Strensall Common SAC/SSSI and identify mitigation measures where required. Any hydrology plan/study also needs to consider impacts on water logged archaeological deposits. x. Increase the area and quality of open space within any proposed development beyond that found at present in order to reduce the impact of recreational pressure on Strensall Common SSSI/SAC. xi. Create new local facilities as required to meet the needs of future occupiers of the development. xii. Deliver sufficient education provision, including a new primary school, to meet the demand arising from the development. Further detailed assessments and associated viability work will be required. | |
| | | necessary, to ensure sustainable transport provision at the site | |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--------------------|
| | | is achievable. The impacts of the site individually and cumulatively with sites ST7, ST8, ST9, ST14 and ST15 should be addressed. xiv. Give further consideration to road safety at the Strensall Road/Towthorpe Moor Lane, in addition to the use of Towthorpe Moor Lane by through traffic. If identified as necessary, mitigation to Strensall Road/Towthorpe Moor Lane junction will be required. xv. Optimise pedestrian and cycle integration, connection and accessibility in and out of the site and connectivity to the city and surrounding area creating well-connected internal streets and walkable neighbourhoods, to encourage the maximum take-up of these more 'active' forms of transport (walking and cycling). Cycle paths will need to be provided along the site frontages connecting into the site and also focus upon the route into the village and local facilities. xvi. Undertake detailed noise and contamination assessments, including detailed assessment of the current and future use of the military training area adjacent to the site. | |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|--|--|--------------------|
| PM14 - Policy SS19: Queen Elizabeth Barracks, Strensall | Explanation Pages 65-67 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | Remove entire explanatory justification: 3.82: ST35 covers circa 28ha with a net developable area of approximately 18ha and will deliver approximately 12ha of public open space and an estimated yield of circa 578 dwellings. There are no listed buildings or conservation areas currently designated within this site. However, as access to the area has always been restricted, no detailed assessment of the existing buildings has been carried out to determine if the buildings merit designation 3.83: To address any heritage designations that may exist on the site it is recommended that Historic England are consulted, using their pre-application assessment service. With a site of this size it is important to consider the impact it will have on the historic nature of the city. The area needs to have a distinct identity from Strensall village and not be just a continuation of the existing development there. This was an important military site which played a wider role in its linkages to other military sites in the area and in the history of York's development as a garrison town. It is important that the area shouldn't lose the story of its identity as a military site and that careful consideration should be given to the kind of area/place being created. The context of the barracks is essentially rural, therefore the presentation of the site to Strensall Road and Strensall common is sensitive and this characteristic should be retained or enhanced. Strensall Common forms part of the site's wider landscape context and it is important to maintain its sense of place adjacent to this whilst taking consideration of its biodiversity value. | |
| | | means that a comprehensive evidence base to understand the | |

| Modification Plan Location Reference Number | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|--|--------------------|
| | potential impacts on biodiversity from further development is required. Strensall Common is designated for it's heathland habitats but also has biodiversity value above its listed features in the SSSI/SAC designations that will need to be fully considered. Although the common is already under intense recreational pressure, there are birds of conservation concern amongst other species and habitats which could be harmed by the intensification of disturbance. In addition, the heathland habitat is vulnerable to changes in the hydrological regime which needs to be explored in detail. The mitigation hierarchy should be used to identify the measures required to first avoid impacts, then to mitigate unavoidable impacts or compensate for any unavoidable residual impacts, and be implemented in the masterplanning approach. Potential access points into the planned development also need to consider impacts on Strensall Common. 3.85: It will be necessary to identify the presence and assess the significances of archaeological deposits on the site. An archaeological evaluation consisting of geophysical survey and excavation of trenches will be required. This will be used to assess the significances of archaeological features and deposits and will allow decisions about the scale and form of future mitigation measures on the site. There is a reasonable potential for survival of prehistoric and Romano-British features and deposits as well as medieval and later exploitation and occupation of the site. There is a high potential for discovering water logged deposits which would be of high significance and may need to be preserved in situ — this needs to be taken into consideration through the hydrology plan/study. | |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--------------------|
| | | 3.86: The majority of the site is in flood zone 1 except for a small area to the north in flood zone 2. Given the scale of the site, a full Flood Risk Assessment and full drainage strategy will be needed. Infiltration Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS) would be compromised in this location but there is an opportunity to develop comprehensive SuDS for the potential new development. Good Surface Water SuDS can enhance development sites and increase the potential value of homes. The adoption and maintenance of any SuDS features needs to be considered as the Council has no capacity to adopt these without funding. | |
| | | 3.87: The nearest existing facilities are in Strensall, it is anticipated that a new primary school and community facilities including retail and community uses will be required within the site given the distance to existing services. This will need to be subject to further detailed viability assessment as part of the site masterplan. | |
| | | 3.88: Good bus network links already exist to York City Centre and Strensall Village along Strensall road. It will be necessary to examine the potential for bus services entering the site in order that public transport access is in line with best practise and policy requirements. There are currently very limited cycle links to Strensall to/from the outer ring road. The construction of a segregated subway to facilitate the crossing of the A1237 is included within the West Yorkshire Transport Fund upgrade scheme, due for completion by 2021/22. There is potential that contributions from this site could help to deliver a cycle link between the A1237 and Strensall. | |
| | | Delivery - Key Delivery Partners: City of York Council; landowners; | |

| | developers; and infrastructure delivery partners. Implementation: Planning applications; and developer | |
|---|--|--|
| | contributions | |
| PM15 - Policy SS20: Imphal Barracks Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) Para 3.89 Section 4: Economy and Retail | 3.89 ST36 covers circa 30ha 18ha with net developable area of approximately 19ha, and will deliver approximately 11 ha of public open space and an estimated yield of 769 dwellings. | To correct the developable area and housing number referenced in the policy. |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|--|--|--|
| PM16 - Policy EC1: Employment Allocations | Allocation E18 and associated footnote Page 76 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | Site Floorspace Suitable Employment uses E18: Towthorpe Lines, Strensall (4ha)* * Policy SS19 points i. – ii. apply to this allocation in relation to assessing and mitigating impacts on Strensall Common SAC and Given the site's proximity to Strensall Common SAC (see explanatory text), this site must also take account of Policy GI2. | Modification to associated footnote to refer to Policy GI2 following removal of policy SS19/ Site Allocation ST35. |
| PM17 - Policy EC1: Employment Allocations | Explanatory text Page 77 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | The location of allocation E18 adjacent to Strensall Common SAC means that a comprehensive evidence base to understand the potential impacts on biodiversity from further development is required. Strensall Common is designated for it's heathland habitats but also has biodiversity value above its listed features in the SSSI/SAC designations that will need to be fully considered. Although the common is already under intense recreational pressure, there are birds of conservation concern amongst other species and habitats which could be harmed by the intensification of disturbance. In addition, the heathland habitat is vulnerable to changes in the hydrological regime and air quality, which needs to be explored in detail. The mitigation hierarchy should be used to identify the measures required to first avoid impacts, then to mitigate unavoidable impacts or compensate for any unavoidable residual impacts, and be implemented in the masterplanning approach. Potential access | New explanatory text to ensure that allocation E18 is considered in relation to Strensall Common SAC. |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--------------------|
| | | points into the planned development also need to consider impacts on Strensall Common. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| Section 5: Hou | eina | | |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | | | Reason for Changes |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| PM18 - Policy H1: Housing Allocations (H59) | Allocation H59 and associated footnote Page 93 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | Reference Site Name Queen Elizabeth Barracks | Site Estimated Size Yield (ha) (Dwellings) | Estimated Phasing Medium to Long Term (Years 6 - 15) | Site removed following the outcomes of the Habitat Regulations Assessment (Feb 2019), which has not been able to rule out adverse effects on the integrity of Strensall Common Special Area of Conservation (SAC). |
| PM19 - Policy H1: Housing Allocations (ST35) | Allocation ST35 Page 94 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | Allocation Reference ST35** Queen Elizabeth Site Name Barracks, Strensall | Site Estimated Size Yield (ha) (Dwellings) 28.8 500 | Estimated Phasing Medium to Long Term (Years 6- 15) | Site removed following the outcomes of the Habitat Regulations Assessment (Feb 2019), which has not been able to rule out adverse effects on the integrity of Strensall Common Special Area of Conservation (SAC). |
| PM20a to PM20d - | Explanation | PM20a – Update to Figure 5.1: He revised OAN of 790 dpa – se | | | To align the housing trajectory with the updated |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Policy H1: | Figure 5.1 | | housing requirement |
| Housing | | PM20b – Update to Figure 5.1: Housing Trajectory to 2033 to reflect | evidenced through the City |
| Allocations | Page 97 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | the revised OAN of 790 dpa inclusive of a 10% non-implementation discount to extant planning permissions and site allocations identified for housing development – see page 47 of this document. | of York – Housing Needs Update January 2019 (GL Hearn) |
| | , | PM20c – Update to Figure 5.1: Housing Trajectory to 2038 to reflect the revised OAN of 790 dpa – see page 48 of this document. | |
| | | PM20d – Update to Figure 5.1: Housing Trajectory to 2038 to reflect | |
| | | the revised OAN of 790 dpa inclusive of a 10% non-implementation | |
| | | discount to extant planning permissions and site allocations | |
| | | identified for housing development – see page 49 of this document. | |
| PM21a to | Explanation | PM21a – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2033 to reflect | To align the housing |
| PM21d - | Table 5.2 | the revised OAN of 790 dpa – see page 50 of this document. | trajectory with the updated |
| Policy H1: Housing | Table 5.2 | PM21b – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2033 to reflect | housing requirement evidenced through the City |
| Allocations | Page 98 of the | the revised OAN of 790 dpa inclusive of a 10% non-implementation | of York – Housing Needs |
| | Publication Draft | discount to extant planning permissions and site allocations | Update January 2019 (GL |
| | Local Plan (February 2018) | identified for housing development – see page 51 of this document. | Hearn) |
| | | PM21c – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2038 to reflect | |
| | | the revised OAN of 790 dpa - see page 52 of this document. | |
| | | PM21d – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2038 to reflect | |
| | | the revised OAN of 790 dpa inclusive of a 10% non-implementation | |
| | | discount to extant planning permissions and site allocations | |
| DMOO | D | identified for housing development – see page 53 of this document. | To all a little and a little |
| PM22 - | Paragraph 5.9 | 5.9 Local Planning Authorities are expected to demonstrate that | To align with the updated |
| Policy H1: | | they have a rolling five year supply of deliverable sites, | housing requirement |

| Allocations Explanation Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) SS1, with an additional 5% or 20% buffer (for five years) depending on past delivery to provide a realistic prospect of achieving the planned supply and to ensure choice and competition in the market for land. Developable sites or broad locations should be identified for years 6-10 and where possible for years 11-15. To fulfil these requirements and to provide additional certainty we have chosen to allocate land for the full plan period, including the greenbelt period, to 2037/38 to meet the minimum housing requirement as set out in Policy SS1 of 867 790 additional dwellings per year. The Council accepts that there has been persistent under delivery of housing as defined in the NPPF and consequently has included enough land in the early years of the trajectory to ensure there is a 20% buffer in the 5 year supply. This land has been brought forward form later in the plan period. Progress on meeting delivery targets will be assessed through the authority monitoring report and the 20% | Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| time as the under delivery has been satisfactorily addressed. This does not mean that overall more land has been allocated in the plan, what it does mean is that the development trajectory (see Figure 5.1) ensures that in the early years of the plan additional land is available to address previous under delivery. | Allocations | Publication Draft Local Plan | SS1, with an additional 5% or 20% buffer (for five years) depending on past delivery to provide a realistic prospect of achieving the planned supply and to ensure choice and competition in the market for land. Developable sites or broad locations should be identified for years 6-10 and where possible for years 11-15. To fulfil these requirements and to provide additional certainty we have chosen to allocate land for the full plan period, including the greenbelt period, to 2037/38 to meet the minimum housing requirement as set out in Policy SS1 of 867 790 additional dwellings per year. The Council accepts that there has been persistent under delivery of housing as defined in the NPPF and consequently has included enough land in the early years of the trajectory to ensure there is a 20% buffer in the 5 year supply. This land has been brought forward form later in the plan period. Progress on meeting delivery targets will be assessed through the authority monitoring report and the 20% buffer will be rolled forward within the 5 year supply until such time as the under delivery has been satisfactorily addressed. This does not mean that overall more land has been allocated in the plan, what it does mean is that the development trajectory (see Figure 5.1) ensures that in the early years of the plan | evidenced through the City of York – Housing Needs Update January 2019 published by GL Hearn |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|--|---|--|--|
| PM23 - HW1: Protecting Existing Facilities | Explanatory text Para 6.5 Page 122 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | 6.5 For the purpose of the policies within this section, community facilities should be taken to mean the buildings, facilities and services that meet the day-to-day needs of communities. This may include libraries, post offices, public houses and community meeting places, such as youth groups, places of worship, and parish and village halls | Limited new text to add clarity and to better reflect NPPF definition. |
| Section 8: Place | emaking, heritage, | design and culture | |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|---|---|---|
| PM24 - Policy D1: Placemaking | Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | v. Character and Design Standards ensure proposals are not a pale imitation of past architectural styles. ensure appropriate building materials are used. meet the highest standards of accessibility and inclusion. demonstrate the use of best practice in contemporary urban design and place making. integrate car parking and servicing within the design of development so as not to dominate the street scene. create active frontages to public streets, spaces and waterways. create buildings and spaces that are fit for purpose but are also adaptable to respond to change. create places that feel true to their intended purpose. maximise sustainability potential. ensure design considers residential amenity so that residents living nearby are not unduly affected by noise, disturbance, overlooking or overshadowing | To clarify that residential amenity should be considered as part of overall design standards as part of the planning process. |
| PM25 - Policy D4: Conservation Areas | Page 152 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | Outline pPlanning applications for development within or affecting the setting of conservation areas will only be supported if full design details are included, sufficient to show the likely impact of the proposals upon the significance of the Conservation Area. | To clarify that all planning applications should consider conservation areas, not solely outline planning applications. |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|---|---|--|
| PM26 - Policy GI2: Biodiversity and Access to | Page 166 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | In order to conserve and enhance York's biodiversity, any development should where appropriate: i. determine if they are likely to have a significant effect | Policy amended to include reference to internationally and nationally designated nature conservation sites |
| Nature | (1 cordary 2010) | on an International Site in the context of the statutory protection which is afforded to the site. ii. determine if they are fixely to have a significant effect on an International Site in the context of the statutory protection which is afforded to the site. iii. determine if they are fixely to have a significant effect on a significant effect on an international Site in the context of the statutory protection which is afforded to the site. | and how they will be considered through the planning process following |
| | | effect on a National Site (alone or in combination). Where adverse impacts occur, development will not normally be permitted, except where the benefits of | Natural England's response to the Regulation 19 consultation. |
| | | development in that location clearly outweigh both the impact on the site and any broader impacts on the wider network of National Sites. iii. demonstrate that where loss or harm to a National site | |
| | | cannot be prevented or adequately mitigated, as a last resort, provide compensation for the loss/harm. Development will be refused if loss or significant harm | |
| | | i. iv. avoid loss or significant harm to Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINCs) and Local Nature Reserves (LNRs), whether directly or indirectly. Where it can be | |
| | | demonstrated that there is a need for the development in that location and the benefit outweighs the loss or harm the impacts must be adequately mitigated against, or compensated for as a last resort; | |
| | | ensure the retention, enhancement and appropriate management of features of geological, or biological interest, and further the aims of the current Biodiversity Audit and Local Biodiversity Action Plan; | |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Propos | sed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---|--------------------|
| | | III. <u>vi.</u> | take account of the potential need for buffer zones around wildlife and biodiversity sites, to ensure the integrity of the site's interest is retained; | |
| | | | result in net gain to, and help to improve, biodiversity; | |
| | | V. <u>viii.</u> | enhance accessibility to York's biodiversity resource where | |
| | | | this would not compromise their ecological value, affect | |
| | | vi. <u>ix.</u> | sensitive sites or be detrimental to drainage systems; maintain and enhance the rivers, banks, floodplains and | |
| | | VIII <u>123.1</u> | settings of the Rivers Ouse, Derwent and Foss, and other | |
| | | | smaller waterways for their biodiversity, cultural and historic | |
| | | | landscapes, as well as recreational activities where this | |
| | | | does not have a detrimental impact on the nature | |
| | | | conservation value; | |
| | | vii. <u>x.</u> | maintain water quality in the River Ouse, River Foss and River Derwent to protect the aquatic environment, the | |
| | | | interface between land and river, and continue to provide a | |
| | | | viable route for migrating fish. New development within the | |
| | | | catchments of these rivers will be permitted only where | |
| | | | sufficient capacity is available at the appropriate wastewater | |
| | | | treatment works. Where no wastewater disposal capacity | |
| | | | exists, development will only be permitted where it can be | |
| | | | demonstrated that it will not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the River Derwent, Lower Derwent Valley and | |
| | | | Humber Estuary European Sites; | |
| | | viii. xi. | maintain and enhance the diversity of York's Strays for | |
| | | | wildlife; and | |
| | | ix. <u>xii.</u> | ensure there is no detrimental impact to the environmental | |
| | | | sensitivity and significant Lower Derwent Valley and its | |
| | | | adjacent functionally connected land which whilst not | |
| | | | designated, are ultimately important to the function of this | |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| | | important site. | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|--|--|--|
| PM27 - Policy GI2: Biodiversity and Access to Nature | Explanatory text Page 167 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) Para 9.5 | 9.5 Although the protection of individual sites is essential, such sites do not occur in isolation as discrete, self contained habitats, but influence and are influenced by their surroundings. The surrounding area can therefore be as important to the interest of the site as the feature itself, and changes to it could affect the integrity of that interest. In order to fully protect the site or interest, there may be a requirement to establish a suitable buffer area around it. The extent of that buffer could vary depending on the site, the type and value of the habitat present and the proposed change. In addition, whilst recognising the benefits to people provided from access to nature, where appropriate developments will be required to fully assess and mitigate for the impact of recreational disturbance on SSSIs, SACs and SPAs. | To clarify how the planning approach to internationally and nationally significant nature conservation sites. |
| PM28 - Policy GI6: New Open Space Provision | Page 172 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | Indicative new significant areas of open space have been identified in connection with the following strategic sites, as shown on the proposals map: OS7: Land at Minster Way at ST7 OS8: New Parkland to the East of ST8 OS9: New Recreation and Sports Provision to the south of ST9 OS10: New Area for Nature Conservation on land to the South of A64 in association with ST15 OS11: Land to the East of ST31 OS12: Land to the East of ST35 | Removal of indicative open space associated with Policy SS19 and allocations ST35/H59, which are removed following the outcomes of the Habitat Regulations Assessment (Feb 2019), which has not been able to rule out adverse effects on the integrity of Strensall Common Special Area of Conservation (SAC). |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|---|--|--|
| PM29 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Moor Lane, Woodthorpe | Policies Map South –. (See map extract on page 56 of this document) | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification – it is proposed that the boundary should follow the carriageway to the south of Moor Lane, as opposed to the north side of the road, as it is presented on the submitted policies map. | Consistency: The methodology indicates that where the metalled surfaces of roads are in proximity to urban uses they should be considered to form part of the built up area. See justification as set out in TP1 – Annex 3, Inner Boundary Section 1 Boundary 2. |
| PM30 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – rear of St Olaves and St Peters School. | Policies Map North – (See map extract on page 57 of this document) | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification – it is proposed that the boundary should follow the existing built sports provision in preference to the current line which no longer matches physical features on the ground. | To represent changes since the boundary was drafted and to reflect completed planning permission. Although the changes to the layout of the sports provision at St Peters School are deemed appropriate uses within the Green Belt they may have an urbanising influence on the area which needs to be reflected. |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | The proposed line offers a more robust boundary to the Green Belt in this location with a greater degree of permanence being offered. The line currently presented on the policies map no longer relates to any physical structures. |
| | | | See justification as set out in TP1 Addendum – Annex 3, Inner Boundary Section 3 Boundary 9, |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|--|---|---|
| PM31 - Policies Map Green Belt Change — Windy Ridge, Huntington | Policies Map North – (See map extract on page 58 of this document) | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification – it is proposed that the boundary should follow the limit of built development in preference to the historic field boundaries it currently represents on the submitted policies map | To represent changes since first drafted to reflect completed planning permission. The layout of housing in this location does not relate to historical field boundaries but has been designed to integrate with the open space and wildlife functions in the area. The open areas have been designed to create a semi natural space and have been created in a way which connects the population to the countryside. The appropriate Green Belt boundary needs to reflect the layout of the latest planning application to be considered permanent and while protecting areas necessary to keep open. |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | See Justification set out in TP1 Addendum, Annex 3 |
| | | | Inner Boundary Section 5 Boundary 22. |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|--|---|--|---|
| PM32 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Jockey Lane. | Policies Map North – (See map extract on page 59 of this document) | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification – it is proposed that the boundary should follow the carriageway to the east side of Jockey Lane, as opposed to the west side as it is presented on the submitted policies map. | Consistency: The methodology indicates that where the metalled surfaces of roads are in proximity to urban uses they should be considered to form part of the built up area. See justification as set out in TP1 – Annex 3, Inner Boundary Section 5 Boundary 28. |
| PM33 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Land to the rear of Osbaldwick Village | Policies Map North – (See map extract on page 60 of this document) | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification – it is proposed that the boundary should closely follow the main urban area as represented by identifiable built structures to the North of Osbaldwick Village, as opposed to the more irregular garden, paddock and strip fields boundaries which are more difficult to identify on the ground which are presented on the submitted policies map. | Consistency with the methodology. See justification as set out in TP1 Addendum Annex 3 – Inner Boundary Section 6 Boundary 18. |
| PM34 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Land at Hull Rd, North of Grimston Bar. PM35 - | Policies Map North – (See map extract on page 61 of this document) Policies Map | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification – it is proposed that the boundary should align with the limit of the urban area to the south at Grimston Bar Park and Ride rather than protrude along the road carriageway as currently presented on the policies map. Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification – it is | Consistency. See justification as set out in TP1 Addendum Annex 3 Inner Boundary Section 6 Boundary 23. Consistency. |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Policies Map Green Belt Change – Heslington Road and Garrow Hill. | South – (See map extract on page 62 of this document) | proposed that the boundary should follow the carriageway to the west side of University Road and the south side of Thief Lane/Heslington Road, as opposed to the east and north as it is presented on the submitted policies map. | The methodology indicates that where the metalled surfaces of roads are in proximity to urban areas they should be considered to form part of the built-up area. See justification as set out in TP1 Addendum Annex 3 – Inner Boundary Section 7 Boundary 16. | | | |
| PM36 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Little Hob Moor | Policies Map South – (See map extract on page 63 of this document) | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification – it is proposed that the boundary should follow the carriageway of Tadcaster Road all the way down as opposed to encompassing land known as Little Hob Moor between Tadcaster Road and the Railway within the Green Belt as presented on the policies map. | To correct an error. The land identified around Little Hob Moor while open in nature provides a break in the urban landscape rather than connecting to the wider countryside around it. While it is important that this land remains open this can be achieved through other policies as it is designated open space. The line of Tadcaster Road presents a | | | |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | more continuous and permanent boundary |
| | | | See justification as set out in TP1 Addendum Annex 3 – Inner Boundary Section 8 Boundary 23 |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|--|---|--|
| PM37 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – South of Askham Bar Park and Ride | Policies Map South – (See map extract on page 64 of this document) | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification – it is proposed that the boundary should follow the post and rail fence to the south of the Askham Bar Park and Ride site, in preference to the mixed boundary of fence line to edge of tarmac surface currently presented on the policies map. | To represent changes since first drafted to reflect the new Askham Bar Park and Ride boundary. While the building of the Park and Ride site is an acceptable use within the Green Belt it has been established that this has had an urbanising influence on this area. At the time of first drafting the proposals Ordnance Survey data did not represent the final built scheme. Assessing the boundaries post development reveals the fence line to be the most continuous acceptable line which encompasses the site and its associated landscaping. See Justification as set out in TP1 Addendum – Annex 3 Inner Boundary Section 8 Boundary 39. |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|--|--|---|
| PM38 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – York College, Tadcaster Road. | Policies Map South – (See map extract on page 65 of this document) | Proposed detailed Green Belt site boundary modification – it is proposed that the boundary should follow the currently identifiable features of the edge of the existing sports pitch to the east, as opposed to a new theoretical line as presented on the submitted policies map. | Consistency. It is proposed that the boundary of proposed expansion be linked more closely to features on the ground to provide the most permanence. See justification as set out in TP1 Addendum – Annex 5. |
| PM39 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Strensall Village | Policies Map North – (See map on page 66 of this document) | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification of inset urban area – it is proposed that the detailed inner Green Belt boundary around the village of Strensall should follow along Ox Carr Lane, placing all the land to the south of this within the Green Belt, as opposed to encompassing the Military Barracks and associated housing within the village envelope as presented on the policies map. | Following the completion of further evidence in relation to recreational pressure, the revised Habitats Regulations Assessment (2019) concluded that proposed sites ST35 and H59 will have likely significant adverse effects on the integrity of Strensall Common Special Area of Conservation (SAC). The officer recommendation to remove these sites from the Plan to be in conformity with the revised HRA were agreed by the |

| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------|--|
| | | | Council's Executive (7 th March 2019). |
| | | | This area to the south east of Strensall around the military barracks is separated from the main village of Strensall by Ox Carr Lane, is of a much lower density and is interspersed with open space leading to a more open nature and rural feel which connects to the wider countryside. Given the low density and open nature of development it is considered that Ox Carr Lane forms the most continuous and defensible village boundary. |
| | | | See justification as set out in TP1 Addendum – Annex 4. |


| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|--|--|---|---|
| PM40 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Elvington Industrial Estate, Elvington | Policies Map South – (See map extract on page 67 of this document) | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification of inset urban area: 1) Proposed change to the eastern boundary to follow recognisable features on the ground before returning westwards around the dense tree copse to the northern boundary. 2) Proposed change to the southern boundary to contiguously follow the existing dense hedgerow to the south of Jubilee Court and the Conifers before extending northwards on Wheldrake Lane and then eastwards to the rear of properties on Elvington Park. | To correct an error and to ensure that the boundary aligns with recognisable features on the ground that offer the greatest permanence. See justification as set out in TP1 Addendum – Annex 4. |
| PM41 - Policies Map Green Belt Change – Knapton Village | Policies Map North – (See map on page 68 of this document) | Proposed detailed inner Green Belt boundary modification of inset urban area – it is proposed that the village of Knapton is included within the Green Belt. | Consistency with the methodology. It is considered that the village of Knapton is open and not densely developed. It is surrounded by areas that are identified to be of importance for the historic character and setting of York, particularly for preventing coalescence. The village is thereby considered to contribute to openness and should be included within the Green Belt. See justification as set out |


| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|---|---|---|---|
| | | | in TP1 Addendum – Annex 4. |
| | | | Policy GB2 of the Local Plan allows for infill development within settlements in the Green Belt, subject to the stated policy criteria. Allocation H53 is retained – see Section 8 / Annex 5 of TP1 Addendum. |
| Section 14: Tra | nsport | | |
| PM42 - Policy T7: Minimising and Accommodatin g Generated Trips | Page 225 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | See also Policy T1, SS4, SS9 to SS13, SS15, SS17, SS19, SS20, SS22 and ENV1 | Reference to SS19 removed following removal of policy SS19/ Site Allocation ST35 from the plan. |
| Section 15: Del | ivery and Monitorii | ng | |


| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Mod | lification | | | Reason for Changes |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| PM43 - Table 15.2 - Delivery and Monitoring - Spatial Strategy | Page 243 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | - SS19: Queen | e Yard, Wheldrak Elizabeth Barra Barracks, Fulfo | Reference to SS19 removed following removal of policy SS19/ Site Allocation ST35 from the plan. | | |
| PM44 - Table 15.2: Delivery and Monitoring - Housing | Page 247 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | Section and Policies Targets Indicators (Local Indicators Section 5: Housing - H1:Housing Allocations | unless shown otherwise) Delivery of a minimum of 867-790 dwellings per annum. Maintaining a supply of deliverable housing sites to meet housing targets. | Which Sustainability Net additional homes provided. Supply of ready to develop housing sites. | Appraisal objectives 1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 14 and 15 | To align with the updated housing requirement evidenced through the City of York – Housing Needs Update January 2019 published by GL Hearn |


| Modification Reference Number | Plan Location | Proposed Modification | Reason for Changes |
|--|---|---|--|
| PM45 - Table 15.2: Delivery and Monitoring – Green Infrastructure | Page 255 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | New Target: No adverse increase in recreational pressure on Strensall Common SAC, Lower Derwent Valley SPA and Skipwith Common SAC. | Additional target and indicator to respond to requirements for monitoring and review of recreational pressure at European designated nature conservation sites |
| PM46 - Table 15.2: Delivery and Monitoring - Green Infrastructure | Page 255 of the Publication Draft Local Plan (February 2018) | New indicator: Change in visitor numbers at and condition of Strensall Common SAC, Lower Derwent Valley SAC and Skipwith Common SAC | as a result of development in the plan. |

Associated Figures and Tables









PM21a – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2033 to reflect the revised OAN of 790 dpa.

| | | | | | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|-----|------|------|------|------|---------|------|------|---------|------|---------|------|------|---------|
| Year | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | | 2018/19 | | | | | | 2024/25 | | | 2027/28 | | 2029/30 | | | 2032/33 |
| Previous Housing Completions | 482 | 345 | 507 | 1121 | 977 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Projected Housing Completions - H Sites (Allocated Sites < 5 ha) | | | | | | 15 | 0 | 189 | 356 | 377 | 234 | 204 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Projected Housing Completions - ST Sites (Allocated Sites > 5 ha) | | | | | | 0 | 22 | 155 | 741 | 693 | 1066 | 905 | 861 | 835 | 891 | 870 | 940 | 923 | 745 | 715 | 705 |
| Projected Housing Completions From Unimplemented Consents | | | | | | 1207 | 568 | 386 | 492 | 363 | 163 | 105 | 94 | 70 | 70 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Windfall Allowance (from 2020/21) | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 |
| Projected Housing Completions Including Windfall Allowance (From 2020/21) | | | | | | 1222 | 590 | 730 | 1758 | 1602 | 1632 | 1383 | 1201 | 1074 | 1130 | 1099 | 1109 | 1092 | 914 | 884 | 874 |
| Annual Housing Target | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| Inherited Shortfall (2012 - 2017) Annualised over Plan Period | | | | | | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Annual Target (Inclusive of shortfall) | | | | | | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 |
| Over/Under Supply of Housing against cumulative annual target | | | | | | 400 | 168 | 76 | 1012 | 1792 | 2602 | 3163 | 3542 | 3794 | 4102 | 4379 | 4666 | 4936 | 5028 | 5090 | 5142 |

PM21b – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2033 to reflect the revised OAN of 790 dpa inclusive of a 10% non-implementation discount to extant planning permission and site allocations identified for housing development

| | | | | | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|------|
| Year | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | | 2031/32 | |
| Previous Housing Completions | 482 | 345 | 507 | 1121 | 977 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Projected Housing Completions - H Sites (Allocated Sites < 5 ha) 10% Non-Implementation Rate Applied | | | | | | 14 | 0 | 170 | 320 | 339 | 211 | 184 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Projected Housing Completions - ST Sites (Allocated Sites > 5 ha) 10% Non-Implementation Rate Applied | | | | | | 0 | 20 | 140 | 667 | 624 | 959 | 815 | 775 | 752 | 802 | 783 | 846 | 831 | 671 | 644 | 635 |
| Projected Housing Completions From Unimplemented Consents 10% Non-Implementation Rate Applied | | | | | | 1086 | 511 | 347 | 443 | 327 | 147 | 95 | 85 | 63 | 63 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Projected Completions (all sites) - 10% Non- implementation Rate Applied | | | | | | 1100 | 531 | 657 | 1430 | 1290 | 1317 | 1093 | 929 | 815 | 865 | 837 | 846 | 831 | 671 | 644 | 635 |
| Windfall Allowance (from 2020/21) | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 |
| Total Projected Completions (with 10% Non implementation rate applied and windfalls) | | | | | | 1100 | 531 | 657 | 1599 | 1459 | 1486 | 1262 | 1098 | 984 | 1034 | 1006 | 1015 | 1000 | 840 | 813 | 804 |
| Annual Housing Target | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| Inherited Shortfall (2012 - 2017) Annualised over Plan Period | | | | | | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 |
| Annual Target (Inclusive of shortfall) | | | | | | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 |
| Over/Under Supply of Housing against cumulative annual target | | | | | | 278 | -13 | -178 | 599 | 1236 | 1900 | 2339 | 2615 | 2776 | 2988 | 3172 | 3365 | 3543 | 3561 | 3551 | 3533 |

PM21c - Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2038 to reflect the revised OAN of 790 dpa

| | | 1 | | | | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|------|---------|-----|---------|---------|------|------|---------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|---------|------|---------|------|------|------|------|
| Year | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | | 2018/19 | | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | | | 2024/25 | | 2026/27 | | | | | 2031/32 | | 2033/34 | | | | |
| Previous Housing Completions | 482 | 345 | 507 | 1121 | 977 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Projected Housing Completions - H Sites (Allocated Sites < 5 ha) | | | | | | 15 | 0 | 189 | 356 | 377 | 234 | 204 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Projected Housing Completions - ST Sites (Allocated Sites > 5 ha) | | | | | | 0 | 22 | 155 | 741 | 693 | 1066 | 905 | 861 | 835 | 891 | 870 | 940 | 923 | 745 | 715 | 705 | 673 | 380 | 380 | 219 | 100 |
| Projected Housing Completions From Unimplemented Consents | | | | | | 1207 | 568 | 386 | 492 | 363 | 163 | 105 | 94 | 70 | 70 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Windfall Allowance (from 2020/21) | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | | 169 | 169 | 169 | | 169 |
| Projected Housing Completions Including Windfall Allowance (From 2020/21) | | | | | | 1222 | 590 | 730 | 1758 | 1602 | 1632 | 1383 | 1201 | 1074 | 1130 | 1099 | 1109 | 1092 | 914 | 884 | 874 | 842 | 549 | 549 | 388 | 269 |
| Annual Housing Target | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| Inherited Shortfall (2012 - 2017) Annualised over Plan Period | | | | | | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual Target (Inclusive of shortfall) | | | | | | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| Over/Under Supply of Housing against cumulative annual target | | | | | | 400 | 168 | 76 | 1012 | 1792 | 2602 | 3163 | 3542 | 3794 | 4102 | 4379 | 4666 | 4936 | 5028 | 5090 | 5142 | 5194 | 4953 | 4712 | 4310 | 3789 |

PM21d – Update to Table 5.2: Housing Trajectory to 2038 to reflect the revised OAN of 790 dpa inclusive of a 10% non-implementation discount to extant planning permissions and site allocations identified for housing development.


| Year | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | 2016/17 | 2017/18 | 2018/19 | 2019/20 | 2020/21 | 2021/22 | 2022/23 | 2023/24 | 2024/25 | 2025/26 | 2026/27 | 2027/28 | 2028/29 | 2029/30 | 2030/31 | 2031/32 | 2032/33 | 2033/34 | 2034/35 | 2035/36 | 2036/37 | 2037/3 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|
| Previous Housing Completions | 482 | 345 | 507 | 1121 | 977 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Projected Housing Completions - H Sites (Allocated Sites < 5 ha) 10% Non-Implementation Rate Applied | | | | | | 14 | 0 | 170 | 320 | 339 | 211 | 184 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Projected Housing Completions - ST Sites (Allocated Sites > 5 ha) 10% Non-Implementation Rate Applied | | | | | | 0 | 20 | 140 | 667 | 624 | 959 | 815 | 775 | 752 | 802 | 783 | 846 | 831 | 671 | 644 | 635 | 606 | 342 | 342 | 197 | 90 |
| Projected Housing Completions From Unimplemented Consents 10% Non-Implementation Rate Applied | | | | | | 1086 | 511 | 347 | 443 | 327 | 147 | 95 | 85 | 63 | 63 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Projected Completions (all sites) - 10% Non- implementation Rate Applied | | | | | | 1100 | 531 | 657 | 1430 | 1290 | 1317 | 1093 | 929 | 815 | 865 | 837 | 846 | 831 | 671 | 644 | 635 | 606 | 342 | 342 | 197 | 90 |
| Windfall Allowance (from 2020/21) | | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 | 169 |
| Total Projected Completions (with 10% Non implementation rate applied and windfalls) | | | | | | 1100 | 531 | 657 | 1599 | 1459 | 1486 | 1262 | 1098 | 984 | 1034 | 1006 | 1015 | 1000 | 840 | 813 | 804 | 775 | 511 | 511 | 366 | 259 |
| Annual Housing Target | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| Inherited Shortfall (2012 - 2017) Annualised over Plan Period | | | | | | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Annual Target (Inclusive of shortfall) | | | | | | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 822 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 | 790 |
| Over/Under Supply of Housing against cumulative annual target | | | | | | 278 | -13 | -178 | 599 | 1236 | 1900 | 2339 | 2615 | 2776 | 2988 | 3172 | 3365 | 3543 | 3561 | 3551 | 3533 | 3517 | 3238 | 2959 | 2535 | 2004 |


PM29 to PM41 Distribution of proposed modifications to submitted Polices Maps. Local Plan Publication Draft Submitted Green Belt Proposed Modification to Local Plan Publication Draft Submitted Green Belt PM35 NORTH Reproduced from the Ordnance Survey mapping with the permission of Her Majesty's Stationery Office © Crown Copyright. Unauthorised re City of York Council. Licence No. 1000 20818


Local Plan Publication Draft Policies Map February 2018 Key














See key on page 55




See key on page 55












See key on page 55







See key on page 55

