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This section sets out what this document is all about. It explains the structure of the document and what its purpose is. At the start of each section there is a box explaining what the section covers. The glossary at the end of this document explains what some of the technical words used in the document mean.

York: A City Making History

Making our mark by:

- Building confident, creative and inclusive communities
- Being a leading environmentally-friendly city
- Being at the forefront of innovation and change with a prosperous and thriving economy
- Being a world class centre for education and learning for all
- Celebrating our historic past whilst creating a successful and thriving future
About the Plan

What is the Local Development Framework?

i) The Local Development Framework (LDF) is the plan for the future development of York. It will be a blueprint for the economic, social and environmental future of York which will provide the framework for implementing the Council’s aims and objectives that affect the use of land and buildings.

What is the Core Strategy?

ii) The Core Strategy lies at the heart of the LDF and will set the overall direction for the plan by driving forward the spatial planning framework for the city. The purpose of the Core Strategy is to set out a vision, strategic objectives, targets and policies that will ensure the protection and enhancement of the city’s historical and green assets whilst ensuring that carefully designed new developments are brought forward to meet local need in line with national and regional policy requirements.

Consultation so far

iii) The Preferred Options stage of the Core Strategy follows on from the Issues and Options stage which was consulted on in June 2006 (Issues and Options 1) and again in August 2007 (Issues and Options 2). This document draws from the responses that were received during the consultation events as well as feeding in the evidence base findings and higher level policy such as national and regional planning policy.

iv) A Core Strategy Consultation Statement (2009) has been prepared which sets out all the methods of consultation and a summary of the findings of the issues and options consultation. This is available to read alongside this document.

Sustainability Appraisal and Habitats Regulation Assessment

v) All stages of the Core Strategy will be accompanied by a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) which will assess the implications of the proposed policies on the social, economic and environmental objectives for the city and recommends how the plan can be more sustainable by suggesting amendments to avoid or mitigate any negative impacts on the principles of sustainable development. An updated SA is available to read alongside this Preferred Options document. In addition, a summary of the SA policy analysis is available in Annex B. This gives an indication of how each policy meets the requirements to achieve sustainable development objectives in York.

vi) The findings of the SA will then taken on board within the Plan’s development and reflected in the Submission Draft to ensure it maximises its contribution towards sustainable development.
vii) The Core Strategy is also accompanied by a Habitats Regulation Assessment, which establishes whether the strategy will have potential adverse impacts on Internationally recognised nature conservation sites (Special Areas of Conservation and Special Protection Areas) in accordance with the Habitats Directive. The Appropriate Assessment included within this sets out the potential impacts on the flora and fauna for which these internationally designated sites are recognised. This document should be read in conjunction with the SA.

Structure of this document

viii) This document is divided into the following sections:

Background and Vision

ix) York’s LDF Vision is based on a sound understanding of York’s unique character and local planning issues and challenges it faces as well as responding to the wider national, regional and local planning context for York.

Spatial Strategy

x) The Spatial Strategy sets out the general spatial principals that will underpin the distribution of future development in York. It identifies a settlement hierarchy where each level has a different role in meeting future development needs and considers a range of issues which will influence the spatial strategy. The role of the Green Belt and the two York Area Action Plans (City Centre and York Northwest) are addressed in this section.

Objectives, Targets and Policies

xi) These are included in the sections below which are grouped under the relevant vision headings of the Sustainable Community Strategy for York:

York’s Special Historic and Built Environment
  - York’s Special Historic and Built Environment

Building Confident, Creative and Inclusive Communities
  - Housing Growth, Distribution and Density
  - Access to Housing: Affordability and Type
  - Access to Services

A Prosperous and Thriving Economy
  - Future Economic Growth
  - Retail Growth and Distribution

A Leading Environmentally Friendly City
  - Sustainable Transport
  - Green Infrastructure
  - Resource Efficiency
  - Flood Risk
  - Sustainable Waste Management
  - Minerals
**Delivery and Review**

xii) This section addresses the delivery of the Core Strategy and considers how new supporting infrastructure will be funded. It also outlines how the Council intends to ‘monitor and manage’ the Core Strategy. This will outline the process that will be used to monitor the effectiveness of the Core Strategy in terms of delivering the vision and spatial objectives and in implementing the spatial strategy.

**Questions**

xiii) At the end of each section, there is a question box. The preferred options stage of the Core Strategy process draws from the responses to the issues and options stages, up to date Government guidance and the Council’s adopted evidence base and therefore, the intention is that the content of this document reflects the most appropriate approach to planning in York. However, this is a consultation document and we are therefore asking for your views on all the topics covered and if you feel that a different approach is more suitable, please let us know and we will consider it.

**Next Steps**

xiv) Following this consultation period, Officers will assess the comments received and will then go on to produce a Submission Draft version of the Core Strategy. This document will be subject to public consultation ahead of it being submitted to the Secretary of State and following this it will be assessed at an Examination in Public before being adopted by the Council.

**For Further Information**

xv) For further information about this document or any other parts of the LDF, please contact the City Development team using the details below:

City of York Council  
City Development  
City Strategy  
9, St Leonard’s Place  
York,  
YO1 7ET

Telephone: 01904 551466  
Fax: 01904 551392  
Email: citydevelopment@york.gov.uk

All documents relating to the LDF Core Strategy past and present are available on the Council’s website [www.york.gov.uk/LDF/corestrategy](http://www.york.gov.uk/LDF/corestrategy).
York - Sub-Regional City (main urban area)
Defined by RSS and relates to the main urban area of York as the focus of employment, housing, shopping, health, leisure, business and public service

Potential Areas of Search
A  Monks Cross, Huntington
B  East of Metcalfe Lane, Osbaldwick
C  North of Hull Road
I  Northminster Business Park (nb existing employment allocation shown as )

General extent of the proposed Green Belt

Local Service Centres
The most sustainable settlements after York itself, ensuring good access to services, employment and public transport

Villages
Small Villages

Existing/proposed new or relocated Park and Ride site*
*exact locations to be determined

Key Diagram
This section sets out the background information to the plan such as York's population, history of the city, transport issues etc. This information has been used to set out what York needs in the future in terms of development, community facilities, open space etc.
**Section 1: Background**

**Introduction**

1.1 This section of the document explains the context for the Local Development Framework (LDF) Core Strategy. It identifies key national, regional and local policy influences. It also considers the key issues, challenges and opportunities facing York from a planning perspective.

**Policy Influences**

*National Policy Influences*

1.2 The LDF must embrace the need to ensure sustainable development by taking full account of the aims, objectives and aspirations of the UK Sustainable Development Strategy – Securing the Future (2005). The Strategy identifies five principles and four key priorities for immediate action highlighted in Figure 1 below.

**Figure 1: Principles and Priorities of the UK Sustainable Development Strategy**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principles:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Living within environmental limits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ensuring a strong, healthy and just society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Achieving a sustainable economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promoting good governance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Using sound science responsibly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Priorities:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Sustainable production and consumption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Climate change and energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Natural resource protection and environmental enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Creating sustainable communities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1.3 In addition the LDF must take full account of national planning guidance (Planning Policy Statements (PPSs) & Planning Policy Guidance Notes (PPGs)). These documents have been reviewed as highlighted in the bibliography and the specific guidance they include is considered in the subsequent chapters.

*Regional Policy Influences*

1.4 The Regional Spatial Strategy (2008) (RSS) for Yorkshire and Humber provides a broad and long term development strategy for the region to 2026. It is an important role of York’s LDF to aid the delivery of this strategy. It identifies the city at the centre of a wider ‘York sub area’, with a population of 360,000 people for which its urban area forms the main retail, employment and cultural centre. York is also identified as an important part of the Leeds City Region (LCR) in which it is one of eleven partner authorities. The LCR is home to around 2.8 million people and it is predicted to see about 60% of the region’s jobs and housing growth over the RSS period.

1.5 The RSS highlights the need to further develop York as a Sub Regional City. This includes ensuring continued economic success to enable it to fulfill its role as the key
economic driver for the York Sub Area and an important part of the LCR. The objective of economic growth is balanced with the need to protect and enhance the area’s natural & built environment recognising York’s nationally significant historic character and setting. The RSS also identifies future levels of housing growth for York.

1.6 The approach and policies in the Core Strategy reflect the current RSS. Regional Government is now developing a review to the region’s spatial planning approach; the Integrated Regional Strategy (IRS). It is anticipated that the publication of a draft IRS will be in Autumn 2010 and should be finalised before the end of 2011. The Council will be submitting a response through the IRS consultation and therefore any relevant comments received in relation to the Core Strategy consultation will be considered and fed into the process. The IRS could mean changes to regional housing figures which will impact on York’s future housing growth.

Local Policy Influences

1.7 It is important that the Core Strategy provides the spatial or planning expression of York’s Sustainable Community Strategy. ‘York – A city making history: York City Vision and Sustainable Community Strategy 2008 – 2025’ sets out the following vision:

York: a city making history

Making our mark by:

- Building confident, creative and inclusive communities;
- Being a leading environmentally-friendly city;
- Being at the forefront of innovation and change with a prosperous and thriving economy;
- Being a world class centre for education and learning for all; and
- Celebrating our historic past whilst creating a successful and thriving future.

1.8 This overall vision is supported by seven strategic ambitions designed to help deliver it:

Strategic ambitions:

1. Use York’s distinctiveness as a way to improve the City further;
2. Keep the economy strong and competitive and our employment levels high;
3. Develop strong, supportive and durable communities;
4. Strike a healthy balance between physical growth and environmental sustainability;
5. Recognise and encourage York’s global brand and position;
6. Work in partnership for the benefit of everyone; and
7. Assert our role as an important regional city.
Issues, Challenges & Opportunities

Geography

1.9 The City of York local authority area covers approximately 105 square miles (272 square kilometers). Its proximity to other towns and cities in the region along with its transport links to the region and the wider UK are highlighted in Figure 2 below. It is characterised by a compact urban area surrounded by several small settlements. The compactness of the main urban area is a key feature of the city. The close relationship of the city to its surrounding villages is also a key element of York’s character. This relationship is not simply about the distance between the settlements but also their size. It is important that both these key characteristics are respected by the LDF when considering how York develops over the next two decades.

Figure 2: York in the Regional Context

1.10 The landscape of the York area is broadly characterised as relatively flat and low lying agricultural land dominated by the wide flood plain of the River Ouse, rising slightly to the east. This allows good views of the Minster tower which is the key defining feature of the skyline of York. It is prominent in a number of key views both
across the city from within the urban area and in longer-distance views towards the city from the surrounding countryside. It is important that this is recognised and not compromised as we plan for the future. The Rivers Ouse, Foss and Derwent are important green corridors as well as important historic determinants to the city’s location. They do however make flooding a concern following the severe floods in 1982, and brought sharply into focus more recently with the events of Autumn 2000.

1.11 Another key aspect of York’s character that must be considered when planning for the future is it’s unique green infrastructure. This includes the green wedges that extend from the open countryside into the heart of the main urban area. These wedges comprise the historic ‘strays’ and surrounding land and the Ouse ‘Ings’. The ‘strays’ are the residue of areas of common land on which the Freemen of York had the right from time immemorial to graze their cattle. York’s green infrastructure also includes eight Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) two of which (Strensall Common and Derwent Ings) are also of international importance along with locally important sites for nature conservation and recreational open space.

Population

1.12 It is a key role of the LDF to respond to the needs of York’s residents. The city of York currently has a population of around 193,300 people with the majority of the population, around 140,000 people, residing in the urban area. The population is split 48.8% male and 51.2% female. It is predicted that the overall population is likely to increase more than 25% to 245,500 people by 2029. International inward migration is a significant factor in this change and is likely to lead to a more ethnically diverse population. About 5% of York’s population is from a black and minority ethnic background, compared to 4.89% in 2001. The 2001 census tells us that whilst most people are Christian (74%) there are also significant minority faith groups in York representing all of the major world faith groups. Within the overall population projections the largest overall gain is likely to be in the over 70 age group which is expected to increase by over 50%. In contrast, the under 20 age group is expected to increase the least at around 7%. In addition, during this period there is likely to be a decrease in household size with a 47% increase in single person households predicted between 2008 and 2029. Figures show that 30.5% of York households contain at least one disabled person.

York’s Unique Historic Built Environment

1.13 York has an outstanding historical heritage. It contains masterpieces of human creative genius including York Minster and its surrounding precinct; Clifford’s Tower and the buildings around the eye of York and the City Walls. The city contains many outstanding examples of structures which exhibiting developments in architecture, monumental arts and town planning over a long span of time including the medieval Merchant Adventurer’s Hall, Georgian town houses such as Fairfax House and the Mansion House, as well as Victorian engineering displayed at York Railway Station and Skeldergate Bridge.

1.14 For over two millennia, York has been an important city both politically and economically. Founded by the Romans in 71AD as a major strategic fortress York developed into the capital of the northern province of Britain. The Vikings, who occupied the city in 866AD created a great trading centre with links right across Europe. Following the conquest of 1066 William the Conqueror built a castle at York.
firstly at the confluence of the Foss and the Ouse and then at the area now known as Baile Hill. During the medieval period, economically and politically, York was England’s second city with the Minster achieving its present form in a long building campaign that lasted from the early thirteenth century to the late fifteenth century. By the eighteenth century although York was no longer the economic power it had been, it was a social centre unrivalled by other northern cities. In the nineteenth century York’s economic fortunes and regional and national importance again rose when the railways came to the city.

1.15 York’s history has provided a complex mosaic of buildings and streets unique in character. The importance of York is highlighted by the city’s status as only one of five historical centres in England designated as an Area of Archaeological Importance. It’s wealth of historic buildings include: York Minster, England’s largest (surviving) medieval church and the largest Gothic Cathedral in Northern Europe; around 1800 listed structures (of which 241 are Grade I and II*); and 22 scheduled monuments including the City Walls, Clifford’s Tower and St Mary’s Abbey.

1.16 A key challenge for York’s LDF is to protect and enhance this unique historical legacy.

**Ecological Footprint**

1.17 ‘Ecological footprint’ expresses the land area that is required to feed, provide resources, produce energy, assimilate waste, and to reabsorb the greenhouse gases produced by our use of fossil fuels. This approach uses land as its ‘currency’ and provides a notional figure – the global hectare for the land area required to support an individual, a community or a nation’s population at its present lifestyle.

1.18 If all the biologically productive land and sea on the planet is divided by the number of people inhabiting it, our available footprint is 1.8 global hectares (gha) per person. Results from the UK Ecological Budget Project identified York’s eco-footprint in 2006 to be 5.38 gha per person, just under the UK average of 5.4 gha per person. This eco-footprint is clearly not sustainable and York needs to move towards a more resources efficient future through better resource management. Planning can help to contribute to a reduction in ecological footprint through the location of development to limit transport implications, sustainable design and construction and maximising the use of renewable resources. This includes making better use of renewable energy. The North Yorkshire Renewable Energy Study (2005) completed by Land Use Consultants indicates that York has the potential to generate around 31MW of renewable energy by 2021. At its maximum, York currently generates less than 3MW.

**Employment**

1.19 York is also a modern, economically prosperous city attracting visitors because of its unique heritage as well as having economic strengths in the science, technology, creative industries and professional and financial services. There also remains significant employment in chocolate and railways and York is the base for two of the largest building companies in the UK.

1.20 The ‘Future York Group’, whose task was to carry out an independent review of the York economy in 2007, recommend that it should be doubled in value by 2026 and
that the Council and its partners create an economy which supports knowledge-led businesses and promotes financial and professional service activities.

1.21 The LDF must help deliver the continued economic success of the city. This includes fulfilling its role as a regional economic centre and as a key part of the LCR. This must however be done in a way that fits with sustainable development and respects the city’s unique environment.

1.22 Tourism is an important component of York’s economy with visitors attracted by York’s unique historic heritage, and the city’s retail and leisure attractions. York is a premier visitor destination with over 4 million visitors per year and a gateway to the wider region. Given York’s considerable offer in this respect it is important that the LDF recognises the importance of sustainable tourism.

**Housing**

1.23 A key challenge for the LDF is to deliver the overall amount of housing highlighted in the RSS and the right type and mix of housing to meet the city’s needs.

1.24 Affordability is a key issue for York. There is currently a significant gulf between average earnings and average house prices. The mean average income of newly forming households is not sufficient to enable them to purchase on the open market. This generally means that they are forced into private rental properties because of high purchase prices, are unlikely to be able to save money towards buying a home in the future, especially as private rents in York are particularly high. The Council’s Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (2007) suggests that housing need is much higher than was previously thought, identifying need which is well in excess of York’s overall annual new housing provision.

1.25 The type of housing that has come forward in recent years is also an issue - between 2003 and 2006 almost two thirds of new homes in York were flats, whereas nearly two thirds of demand is for houses. The need for houses rather than flats was a key factor in the recent approvals of housing schemes at Germany Beck and Derwenthorpe.

1.26 It is important that the LDF responds to the needs of York’s population including specific groups, such as older persons, Gypsies and Travellers, and students. It is also important that provision reflects demographic change over the plan period. For example the anticipated increase in the number of people over 60 living in York during the plan period.

**Retail, Leisure and Open space**

1.27 York city centre is an attractive and vibrant retail destination with a good range of major mainstream multiple retailers, as well as numerous special interest, independent local shops that contribute greatly to the distinct character of the area. York also provides a wide variety of leisure facilities throughout the city including four theatres, museums, music venues and two cinemas.

1.28 York currently has two recognised district centres: Acomb, which is located to the west of the city centre; and Haxby, located to the north of the city. Both these centres provide a range of shops and services, including banks, post offices, food
shops, supermarkets, pharmacies and doctors surgeries. There are a number of
neighbourhood shopping parades within village centres (such as Copmanthorpe and
Strensall) and within the main urban area, such as Bishopthorpe Road.

1.29 York has a number of out of centre retail destinations which perform a sub regional
role. Monks Cross shopping park is located to the north of the city on the outer ring
road, and consists of a number of high street retailers, two large supermarkets, a
number of retail warehouses and a leisure centre. Clifton Moor Retail Park, also
located to the north of the city, consists of a large supermarket, a number of retail
warehouses, a multiplex cinema, leisure club and industrial and office units. The
Designer Outlet located to the South of the city on the A64/A19 interchange offers a
range of discounted designer and high street stores.

1.30 York’s Retail Study (2008) concludes that the greatest challenge to the success of
the city centre is growing competition from other shopping destinations such as Hull
and Leeds and major out of centre locations. This is combined with a lack of space
for higher quality, more varied and department store operators, to ensure the city
centre can compete effectively. The study also found that whilst the network of
district and local centres continues to provide easily accessible shopping to meet
people’s day-to-day needs, their performance has suffered as a result of the out of
centre food stores and developments at Monks Cross and Clifton Moor.

1.31 In addition the LDF has an important role in terms of built leisure facilities. There is
an identified need for more sport and leisure facilities across the city including
swimming pool provision, indoor flexible sports space and artificial turf pitches. There
is currently an identified need in York for a new city centre swimming pool and a
community stadium which will cater for a range of community uses as well as being a
home for the City’s professional teams: York City Football Club and York City
Knights Rugby Club.

1.32 York has an extensive network of open spaces including local parks, allotments and
children’s playgrounds. The Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study (PMP 2008)
identified that in general, the quality of open spaces within the city is good, however
there are concentrations of poor and average quality sites. The Study found that
overall, there is slight shortfall of provision of certain types of open space, with the
greatest shortfall in quantity and access to open space for young people.

Higher and Further Education

1.33 The founding of the University of York in 1963, the growth of St John’s College to its
recent university status, the opening of the College of Law in the 1980s, the
establishment of medical training at the Hull York Medical School in 2002 and the
role of Askham Bryan College of Agriculture and Horticulture has made York a
nationally and internationally renowned centre for further and higher education.
There are currently over 30,000 students living in York, one of the highest
percentages of students to population in the whole of the UK. This figure will
increase by over 5,000 following plans to expand the University of York. It is
important that the LDF supports the continued success of higher education in the city
recognising the economic benefit it brings whilst addressing associated issues such as
student housing.
1.34 National education policy changes mean that in 2015 the school leaving age will be raised to 18. This will not necessarily mean that pupils have to stay in the classroom or continue with academic lessons, however they will be required to participate in some form of education or training until they are 18. Whilst this will be offset by falling pupil numbers in the short term, it places an emphasis on secondary and further education establishments such as York College to provide extra skills-based training places which could potentially lead to a requirement for a physical expansion of further education premises in York.

Transport

1.35 York currently faces a range of traffic issues mainly resulting from population growth and increased use of the private car that the LDF can help address. The effect of this growth in York on the city’s transport network may require improvements to key roads such as the Outer Ring Road. York’s Local Transport Plan identifies traffic congestion, and its associated air quality and safety problems, as the single most important issue facing the city. It suggests that without further significant action to encourage greater use of alternative modes of travel and tackling the increasing use of the car, the city faces a future with a congested road network. It highlights that by 2011 traffic levels are forecast to increase by 14%, with this figure doubling by 2021 and that this will affect not only the quality of life for the residents of York but also the ability of the city to attract new jobs, investment and tourism. These issues can currently be observed with traffic congestion occurring during the peak hours when people are travelling to or from work and at weekends with shopping/leisure traffic. Associated problems such as the impacts on air quality can also be identified.

1.36 York is one of five local authorities in the Yorkshire and Humber Region that experiences a net inward flow of trips to work (22,500 commute trips in, 17,200 commute trips out). This reflects York’s role as a major economic centre within the region. The ten-year period 1991 – 2001 saw a rise in commuting trips of approximately 65%. Continued development in the city to meet housing need and its economic potential is likely to continue, and possibly accelerate, this trend.

Waste and Minerals

1.37 The ‘Let’s Talk Less Rubbish: A Municipal Waste Management Strategy for the City of York and North Yorkshire 2006-2026’ (May 2006) highlights the need to develop planning policies relating to waste. This is supplemented by the existing ‘City of York Council – Waste Management Strategy: 2002 – 2020’ (2002/amended 2004). Both strategies highlight the importance of developing waste management schemes and services which will enable York to meet the local, regional and national recovery/recycling targets in a cost effective manner. This must also be in line with the principles of best value, best practicable environmental option, the waste hierarchy, proximity principle and self-sufficiency.

1.38 Minerals resource mapping undertaken by the British Geological Survey for North Yorkshire identifies broad areas of potential reserves in York for several types of mineral resources. In recent history however, York has not had a key role in providing minerals but the RSS stresses the importance of safeguarding mineral deposits. It is therefore important that this issue is considered as a part of the LDF process.
**Question 1:**

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the content of this section. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you feel that the background accurately reflects how York is now and how it might change in the future? Are there other issues relevant to land use that you feel we should cover? Please specify.
**Section 2: Vision**

**Introduction**

2.1 This section of the document sets out York’s Local Development Framework (LDF) vision. It therefore provides the key to understanding the Core Strategy and provides the context for everything that follows, in this document and in the other components of the LDF. The vision responds to the planning issues, challenges and opportunities facing York set out in detail in Section 1 ‘Background’ and the comments received during the previous stages of consultation.

**You Told Us**

2.2 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultation (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)).

- The LDF should deliver the Sustainable Community Strategy. However, concerns were expressed that the Sustainable Community Strategy vision was not meaningful or adequate for planning;
- It is important that the vision reflects the unique character of York;
- It is important that the vision aligns with the policies and strategies of the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS);
- Eighteen potential planning objectives or priorities relevant to the LDF vision were highlighted during the Issues and Options consultation. Responses showed all eighteen to be considered important but the following were identified as key:
  - to allow York to fulfil its role as a key driver in the regional economy and Leeds City Region through sustainable economic development;
  - to support York’s role as a regional and sub-regional retail centre;
  - to ensure that York’s historical and archaeological wealth and setting is recognised, preserved and enhanced;
  - to create a permanent Green Belt for York that preserves its special character and setting, whilst ensuring sustainable development;
  - to protect and enhance the bio-diversity, landscape character and environmental quality for the York area; and
  - to deliver the appropriate type and mix of housing to meet York’s needs (including affordable housing).

- The city wide questionnaire that was produced as a part of the joint consultation with the Sustainable Community Strategy indicated that the top three priorities for York should be reducing our impact on the environment (63%), developing the economy, jobs and skills (59%) and improving travel within, and to and from York (55%). This was closely matched by building strong, safe and healthy communities (54%).

**The Preferred Approach**

2.3 At the previous stages of consultation options were considered regarding the adoption of the Sustainable Community Strategy vision supported by spatial planning objectives. Following the consideration of the comments received and the need to
reflect government guidance on the role of LDF core strategies, it is important that the vision responds to the key influences highlighted in Figure 3 below.

Figure 3: Vision – Key Influences

2.4 An approach has been developed comprising a ‘high level’ vision statement reflecting the Sustainable Community Strategy and the city’s regional role supported by a fuller descriptive vision to provide clarity and detail. The vision is then taken forward in the subsequent sections of this document through a series of planning objectives each linked to appropriate targets and policies. Figure 4 (see page 15) explains how these sections fit with the vision and spatial principles.
LDF Vision for York

York aspires to be: a city of confident, creative and inclusive communities; economically prosperous at the forefront of innovation and change; and a world class centre for education; whilst preserving and enhancing its unique historic character and setting and fulfilling its role as a leading environmentally friendly city. This will be achieved in a way that ensures that York fulfils its role at the centre of the York Sub Area and as a part of the Leeds City Region. The LDF will take this agenda forward providing a planning framework to 2030 and beyond for the City’s sustainable development.

The city’s unique historic character and setting is an essential component of its future success as well as being valuable in its own right. York’s outstanding architectural and archaeological heritage gives the city special significance, distinctiveness and sense of place. The LDF will ensure that this heritage is preserved and enhanced; in particular the architecture and archaeology of its historic centre; its skyline, street patterns, the Minster and its precinct, the Medieval and Roman walls, Clifford’s Tower, surrounding historic villages and valued open spaces that contribute to the city’s setting. High quality urban design and architecture will be promoted by the LDF throughout the whole of the York area.

A key element of the LDF is its role in maintaining community cohesion and helping the development of strong, supportive and durable communities. To do this York’s LDF will promote the creation of sustainable neighbourhoods. Many of the city’s existing neighbourhoods already provide attractive living environments with good access to local facilities. Where there are gaps in provision the LDF will aim to address these issues and also ensure that future development is located where it will enable people to access jobs and key services, including education, training, healthcare and recreation. The LDF will also aim to provide accessible and varied opportunities for leisure and recreational activities in order to promote healthy lifestyles including ensuring all residents have access to an appropriate range of recreational open spaces and sports facilities. A key element of this will be to deliver a new city centre swimming pool and a new community stadium for the city which will cater for a range of community uses as well as being a home for the city’s professional teams: York City Football Club and York City Knights Rugby Club.

The LDF will make provision for at least the level of homes set out in the RSS up to 2026 projected forward to the end date of this plan. In setting Green Belt boundaries sufficient flexibility will be built in to accommodate higher levels of growth if needed. In meeting this need development will be concentrated on York’s main urban area. This will include a
significant contribution from the area covered by the York Northwest Area Action Plan (AAP) and key development sites with consent including Germany Beck, Derwenthorpe, Terry’s and Hungate. In addition housing development will be distributed to those settlements around York designated as Local Service Centres due to their relative sustainability. Finally to ensure supply towards the end of the plan period this document will identify potential sustainable extensions to the main built up areas to be brought forward for development should they be required to meet the city’s needs.

Whilst delivering sufficient housing it will be ensured that it is of an appropriate type and mix to meet the needs of York’s residents. The amount of affordable housing will be set at a level that maximises delivery without compromising supply.

The LDF will support York’s role as a world-class centre for further and higher education. It will help to facilitate the continued success of the University of York, York St John University, College of Law, Askham Bryan College of Agriculture and York College. This will include supporting the ongoing development of the University’s Heslington East Campus.

Ensuring York’s continued economic success and prosperity is fundamental to delivering this vision. The LDF will ensure that York fulfils its role as a key driver in the regional economy and that employment levels remain high. This will involve supporting the development of Science City York and other knowledge based industries, business and financial services and tourism. Fundamental to this is providing sufficient land in the right locations to allow the economy to realise its potential. This will include a new office quarter to the rear of York’s railway station that will be delivered as a part of the York Northwest AAP. The LDF will aim to support the growth of the local economy in ways which deliver increased prosperity for the whole community.

By the end of the plan period (2030), York will have strengthened its role as a sub-regional shopping and entertainment centre. This will be achieved by halting the decline of the city centre’s regional market share for comparison goods retail and increasing it to around 34%. This will involve increasing the supply of modern retail units and enhancing department store representation in the city centre to attract a broader range and quality of multiple retailers to trade alongside the already strong independent retail sector. This will include the development of the Castle Piccadilly site and considering options for complementary comparison goods retail development on York Central (within the York Northwest AAP area). Comparison goods retail development outside York city centre and York Northwest will be resisted. Capacity for additional convenience floorspace has also been identified, this will be directed in the first instance to the city centre, district centres and smaller centres.

The LDF will help deliver York’s aspiration to become a leading environmentally friendly city contributing to a reduction in York’s carbon & eco-footprint. The LDF will also play a key role in helping
the city to adapt to, and mitigate against climate change. Meeting both these aspirations will include:

- striking an appropriate balance between physical growth and environmental sustainability and ensuring that the environmental consequences of our actions are adequately managed;
- reducing energy use and carbon generation, exceeding the renewable energy targets set within the RSS;
- contributing to the reduction of waste through supporting the innovation and improvement of current waste practices, promotion of recycling, and provision of suitable and accessible sites;
- ensure that new development is not subject to, nor contributes to, inappropriate levels of flood risk from the Rivers Ouse, Foss and Derwent and other sources;
- safeguarding mineral deposits along with reducing the use of non-renewable resources;
- ensuring that future development is designed and constructed in a sustainable way; and
- avoid depleting the Sherwood Sandstone aquifer.

A key role of the LDF will be to help address the city’s transport issues. This is important both in terms of the wider environmental impacts but also the issues of congestion, accessibility, safety and air quality. It is also important that the future transport infrastructure is appropriate to the level of development proposed in this plan. The LDF will seek to complement York’s Local Transport Plan 2 and will help deliver a fundamental shift in travel patterns. Firstly, by reducing the need to travel, and secondly by ensuring that sustainable transport provision is a key component of future development.

The LDF will create a permanent green belt for York that will endure until at least 2030. Its aim will be to preserve the special character and setting of York which includes: areas which retain, reinforce and extend the pattern of historic green wedges; locations that provide an impression of a historic city situated within a rural setting; and finally the setting of villages whose traditional form, character and relationship with the city and the surrounding agricultural landscape is of historic value.

The LDF will protect and improve the countryside and the diversity of wildlife and habitats in the York area, including international, national and locally recognised areas of nature conservation value, the historic strays and river corridors. The LDF will also aim to protect, enhance and create a variety of quality open spaces to ensure that residents have access to an appropriate range of recreational open spaces to promote health and well-being in York. A green corridor network that has a wide range of functions including wildlife and recreational benefits will be maintained and enhanced. These issues will be addressed through the adoption of a Green Infrastructure Strategy for the city.
Figure 4: York’s Vision and Spatial Objectives

York aspires to be: a city of confident, creative and inclusive communities; economically prosperous at the forefront of innovation and change; and a world class centre for education; whilst preserving and enhancing its unique historic character and setting and fulfilling its role as a leading environmentally friendly city. This will be achieved in a way that ensures that York fulfils its role at the centre of the York Sub Area and as a part of the Leeds City Region. The LDF will take this agenda forward providing a planning framework to 2030 and beyond for the City’s sustainable development.

Sustainability Appraisal

2.5 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to this section, please refer to page 173 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.
**Question 2:**

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred vision. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you think that the LDF vision responds sufficiently to the following influences:

- The Sustainable Community Strategy;
- York’s issues, challenges and opportunities;
- The RSS; and
- Sustainable development, including the UK Sustainable Development Strategy?

b) Do you consider that the right balance has been struck between these different factors? Do you think that there are other factors that should be considered? (please give details)
This section looks at where new development for houses, jobs and shopping should be in York. It sets out the positives and negatives of building in certain areas looking at factors such as green belt, flood risk and access to public transport.
Section 3: Spatial Strategy

Introduction

3.1 Section 2 of this document explains the proposed planning vision for the future of York. This section of the Core Strategy translates the vision into a spatial strategy that will underpin the distribution of future development. What this means specifically for housing, employment and retail is described later in the document under ‘Building Confident, Creative and Inclusive Communities’ and ‘A Prosperous and Thriving Economy’.

3.2 The Vision for York’s LDF includes several key elements that relate to the production of a spatial strategy. More specifically in summary they include:

- ensuring the City’s unique historic character and setting is preserved and enhanced;
- ensuring York’s continued economic success and prosperity;
- strengthening York’s role as a sub-regional shopping and entertainment centre;
- maintaining community cohesion and helping the development of strong supportive and durable communities through the creation of sustainable neighbourhoods;
- helping to deliver York’s aspiration to become a leading environmentally friendly city contributing to a reduction in York’s Carbon & Eco-footprint;
- ensuring that new development is not subject to, nor contributes to, inappropriate levels of flood risk from the Rivers Ouse, Foss and Derwent and other sources;
- addressing the City’s transport issues both in terms of the wider environmental impacts but also the issues of congestion, accessibility, safety and air quality; and
- protecting and improving the countryside and the diversity of wildlife and habitats in the York area, including international, national, and locally recognised areas of nature conservation value, the historic strays and river corridors.

Context

Policy Context

3.3 The key elements of the vision described fit with the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) which indicates that the Local Development Framework (LDF) for York should: protect and enhance the nationally significant historical and environmental character of York (including its historic setting, views of the Minster and important open areas); protect and enhance the biodiversity, landscape character and environmental quality; manage flood risk; and for transport implement stronger demand management and improve accessibility to and within York. In addition it highlights the need to develop the role of York as a ‘Sub Regional City’ and as the focus for the majority of development in the York sub area.
You Told Us

3.4 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)):

- The first Issues and Options consultation asked whether the correct factors had been considered when determining the location of future development in York. These factors included urban/non urban sites, access, highway capacity, the role of employment sites, preserving the historic character and setting of York, nature conservation and flood risk. A number of the respondents suggested the need to prioritise the factors in determining appropriate locations for development. Some respondents considered that the correct factors for determining the spatial strategy had not been identified and that others should be considered such as access to a wide range of facilities, access to non-car transport modes, infrastructure quality and pollution, global environmental change and limited natural resources;
- The comments received on the second Issues and Options consultation were broadly supportive of a settlement hierarchy. However it was felt that the hierarchy should be quantified in terms of the number of homes and the amount of employment land (this is considered in Sections 8 and 11);
- In considering broad locations for future growth, the majority of responses generally supported prioritising locations within or adjacent to York’s main urban area in preference to further expansion of villages. Where comments were made in relation to growth within villages, Haxby and Dunnington were considered to offer the best opportunities to accommodate growth, given the relatively good access to jobs and services;
- Preserving the historic character and setting of York was considered by most to be the primary detailed influence on the spatial strategy; and
- It was suggested that an Environmental Capacity Study be undertaken to consider the city’s ability to accommodate further growth.

Local Issues

3.5 In developing an appropriate spatial strategy for York we initially considered the following issues:

- the relationship between York and its surrounding settlements;
- the role of the city and district centres;
- preserving the historic character and setting of York;
- protecting and enhancing York’s Green Infrastructure; and
- minimizing flood risk.

These factors were used to identify broad areas of search which were refined following the consideration of a range of further more detailed area specific issues. Each of the factors considered is described in summary below (a more detailed explanation is provided in Topic Paper 1 – ‘Approach to the Spatial Strategy’ which can be found at www.york.gov.uk/LDF/corestrategy ).
The Relationship Between York and its Surrounding Settlements

3.6 York’s area is made up of the City of York itself, surrounded by a number of outlying villages, each of which differs in terms of its size and role in relation to York.

3.7 As previously outlined the RSS recognises the role of York’s main built up area as a Sub-Regional City, providing the primary focus for housing, employment, shopping, leisure, education, health and cultural activities and facilities. The future role of villages however needs to be defined through the LDF. In order to think about how and where York could potentially grow in the future, we have looked at some of the key characteristics of the city and its villages and considered their relative sustainability. This included access to public transport and services, access to employment, levels of open space provision and existing carbon footprints.

3.8 Using these factors the villages around York and the main urban area of York itself have been placed in the settlement hierarchy highlighted in Figure 5.

The Role of the City and District Centres

3.9 National guidance asks local authorities to identify the centres within their areas where retail, leisure and office development should be focused. Work undertaken to date currently identifies three main centres highlighted in Figure 5: York’s City Centre, which is both a regional and local retail destination and a focus for leisure and office employment; Acomb District Centre, located to the west of the city centre; and Haxby District Centre, located to the north of the city. These centres provide a range of shops and services including banks, post offices, food shops, supermarkets, pharmacies and doctors surgeries.
Figure 5: Sustainable Settlement Hierarchy

York’s City Centre and District Centres

**Settlement Hierarchy**

- **York sub-regional city**
  Defined by RSS and relates to the main urban area of York as the focus of employment,

- **Villages**
  These meet some criteria of a Local Service Centre, but have a smaller population

- **Local Service Centres**
  The most sustainable settlements after the sub-regional centre, which offer the best access to services and public transport

- **Small Villages**
  These villages typically have a low population and a limited range of services
Preserving the Historic Character and Setting of York

3.10 The Approach to the Green Belt Appraisal (2003) study carried out by the Council indicated that regardless of the extent to which the City may have to identify further land to meet its development requirements and needs, there are areas of land outside the built up areas that should be retained as open land due to their role in preserving the historic character and setting of York. The areas of land considered to serve this purpose are illustrated in Figure 6.

3.11 The Appraisal (2003) also indicated that there are areas of land outside the built up areas that should be retained as open land as they prevent communities within the environs of York from merging into one another and the city. These areas were considered to have a key role in preserving the identity of the settlements and villages around York. The relationship of York to its surrounding settlements is a key element of the city's character. This relationship is not simply about the distance between the settlements but also their size.

Figure 6: York’s Green Belt Character Areas
3.12 Protection of areas with nature conservation value is viewed as a key element in ensuring sustainable development. Government guidance (PPS9) states that plans should seek to promote sustainable development by ensuring that biological and geological diversity are conserved as an integral part of social, environmental and economic development. For this reason nationally and locally significant nature conservation sites along with appropriate buffers and land within regional level green corridors will be excluded when considering future potential development locations (the general extent of these areas of land are shown in Figure 7). The special characteristics of District and Local Green Corridors, once defined, alongside appropriate buffers, will also be an important consideration in the allocation and planning of future development schemes.

**Figure 7: Green Infrastructure Including Nature Conservation Sites**
Minimising Flood Risk

3.13 Due to its geography flooding is a key local planning issue for York. The Council have produced a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)(2007) incorporating the floodplain maps produced by the Environment Agency. Figure 8 illustrates the extent of Flood Risk Zone 3a (high risk of flooding – 1 in 100 years or greater annual probability of river flooding) and Flood Risk Zone 3b (functional floodplain – 1 in 20 or greater annual probability of river flooding). The SFRA includes detailed boundaries of all flood risk zones across York. To reduce future damage to property and infrastructure, and to maximise public safety, greenfield areas subject to high flood risk (Flood Risk Zones 3a and 3b) are considered as inappropriate for future development for housing or employment. For development in brownfield areas, development for housing or employment would be considered inappropriate in Flood Risk Zone 3b, however within the Flood Risk Zone 3a the ‘Exception Test’ can be applied in some cases. The Exception Test makes provision for development on sites that can be balanced against wider sustainability considerations (please see Section 16 for full details). It is considered important that a precautionary approach is taken to reflect the threat posed by global warming.

Figure 8: Flood Risk Zones 3a and 3b
Further Consideration

3.14 The factors highlighted were used to identify broad areas of search for possible future areas for development. These were then refined following the consideration of the more detailed area specific issues highlighted below (this analysis is explained in full in Topic Paper 1 which can be found at www.york.gov.uk/LDF/corestrategy):

- implications for the transport network;
- sustainability considerations;
- landscape character;
- agricultural land classification;
- openspace; and
- further flood risk considerations.

3.15 In addition the Employment Land Review (ELR) (2009) was considered from the point of view of its recommendation on existing free standing employment sites in the open countryside.

Major Development Opportunities and Sites

3.16 The City of York currently has several major development projects that are of key importance in considering a future potential spatial strategy. These sites are highlighted on the key diagram (pull-out map at the front of the document) and described below. It should be noted that some of these sites already have planning consent where as the role of others will be directed through the LDF process.

- **York Northwest** is the largest and most significant regeneration project in the York area, described as a regionally significant investment priority within RSS. It comprises two large brownfield development sites, York Central and British Sugar, linked by a major public transport corridor. It is often described as the largest development site that we will see in York in our lifetime and its delivery will be essential to meeting the Core Strategy vision. Economically it will allow York to fulfil its regional and sub regional role. It is anticipated that it will have a key role in enhancing York’s future office, commercial and leisure offer in a new urban quarter. It has the potential to make a significant contribution to meeting the City’s need for homes and could also have a role in enhancing York’s retail offer. An Area Action Plan (AAP) is being prepared to ensure the environmental impact and infrastructure requirements are assessed comprehensively and the opportunities from the development of the sites are maximised (more information on the role of York Northwest is set out in Section 6);

- **Castle Piccadilly** is a strategic site in the city centre adjacent to the historic Clifford’s Tower. Its redevelopment provides the opportunity to enhance the retail offer provided by the city centre, create a high quality mixed-use development including public open space and provide an important setting for the adjacent historic buildings;

- **Heslington East** is a new campus for the University of York between Heslington Village and Grimston Bar Park and Ride. It is a 65ha site surrounded by substantial landscaping, reflecting the design of the original University campus. It has received outline planning consent following a ‘call-in’ inquiry.
Development will be implemented over the next 10-15 years through a number of reserved matters applications;

- **Hungate** is located in the city centre next to the River Foss. Outline planning permission has been granted for a mixed-use scheme including offices, housing, shops and a focal community building. Development of Phase 1 has begun on site;

- In September 2006 Nestlé Rowntree announced that capital investment is needed to upgrade and improve facilities on the more modern northern part of the Haxby Road factory site in order to retain Nestle Rowntree's presence in the City. This will create redevelopment opportunities on the older, southern part of the site (referred to as **Nestlé South**);

- **Germany Beck & Derwenthorpe** are two sites with the potential to provide over 1,200 homes including affordable/family housing, located to the east and the south of the main urban area. These have both recently received outline planning consent following a joint ‘call-in’ inquiry;

- **Terry’s** is a former factory complex which lies to the south of the main built up area adjacent to York Racecourse. Redevelopment of this site will provide a prestige employment led mixed-use development with housing local retail and leisure uses; and

- Land in the **Layerthorpe** area provides a good regeneration opportunity to enhance this key gateway to the city centre. There could also be future redevelopment opportunities and potential to improve the environmental quality in the James Street Link Road corridors and Foss Islands, as well as Layerthorpe itself.

**The Preferred Approach**

3.16 The spatial strategy is key to the delivery of the vision highlighted in Section 2. It’s role is to provide the context that will allow sites and development opportunities to be realised that will create the conditions for a prosperous thriving economy and sustainable inclusive communities. It must ensure that this is done in a way that protects York’s special historic and natural environment recognising the challenge of climate change and linked need to contribute to a reduction in York’s carbon and eco footprint this includes maximising the use of Brownfield sites. What this means in a practical sense has been derived from the consideration of the local issues highlighted in this section. Each of these issues has been considered in light of the consultation responses at the previous stage of document production which included the recognition of the importance of preserving the historic character and setting of York and broad support for a sustainable settlement hierarchy with York’s main urban area being the primary focus of development followed by the most sustainable villages. Topic Paper 1: ‘The Approach to the Spatial Strategy’ (available at [www.york.gov.uk/LDF/corestrategy](http://www.york.gov.uk/LDF/corestrategy)) provides a more complete explanation of the approach, explaining the background to the settlement hierarchy and approach to developing a long list of spatial options.
3.17 Drawing on the overall Core Strategy vision and on the issues highlighted above a high level objective and three spatial principles have been developed that will form the basis of York’s future spatial strategy.

### Strategic Objectives

#### Strategic Spatial Strategy

To deliver the LDF vision through the adoption of a strategic spatial strategy for the distribution of development which allows the city to meet its economic and social aspirations whilst ensuring the following:

- York’s unique character & setting is protected;
- future development is concentrated in locations well served by public transport & services, maximising the use of Brownfield sites;
- that flood risk is appropriately managed; and
- wildlife and habitats are protected.

### Targets

To ensure that all future development including allocations and windfalls are in conformity with the spatial principles highlighted below.

3.18 The following spatial principles will underpin the approach to accommodating York’s future growth through directing the location of new housing, employment and retail as covered in the subsequent sections of this document. They will also provide the basis of the approach to the allocation of sites in all other LDF documents.
**Spatial Principle 1: A Sustainable Settlement Hierarchy (SP1)**

In distributing land for development the following settlement hierarchy will be used:

(i) **The Sub-Regional City** - York will provide the main focus for the majority of new development.

   Within the Sub Regional City, York City Centre is identified as the main focus for retail, leisure and office employment. Acomb is identified as a District Centre with a key role in delivering retail and other services.

(ii) **Local Service Centres** –

   Haxby and Wigginton  Strensall/Towthorpe  Upper and Nether Poppleton

   Local Service Centres will have limited development in proportion to their size to support economic diversification and to meet the needs for both market and affordable housing.

   Haxby is identified as a District Centre with a key role in delivering retail and other services.

(iii) **Villages** –

   Bishopthorpe  Copmanthorpe  Skelton  Dunnington

   Villages are appropriate for small scale redevelopment, infill or expansion which is appropriate in scale and nature and helps support the viability of some of the existing facilities or addresses local needs, such as for affordable housing.

(iv) **Small Villages** – those villages and settlements not identified in (i) (ii) & (iii). In such locations growth will be restricted to redevelopment and infill only.
Spatial Principle 2: Areas of Constraint (SP2)

The identification of sites or future areas for development both within and outside existing settlements will be subject to ensuring that such locations meet the following requirements:

(i) they are accessible to sustainable modes of transport and would not lead to unacceptable levels of congestion, pollution and/or air quality;
(ii) they ensure that the city’s unique historic character and setting is preserved and enhanced;
(iii) the appropriate management of flood risk to ensure that new development is not subject to, nor contributes to, inappropriate levels of flood risk from the Rivers Ouse, Foss and Derwent and other water courses; and
(iv) they do not have an adverse effect the diversity of wildlife and habitats in the York area including nationally and locally significant nature conservation sites along with regional, district and local level green corridors.
Spatial Principle 3: Approach To Future Development (SP3)

(i) In accordance with Spatial Principles 1 and 2 the following sequential approach will be taken to meeting York’s future development needs

   (a) Firstly, bringing forward development within the Sub Regional City of York (the main urban area) initially prioritising previously developed land and buildings. Greenfield sites will only be considered where their development would not prejudice other objectives of this plan relating to nature conservation, green infrastructure or recreation.

      This will include major development opportunities and sites highlighted on the Key Diagram. Central to this approach is the need to ensure that the considerable potential offered by the York Northwest area in meeting the city’s economic, housing and retail needs is fully realised (also see Section 6).

   (b) Secondly, brownfield or infill development within Local Service Centres and Villages (as identified in the settlement hierarchy) which is appropriate in scale and nature and helps support the viability of some of the existing facilities or addresses local needs, such as for affordable housing.

   (c) Thirdly, expansion of the main Sub Regional City of York subject to the constraints highlighted in Spatial Principle 2. This would only be considered if Brownfield land and other suitable sites in the Sub Regional City of York, Local Service Centres and Villages have been exhausted.

(ii) In accordance with Spatial Principles 1 and 2 for industrial and distribution related employment, consideration will be given to the expansion of existing employment uses outside the main urban area where they are well served by sustainable modes of transport with good links to the Sub Regional City of York and meet York’s economic needs.
3.19 In line with the spatial principles, the Key Diagram (pull-out map at the front of the document) shows potential future ‘Areas of Search’ for development land should it be needed. In terms of housing it is not anticipated that land will be required for the expansion of the Sub Regional City of York until beyond 2021. The location of potential areas for these expansions are shown as ‘Areas of Search’ A and B. For employment the ELR (2009) indicates that the city’s future needs could be met within the main urban area, and other currently identified sites. This includes both the existing Local Plan allocation and safeguarded land at Northminster Business Park being used for the purpose of general industrial and storage and distribution (the existing safeguarded land is shown as area of search I on the Key Diagram). The ELR however also highlights land to the North of Hull Road, currently within the draft Green Belt as a good location for the same type of employment uses (area of search C). Issues relating to the future supply of housing and employment land are considered in details in sections 8 and 11.

3.20 It is important to recognise that areas of search are not identified for development at the present time but will be allocated for development through the LDF process at a future point should it be required. The identification of areas of search for development would involve a comprehensive public consultation in line with the Council’s adopted Statement of Community Involvement (2007). This will be dependent upon the future levels of employment and housing growth, including the consideration of issues such as windfalls and density (this is explored further in Section 8).

3.21 Whilst strategic growth will be concentrated on the Sub Regional City of York limited small scale expansion of local services centres, villages and rural villages may be considered appropriate to address specific local needs such as affordable housing. This will be considered through the Allocations Development Plan Document.

Sustainability Appraisal

3.22 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the spatial principles within this section, please refer to page 176 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.
**Question 3:**

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to the spatial strategy. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you think it is appropriate to identify land for development in the draft Green Belt for housing and employment?

b) We would appreciate comments on whether the proposed spatial principles are ‘fit for purpose’. Further information can be found in Topic Paper 1 ‘Approach to the Spatial Strategy’.

c) If we need to identify land for new homes, do you think that areas A and B, currently in the draft Green Belt, are the most suitable locations? If not, which other areas would be more suitable?

d) If we need to identify land for employment do you think that areas C and/or I are suitable locations for industrial and distribution employment areas? Are there any other areas that would be suitable?
Section 4: The Role of York’s Green Belt

Introduction

4.1 It is important that the Local Development Framework (LDF) Core Strategy determines the approach to York’s Green Belt including both its role and lifespan. The LDF Core Strategy vision included in Section 2 of this document envisages that the LDF will create a permanent Green Belt for York that preserves its special character and setting, whilst ensuring sustainable development; and that the Green Belt boundaries once set will endure until at least 2030.

Context

Policy Context

4.2 Government guidance (PPG2) states that:

‘the fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl by keeping land permanently open; the most important attribute of Green Belts is their openness. Green Belts can shape patterns of urban development at a sub-regional and regional scale, and help to ensure that development occurs in locations allocated in development plans. They help to protect the countryside, be it in agricultural, forestry or other use and can assist in moving towards more sustainable patterns of urban development.’

4.3 It identifies the five key purposes of Green Belts as the following:

- to check the unrestricted sprawl of large built-up areas;
- to prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another;
- to assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment;
- to preserve the setting and special character of historic towns; and,
- to assist in urban regeneration, by encouraging the recycling of derelict and other urban land.

4.4 The Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) highlights the valuable roles Green Belts can have in supporting urban renaissance, transformation and concentration, as well as conserving countryside. With specific reference to York it indicates that the LDF should define the inner boundary and the outstanding sections of the outer boundary of the Green Belt about six miles from York city centre. With regard to the inner boundaries, the RSS indicates that they should be defined in order to establish long-term development limits that safeguard the special character and setting of the historic city. Also, the boundaries must take account of the levels of growth set out in the RSS and must endure beyond the RSS period.
**Local Context**

4.5 Whilst acknowledging all the purposes of Green Belt identified in Government Guidance are important, the Council undertook further work to specifically consider those areas of land outside the built up areas that were valuable in terms of the historic character and setting of the city. This was due to the obvious relevance of this purpose to York and that it was considered to provide a basis on which to consider the relative value of land in Green Belt terms.

4.6 The Approach to the Green Belt Appraisal (2003) is a key part of the evidence base that underpins the overall spatial strategy and is considered in Section 3 of this document. It indicates that regardless of the extent to which the city may have to identify further land to meet its development requirements and needs, there are areas of land outside the built up areas that should be retained as open land due to their role in preserving the identity of the settlements around York and the city’s historic character and setting.

4.7 The areas of land highlighted below are considered important in preserving the city’s historic character and setting:

- Category 1: areas which retain, reinforce and extend the pattern of historic green wedges, for example, the Strays, the ‘Ings’, green wedges and extensions to the green wedges;
- Category 2: areas other than the green wedges which provide an impression of a historic city situated within a rural setting. This relates to significant tracts of undeveloped land, which provide an open foreground to the city. For example, good views of the Minster from recognised vantage points; and
- Category 3: areas which contribute to the setting of villages whose traditional form, character and relationship with the city and surrounding agricultural landscape is of historic value, for example Askham Richard and Askham Bryan.

**You Told Us**

4.8 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)):

- On the whole, respondents supported the idea that the primary purpose of York’s Green Belt is to preserve the setting and special character of York. However, several people suggested that although this was perhaps the most important purpose, the other PPG2 criteria should be considered as well. The views to the Minster and the role of the green wedges were specifically mentioned as being important;
- There was clear support for the continued protection of the Green Belt and more specifically it was suggested by some that Green Belt boundaries should be defined ahead of the development needs of the city; and
- The majority of respondents felt that the lifespan of the Green Belt should be beyond the RSS timescale.
The Preferred Approach

4.9 York’s Green Belt has a key role in helping to deliver the LDF’s spatial strategy through helping to direct the location of development and preventing urban sprawl and encroachment on the countryside. It also has a critical role in protecting the historic character and setting of York. The importance of the Green Belt in respect of these roles was reflected in responses made to previous consultations. It is also important that once set, Green Belt boundaries have a degree of permanence. To do this it is important that sufficient land is available outside the Green Belt to meet the city’s long term development needs in locations that fit with the proposed spatial strategy.

4.10 Drawing on the overall Core Strategy vision and on the issues highlighted above the following strategic objectives, targets and policy have been developed.

**Strategic Objectives**

- To set a permanent Green Belt for York that will not need to be reviewed for at least 20 years;
- To maintain and preserve the historic setting of York;
- To retain and protect special features such as the strays, green wedges and views of the Minster; and
- To reflect the other purposes set out in PPG2.

**Targets**

Adoption of detailed Green Belt boundaries through the LDF Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD).

**Policy CS1: The Role of York’s Green Belt**

(i) The primary purpose of York’s Green Belt is to preserve the historic character and setting of York. This includes ensuring the continued openness of:

- areas which retain, reinforce and extend the pattern of historic green wedges, for example, the Strays, the 'Ings', green wedges and extensions to the green wedges;

- areas other than the green wedges which provide an impression of a historic city situated within a rural setting. This relates to significant tracts of undeveloped land, which provide an open foreground to the city. For example, good views of the Minster from recognised vantage points; and

- areas which contribute to the setting of villages whose traditional form, character and relationship with the city and surrounding agricultural landscape is of historic value.
(ii) Ensuring the community identity of Local Service Centres, villages and rural villages are maintained through preventing communities within the environs of York from merging into one another and the city; and

(iii) When setting Green Belt boundaries it must be ensured that the development needs of York can be met until at least 2030 outside the proposed Green Belt. They must be in line with the Core Strategy Spatial Principles taking account of the levels of growth set out in the RSS.

The general extent of York’s Green Belt is illustrated by the Key Diagram. Detailed boundaries will be established through the Allocations DPD.

**Sustainability Appraisal**

4.11 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 178 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

**Question 4:**

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to the Green Belt. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you feel that the importance of York’s historic character and setting is adequately reflected in this section?

b) Do you feel that this should be regarded as the primary purpose of the Green Belt?

c) Do you think that York’s Green Belt proposed lifespan of 20 years appropriate?
Section 5: York City Centre

Introduction

5.1 York city centre is instantly and internationally recognisable. As well as being the historical and cultural hub, it is the economic and social heart of York, fundamental to the success of the city. The outstanding historic environment gives the centre a unique distinctiveness and sense of place which needs to be protected and enhanced. As the focus for retail, leisure, offices, culture and tourism activity, the city centre is key to achieving a strengthened role for York as a sub-regional city. The role of the city centre is also a fundamental consideration in addressing the city’s transport issues. The city centre therefore has a crucial role to play in delivering the Core Strategy vision.

Context

5.2 Given the importance of the city centre and the regeneration/development opportunities and pressures, the Council has agreed to prepare an Area Action Plan (AAP). By preparing an AAP we can look at the city centre comprehensively and provide a framework for a programme of enhancements for this area which is particularly sensitive to change.

5.3 Following the completion of Issues and Options on the Core Strategy, work has started on preparing the City Centre AAP. An Issues and Options Report for the city centre was published for consultation in July 2008. The aim of the consultation was to understand people’s views about the city centre and their aspirations for it’s future. The report focussed on three key themes: economic vitality, historic environment and community life, and identified five ‘opportunity areas’ where some of the options set out under the three key themes might be delivered.

Policy Context

5.4 The Government’s approach to city centres (PPS6) is that they should be the focus for retail, leisure, offices, culture and tourism and this is reflected in Spatial Principle 1 of the Spatial Strategy. The historic environment is afforded statutory protection through the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act (2004), and Government guidance requires its preservation and enhancement (PPG15 and PPG16). Government guidance (PPS1) also states that good design should respond to its local context and create or reinforce local distinctiveness. Good design means taking the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area.

5.5 At a regional level, the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) states that the centres of sub regional cities, such as York, should be the focus for high trip generating uses such as offices, retail, leisure, culture and tourism. It also states that development, environmental enhancements and accessibility improvements should take place to create a distinctive, attractive and vibrant sense of place and identity for each centre.

Local Issues

5.6 The historic city centre of York is of national and international importance in terms of its history, heritage, architecture and archaeology. The city centre area contains nine
scheduled ancient monuments, over 750 listed buildings and a registered historic park and garden. The city is one of only five cities in England whose archaeology is considered to be of such significance that it is designated as an area of archaeological importance under the 1979 Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act. The majority of the city centre is also designated as a conservation area, and the Council is currently undertaking a conservation area appraisal for the Central Historic Core Conservation Area. This will inform the future approach to York’s historic city centre. Further information on the Local Development Framework (LDF) approach to the historic environment is set out in Section 7 of this Preferred Options document.

5.7 Primarily, the city centre will contribute to the wider spatial strategy in terms of office employment and retail. York’s Employment Land Review (ELR) (Entec 2009) identifies the city centre as a strategic location for office employment (B1(a)) reflecting PPS6 and its current role as a main employment area, currently accommodating 30% of jobs in York local authority area. The Review outlines a requirement for 193,329sqm of office (B1a) floorspace to 2029. The Review identifies opportunities to accommodate this partially within the city centre, through sites such as Hungate, but recognises that these are limited because of the relatively small size of the centre and its historic nature.

5.8 The main focus for new office development will therefore be on York Central as part of the creation of a new office quarter. This will be progressed through the York Northwest AAP (see Section 6). The ELR also identifies potential for some B1(a) office development in the Foss Islands Road area, located on the eastern periphery of the city centre. The Council is currently carrying out further work to explore the regeneration potential of this area, which could include some element of office development, and improved recreation and amenity.

5.9 In retail terms, York’s Retail Study (GVA Grimley LLP 2008) recommends that the decline in the city centre market share is halted and then increased to a 34% share in order to maintain its position in the wider regional retail hierarchy. The Study identifies significant capacity for additional retail floor space up to 2029. In accordance with the spatial strategy, the priority for this additional floor space will be within, or adjacent to, the central shopping area of the city centre (i.e. Castle Piccadilly and the Stonebow area as shown on Figure 9). However, similarly to employment, the Study recognises that potential sites will be limited by the constraints of the historic environment and the size of the centre.
5.10 Alongside office and retail development the city centre is also the focus for leisure and tourist related development. Information on active leisure and sports is set out in the Sport and Active Leisure Strategy (2005). The Strategy is supported by a planning tool which identifies current gaps in provision, this includes a shortage in swimming pool provision, with a particular need for new provision in the city centre. The Children and Young People’s Plan 2009-2012 includes a commitment to providing new youth facilities as resources permit, and specifically, a city centre facility that includes a youth café. In terms of tourism, Visit York, the city’s single tourism organisation, has proposed a Vision for Tourism for the city, and a set of ambitions. The vision seeks to deliver long term, sustainable growth of the visitor economy (the target is a minimum of 5% average annual growth in visitor expenditure), building on York’s distinctiveness, enhancing the quality of the visitor experience and promoting the city as a world class visitor destination.
5.11 National policy (PPS6 and PPS3), states that housing will be an important element of mixed-use town centre development. The city centre is home to 3,638 households and this will increase as the development of 720 flats and houses, as part of the regeneration of the Hungate area, progresses. The Council is currently looking at further opportunities for a range of housing types and sizes within the city centre, and has identified potential sites through the emerging Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment.

5.12 As well as delivering development growth, the AAP will also focus on a spatial vision and objectives for the city centre, focussing on public realm improvements, transport and accessibility solutions and projects and initiatives for key areas of change.

You Told Us

5.13 The following comments were provided in response to the Core Strategy Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)) and the Issues and Options consultation on the City Centre AAP.

- Vital to ensure that the city centre remains a quality place to live, visit and do business;
- The special historic character and important views of the centre should be protected, and planning for the city centre needs to be informed by the conservation area appraisal;
- Support for retaining the retail activity in the city centre, particularly unique, independent shops and the outdoor market;
- Need for more leisure attractions in the city centre, including a swimming pool and more venues and spaces for cultural events and activities;
- Support for expanding and diversifying the evening economy;
- Need to improve city squares and spaces, the riversides and provide more open space in the city centre;
- Support for extending the footstreets, and for measures to tackle congestion and improve accessibility, parking and public transport provision;
- The AAP should help deliver the spatial vision, objectives and policies of the Core Strategy. The links to Core Strategy policies need to be clearer, to set the limits of the AAP;
- The AAP should contain its own locally distinctive, realistic and inclusive vision of what the city centre will be like at the end of the plan period;
- The AAP should be developed from the vision in the Sustainable Community Strategy, the emerging spatial vision in the Core Strategy and the specific issues identified through consultation and from other strategies and the evidence base;
- Need for one overall vision covering all of the three key themes; and
- Support a bold approach to the city centre but do not want to see change for the sake of change.

The Preferred Approach

5.14 The Council’s preferred approach is to maintain the city centre as the primary focus for new retail, leisure, tourist and office development, as well as reinforcing its role as the cultural and social hub of the sub-region. The use and quality of public spaces,
Local Development Framework
Core Strategy – Preferred Options

as well as links between them and to the rivers, will be comprehensively reviewed, and priority areas identified where improvements are needed now. Areas on the periphery of the city centre (gateway streets) will also be subject to audit and review in order to see how they are performing and how they can be lifted in economic, social and environmental terms. Opportunities will also be taken to provide for new homes within the city centre, and to improve recreation and community facilities.

5.15 This approach reflects the LDF vision and helps to support the overall spatial strategy, in line with national and regional policy. To help achieve this the Council will prepare an AAP which will ensure that new development is encouraged but that it sensitively considers the historic environment of the city. The AAP will provide detailed guidance for the city centre: it will focus on delivery, working with key public and private sector partners in order to deliver city centre improvements in a coordinated and phased way.

Strategic Objective
York city centre will have a vibrant, successful economy that respects its heritage and historic settings. It will be able to host a variety of cultural and community activities in an attractive environment, and will be a place to enjoy living, working and visiting.

Targets
Progress towards achieving this objective, will be measured against the adoption of a City Centre Area Action Plan by 2011.

Policy CS2: York City Centre
The LDF will continue to support the city centre as the cultural, economic and social heart of York. The LDF will ensure a strengthened role for the city centre as the core of a sub-regional city, whilst preserving and enhancing its unique historic character and setting.

The Council will prepare an AAP for the city centre which will:
• ensure that the city centre remains the primary focus for retail, leisure, tourism and office development;

• establish a framework for decision making in the city centre and a context for development projects and funding bids;

• develop a transport accessibility masterplan to improve movement to and from and within the city centre, to improve air quality, to improve the ambience of the area and to promote sustainable transport choices; and

• develop a city centre public realm strategy and masterplan to enhance the appearance, connectivity and functionality of key public spaces and areas of change within the city centre, and to ensure that areas of change are fully integrated.


**Sustainability Appraisal**

5.16 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 179 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

**Question 5:**

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to the city centre. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you think that we are taking the right approach to supporting the city centre as the cultural, economic and social heart of York?
Section 6: York Northwest

Introduction

6.1 York Northwest is the largest and most significant regeneration project in the York area. It comprises two large brownfield development sites, York Central and British Sugar, linked by a transport corridor. It is likely to be the largest development site that we will see in York in our lifetime and its delivery will be essential to meeting the Core Strategy vision. Economically it will allow York to fulfil its regional and subregional role. It has the potential to make a significant contribution to meeting the City’s need for homes, within the wider context of creating sustainable neighbourhoods, and could have a role in enhancing York’s retail offer. Given the location of the York Central area, adjacent to the historic core, it could also have a key role in enhancing York’s commercial, leisure and tourism offer as part of a new urban quarter.

Context

6.2 York Northwest is located immediately to the north and west of York city centre, as shown on the Key Diagram (pull-out map at the front of the document). It covers an area of around 75ha of developable land lying adjacent to the East Coast Main Line rail corridor and the A59. Figure 10 illustrates the site’s context.

Figure 10: York Northwest Context Diagram
6.3 A comprehensive approach to the development of the area known as York Central emerged in the late 1990s as large areas of vacant land previously used by rail and related industries started to become redundant and development interest in the area increased. Significant work has been undertaken in recent years in order to investigate the site’s development potential. In July 2006 it was announced that the British Sugar plant, which lies adjacent to the York Central area, would close by the end of 2007. The British Sugar site comprises around 40ha of brownfield land. The British Sugar activities on the site included a sugar refinery plant, settlement lagoons, a sports club and playing fields.

6.4 Following the closure of the British Sugar plant, it was decided that, given the size and proximity of the sites, a comprehensive development strategy, which considers both the York Central and British Sugar sites together, was essential. The strategic opportunities for the city, which are presented by the two sites as York Northwest cannot be realised through a piecemeal approach to development.

6.5 The Council has decided to prepare an Area Action Plan (AAP) for the York Northwest area. By preparing an AAP we can ensure that there is a comprehensive development strategy for this area of significant change. The AAP will give context and guide the redevelopment of the area. The AAP will focus on delivery, working with key public and private sector partners, in particular addressing the delivery of strategic infrastructure.

6.6 A considerable amount of work has already been undertaken on preparing the AAP, with consultation on Issues and Options completed in early 2008. The Issues and Options document (York Northwest Area Action Plan: Issues and Options Report, November 2007) discusses options around the following key themes: creating a sustainable community; employment; housing; social infrastructure; culture and tourism; transport and accessibility; open space and built sporting facilities; and urban design.

6.7 The key issues for the area are:

- the provision of new infrastructure;
- the integration of the new residential and associated social infrastructure with the city;
- ensuring that the transport impact of the development can be accommodated within the city
- the integration of a new urban quarter with the city centre and surrounding areas; and
- ensuring that the development protects and complements the existing historic qualities of York whilst maximising the future economic prosperity of the city.

Employment

6.8 York’s Employment Land Review (Entec 2009) indicates that B1(a) uses (offices) would be appropriate within York city centre and immediate surrounding areas, highlighting the important role of a proposed new office quarter on the York Northwest site. York Central has been identified as a regionally significant investment opportunity in the Regional Spatial Strategy, as it provides the
opportunity for developing new office space in a highly sustainable location, directly adjacent to the city centre, with excellent transport links. The Review provides an indicative floorspace figure of between 87,000sqm and 100,000sqm for a new office quarter (Central Business District).

6.9 The office quarter could form part of a wider urban quarter which, located on the edge of the city centre, could offer an area for future growth of employment/commercial activities, providing integration with the city centre is achieved.

**Housing**

6.10 Appropriate locations for housing must be selected in line with the spatial principles (Policy SP1, SP2 and SP3) outlined in Section 3. These overriding principles require new housing to be distributed in a way that focuses on the Sub Regional City of York (the main urban area) prioritising previously developed land and buildings in the first instance. The York Northwest site is essential to the delivery of this approach offering capacity to accommodate a significant proportion of the City’s housing need between 2008 and 2030. The Council’s emerging Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (2009) identifies capacity on York Northwest for 3,030 homes.

**Retail**

6.11 York’s Retail Study (GVA Grimley LLP 2008) identifies a need for more comparison goods retail floorspace. In accordance with the spatial strategy, the priority for this additional floorspace will be within, or adjacent to, the central shopping area of the city centre. However, the Study concludes that the compact nature of the city centre and the constraints of the historic environment mean that it will also be necessary to consider edge of city centre locations to accommodate the unmet retail capacity. The Study recommends that we consider options for retail on York Central, but highlights that as this is an out of centre location these options should ensure that there is no adverse impact on the city centre, focusing on providing a complementary, rather than competing, retail offer and seeking to improve linkages with the city centre, rather than creating a separate destination. Further work has been commissioned to consider potential options for retail on York Central and assess whether this can be achieved without impacting on the vitality and viability of the city centre. This will be used to inform the future approach.

**Local Services**

6.12 To meet the needs of future residents, employees and visitors, and ensure the development of sustainable neighbourhoods, appropriate provision will be sought on York Northwest for key services and facilities including public open space (see Section 14), built sports provision, local shops, education, healthcare and other community facilities (see Section 10).
You Told Us

6.13 The following comments were provided as part of the Core Strategy Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)) and the Issues and Options consultation on the York Northwest AAP.

- Support for acknowledging York Northwest as a major regeneration area and promotion as a mixed-use development site;
- Recommend that a York Central specific policy is introduced in the Core Strategy;
- Highlighted the complexity of the project and issues with timescales and the capacity of the site;
- Site provides the opportunity for developments which encourage low levels of car ownership;
- Much of the area should be set aside as Green Wedge;
- Support recognition of York Northwest as a high quality location for employment;
- Greater priority should be given to the aspirations for the economy and future of the City through the delivery of York Central;
- Broad agreement for creating a sustainable community;
- Support for housing mix in accordance with the Strategic Housing Market Assessment as well as higher proportions of housing;
- Broad range of housing should be sought and housing densities should not be too prescriptive;
- Significant support for a Central Business District;
- Support for increasing integration with the city centre;
- Support for increased priority of public transport, cycling and walking; and
- Provision of public realm/green infrastructure seen as important.

The Preferred Approach

6.14 In accordance with the spatial principles and the findings from the evidence base, York Northwest is identified as an appropriate site to deliver a substantial amount of York’s future development. The site will be developed for up to 3,030 dwellings and around 87,000sqm of office employment floorspace as part of a new office quarter. The potential for new comparison retail will also be explored, focusing on whether it will impact on the vitality and viability of the city centre. Sustainability is the overriding principle for development of the York Northwest area which offers potential to develop an exemplar sustainable community. This will involve all areas of sustainability including sustainable design and construction, sustainable technologies such as those used to generate renewable energy (see Section 15) and improving accessibility to minimise the need for private car use.

6.15 The Council will prepare an AAP which will set the framework on the form and content of development and the provision of infrastructure. The Council is preparing an AAP in recognition of the need to consider the development of the area comprehensively. This is considered essential in order to fully realise the opportunities that it offers for regeneration and the key contribution it will make to the wider objectives for the future of York.
6.16 The following will be the vision for the AAP. In 2026 York Northwest will be:

- a distinctive place of outstanding quality and sustainable design;
- well connected with the city and wider region;
- contributing to the economic prosperity of the city;
- a vital and innovative addition to York; and
- fostering new sustainable communities and enhancing quality of life.

**Strategic Objectives**

- To create exemplar new sustainable and inclusive communities of outstanding sustainable design which prioritise access by sustainable transport modes;
- To ensure the new communities and development are fully integrated with existing communities and have adequate open space and local services and facilities; and
- To maximise a unique opportunity to contribute to the overall economic prosperity of the city.

**Targets**

**Progress towards achieving these objectives, will be measured against the following targets:**

- Provision of up to 3,030 dwellings by 2030;
- Provision of a minimum of 87,000sqm employment space by 2030 as part of a new office quarter; and

**Policy CS3: York Northwest**

York Northwest will be developed as a sustainable mixed-use regeneration scheme, with up to 3,030 dwellings and a minimum of 87,000sqm of office employment (Central Business District). Leisure, commercial and tourism uses will be promoted as part of a new urban quarter on York Central. The development will be an exemplar of sustainable development. This will include outstanding sustainable design, use of sustainable technologies and prioritising access by sustainable transport modes.

The Council will prepare an Area Action Plan which will ensure the comprehensive regeneration of the area, delivering homes, jobs and key services as part of a sustainable new community, to achieve the Core Strategy vision.
Sustainability Appraisal

6.16 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 179 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

Question 6:

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to York Northwest. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you think that we are promoting the right mix of uses to ensure the creation of sustainable new communities on York Northwest?
This section looks at how history has shaped our City and how we are going to plan development in the future whilst protecting the important historic buildings and landscape.

York: A City Making History

Making our mark by:

● Building confident, creative and inclusive communities
● Being a leading environmentally-friendly city
● Being at the forefront of innovation and change with a prosperous and thriving economy
● Being a world class centre for education and learning for all
● Celebrating our historic past whilst creating a successful and thriving future
Section 7: York’s Special Historic and Built Environment

Introduction

7.1 York’s historic heritage is amongst the richest in England and is a significant asset and resource for the city. The recognition and celebration of York’s historic past is a key element of the Sustainable Community Strategy vision, with the aim of ensuring future development and growth strengthens the city’s special qualities and unique environment. York is a changing city and always has been. As with previous eras, the city’s physical characteristics continue to alter in reflection of lifestyle, economic, technological and environmental shifts. It is crucial that good design informs this changing built environment.

7.2 The Local Development Framework (LDF) vision for York is that high quality design and architecture will be promoted throughout the whole of the built environment, but particularly that it’s unique historic character and setting will be preserved and enhanced. In recognition of this, the Core Strategy will establish policy to protect and enhance the built environment and ensure that it is managed in an appropriate way. It will also establish design priorities and fundamental principles to guide future development. Section 15 ‘Resource Efficiency’ deals with the further issues of sustainable design, construction and resource use.

Context

Policy Context

7.3 Government guidance (PPS1) asserts that high quality and inclusive design means ensuring that a place will function well and add to the overall character and quality of the area, not just for the short term, but over the lifetime of the development. It states that good design is indivisible from good planning and should respond to its local context and create or reinforce local distinctiveness. It advises that design which fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area should not be accepted.

7.4 In preparing development plans, Government guidance (PPS1) also advises that we should seek to enhance as well as protect the historic environment, landscape and townscape character.

7.5 Statutory protection for, and guidance on, the historic environment is provided through the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990, Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979, and Government guidance (PPG15 and PPG16)\(^1\). The guidance requires that the Council puts in place policies to preserve and enhance the historic environment, including policies for protection, enhancement and preservation of sites of archaeological interest. It also provides the scope for the Council to designate conservation areas. The Government have announced a program to update PPG15 and PPG16, with work to begin in 2009.

---

\(^1\) Listed buildings, archaeological deposits, conservation areas, historic parks and gardens or battlefields.
Local Issues

The City’s Central Historic Core

7.6 York’s unmistakable sense of place is enjoyed by over 4 million visitors a year. As the Section 1 describes, York’s history has provided a complex mosaic of buildings and streets unique in character, amongst which are its 38 conservation areas and around 1800 listed buildings. The importance of York is highlighted by the city’s status as only one of five historical centres in England designated as an area of archaeological importance. The combined impact is to create a considerable policy influence on, and guidance for, new development on open land and regeneration of the existing fabric of the city.

7.7 In recognition of the city centre’s sensitivity to change, the council is preparing an Area Action Plan (AAP). This will provide a vision and a series of detailed policies to help manage York’s city centre. At Issues and Options stage it considered options for ensuring successful management of the Central Historic Core Conservation Area, good design and an improved public realm. The aim is to retain York’s unique and special character, to put in place a robust framework for ensuring the highest quality of design, and have world-class interpretation of York’s unique history and archaeology.

7.8 The council is currently undertaking a conservation area appraisal for the Central Historic Core Conservation Area, which will describe, define and analyse the city’s special character and appearance. This will build on Lord Esher’s ‘A Study in Conservation’ in York (HMSO, 1968), the first of four studies of conservation in historic towns.

7.9 There could potentially be a bid for World Heritage Status (WHS) for York city centre. WHS would raise York’s worldwide standing and recognition, and provide a major selling point for inward investment and attracting funding. Achieving this would increase tourism pressure on the city and would therefore necessitate a management plan. The relationship between this and the AAP would need careful consideration should a WHS bid be successful. The AAP should form the basis for a management plan.

City Wide Features

7.10 York’s unique character and form stretches beyond its central core, resulting from change and development throughout many centuries. Linear infilling between the town boundary and rural villages continued throughout the 18th and 19th centuries, containing once rural villages like Acomb within suburban York. Further demand for inter-war and post-war housing citywide, and subsequent growth in the 60s and 70s, has again influenced the shape of York as we see it today – this is particularly noticeable in places like Haxby, which grew considerably during the 70s and exhibits much of the suburban estate architecture typical of that period.

7.11 Importantly, York has also retained many features which tell the story of how it has grown, such as the radial pattern of its outlying settlements like Copmanthorpe, Rufforth and Dunnington, and the fingers of green space which connect the heart of the city with open countryside, bringing natural open space within walking distance of large swathes of York’s residential areas. There is a close relationship between
York’s historic environment and its rivers - the City Walls and the green spaces running alongside the riverfront provide many important views and offer opportunities to move around the city away from the traffic and noisy routes.

7.12 A significant feature across York is the Minster Tower, which is prominent in a number of key views both from within the city and in longer distance views across the open countryside.

7.13 Significant work to understand landscape and townscape character has already been undertaken, and is being used to inform the overall spatial strategy (see Section 3). On a smaller scale, by understanding local distinctiveness, individual new developments can better respond to their landscape, topography and views, to existing streets and spaces, to local building forms, patterns and materials and topography and views. There is no reason why character and innovation should not go together – new and old buildings can coexist happily without disguising one as the other, provided that there are carefully thought out elements of continuity.

You Told Us

7.14 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)):

- Unsurprisingly, of those priorities which were considered essential to underpin our future approach, a keystone was felt to be ensuring that York’s historical and archaeological wealth and setting is recognised, preserved and enhanced. There were two emerging themes:
  - the need for the council to continue to undertake its statutory duty to preserve and enhance historic and important buildings and spaces through generic policy protecting listed buildings, scheduled monuments, conservation areas, historic parks and gardens and archaeological deposits etc; and
  - to set in place a visionary approach to generate excellent 21st century design, responding to what is unique and distinct in York within the context of the Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment’s (CABE) parameters of good urban design.

- To ensure that new development takes its lead from a better understanding of York’s local character, many voiced support for undertaking Local Lists, and continuing with the production of Parish Plans and Villages Design Statements which, alongside Conservation Area Appraisals, build a more detailed picture of place. Many also voiced continued support for the York Design Awards in stimulating interest for, and good practice in, architecture and the built environment.
7.15 Drawing on the overall Core Strategy vision and on the issues highlighted above the following strategic objective, targets and policy have been developed.

7.16 In moving towards Preferred Options, the Council have responded strongly to the need to ensure York’s historical and archaeological wealth and setting is recognised, preserved and enhanced by a) restating the authority’s duty to protect, conserve or enhance all of York’s heritage assets; and b) enabling the highest quality of design which responds to what is unique and distinct in York.

7.17 This will provide the context for policy and guidance on a range of matters concerned with design, landscape and the historic environment, including areas of landscape and townscape character; important views; listed buildings; conservation areas; archaeology; design codes; design and access statements for planning applications; telecommunications equipment, facilities for recycling; and briefs for significant development sites.

Strategic Objective

Delivering the quality city – retaining character and local distinctiveness

To continue to protect, preserve and enhance York’s exceptional historic legacy, including its architecture and archaeology, significant views and the distinctive townscape characteristics of York’s neighbourhoods, and ensure that all new development delivers the highest quality of urban design, architecture and public realm.

Targets

Progress towards achieving this objective will be measured against the following targets:
- The completion of characterisation studies for key strategic sites;
- The completion of a Conservation Area Appraisal for the Central Historic Core, and delivery of the City Centre AAP by 2011; and
- All large sites of at least 10 completed dwellings to be measured against the Building for Life criteria.

Policy CS4: Urban Design and the Historic Environment

The Council will seek to maintain a quality historic and built environment in recognition of its inherent value, and the central role it plays in the success of the City.

In order to achieve this the Council will:
- prepare a Conservation Area Appraisal for the Central Historic Core characterisation studies for key strategic sites and an assessment of key views, and support the production of other Conservation Area Appraisals, Parish Plans and Village Design Statements to ensure that future development is based on a
thorough understanding of local character and context. Where appropriate these will be adopted as Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs). Such guidance will be used to inform the allocation of sites and in assessing proposals for development; and

- ensure development adheres to the following design principles. Proposals should relate to existing or emerging contextual guidance, as above, and will be considered acceptable where they are designed to:

  a) protect, conserve or enhance York’s historic environment, including its nationally and locally designated sites, buildings, and other heritage assets. New development should avoid damage to archaeological deposits wherever possible and put in place appropriate mitigation measures where damage is unavoidable;

  b) encourage a strong sense of place through maintaining and enhancing local townscape and landscape character, river corridors, street patterns and frontages (in particular York city centre’s medieval street patterns, urban spaces, burgage plots and snickleways). Development should respect local form and scale, and promote high quality standards of contemporary design in buildings and the spaces and surfaces between, in terms of:

    - urban grain, with respect to the arrangement of street blocks, plots and buildings in determining the texture of the city;
    - urban structure, and the framework of routes and spaces connecting locally and more widely;
    - the character and appearance of landscape, landforms, open space, planting boundaries and treatment;
    - density and mix;
    - scale, in relation to its surroundings, views, vistas and skylines, in particular the prominence of York Minster both from within the city and in longer distance views across the open countryside;
    - massing in relation to other buildings and spaces, particularly buildings of architectural or historic significance; and
    - the texture, colour, pattern and durability of materials, and techniques and elements of detailing used.

  c) allow inclusive access and movement for pedestrians and cyclists, and promote public spaces and routes that are attractive, safe, uncluttered and easy to move through for all; and

  d) improve the quality of the public realm and environment presenting first impressions to visitors arriving in, exploring or passing through York.
**Sustainability Appraisal**

7.18 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 181 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

**Question 7:**

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to York’s special historic and built environment. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) This policy sets out a range of contextual guidance which would be expected to inform and support development proposals. Do you think that there are other studies which the Council or applicants should undertake to inform understanding of York’s special historic and architectural context? (please also refer to Section 3: The Spatial Strategy, which addresses issues of York’s special character at the strategic level).
This section looks at where new houses should be built and what type and size they should be. It also looks at wider communities and what type of development is needed to make them work.

York: A City Making History

Making our mark by:

- Building confident, creative and inclusive communities
- Being a leading environmentally-friendly city
- Being at the forefront of innovation and change with a prosperous and thriving economy
- Being a world class centre for education and learning for all
- Celebrating our historic past whilst creating a successful and thriving future
Section 8: Housing Growth, Distribution, Density, Mix and Type

Introduction

8.1 Balanced communities need a suitable mix of housing. This section addresses the scale and distribution of housing to be provided in York, along with issues surrounding density, mix and type – issues of housing affordability are dealt with in Section 9. The Local Development Framework (LDF) Vision included in Section 2 indicates that the scale of housing in York needs to respond to the targets included within the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS), and that these figures need to be projected forward to the end date of the plan (2030) to create a permanent Green Belt. With regard to the distribution of housing the information below builds on the Spatial Principles (SP1, SP2 and SP3) detailed in Section 3.

Housing Growth and Distribution

Context

Policy Context

8.2 Government policy aims to ensure that housing is developed in suitable locations which offer a range of community facilities and with good access to jobs, key services and infrastructure. Government guidance (PPS3) advises that Local Planning Authorities, such as York, should use their LDFs, policies and strategies for delivering housing, including identifying broad locations and specific sites that will enable continuous delivery of housing for at least 15 years from the date of adoption…(and) should identify sufficient specific deliverable sites to deliver housing in the first five years. Where it is not possible to identify specific developable sites for years 11-15, broad locations for future growth should be indicated (para 55).

8.3 The RSS (May 2008) sets out the required levels of growth in terms of future housing provision for York. It indicates that:

- over the period 2004-08, 640 new homes should be built each year; and
- over the period 2008-26, 850 new homes should be built each year.

8.4 Beyond the end date of the current RSS (2026), an annual rate of 850 homes per year has been projected forward until the end date of this plan (2030). This is to ensure that it can be guaranteed the city can meet its housing need without encroaching on proposed Green Belt land. However, this is less than the number of homes needed if we were to simply look at population projections for York.

8.5 The RSS also sets out an approach to the distribution of development across the region, which was taken into account when developing the spatial strategy and associated Spatial Principles (SP1, SP2 and SP3) set out in Section 3 of this document.
8.6 The issues of housing growth and the role of York are issues that are likely to be addressed through the production of the Integrated Regional Strategy (IRS), which will replace the Regional Spatial Strategy. There will be an opportunity for the Council to respond to draft versions of this document and at this stage, any comments received in relation to housing figures through the Core Strategy, will be considered and reflected in our response. It is important to recognise at this stage that the Core Strategy must reflect the current RSS and all subsequent policies do this.

Local Issues

8.7 Using the information above York needs to build 21,260 homes between 2004 and 2030. Between 2004 and 2008, 3,387 dwellings have already been built and a further 4,431 properties currently have consent or are part complete. This means that the spatial strategy must direct the location of an additional 13,442 homes by 2030, the delivery of which must be in line with the ‘Spatial Strategy’ outlined in Section 3 of this document.

8.8 The emerging Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) (2009) provides up to date information on potential future housing sites.

8.9 A number of major development sites highlighted on the key diagram will have an important role in meeting the city future housing needs. Some are longstanding allocations with planning permission, and others have been included within the SHLAA. These major sites include:

1. York Northwest: 3,030 homes;
2. Hungate: 720 homes;
3. Nestlé South: 464 homes;
4. Germany Beck: 700 homes;
5. Derwenthorpe: 540 homes; and

8.10 Central to this approach is the need to ensure that the considerable potential offered by the York Northwest area in meeting the city’s economic, housing and retail needs is fully realised.

8.11 The emerging SHLAA indicates that these major sites and others identified within the main urban area and most sustainable villages will provide sufficient land to meet York’s housing need up to at least 2021/2022, but there will be an overall shortfall of 6,606 dwellings up to 2030.

8.12 In the past York’s housing supply has included a high number of windfalls (housing delivered on sites not identified in any development plan). Government guidance makes it clear that local authorities, in planning for housing land, should not plan for a set level of windfalls, except in the most exceptional of circumstances. Whilst acknowledging that the SHLAA should identify most available sites for the short and medium term, clearly given the timescale of the LDF (to 2030), it would be reasonable to make an allowance for windfalls towards the latter end of the plan. Based on previous rates it is estimated that this source could provide around 2175
homes between 2025/26 and 2030. This leaves an overall requirement to provide sufficient land to accommodate 4431 homes. Using the housing mix, density and allowance for infrastructure and open space in line with the approach policy taken at Preferred Options and to support the SHLAA would equate to approximately 135 hectares of land.¹

8.13 When considering how to provide of sufficient future land for housing it is important to take account of the need for flexibility. Taking account of the ring road as an absolute limit for urban extensions land at Monks Cross and Metcalfe Lane could provide up to 210 hectares of land. This is clearly more than the 135ha need identified. It should also be noted that within the 135ha allowances have been made for provision of open space and community facilities. This would also allow site specific constraints to be recognised and addressed. These areas are shown respectively as A and B on the Key Diagram. Flexibility is essentially to allow for the following:

- potential increases in the number of houses allocated to the City of York though the RSS or its successor document the IRS;
- lower rates of delivery on major development sites (listed in para 8.9); and
- lack of certainty about the future deliverability of windfalls.

8.14 In the context of the Spatial Strategy, and taking into account both past development trends² and sites identified through the emerging SHLAA (2009), around 98% of housing development over the plan period would be directed to York itself, with the remainder split between the Local Service Centres and larger villages.

**You Told Us**

8.15 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)). The options presented at Issues and Options stage did not quantify the proportions of housing development to York or to the other settlements within the authority although comments were received in relation to the general distribution of development through the spatial strategy, which are illustrated below:

- the majority of support was given to prioritising locations within or adjacent to York’s main urban area in preference to further expansion of villages;
- the settlement hierarchy was broadly supported however it was felt that it should be quantified in terms of the number of homes; and
- It was noted that the LDF should deliver the levels of housing growth set out in the RSS.

¹ The SHLAA suggests a suburban net site development ratio of 70:30 (30% of the site given over to other infrastructure and amenities).
² Includes allocated and unallocated sites, completed, part completed and with consent, 2004 - 2008.
**Housing Density, Mix and Type**

**Context**

*Policy Context*

8.16 In relation to issues of housing density, mix and type PPS3 states that:

- local authorities should have a stronger influence in promoting mixed communities, and ensure new housing development provides an appropriate mix, type and tenure. This should have particular regard to the accommodation requirements of specific groups, in particular families with children, older and disabled people; and
- local authorities may wish to set out a range of densities across the plan area rather than one broad density range, although 30 dwellings per hectare (dph) net should be used as a national indicative minimum to guide policy development and decision-making until local density policies are in place.

*Local Issues*

8.17 The Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (2007) states that between 2003 and 2006 almost two thirds of the residential development in York was flatted, whereas nearly two thirds of demand is for houses. The LDF plan period runs from 2004 in line with RSS therefore, to consider what mix we should aim to provide through new development, it is important to first consider the mix of housing which is already committed.

8.18 Table 1 below shows the split of this committed development between flats and houses. If we are to achieve the overall target of 60:40 houses to flats, this shows that approximately 70% of new housing development should be houses.

**Table 1: Committed Development in York 2004-2008**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Housing Distribution</th>
<th>Houses</th>
<th>Flats</th>
<th>Houses and Flats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Target 2004-2030</td>
<td>12,756</td>
<td>8,504</td>
<td>21,260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Committed Development(^3) 2004-2008</td>
<td>3,112</td>
<td>4,706</td>
<td>7,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual</td>
<td>9,644</td>
<td>3,798</td>
<td>13,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of housing to flats of residual figs</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.19 Analysis of past development trends shows a typical new build density range of 100 -160 dph in the city centre of York, and around 30 – 50 dph in the rest of the main urban area, reflecting the variety in building styles and housing layouts. In villages, the range of new build densities has tended to fall below the national

---

\(^3\) This relates to sites with permission, both built and, as yet, unimplemented.
indicative minimum of 30 dph. While acknowledging that infill development tends to be built at higher densities, it is important to note the range of densities within different parts of the authority area and respond to that alongside other guidance in considering any future policy approach.

**You Told Us**

8.20 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)). Delivering a policy which helps provide the appropriate type and mix of housing to meet York’s needs was one of the priority actions for the LDF coming from consultation. This includes addressing the issues of affordability, ‘lifetime homes’, social inclusion and homelessness, housing for older people and assisted living, family housing, on and off-site student housing and housing for Travellers, Gypsies and Showpeople. Some of these issues are also picked up in Section 9.

- While no one density option was preferred outright, there was support for the intent to include a policy which could influence the minimum densities in general locations; and
- Many felt that density levels should be something left to negotiation on a site by site basis, to be informed by conservation area appraisals, village design statements, development briefs or other character statements as they emerge.

**Gypsies and Travellers**

8.21 Research has consistently confirmed the link between the lack of good quality sites for gypsies and travellers and poor health and education. The LDF can help to address this shortfall by delivering additional sites and setting policy to guide future planning applications.

**Context**

**Policy Context**

8.22 Government guidance (PPS3) notes that LDFs should make provision for the diverse range of groups that make up a community and their distinct housing needs, including the importance of providing sufficient sites for Gypsies and Travellers.

8.23 Regional policy (RSS) acknowledges the need to provide additional pitches to address need in the Yorkshire and Humber area. While it sets out shortfall figures (overall shortfall of at least 255 pitches regionally, and at least 57 pitches in North Yorkshire) it also notes that local Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments will conclude that greater numbers of additional pitches will be required, and this additional need should be provided for through LDF documents.

**Local Issues**

8.24 The Sub-regional Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment 2008 identifies York as being an area of undersupply (with a need for some 36 additional pitches), and

---

4 Analysis undertaken as part of the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment Phase 1 (April 2008)
also shows a small demand for a permanent base for Showpeople in the area. It also advises that Gypsies and Travellers are believed to experience the worst health and education status of any disadvantaged group in England.

8.25 The City of York SHMA (2007) notes that, considering its size, York has a proportionally high number of Gypsy and Traveller caravans compared with the regional and national average, with 85 authorised caravans on 55 council provided social rented pitches. However, we know that demand still outstrips supply, and many people from Gypsy and Traveller communities are living in council housing because pitches are unavailable.

**You Told Us**

8.26 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)). Three key messages that came through government guidance and the consultations concerning Gypsy and Traveller housing needs, were namely that the LDF should:

- meet at least the numbers of additional pitches identified by local assessments of housing need
- allocate sites in Development Plan Documents;
- reduce the number of unauthorised encampments/developments

**The Preferred Approach**

**Housing Growth and Distribution**

8.27 The spatial strategy outlined in Section 3 has been produced in line with national and regional planning guidance and following the consideration of the locally derived evidence base. In terms of housing this results in an approach to identifying future land for housing through the Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD) based on three sequential components:

(i) firstly, directing development in line with the settlement hierarchy outlined in Section 3 prioritising, brownfield or infill development; and
(ii) secondly, strategic extensions to the main urban area; and
(iii) thirdly, small-scale extensions proportionate to the size of existing settlements to meet local need, if required.

8.28 Based on information provided within the emerging SHLAA it should be possible through the Allocations DPD to identify sufficient sites to meet the city’s future housing need within York’s main urban area and the most sustainable villages (Local Service Centre and Villages) up to at least 2021. After which point consideration may need to be given to areas of search for potential urban extensions at Monks Cross and Metcalfe Lane (identified on the Key Diagram as A and B).

8.29 How much land, and when such extensions may be required would be dependent on a number of factors including the numbers of windfalls sites coming forward during the first ten years of the plan, changes to the Regional housing requirement
through the Integrated Regional Strategy (either up or down) and the performance of currently known sites.

**Housing Density, Mix and Type**

8.30 Site specific density, mix and type targets will be established through the Allocations DPD and Area Action Plans, and through negotiations undertaken on a site by site basis, to ensure that proposals for housing development reflect local circumstances and the outcomes of the SHMA (2007), and to restrain housing types where concentrations are unduly high. The need for site allocations for one or more housing types (e.g. student housing) will also be considered through the allocations process.

8.31 To help deliver housing which meets locally identified need, the Core Strategy will use the results of the SHMA and, in light of recent housing mix, will prioritise houses rather than flatted development in order to help redress imbalance in the city’s housing market overall.

8.32 The Core Strategy will support housing development at density levels which, as a minimum, support national guidance, reduce overall demand for greenfield land, and help engender community cohesion by making more intensive use of land which offers the best access to facilities and services.

8.33 Given the importance of delivering houses, as opposed to flats in the future, the Council must ensure that a reasonable density figure is set which allows for the delivery of houses in all areas of the city whilst still ensuring an efficient use of land. Taking this approach, it is reasonable to allow for different minimum densities within the Urban, Suburban and Rural areas (as illustrated at Figure 11). Based on different schemes that have been implemented/recently granted planning permission in different areas of the city, Table 2 sets out appropriate density levels.
Figure 11: York's Housing Areas

Table 2: Density Levels

Please refer to Figure 11 for broad location of sub-market areas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sub-Market</th>
<th>Net Density (dwellings per hectare)</th>
<th>Example and mix (houses/flats)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City Centre</td>
<td>75 dph</td>
<td>Bedern, behind Goodramgate, City Centre: 100% housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>50 dph</td>
<td>White Cross Lodge, Haxby Road: 75% housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suburban</td>
<td>40 dph</td>
<td>Derwenthorpe/Germany Beck: 90-100% housing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural</td>
<td>30 dph</td>
<td>PPS3 minimum density</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Gypsy, Traveller and Showpersons Accommodation**

8.34 The approach to providing for the needs of Gypsies and Travellers in York will be to meet at least the levels of need identified by the GTAA through allocated sites or, where these cannot be identified, by enabling individual planning applications. The Core Strategy will set out the criteria against which both potential allocated sites and prospective planning applications will be determined, guided by national good practice. Further work to establish the needs of Travelling Showpeople is currently being undertaken at a sub-regional level – the Core Strategy will respond to the outcomes of this work through future consultation on the Allocations DPD.

**Housing Special Needs Groups**

8.35 New housing development in York will be expected to meet the needs of all members of York’s existing and future communities. Consideration of the needs of other specific groups outside of those discussed here (e.g. sheltered housing/student accommodation) will inform the future allocation of housing sites (Allocations DPD) – through consultation we will explore their diverse needs, to consider whether specific site allocations are needed.

### Strategic Objectives

To deliver an adequate number of housing sites in suitable locations to ensure that:
- the RSS required housing numbers are achieved; and
- the distribution of growth reflects the overall LDF spatial strategy.

To ensure that York’s current and future residents have access to decent, safe and accessible homes throughout their lifetime, by ensuring that new development proposals respond to the findings of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment and Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment.

### Targets

**Progress towards achieving these objectives, will be measured against the following targets:**

- Delivering at least 640 additional dwellings per year 2004-2008 and 850 per year 2008-2030;
- Broadly, 98% of new housing will be concentrated within or adjacent to the Sub Regional City of York;
- Minimum net housing densities of 75 dph (City Centre) 50 dph (Urban Area), 40 dph (Suburban Area and Haxby) and 30 dph (Rural Area) will be achieved.
- At least 60% of homes delivered over the plan period will be houses rather than flats; and
- Delivery of sites for 36 additional Gypsy and Traveller pitches by 2030.
Policy CS5 – The Scale of New Housing

A. Housing Growth Levels

The Council, housing providers and service providers together will seek to achieve the completion of the net additional dwellings required by the RSS, as follows:

- 2004-2008 640 dwellings per annum
- 2008-2026 850 dwellings per annum

Beyond the end date of the current RSS, and in order to create a Green Belt for York enduring until at least 2030, the Council, housing providers and service providers together will seek to achieve the completion of the net additional dwellings as follows;

- 2026-2030 850 dwellings per annum

B. Future Housing Sites

The Council will identify future housing sites through the Allocations DPD and Area Action Plans, and assess applications for housing development, in the following way:

(i) through prioritising previously developed land and buildings within York, the Local Service Centres and Villages, in line with the Settlement Hierarchy

(ii) considering greenfield sites within York, the Local Service Centres and Villages, in line with the Settlement Hierarchy, provided that their development would not prejudice other objectives of this plan relating to nature conservation, green infrastructure, recreation or York’s historic character.

Both (i) and (ii) will include the delivery of the major housing development identified on the key diagram, including realising the full potential of the York Northwest area to help meet the City’s future housing need.

(iii) Finally, the expansion of the Sub Regional City of York (the main urban area) firstly adjacent to Monk Cross Huntington and secondly to the East of Metcalfe Lane (as illustrated on the Key Diagram).

All future housing sites should be in locations which have good access to key local services such as schools and shops in accordance with Policy CS8.
C. Housing Mix, Type and Density

Refer to Figure 11

The Council will identify sites through the Allocations DPD and Area Action Plans to deliver the spatial strategy, in order to address York’s locally identified housing needs, guided by the Strategic Housing Market Assessment.

In order to create a better balance across York’s housing market, an overall mix of 70% houses:30% flats will need to be achieved. Sites required for specific housing types and site-specific mix standards will be developed through the Allocations DPD and Area Action Plans.

The following minimum net housing densities should be achieved in new development:

- City Centre 75 dwellings per hectare;
- Urban area 50 dwellings per hectare;
- Suburban area 40 dwellings per hectare; and
- Rural area 30 dwellings per hectare.

CS6 Gypsy, Traveller and Showperson Sites

The Council will deliver sites to meet the demand for at least 36 additional Gypsy and Traveller pitches in the plan period. The following considerations will be taken into account when determining sites:

1. Safe and convenient vehicular and pedestrian access to the site should be provided;
2. The site must provide for adequate on-site facilities for parking, storage, play and residential amenity;
3. The site should be well located on the highway network;
4. Safe and convenient access to schools and local facilities;
5. The site should not be detrimental to amenities of adjacent occupiers; and
6. Adequate levels of privacy and residential amenity for occupiers should be provided.

Where specific sites cannot be identified, planning applications will be tested against this policy.

Sustainability Appraisal

8.36 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 182 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.
Question 8:

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to housing growth and distribution. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

Housing Distribution and Growth

a) Do you have any comments that the Council could reflect in their response to the emerging Integrated Regional Strategy? These could be, for example, in relation to:
   - the role of York,
   - regional housing requirements/housing growth,
   - the inclusion of windfalls,
   - the duration of LDF documents.

b) In light of the current recession, but given the long timescale of the plan (LDF) and housing pressures in York, do you think we should:
   • up to 2026, build 850 homes per year; and/or
   • between 2026 – 2030, build 850 homes per year?

c) If we were able to use windfalls, this could reduce the amount of land we need to develop in the draft green belt. Do you think that the council should be allowed to include a higher level of windfalls in the plan (LDF)?

The questions below are all based on the current RSS approach to housing and local evidence base documents.

d) Do you think that by reflecting the spatial strategy set out in Section 3, that this section identifies an appropriate approach to guide the future allocation of housing sites?

Housing Density, Mix and Type

e) The density levels put forward in this section are thought to be reasonable in terms of delivering the RSS housing figures in response to York’s historic context and hierarchy of places. If you think that these are not suitable, please submit alternative evidence to support any proposed approach. Would you be prepared to see more densely built developments than those which currently exist in your area to reduce the need for development on land currently in the draft Green Belt?

f) The SHMA shows that in the past we have built too many flats and not enough family houses, and that the longer term need is for two thirds houses and one third flats. The LDF is planning for a 20 year time period and demand for smaller properties may increase during this time, given the trend towards smaller family groups. Smaller properties, such as flats, would mean more homes could be accommodated within the main built up area, reducing pressure on the draft Green Belt. Do you support the SHMA findings, and the
approach taken here, which aims to deliver 70% of new residential development as houses? If not, please provide evidence to support an alternative approach.

g) Do you think that the mix of smaller properties (such as flats) should increase towards the end of the plan period if this reflects the changing needs of York?

h) Policy CS5 (C) does not currently set a site threshold, but leaves the application to the Allocations DPD, Area Action Plans and site by site negotiation. Do you think this is an appropriate approach, or that the policy should be more prescriptive in setting out the size of site/development to which it would apply?

i) Do you agree that we should be trying to deliver 36 new Gypsy and Traveller pitches, as recommended in the North Yorkshire Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment, 2008? If not, please submit alternative evidence to support any proposed revised target.

j) Are the listed criteria appropriate for assessing potential Gypsy, Traveller and Showperson sites. Should separate criteria be set out for these different communities?
Section 9: Access to Affordable Housing

Introduction

9.1 A key function of the Local Development Framework (LDF) is its role in helping develop strong, supportive and durable communities. This is a major strand running through York’s Sustainable Community Strategy. Housing provision is integral to building inclusive communities, by providing everyone with the opportunity of having a decent home. Section 8 of this report has already described how York could deliver the overall amount and mix of housing highlighted in the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) to meet local needs. This section considers York’s long-term housing issues, such as how housing could be made available and accessible to all members of York’s communities throughout their lifetime.

Affordable Housing

9.2 Improving the quality and availability of decent affordable homes in York is one of the Council’s Corporate Priorities over the next four years. Currently, the actual need for affordable housing in the city outstrips the total supply coming forward each year\(^1\). In effect there is technical justification for 100% affordable housing, although this is clearly not an economically viable option.

Context

Policy Context

9.3 Government guidance (PPS3) highlights a number of key factors relevant to the consideration of housing affordability:

- it provides a definition of affordable housing, to concentrate public funding and planning contributions on genuinely affordable housing;
- it presents a national indicative site size threshold of 15 dwellings, but allows for local authorities to lower this target or require developer contributions to affordable housing on smaller sites where it is viable and practicable; and
- it highlights the importance of understanding that the provision of affordable housing is particularly important in rural areas, where opportunities for residential development tend to be more limited, in order to help create and maintain sustainable communities.

9.4 PPS3 provides the following definition of Affordable Housing: ‘Affordable housing includes social rented and intermediate housing, provided to specified eligible households whose needs are not met by the market. Affordable housing should:

- Meet the needs of eligible households including availability at a cost low enough for them to afford, determined with regard to local incomes and local house prices; and

\(^1\) City of York Strategic Housing Market Assessment, 2007
• Include provision for the home to remain at an affordable price for future eligible households or, if these restrictions are lifted, for the subsidy to be recycled for alternative affordable housing provision.'

9.5 The RSS advises giving early priority to providing more affordable housing through the planning system, drawing evidence from local Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) (2007) and other more detailed local assessments. To enable the North Yorkshire sub-region to maximize opportunities for the provision of affordable housing and address need, the RSS policy H4 provisionally estimates that 40% of new housing in York may need to be affordable.

Local Issues

9.6 York is a high demand area for housing, partly as a result of its proximity to Leeds and largely because it is an attractive place to live. High demand areas typically see significant levels of in-migration, as well as the demand created by newly forming households and existing households needing to move within the city.

9.7 Recent research (SHMA 2007) shows that (based on the last three years moves) in-migrants are typically more wealthy than existing households in York and considerably more affluent than newly forming households in the city. The Local Authority cannot control who purchases a property on the open market and therefore, in high demand areas, households on lower incomes are priced out of the market as house prices outstrip incomes.

9.8 The Council has 8,035 homes and housing associations a further 4,233\(^2\). Together these make up around 15% of the total housing stock in the city. In January 2009 the Council's Housing Waiting list stood at 3,183 households including 640 existing tenants who need a transfer to a more suitable home.\(^3\)

9.9 The Council currently seeks to meet affordable housing need both directly, through its role as a social housing landlord of over 8,000 homes, and also in an 'enabling' role through policies and initiatives that ensure additional affordable homes are provided by other means. The use of planning policy is especially important in this respect; providing new affordable homes through securing on-site provision, as well as some off-site or financial contributions, through negotiations on individual planning applications. The current 50% affordable housing policy target in York was approved by elected members in April 2005 and backed up by a Housing Needs Survey (2002 and then updated in 2006). The City Council's planning policy on affordable housing has provided a clear and consistent policy framework for the city which has, to date, secured over 1,500 new affordable homes (completions and outstanding permissions) for local families, couples and single people since the policy has been operating.

9.10 Other initiatives include bringing empty properties back into use, supporting 'homes over shops' and providing discounted land to enable Housing Associations to develop 100% affordable housing schemes with the support of Housing Corporation grant funding. Other emerging schemes include a downsizing scheme, freeing up

\(^2\)Housing Strategy Statistical Appendix Annual Return 2008
\(^3\) (Business Objects data provided by Management Information Team, Housing and Adult Social Services).
larger properties to help house homeless families and works to bring inefficient or previously vacant properties up to modern living standards.

9.11 Vacancy rates in York are extremely low, with less than 2% of stock vacant at April 2008. No Council homes have been vacant for over 6 months at April 2008.\(4\)

9.12 The City of York SHMA (2007) gives the Council and its partners a more rounded understanding of how the housing market operates in York. It draws out some of the big housing issues in York and suggests ways in which the Council could provide for future housing needs.

9.13 Key issues identified for future citywide provision are highlighted below:

- House prices are over a quarter higher than the regional average, and housing need is much higher than was previously thought; to alleviate all housing problems in York we would have to build 1218 affordable dwellings each year to meet existing need and projected need 5 years hence, which is well in excess of York's overall housing requirement set by RSS;
- Based on net demand for housing from all groups, total demand for affordable housing equates to around 43% of all households;
- Private sector rents are more than 100% higher than the weekly rents in the social sector. Moving through the rent/buy gap is difficult;
- The wide gap between private and social rents in York means that affordable intermediate housing (at a cost halfway between social rent and private rent) is prohibitively expensive for those in affordable housing need;
- The SHMA concludes that 60% of the affordable housing coming forward in York should be for social rented and 40% intermediate – but the intermediate homes must be discounted enough to be meaningfully affordable in local terms.
- York has an important rural hinterland, much of which is draft green belt. Whilst major housing development is unlikely to take place in York's villages, housing needs issues are particularly acute in smaller rural communities, particularly since average house prices are higher than in urban or suburban York; and
- While over three quarters of older person only households are owner occupiers (the majority without a mortgage), the proportion of older person households spending more than 50% of their income on housing is higher than the average for the rest of the city.

9.14 The SHMA supports a policy target of 50%, which is considered achievable, especially on unconstrained greenfield sites. However, it is acknowledged that it will not always be possible to achieve 50% affordable housing. There may be contaminated sites, for example, with very high clear up costs, which could not have been fully identified at the time of land acquisition. The existing Local Plan Policy is a maximum target and officers have been consistent but flexible in negotiations where developers have demonstrated that abnormal site costs mean that this figure cannot be fully met for reasons of site viability.

\(4\) Housing Strategy Statistical Appendix Annual Return 2008
9.15 The economic downturn has led to a slowing down in housing applications coming forward and, in some cases, construction on sites has stopped. Since the majority of new affordable housing in York is currently secured as part of private developments, this has inevitably led to a slowing up of affordable housing coming forward.

You Told Us

9.16 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)). A summary of these comments received is provided below, along with comments from Developer Meetings held in June 2008 and from the Affordable Housing Workshop carried out in November 2008 by independent facilitators ‘Icarus’:

- people felt very strongly that current house prices make it hard for them to buy in York, particularly those entering the market;
- a significant majority of residents who responded to the Festival of Ideas 2 consultation agreed with the approach of the council’s current affordable housing policy or felt more affordable housing should be provided;
- however, most respondents to the second Issues and Options document felt that the level of affordable housing required from new development should be reduced to a target closer to the RSS target of 40%, but that this should apply to small sites i.e. below 15 dwellings/0.3ha, as well as larger sites; and
- in terms of the approach to defining and delivering affordable housing, most respondents supported a mix of social rented and discount for sale, some with the proviso that the precise balance be a matter for negotiation on individual sites, and with consideration given to the potential to recognise intermediate housing as part of the affordable contribution.
- currently, sites developed for student accommodation are not required to contribute towards affordable housing. Some felt this should be addressed, and additionally that sites should also be allocated specifically for student housing, to avoid concentrations in certain areas of the city.

9.17 Whilst the Local Plan policy, and subsequent negotiations, have been consistently applied there has been concern from the development industry that the 50% target is too challenging on medium size sites, and that the threshold above which the policy applies means that house builders will look to build on small sites (i.e. below the threshold of 15 homes) as a first priority.

9.18 These concerns have been acknowledged and, in June 2008, meetings were held with individual house builders and planning consultants in order to further pursue the representations. This was reinforced with a workshop later in the year, which involved key housing stakeholders, and aimed to understand concerns and explore initiatives to provide more affordable housing in the city.

9.19 Strong developer support was received for lowering the affordable target closer to the RSS 40% minimum target, and a sliding scale for provision was suggested by a number of contributors. This would enable some affordable provision on small sites (which are currently being lost as schemes have been submitted below the threshold) and an increasing level of affordability as site size, dwelling numbers and economies of scale increase.
**Preferred Options**

9.20 ‘Topic Paper 2: Affordable Housing’ provides more detail on the context of the proposed options to Affordable Housing.

**Affordable Housing**

9.21 Within the context of PPS3, affordable housing in York includes social rented and intermediate (discount sale or shared ownership) housing provided to specified eligible households whose needs are not being met by the open housing market, and who cannot afford to enter that market. They are currently living in unsuitable accommodation for a variety of reasons. The definition specifically excludes low cost market housing.

9.22 Whilst the Housing Strategy (2006-2009) sets out measures for delivering affordable housing through a variety of Council initiatives, such as the annual development programme and living over shops, planning policy remains key to delivery. This is why it is crucial to seek maximum provision of affordable homes through planning policy, whilst still ensuring that development is viable and not stifled. Key considerations include the % target, whether a sliding scale could be applied, proposed thresholds, when a financial contribution might be appropriate, along with site viability issues. The policy development is firmly based on evidence (SHMA, Housing Waiting List, Annual Monitoring Report), and will be tested by a Viability Assessment in order to ensure that any thresholds and targets set are financially viable for developers in accordance with guidance set out in PPS3.

9.23 In response to the consultation to the Issues and Options, Developer discussions and feedback from the workshop facilitators, three options are proposed. The ‘option overview’ set out at paragraph 9.26 describes how each option would work in practice.

**Viability Testing**

9.24 All the proposed options targets will be tested by a district-wide viability assessment, which accords with PPS 3. This assessment will feed into the Core Strategy Submission document. Viability Assessments will be carried out periodically over the lifetime of the Core Strategy to ensure that targets remain relevant and reflect normal market conditions. The maximum targets in the final policy will only be lowered where detailed and robust assessments of site viability can demonstrate that, with a reasonable developer profit, development on-site would not be viable at that level of affordable housing. Should the Council accept a lower affordable housing provision following testing of viability, housing grants (where available) may be used to increase provision. Housing Grant is currently available from the Homes and Communities Agency. This may mean that housing design standards will need to be amended in order to meet grant providers’ criteria.

**Allocated Sites**

9.25 The levels of affordable housing delivered through new housing provision will be influenced by the policy targets in the three proposed options. Allocated sites, identified in the Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD) or Area Action Plans (AAP), will set specific affordable housing targets for sites, which may be higher or lower than the option targets proposed. More detailed viability work may be required
for some allocated sites in order to identify costs early on and, therefore, to assess deliverability.

**Figure 12: York’s Main Urban Area and Settlements**

- Extent of York’s main urban area
- York
- Area of search - if required for residential development, affordability to reflect York area
- Villages over 5,000 population
- Villages less than 5,000 population - smaller settlements not shown on this map would also fall into this category
9.26 Option overview:

Please refer to Figure 12 for definition of the extent of York’s sub-areas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 1 – Retain existing Local Plan policy thresholds and targets.</th>
<th>Pros</th>
<th>Cons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Through negotiation and agreement the Council would seek 50% affordable housing on all new housing developments of 15 dwellings/0.3 ha in York’s main urban area and villages over 5,000 population (see Figure 12), and 2 dwellings/0.03ha in all other villages. | Policy is cited as good practice by Government Office.  
Policy has been in place since 2005 - Developers and landowners know what is expected from a development and it will not be a step change in provision  
Delivers a balanced mix of housing on each site  
Flexible as policy is a target – 50% target has been achieved, illustrating that the target is viable on certain sites in York and certain market conditions.  
Maximises opportunities to promote affordable housing - meets RSS and SHMA target  
Long-termism – policy flexible to react to market conditions given the duration of the Plan  
Maximises delivery in villages, where opportunities to provide affordable housing are extremely limited. | Target element of policy misunderstood  
Missed opportunity on smaller sites  
May be seen as unfair in relative to % on smaller sites in villages |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Option 2 – Sliding scale</strong></th>
<th><strong>Pros</strong></th>
<th><strong>Cons</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within York (main urban area) (see figure 12): varying % levels ranging from 20% from sites of 5 dwellings increasing to 50% from sites of 28 dwellings and above</td>
<td>Fairer system as there is a gradual increase in affordable housing provision from 20% to 50% as site size and economies of scale increases in York and the larger villages. Developers would have more surety over the likely affordable housing target form the outset. Delivers a balanced mix of housing on each site Meets RSS and SHMA target Increased provision from smaller sites (1-14 homes), which are currently lost. Long-termism – policy flexible to react to market conditions Maximises delivery in villages, where opportunities to provide affordable housing are extremely limited.</td>
<td>Reduced affordable housing provision on sites between 14 – 28 homes Varying targets and thresholds could be viewed as complex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50% target for sites over 2 dwellings/0.03ha in settlements outside the main urban area (see Figure 12)</td>
<td>Commuted sum payment payable for sites less of than 5 homes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuted sum payment payable for sites less of than 5 homes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Option 3 – Sliding scale</strong></th>
<th><strong>Pros</strong></th>
<th><strong>Cons</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Varying % levels from 10% from sites of 1 dwellings increasing to at least 40% from sites of 30 dwellings and above.</td>
<td>Fairer system as there is a gradual increase in affordable housing provision from 20% to 40% as site size and economies of scale increases. Increased provision from small to medium sites in the urban area (5-15 homes), which</td>
<td>From desk base analysis fewer affordable homes would be provided overall in the long term. However, the number of affordable homes will be influenced by the mechanisms developed for purchasing affordable homes through commuted payments received Lower delivery on medium to larger sites in the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No distinction between urban and rural sites.</td>
<td><strong>Pros</strong></td>
<td><strong>Cons</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commuted sum payment payable for sites less of than 5 homes</td>
<td>are currently lost.</td>
<td>urban area (15 or more homes). However, the number of affordable homes will be influenced by the mechanisms developed for purchasing affordable homes through commuted payments received.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developers have the option of negotiating off site provision</td>
<td>Could assist in bringing homes forward in the current economic downturn. The current 50% target has been cited as a contributory factor by developers in preventing schemes being built out.</td>
<td>Does not fully meet RSS and SHMA target, although it may encourage more developments of schemes of 15/16 dwellings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May encourage more landowners and developers to bring sites forward</td>
<td>Could comprise objective to provide mixed and balanced communities by affordable homes being provided off site, although the mechanism developed for purchasing affordable homes through commuted sums received will seek to address this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Monitoring shows that housing sites in rural areas come forward much more infrequently and on a smaller scale than urban sites. This approach could lead to significantly fewer affordable homes being provided within rural villages, where need is high. Although the aggregation of commuted sums will still allow for delivery through purchase on the open market. Therefore delivery will depend on contributions received and how these are administered.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9.27 The above three options set out approaches for securing affordable housing on new private housing sites. York has an important rural hinterland, much of which is draft green belt. Whilst major housing development is unlikely in parts of rural York, housing needs issues are particularly acute in smaller rural communities. PPS3 promotes 100% affordable rural exception sites to address locally identified need; this will be supported and taken forward in the future policy approach.

9.28 In order to give clear and consistent guidance, and to be able to react to changing circumstances, such as new housing need and mix, more detailed information and advice on the operation the approach to affordable housing policy in York will be set out and dealt with in the Supplementary Planning Document. It will explain the process of negotiation, on site expectations with respect to integration and quality, tenure mix, subdivision of sites, application of grant, nomination criteria and viability assessments.

**Strategic Objective**

To ensure that York’s current and future residents have access to decent, safe and accessible homes throughout their lifetime, by ensuring that new development proposals respond to the findings of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment.

**Targets**

Progress towards the strategic objective will be measured by the following targets:

- Provision is made for at least 9,141 affordable homes for local people (43% of overall housing provision\(^5\)).
- Delivery of Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document by 2011.

**Policy CS7: Affordable Housing Options**

**Option 1 – Implement existing Policy**

The City of York Council will seek to ensure through negotiation and agreement, that proposals for all new housing development of 15 dwellings/0.3Ha or more in the urban area, and 2 dwellings/0.03Ha or more in villages with less than 5,000 population, will include 50% affordable housing in line with the Council’s Strategic Housing Market Assessment, 2007 subject to viability assessment.

Developers will be required to provide the affordable housing on site unless the City Council and the Developer agree that it is preferable for offsite provision or a financial payment towards the delivery of affordable housing.

\(^5\) Relates to SHMA assessment of net demand for affordable housing
Option 2 - Sliding scale requiring varying % levels from 1 dwelling increasing to 50% at 28 dwellings with different requirements for urban and rural settlements

**Main Urban Area**

In the main urban area of York, as illustrated in Figure 12, affordable housing provision will be secured by negotiating a realistic proportion of affordable homes within private housing schemes, in accordance with the following sliding scale.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Size</th>
<th>Maximum Target (subject to viability testing)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – 4 dwellings</td>
<td>10% Off site financial contribution (OSFC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 7 dwellings</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 – 11 dwellings</td>
<td>25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 - 15 dwellings</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 – 19 dwellings</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 – 23 dwellings</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 – 27 dwellings</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28+ dwellings</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For sites greater than five dwellings developers will be required to provide the affordable housing on site unless the City Council and the Developer agree that it is preferable for offsite provision or a financial payment towards the delivery of affordable housing.

**Other settlements – as set out on Figure 12**

In settlements outside of York’s Main Urban Area, as illustrated on Figure 12, all sites of 2 or more homes (or 0.03ha and above) will have a target of 50% affordable housing, subject to assessments of site viability. An offsite-commuted sum will be sought for single dwelling sites.

Option 3 - Sliding scale requiring varying % levels from 1 dwelling increasing to 40% over 30 dwellings. No distinction between urban and rural

In all settlements affordable housing will be secured by negotiating a realistic proportion of affordable homes within private housing schemes, in accordance with the following sliding scale

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site Size</th>
<th>Maximum Target (subject to viability testing)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 – 4 dwellings</td>
<td>Off site financial contribution (OSFC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 – 10 dwellings</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 – 20 dwellings</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 - 30 dwellings</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over 30 dwellings</td>
<td>at least 40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Developers have the option to negotiate an offsite provision

The Council will consider the payment of commuted sums in lieu of onsite provision
Sustainability Appraisal
9.29 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 184 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

Question 9:

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to housing growth and distribution. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you agree that we should be trying to achieve 43% of all housing being built as affordable as recommended in the SHMA (2007). If not, please submit alternative evidence to support any proposed revised target.

b) Which option approach do you consider we should used to meet affordable housing need in York and why?

c) Would you support Developers having the option to supply affordable properties off site from their main development, by instead providing a financial contribution which, for example, could be used to buy existing empty properties?

d) Commercial developments employ a wide range of employees and a proportion of these will be on a low income and will not be able to afford to buy a property. Unplanned commercial developments may therefore put a strain on existing housing stock. Other cities successfully operate a policy to secure financial contributions from new commercial development that generate significant need for affordable housing. Do you consider that this is an avenue that York should explore?

e) Do you consider that the options promote appropriate and fair methods to achieve the target of 43% of all homes being built as affordable? If not, what methods do you suggest?
Section 10: Access to Services

Introduction

10.1 The Local Development Framework (LDF) vision is to create sustainable neighbourhoods where communities have good access to key services, including public transport, shops, education, healthcare and sports and community facilities. Some of these will be provided at a citywide level, whilst others will be more appropriately provided at a more local, neighbourhood level. The LDF will deliver sustainable neighbourhoods by ensuring that new development has access to key services and by seeking to address existing gaps in provision.

Context

Policy Context

10.2 The government's principles for sustainable communities are that they should be based on good access to local services. Development should be located where everyone can access key services through walking, cycling or public transport (PPS1). Policy YH6 of the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) states that in order to create attractive and vibrant places and communities, plans should seek to retain and improve local services and facilities, particularly in local service centres.

Local Issues

10.3 To inform the overall spatial strategy we considered the characteristics that make an 'ideal neighbourhood', based on the RSS Sustainable Settlement Study (2004) and work produced to support the Urban Task Force's 'Towards an Urban Renaissance' Report. One key characteristic of an 'ideal neighbourhood' is identified as access to local services. Access to services mapping completed to support the spatial strategy identifies the following as key local services: a GP surgery; schools; local shops to meet day to day needs; community facilities such as a library; and access to frequent public transport services. However, it is also appropriate for some of the services which are needed to support sustainable communities to be provided at a city wide level, such as a community stadium, large scale sports facilities, hospitals, facilities for the emergency services and higher and further education facilities. Figure 13 illustrates York’s existing facilities. Specific issues and aspirations for each of the services, both city wide and local, are then set out.
Figure 13: York’s Existing Facilities

- Universities
- ‘Post 16’ colleges
- Hospitals
- York’s Central Shopping Area
- District Centres
- Potential new local centres (York Northwest)
**City Wide Services**

**Community Stadium**

10.4 It is a corporate priority for the Council to provide a new community stadium for the city. The Council is currently exploring the potential to combine sports provision with a variety of other uses that will have significant benefit to the wider community, such as healthcare, education and other community facilities. A new stadium will also help to deliver the needs outlined in the Sport & Active Leisure Strategy (2005). The Strategy identifies a need for a modern professional stadium for York City Football Club and York City Knights Rugby Club that meets league and safety standards and can attract investors, players and spectators to encourage participation and promote excellence.

**Built Sports Facilities**

10.5 As highlighted above, information on sports provision is set out in the Sport and Active Leisure Strategy (2005) which has been produced by the Active York Partnership. The Strategy is supported by a planning tool which identifies current gaps in provision, these include a shortage of:

- indoor flexible multi sports space;
  The current shortfall is equivalent to 21 badminton courts rising to 25 by 2015. It is anticipated that some of this shortfall will be met through new provision on the ‘Heslington East’ university campus. The Strategy identifies a shortfall in provision at a number of secondary schools.
- public swimming space; and
  The current shortfall equates to 12x25m lanes of pool space, and this is projected to rise to 15 lanes by 2015. It is anticipated that some of this shortfall will be met through the development of a competition standard pool on the ‘Heslington East’ university campus. However, there remains a need for new provision in the city centre.
- an artificial turf competition hockey facility.
  The Strategy identified a need for one citywide facility.

**Hospitals**

10.6 York Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust aims to secure the hospital’s future as a ‘secondary care plus’ centre for North Yorkshire. This will involve having a wider catchment area to enable the hospital to perform more specialist procedures and attract high quality staff. It is anticipated that this will have physical implications for the hospital site. Many of the existing hospital buildings are in need of modernisation and refurbishment and it is anticipated that significant new build will be necessary to facilitate the complicated decanting arrangements. The development of the multi-storey car park will unlock the development potential of the site and provide a long-term future for the hospital in its current location.

10.7 The Primary Care Trust (PCT) are reviewing mental health services in York which may in time impact on the potential use of Bootham Park Hospital. The LDF will need to respond to this review once it is complete.
Emergency Services

10.8 The LDF needs to consider the spatial requirements of the emergency services in York, particularly the need for facilities at appropriate locations to provide an effective service and efficient call out times. The Yorkshire Ambulance Service is currently relocating their main ambulance unit, which is on the Hungate site. The main stations in York and Haxby will then be supported by a range of flexible stand-by response units as part of the new ‘hub and spoke’ system. These units (‘spokes’), will be located at key points on the edge of the urban area in close proximity to both densely populated areas and key highway networks. They are expected to comprise a single parking bay with access to basic amenities such as toilets and seating areas. The Fire and Rescue Service are currently carrying out a review of their facilities, in particular this will consider the future of the station on Clifford Street and whether there is a need for a new station to the east of the city centre or to the south of the city centre (with river access). The LDF will allow for the spatial implications of this review once it is complete.

Higher and Further Education

10.9 In terms of higher and further education, the key issue is to facilitate the continued success of the University of York, York St John University and other establishments, including Askham Bryan College, York College and the College of Law. For the further education colleges, and schools with sixth forms, the approach will need to take account of national policy changes which will raise the school leaving age to 18 from 2015. This is likely to have particular implications for York College. A major element for higher education will be the additional University of York campus ‘Heslington East’ which is scheduled to be developed over the next twenty years. The campus will include: university and ancillary uses; Science City York uses and its supporting services; housing for University of York staff and students; and arts, cultural and sports facilities. The development is projected to increase the number of students by 5,400, create 2,000 jobs at the University and 2,500 related research jobs.

Local services

Local Health Facilities

10.10 The North Yorkshire and York PCT strategy is to develop and commission services that are responsive to the current and projected needs of local communities (Joint Strategic Needs Assessment, 2008). The PCT state that General Practice, other primary care and community services will continue to be located within neighbourhoods, and that new services will be required as new residential areas are built and existing facilities will require redevelopment over time.

Schools

10.11 Given the scale and location of future housing development and overall increases in birth rates within the York area there will be a need to increase education provision, particularly in the York Northwest area which is highlighted in the spatial strategy as a major development site.

10.12 In addition to ensuring the amount of provision is sufficient, there is also a need to ensure that existing facilities can continue to meet educational and community needs. Some schools may require additional land to meet these needs.
extended facilities will be required at up to 50% of York’s secondary schools as part of the government’s ‘Building Schools for the Future’ initiative. A key part of this initiative will be to ensure that schools provide a valuable resource to local communities, through shared use of educational, sports and cultural facilities.

Local Shops

10.13 The Retail Study (GVA Grimley LLP, 2008) recognises the role that the district and smaller centres (such as those within Local Service Centres, villages and neighbourhood parades within the urban area) play in providing easily accessible shopping to meet people’s day to day needs. Whilst analysis in the study demonstrates that the majority of residents living in the built up urban areas have access to some form of convenience store within a 5-minute drive time, it also identifies some gaps in provision. The study recommends that in order to address these deficiencies the LDF should seek to: enhance local foodstore provision in Acomb district centre, in the Local Service Centres of Haxby/Wigginton (Haxby is also identified as a district centre), Strensall and Poppleton, in the villages of Bishopthorpe and Copmanthorpe and in the small village of Wheldrake; resist the loss of existing retail units within all existing centres; and develop new provision to support future development, in particular to support new local centres on York Northwest. The Council is carrying out further work looking at local shopping provision in more detail.

Community Facilities

10.14 It is important that local communities have access to a range of community facilities such as community centres, places of worship, youth facilities, libraries, crèches, nurseries, pubs and venues for clubs and societies to meet. Open space, sports pitches and children’s play areas are also important community facilities. These are considered as part of green infrastructure in Section 14.

10.15 The Children and Young People’s Plan 2009-2012 includes a commitment to providing new youth facilities as resources permit, and specifically, a city centre facility that includes a youth café. In addition, each ward committee produces a Neighbourhood Action Plan which, through consultation, seeks to identify local needs and concerns and develop an approach to addressing these. This could include identifying needs for more community facilities. Where appropriate, the LDF will respond to priorities identified through the Action Plans and the Children and Young People’s Plan through allocating sites for new facilities and ensuring that current facilities are not lost.

Public Transport and Accessibility

10.16 The location of housing, employment, retail, leisure and key services within an area have a significant impact on the number and type of journeys made. Careful consideration of the location of development and mix of uses within it can significantly reduce the need to travel. This is achieved by encouraging those people that have to travel to do so more sustainably by being located close to frequent public transport routes; and by ensuring that the day to day services that people need are within walking distance of their homes.
You Told Us

10.17 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)).

- Just over half of the respondents to the household questionnaire viewed ‘building strong, safe and healthy communities’ as a top priority;
- New services and facilities should be provided to support new developments, with particular mention of York Northwest;
- Local facilities should be accessible by public transport, walking and cycling;
- Support thriving shops and services close to where people live;
- Protect against the loss of local shops;
- Secure community use of facilities at schools and other educational establishments; and
- The key priorities for leisure improvement should be facilities for young people and a new stadium.

The Preferred Approach

10.18 In order to deliver the vision of sustainable neighbourhoods the LDF will seek to provide accessible local services for all communities. In most circumstances these services will be best provided at a neighbourhood level. However some services will cover a wider catchment or even operate at a city wide level such as hospitals or a new stadium. New development should have access to a range of local services including healthcare, schools, local shops, other community facilities and public transport. Existing communities will be supported by seeking to ensure that current local services are not lost. All services should be accessible to the communities that they serve by walking, cycling and public transport.

Strategic Objective

To provide appropriate and accessible services as a key part of creating sustainable communities. All communities will have access to a range of local, and city wide, services including healthcare, education, local shops, a sports stadium, built sports facilities, other community facilities, public transport and emergency services.

Targets

Progress towards the strategic objective will be measured by all residential areas of the city to have access to appropriate services by 2030, including healthcare, education, local shops, a sports stadium, built sports facilities, other community facilities, public transport and emergency services.
Policy CS8: Access to Services

The Council will seek to create sustainable neighbourhoods where every community has access to quality local, and city wide, services.

- As required, new or improved healthcare, education, local shopping, built sports, public transport and other community facilities will be provided to support new development. The Council will require new development to contribute towards ensuring there are sufficient facilities to meet the needs of future occupiers;

- The Council will seek to secure community access to new sports and cultural facilities which are developed on education sites in order to increase the resources available to local communities;

- All new facilities, whether serving a local or citywide catchment, should be in locations which are well served by public transport and are accessible by walking and cycling;

- The Council will seek to protect against the loss of existing services. Proposals involving the loss of services will only be permitted where appropriate and accessible alternative provision is made to meet the needs of the community served by the facility;

- Through the Allocations Development Plan Document or Area Action Plans the Council will identify appropriate sites for: new community facilities, where a need is identified in the Neighbourhood Action Plans and the Children and Young People’s Plan; a community stadium; and new built sports facilities, including an artificial turf hockey pitch and new swimming pool provision;

- The Council will support the Hospital and Primary Care Trusts to make the best use of their current sites, in particular by facilitating improvements to York Hospital to enable it to remain on its existing site for the long term;

- The Council will support the emergency services to provide an effective service, in particular through facilitating the development of the ‘hub and spoke’ system for the Ambulance service, and meeting any requirements for new fire stations; and

- The Council will facilitate the continued success of higher and further education establishments in the city, in particular through supporting the development of the additional university campus ‘Heslington East’ and the potential expansion of further education establishments.

Sustainability Appraisal

10.19 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 184 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.
**Question 10:**

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to access to services. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you feel that all the main services are covered in this section or do you think that any additional ones should be mentioned to achieve sustainable neighbourhoods?
This section looks at development that will provide jobs and money for the people of York and improve the overall attraction of York itself. It sets out where, in general, new offices, shops, tourist attractions should be built.

York: A City Making History

Making our mark by:

- Building confident, creative and inclusive communities
- Being a leading environmentally-friendly city
- Being at the forefront of innovation and change with a prosperous and thriving economy
- Being a world class centre for education and learning for all
- Celebrating our historic past whilst creating a successful and thriving future
Section 11: Future Economic Growth

Introduction

11.1 Ensuring York’s continued economic success and prosperity is fundamental to delivering the Local Development Framework (LDF) vision. The LDF will ensure that York fulfils its role as a key driver in the regional economy and that employment levels remain high. This will involve supporting the development of Science City York and other knowledge based industries, business and financial services and culture, leisure and tourism. Fundamental to this is providing sufficient land in the right locations.

Context

Policy Context

11.2 Government guidance (PPG4) refers to the reuse of urban land and states that optimum use should be made of potential sites and existing premises in inner cities and other urban areas. The guidance also encourages developments which minimise the length and number of trips and can be served by sustainable modes of transport, and discourages developments that would unacceptably add to levels of congestion. In addition more recent guidance (Draft PPS4) indicates a positive stance should be taken towards economic development and states that local planning authorities should develop flexible policies which are able to respond to economic change and to recognise that “economic development” refers to a much wider range of land uses, beyond the usual industry and office development, such as retail, leisure, and tourism.

11.3 Government guidance (PPS6) identifies a range of uses that are suitable for a town centre location. These “town centre uses” include offices (B1a), retail, leisure, entertainment facilities, arts, culture and tourism. By directing these uses to town centres, it means that the vibrancy and vitality of existing centres is enhanced and that the developments are accessible to the majority of residents and visitors by sustainable means of transport. When referring to the evening economy, the guidance states that a range of uses which appeal to a wide range of age and social groups should be encouraged.

11.4 The Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) identifies the following priorities for economic plans, strategies, investment decisions and programmes for the York sub area:

- to diversify and grow York as a key driver of the Leeds City Region economy by encouraging the business and financial services sector, knowledge industries and science based industries, leisure and retail services and the evening economy, and further developing its tourism sector; recognising the contribution that it makes to the local economy; and
- to spread the benefits of York’s economic success to other parts of the sub area and ensure that all members of the community have access to employment opportunities.
Local Issues

11.5 York’s economy has seen significant structural change with the decline of its traditional industrial base through the late 1980s and early 1990s. In its place an economy based on science and technology, financial services and tourism has emerged, helping to make York a vibrant city. The Council has a role to play in ensuring that these industries provide good quality jobs for York. As a modern commercial city internationally renowned for its unique heritage, a key challenge is to achieve this economic success in a sustainable manner that protects the wider environment.

11.6 Tourism is forming an increasing part of York’s economy, with almost a quarter of all jobs forecast to be related to the tourism sector by 2021 (currently 1 in 10 of the local workforce is employed in the tourism industry – 10,600 jobs in 2007-2008). It also has direct benefits for the cultural offer available to residents.

11.7 ‘Visit York’, the city’s tourism organisation, has proposed a Vision for Tourism for the city, and a set of ambitions. The vision seeks to deliver long term, sustainable growth of the visitor economy (the target is a minimum of 5% average annual growth in visitor expenditure), building on York’s distinctiveness, enhancing the quality of the visitor experience and promoting the city as a world class visitor destination. The Vision also recognises that opportunities should be maximised to increase the length of stay of visitors. A greater focus on staying visitors has the potential to increase earnings without increasing the overall number of visitors.

11.8 The York and North Yorkshire Strategic Economic Assessment, which utilised the best available data to assess the strengths and weaknesses of the local economy, established that York is significantly outperforming the rest of the North Yorkshire Sub-Region on virtually all measures of productivity and business support.

11.9 Overall, employment growth in York has been greater than the region as a whole, with an estimated overall job growth for the period 2006-2021 of 16,000 jobs. The City of York as a whole has a high employment rate. Of York’s working age population, the employment rate was 79.3% during 2007/2008 compared with the Yorkshire and Humber Region average of 73.3%. York also has a low unemployment rate although the economic downturn has affected unemployment figures in the city. In 2009 job seekers allowance claimants stood at 2.7% this is lower than the figures for Great Britain and the Yorkshire and Humber region (at 3.8% and 4.4% respectively). York’s unemployment rate has been lower than national and regional figures since at least 1996.

11.10 The current economic climate is having a marked detrimental effect on the local construction industry. In order to maintain these skills, and to be ready for the up-turn in the economy, the Council are currently considering whether policies could be put in place which will maximise employment for local people during the construction phase of major developments. Similarly, the potential of ensuring that local employment is maximised through occupancy of new premises requires investigation.

11.11 The importance of York’s economy has also been considered through the work of the Future York Group, who have undertaken an independent strategic review (June
2007) of the York economy. They recommend that the value of York’s economy (Gross Value Added) should be doubled by 2026 and that the Council and its partners should create an economy which supports knowledge-led businesses and promotes financial and professional service activities.

11.12 The Group recommend that York should aim to enhance its visitor attractions, boost the quality of accommodation and hospitality provision, and improve the quality of the public realm, in order to attract high added value in the tourism sector, and strengthen the city’s function as a tourism gateway for the wider region.

11.13 The Group endorse the proposals of Science City York, of City of York Council, and of the University of York for the expansion of innovation activity including the provision of high quality sites and premises for science-based businesses. The role of York Northwest in providing a high quality location for employment is recognised. They also recommend that the Council play a full role within the Leeds City Region. These views were effectively endorsed in the recent Independent Centre for Cities Report on the economy.

Future Growth

11.14 In July 2007 consultants SQW completed the first stage of York’s Employment Land Review which primarily focused on future employment growth. Following the publication of RSS Entec were commissioned to look again at this issue and to consider the supply of employment land. This work supported the previous work produced by SQW in terms of overall employment growth. SQW indicated that the total number of jobs in York in 2006 was 90,418. They predicted that this would increase to 116,018 total jobs by 2029. This means that York will have a total job growth between 2006 – 2029 of 25,600. The projection of the annual job growth to 2029 was forecast as 1,113. The Entec report notes that the overall job growth refers to all jobs, not just those in office, research & development, industry and storage & distribution (‘B’ use classes), which make up 49% of total jobs, the remainder is made up of all other sectors (retail, hotels and catering etc).

11.15 Recent months have seen a marked fall in national output and employment which is being reflected at the local level. At this time it is difficult to assess the stage the economic downturn has reached and its effect on employment levels. Commentators are divided but recovery is not expected before 2010 at the earliest. The overall economic position will continue to be closely monitored. Given the level of uncertainty related to the economic downturn, the approach in this document reflects planning under normal market conditions.

11.16 Table 3 below translates the forecast levels of employment growth identified in the Employment Land Review into floorspace and a land requirement for key employment sectors. The third column, on which the land requirement is based, includes an additional allowance for flexibility, adding a margin equivalent to the average development timescale of 2 years.¹

¹ The Employment Land Review assumes that for B1(a) 50% of the floor space requirement is provided in 4 storey buildings that occupy an 80% plot ratio, with the remaining 50% provided in 2 storey development at a 40% plot ratio.
Local Development Framework
Core Strategy – Preferred Options

Table 3: Jobs Change, Employment Floor Space and Land Requirements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use Class</th>
<th>Jobs Change (2006-2029)</th>
<th>Floor Space (Sq Metres) to 2029</th>
<th>Land Requirement (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Offices B1a</td>
<td>+9,881</td>
<td>+193,329</td>
<td>+15.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research and Development B1b</td>
<td>+306</td>
<td>+9,651</td>
<td>+1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light Industry B1c</td>
<td>-886</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Industry B2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage and Distribution B8</td>
<td>+2,450</td>
<td>+133,154</td>
<td>+33.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>+11,751</strong></td>
<td><strong>+336,134</strong></td>
<td><strong>+49.6</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11.17 A key part of York’s continued economic success is Science City York. In 1998 the UK Minister of Science launched Science City York, a partnership between City of York Council and the University of York. It is envisaged that Science City York will contribute to significant growth in B1 (a), (b) and (c)² sectors. Science City York is a successful business and skills development initiative, driving the creation and growth of business and employment opportunities across York and North Yorkshire within three fast-growing technology sectors – bioscience, creative industries, and IT & digital. The University Science Park is home to a range of knowledge-based enterprises with direct links to the University. Science City York aims to build a prosperous future for York and North Yorkshire as an internationally recognised centre of excellence for research, innovation and enterprise by:

- attracting, developing and supporting technology-based businesses to the area;
- working with partners in education and industry to develop and retain a workforce with the knowledge and skills to match employment opportunities and industry needs;
- promoting partnerships between industry and other bodies to create the infrastructure that meets the needs of the new economy; and
- promoting public understanding of science and technology.

Distribution

11.18 There are approximately 400 hectares of land used for employment (B1, B2 or B8) in the York area. Over 80% of this is within or adjacent to the main urban area (with a large proportion of this being within York’s city centre).

11.19 Immediately adjacent to the eastern side of the city centre is the Layerthorpe area which consists of mainly industrial and warehouse occupiers as well as some retail developments. It is recognised that this area may present itself as a good regeneration and development opportunity in the future. It is proposed, as part of the LDF process, to undertake further work to explore the regeneration potential of this area. This will include consideration of a range of employment uses, including offices.

² Use Class B1(a) Offices other than those in a use within Class A2 (Financial and Professional Services); (b) Research and Development (Laboratories, studios); (c) Light Industry
11.20 Approximately 33% of York’s overall total employment land can be found in three relatively large business parks on the periphery of the main built up area i.e. Monks Cross, Clifton Moor and York Business Park.

11.21 The majority of the remainder is generally close to the existing villages of Elvington, Dunnington and Wheldrake. A notable exception to this is Northminster Business Park, a 6.6ha site, which sits in open countryside near to Upper Poppleton.

11.22 The Employment Land Review identifies the following existing employment areas as strategic employment locations; these are identified on the Key Diagram (pull-out map at the front of the document):

- York City Centre;
- Clifton Moor;
- Monks Cross;
- York Business Park;
- Northminster Business Park; and
- Layerthorpe area.

11.23 In addition to the redevelopment potential of the Layerthorpe area, three other key sites: York Central, Terry’s and Nestlé are identified as sites with employment redevelopment opportunities. These are also identified on the Key Diagram.

11.24 York Central (a component of the York Northwest area) is highlighted as providing an opportunity for York to be able to accommodate, and significantly extend, the range and quality of its office accommodation. The site has been identified as an opportunity for developing a new office quarter in a highly sustainable location with excellent transport links both regionally and nationally. It is considered that the new office quarter could provide between 87,000-100,000 sq m of B1(a) office space equating to over 45% of the total predicted need up to 2029.

11.25 Terry’s is a former chocolate factory in the south of York which has been identified as having potential for employment-led mixed use redevelopment. In September 2006 Nestlé Rowntree chocolate factory located in the north of the city announced redevelopment opportunities on the older, southern part of the site (referred to as Nestlé South) for a range of uses including employment.

11.26 In terms of the distribution of new employment sites, the Employment Land Review indicates that B1(a) Office uses would be appropriate within York City Centre, and surrounding urban areas including the York Central site, Hungate, Terry’s, Nestlé, Monks Cross, the front of York Business Park and the Layerthorpe Area.

11.27 The Employment Land Review highlights that Heslington East, the University of York’s new campus extension can accommodate all of the City’s anticipated demand for free standing B1 (b) Research and Development uses. Heslington East has permission to develop around 25ha of research and development uses in addition to academic and other university uses.

11.28 The Study also highlights that B1(c) Light Industry, B2 General Industrial and B8 Storage and Distribution uses should be directed to underused or vacant industrial
sites on the edge of the urban area. This includes existing sites such as York Business Park and the existing allocation and safeguarded land at Northminster Business Park (Area of Search I on the Key Diagram). The study also highlights land to the North of Hull Road (Area of Search C on the Key Diagram), currently within the draft Green Belt, as a good location for industry, storage and distribution. This area performed considerably better than the safeguarded land around Northminster in the Employment Land Review and could provide up to 30 hectares of land either additional to, or as an alternative to, the safeguarded land at Northminster. If this was additional it would provide flexibility in the future to respond to changing market conditions.

11.29 Sites in the rural area which have previously been allocated for employment uses such as Elvington, Wheldrake and Murton did not figure highly through the Employment Land Review however, the Study recognised that they perform an important role as employment sites for local need and should be retained as such.

11.30 North Selby Mine is identified through the Entec work as being well suited to the development of ‘green technologies’ for example, the development of renewable energy. Section 15 Resource Efficiency expands on the site’s potential.

11.31 Although the general approach is to focus economic development in city centre and sustainable urban locations, it is recognised that it is important to support diversifying and strengthening the role of the rural economy. This will be, in line with national and regional policy guidance, through allowing essential development for agriculture and forestry, supporting diversification schemes and encouraging the re-use of existing rural buildings.

You Told Us

11.32 A summary of the comments received from the Core Strategy Issues & Options consultation are provided below (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)).

- the Core Strategy should reflect employment land requirements of the RSS;
- there needs to be a significant supply of employment land to facilitate choice, movement and “churn” in the market;
- employment land should be located where it can be accessed by a variety of transport modes and where there will be minimal impact on the road network;
- support is given to the development of Bioscience, IT and Digital and Creative Technology clusters; and
- the Core Strategy should not distinguish between the cultural life of York as enjoyed by residents and the needs of tourists.

The Preferred Approach

11.33 The Council’s preferred approach to employment is to deliver the LDF vision in a way that meets Strategic Objective 1 ‘Spatial Strategy’ whilst ensuring sufficient land is available in the right locations to allow York to fulfill its role as a key driver in the regional economy and to ensure that employment levels remain high. Fundamental to this approach is the delivery of a new office quarter as a part of the York Northwest Area Action Plan and the promotion of Science City York.
11.34 Based on the conclusions of the Employment Land Review, new employment development will be encouraged in sustainable locations:

- In the case of B1(a) office development, this is within York city centre; immediately adjacent areas such as the proposed new York Central office quarter; established employment areas in the urban area such as Monks Cross and York Business Park and the key redevelopment opportunities at Terry’s, Nestlé and the Layerthorpe area;
- Preference for B1(b) Research and Development uses is within the proposed new university campus at Heslington East;
- B1(c) Light Industry, B2 General Industry and B8 Storage and Distribution uses will be directed towards underused or vacant industrial sites within and on the edge of the urban area that will not unacceptably add to levels of congestion including York Business Park, the safeguarded land at Northminster Business Park (Area of Search I on the Key Diagram) and/or the potential urban extension North of Hull Road (Area of Search C on the Key Diagram);
- Rural employment sites in the areas of Elvington, Wheldrake and Murton which are important for local need will be maintained; and
- North Selby Mine has been identified as a site with potential for renewable energy production.

11.35 In general, development in accessible, sustainable locations that will enhance cultural and leisure provision for the benefit of both residents and visitors will be supported. The emerging City Centre Area Action Plan will set out detailed proposals for the future of the city centre; this will outline methods to deliver the broader Core Strategy objectives related to city centre uses. The York Northwest Area Action Plan will address employment uses within the new York Central office quarter.

**Strategic Objective**

To deliver the appropriate number, type and size of sites for existing and future employment-related uses in York, to ensure that York fulfils its role at the centre of the York Sub Area and as part of Leeds City Region, including the delivery of a new York Central office quarter within the York Northwest area, enhancing the success of Science City and promoting York’s tourism and evening economy.

**Targets**

Progress towards achieving the strategic objective will be measured by the following targets:

- a sufficient number of suitable new employment sites being allocated to allow for the job growth highlighted in Table 3;
- an increase in the percentage of working age population in employment;
- deliver annual growth in visitor expenditure by a minimum of 5% average; and
- an increase in the average length of stay of visitors to the city.

The LDF will support York’s continued economic success and prosperity helping to ensure that it fulfils its role as a key driver in the regional economy through the provision of sufficient land in sustainable locations. This will involve:

- providing sufficient land through the Allocations DPD to accommodate the levels of growth highlighted in Table 3;
- retaining and enhancing York’s successful employment sites;
- concentrating future employment development on the Sub Regional City of York as identified in Spatial Principle 3ii;
- identifying and developing a new office quarter of 87,000 to 100,000 sqm located on the York Central site within the York Northwest Area Action Plan;
- accommodating the need for office development (B1a) by allocating sites within:
  - York City Centre;
  - the new York Central office quarter and redevelopment opportunity areas in the Layerthorpe area, Terry’s and Nestlé; and
  - Monks Cross and York Business Park.
- recognising the role of the University of York and the Heslington East Campus in Research & Development (B1b) activities;
- prioritising the reuse of existing underused or vacant industrial sites for B1(c), B2 & B8 uses on the edge of the urban area of York, including York Business Park, the safeguarded land at Northminster Business Park (Area of Search I on the key diagram) and/or the potential urban extension North of Hull Road (Area of Search C on the key diagram) and within the rural areas of the authority where it can be accessed in a sustainable way and where it will serve local needs; and
- facilitating the development of appropriate rural industries, businesses and enterprises for example supporting rural diversification schemes.

Policy CS10: Culture, Leisure and Tourism

Through the production of the LDF, and in particular the development of the City Centre Area Action Plan, the Council will strongly support:

- the enhancement of cultural, leisure and tourism provision in York in locations which are easily accessible by a variety of transport modes;
- the improvement of visitor facilities and accommodation which will encourage overnight visitors;
- the establishment of a more diverse evening economy;
- improvements to the public realm including public spaces, signage, lighting investment and river frontages.
Sustainability Appraisal

11.36 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policies within this section, please refer to page 185 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

Question 11:

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to future economic growth. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you agree with the level of job growth identified in Table 3?

b) Do you think that this approach will deliver the right number of sites in the right location to ensure that York continues to perform as a economically successful city?

c) More specifically, given the amount of land needed for future employment uses, do you think that Area of Search C (Land North of Hull Road) and/or Area of Search I (safeguarded land at Northminster Business Park) identified on the Key Diagram (pull-out map at the front of the document) are suitable for industrial and distribution employment uses?

d) Do you think that the points highlighted in Policy CS10 will help to enhance York’s economy with increased benefits for residents and visitors?
Section 12: Retail Growth and Distribution

Introduction

12.1 Strengthening the role of York as a sub-regional shopping centre is a key part of the Local Development Framework (LDF) vision. Nearby centres such as Hull and Leeds, as well as Monks Cross and Clifton Moor, are taking a growing proportion of comparison goods spend within York's catchment and this has had a detrimental impact on the city centre. In order to achieve a strengthened retail role for York it is crucial that the decline in the city centre’s market share for comparison goods is halted and then increased to a 34% share (currently at 28%). Fundamental to this will be providing sufficient appropriate locations to accommodate this growth in retail provision. The LDF will also ensure that appropriate provision is made for convenience shopping to meet the additional capacity identified to 2029.

Context

Policy Context

12.2 The Government’s approach to retail (PPS6) is that new retail development should be directed to town centres. This is in order to reduce the need to travel by car, to promote the improvement of public transport and to ensure the continued investment in town centres.

12.3 At the regional level, Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) Policy YH4 states that as a Sub-Regional City, York should be the prime focus for shopping facilities. The policy seeks to strengthen the identity and role of city centres as accessible and vibrant focal points for high trip generating uses. Policy YH6 on Local Service Centres asserts that they will be protected and enhanced as attractive and vibrant places and that local services within them should be retained and improved.

Local Issues

12.4 The Retail Study (GVA Grimley LLP 2008) makes the following recommendations on retail growth:

- Aim to halt the declining market share of the city centre and claw-back comparison goods trade travelling to competing shopping destinations. On the basis that York is able to increase its current market share to 34%, the study estimates capacity for an additional 35,127sqm net of comparison floorspace by 2017, 62,907sqm net by 2022 and 106,927sqm net by 2029;
- Aim to increase the supply of modern retail units and enhance department store representation in the city centre, as well as retaining the independent retail sector; and
- The Study identified strong capacity for additional convenience retail space – an additional 5,034sqm net by 2017, growing to 7,036sqm net by 2022 and 10,015sqm net by 2029.

12.5 In terms of the location of retail growth the study concludes that the primary focus for new comparison retail should be the central shopping area of the city centre,
followed by extensions to the shopping area. The study identifies good infill opportunities on small sites within the existing shopping area as well as opportunities on The Stonebow (Stonebow House, Telephone Exchange and Hungate), but that the most appropriate location for large-scale retail development early in the LDF period (by 2017) is Castle Piccadilly (see Figure 14). The study recognises that technical difficulties with the redevelopment of the Telephone Exchange may increase timescales and costs. However, the Stonebow area is sequentially equal to Castle Piccadilly and its development should be encouraged early in the LDF period.

**Figure 14: Existing Centres and Retailing**

12.6 Given the level of retail growth proposed, the study recognises the need to identify development opportunities in addition to those outlined above. The compact nature of the city centre and the constraints of the historic environment mean that there are limited opportunities for new retail development within the central shopping area or within the city centre as a whole. As a result it is necessary to consider edge of centre or out of centre locations to accommodate the unmet retail capacity. The study looked at future locations to accommodate retail growth and recommends that
we consider options for retail on York Central (part of the York Northwest Area Action Plan area). These options should ensure that there is no adverse impact on the vitality and viability of the city centre, focusing on providing a complementary, rather than competing, retail offer and seeking to improve linkages with the city centre to facilitate linked trips, rather than creating a separate shopping destination.

12.7 The Retail Study states that additional convenience retail should be directed in the first instance to the city centre, to district and smaller centres and to support the development of new centres on York Northwest (as identified in Section 10 on Access to Services). The study recommends against further out of centre food store development, unless it meets the requirements set out in PPS6.

You Told Us

12.8 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see 'Core Strategy Consultation Statement' (2009)).

- Overall there was a mixed response as to whether York should seek to increase its share of the regional retail market;
- York should not seek to compete with other centres but should build on York’s unique offer of small independent shops;
- Maintain a diversity of shops, and support a new department store, new city centre food store and speciality shops;
- Retail growth should be directed to the city centre and district centres, then support extensions of existing centres rather than more out of town shopping;
- Any extensions should avoid expanding the centre in a linear form;
- The LDF should recognise the retail opportunities provided by major development sites such as York Northwest. Although a number of respondents to the York Northwest Issues and Options consultation expressed caution about, or objected to, major retail development on the site;
- Food stores should be better distributed in the city as a whole to allow better access for all residents; and
- We should be cautious in our approach to retail growth in district centres as this may conflict with their roles as Local Service Centres as set out in RSS.

The Preferred Approach

12.9 The key issues of retail growth and the location of new retail development were both discussed in the Issues and Options documents. There was no clear overall view from respondents as to whether we should aim for comparison retail growth and seek to increase the city centre’s market share. However, the Retail Study shows that the city centre has already experienced a decline in its market share and that this would only accelerate if we were to pursue a ‘no development’ scenario. An increased market share will also have wider benefits for the vitality of the centre as a whole, supporting the wide range of retailers. In order to address this decline and achieve the vision of a strengthened role for the city centre, the preferred approach is to seek to increase the market share to 34%.

12.10 With regard to the location of future comparison retail growth, the preferred approach reflects national and regional policy and the views of the majority of respondents by
proposing that the central shopping area, or extensions to it, remain the primary focus for new retail development. However, in line with the recommendations of the Retail Study the preferred approach is to also consider whether additional retail capacity, over and above that which can be achieved within the city centre, could and should be delivered on York Central (part of York Northwest Area Action Plan). Further work has been commissioned to consider potential options for retail on York Central and this will be used to inform the approach as the Core Strategy is developed further.

12.11 In order to address the needs identified in the Retail Study, the preferred approach is to increase the amount of convenience retail, directed towards existing centres. The Council is undertaking an assessment of local shopping provision in the smaller centres (Local Service Centres, villages and parades of shops within the main urban area). Local provision of this type forms an essential element of sustainable neighbourhoods (see Section 10).

**Strategic Objectives**

**Delivering Adequate Shopping Provision**

*To deliver new shopping provision to support the economic role of the city centre and meet local shopping needs. This will be achieved by:*

- Ensuring that any new shopping provision positively contributes towards the vitality and viability of the city centre.
- Maintaining and enhancing the city centre’s role as a regional shopping location through encouraging proposals that increase the quality and range of shopping.
- Recognising the role that shopping plays in tourism, by ensuring that new proposals contribute towards the diversity of shops which makes the York shopping experience unique.
- Protecting the primary retailing role of the city centre within the context of a mixed-use centre with complementary housing, office, cultural and leisure provision.
- Maintaining the viability of smaller shopping centres and creating new local centres on York Northwest.
- Providing for additional convenience shopping within existing centres.

**Targets**

*Progress towards achieving these objectives, will be measured against the following targets:*

- To achieve an increase in comparison goods floorspace of 35,127sqm net by 2017, 62,907sqm net by 2022 and 106,927sqm net by 2029.
- To halt the decline in the market share achieved by the city centre and increase the share to 34%.
- To achieve an increase in convenience goods floorspace of 5,034sqm net by 2017, 7,036sqm net by 2022 and 10,015sqm net by 2029.
Policy CS11: Distribution of Retail Growth

The Council will seek to provide for future shopping needs by making appropriate provision for convenience and comparison shopping. This will include identifying sites to increase the city centre comparison goods market share to 34%.

The central shopping area and adjacent areas will continue to be the primary focus for new comparison goods retail development. Priority will be given to developing the Castle Piccadilly area and the Stonebow area for retail as shown on Figure 13. Options for retail development will also be considered on York Central (part of York Northwest Area Action Plan, see Section 6), focusing on providing a complementary retail offer.

Further convenience retail development will be directed to the city centre, Acomb and Haxby district centres and smaller centres (within other Local Service Centres, villages and small villages) at an appropriate scale.

Sustainability Appraisal

12.12 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 187 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

Question 12:

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to retail growth and distribution. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you think that this section addresses the role of York as a sub regional shopping centre by ensuring that the correct amount, type and location of retail development will be delivered?

b) Do you think that Castle Piccadilly, the Stonebow area and York Central are suitable locations for new shops, or are there other areas which you think are more suitable?

c) Two district shopping centres are currently identified at Acomb and Haxby. District centres generally serve a local neighbourhood and contain a range of shops and services such as banks, building societies and restaurants as well as local public facilities such as a library. Do you think that there are any other district centres in York?
This section looks at issues which are important aspects of the environment such as wildlife sites and open space and how they can be protected. It also looks at issues which could harm the environment such as transport, flooding, and waste and how these effects can be stopped or slowed down.

York: A City Making History

Making our mark by:

- Building confident, creative and inclusive communities
- Being a leading environmentally-friendly city
- Being at the forefront of innovation and change with a prosperous and thriving economy
- Being a world class centre for education and learning for all
- Celebrating our historic past whilst creating a successful and thriving future
Section 13: Sustainable Transport

Introduction

13.1 Addressing the issues of congestion, accessibility, safety and air quality is a key part of the Local Development Framework (LDF) vision. The policies within the LDF will enable appropriate development to take place that not only widens transport choice, particularly for the more sustainable forms of transport such as public transport including buses, walking and cycling, thereby reducing the use of the private car and improving access to services and facilities, but also, minimises the need to travel. For more information on Access to Services, please refer to Section 10. Encouraging the use of sustainable transport modes, the reduction of congestion and providing accessibility for all are also key components in York’s Sustainable Community Strategy and Local Transport Plan 2006-2011 (LTP2), both of which the LDF supports.

Context

Policy Context

13.2 Successive Government White Papers, Planning Policy Guidance, the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) and York’s LTP2 all promote the same idea of encouraging the most sustainable forms of transport and discouraging the least sustainable.

13.3 The RSS sets out the key transport requirements for the York sub area, including:
- develop the role of York as a key node for public transport services for the sub area;
- implement stronger demand management in York and in relation to the strategic highway network;
- improve accessibility to and within York, particularly by improved facilities for walking and cycling, increased capacity and quality of public transport, and new park and ride facilities;
- improve public transport links between Local Service Centres and other rural communities and York and the sub area’s Principal Towns; and
- improve access between York and Scarborough / the east coast.

13.4 The RSS also advocates greater connectivity between urban centres within the Leeds City Region.

Local Issues

13.5 York’s LTP2 sets out the Council’s agreed Transport Strategy and a package of deliverable measures to help achieve this, initially, over the five-year period to 2006-2011 and beyond to 2021. Table 4 sets out the strategic themes for transport planning, followed by the key actions and delivery mechanisms, that require planning consent, which will help to deliver the strategic themes. Refer to Figure 15 for the key transport schemes.
Table 4: Strategic Themes, Key Actions and Delivery Mechanisms for Transport.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Themes for Transport Planning:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Tackling congestion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Improving accessibility for all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Improving air quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Improving quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Supporting the local economy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Actions and Delivery Mechanisms (2006-2021)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>‘Access York’, Phase 1 (Up to 2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• the provision of new Park &amp; Ride sites on the A59 and on Wigginton Road and the relocation and enlargement of the existing Park &amp; Ride site at Askham Bar;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• enlargement of A59/A1237 roundabout to increase capacity; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• provision of an improved pedestrian/cycling crossing of the Outer Ring Road at the A59 junction.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• reducing congestion at all seven roundabouts on the York Outer Ring Road from Wetherby Road to Strensall Road;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• provision of subways at Wigginton Road and Strensall Road;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• construction of cycle route from Strensall Road to Wigginton Road parallel to the York Outer Ring Road; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• improve interchange facilities at railway station.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Further Highway Improvements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Junction improvements at the Hopgrove and Strensall Road Roundabouts (up to 2011), and improvements to the York Outer Ring Road (A1237). These improvements are set out above under the Access York Phase 2 section (2011 – 2021).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Public Transport</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• The development of the Tram-Train initiative through helping to facilitate the following routes:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- York to Harrogate to Leeds line;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- York to Askham Bar Park &amp; Ride with the potential to extend to Copmanthorpe; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- York to area north east of the city centre; (dependant upon feasibility studies due for completion in 2014).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Facilitate the development of Haxby rail station (2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Improvements to public transport infrastructure including major bus priority measures identified through the LTP3 and the development of a bus interchange facility in the City Centre through the creation of a transport interchange as part of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the longer term development of land near the railway station as part of the York Northwest Area Action Plan (2011-2021).

- To facilitate the re-opening of the York-Beverley rail line by safeguarding the route when allocating land through the Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD).
- To facilitate additional coach Rendezvous Points in the Piccadilly and Lord Mayor’s Walk areas, by safeguarding land through the Allocations DPD.

Cycling and Walking

- Expansion of (safer) cycling and walking routes throughout the city by supporting the delivery of ‘Cycling City’ Strategy Projects. These include the provision of additional ‘on’ and ‘off’ road cycle paths, the expansion of secure cycle parking including the Lendal sub-station in the city centre (2011) and a new pedestrian / cycle bridge at Scarborough Bridge (2011-2021).
- Further expansion of Footstreets Zone including Goodramgate and Fossgate (the forthcoming City Centre Area Action Plan will provide more detail on the development of Footstreets).

Parking (up to 2011)

- Parking control by both car parking standards and price will continue to be used in York where city centre charges are used to encourage long-stay car parking at Park & Ride sites. This approach will help manage demand and car trip generation and support priority to non car modes. The number of car parking spaces available in the city centre will remain broadly as they are now in order to protect the viability of the retail economy. Details of car parking will be explained in a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD).
- The city currently has no plans to introduce congestion charging.

Freight (2011-2021)

- Identify locations for a possible freight centre on the outskirts of York.

13.5 Since the publication of the LTP2 several other important transport schemes have emerged which will be integrated into the LDF Core Strategy, these include:

- Tram-Train;
- Supporting further improvements to the York Outer Ring Road (A1237), (including dualling if it’s the most beneficial option) and other elements within ‘Access York’;
- ‘Cycling City’ Status projects; and
- Emerging revised Coach Strategy (including coach Rendezvous Points for the pick up/drop off of passengers).

13.6 Several road improvement schemes are being undertaken throughout York, these include the following:

- James Street Link (Phase 2) / Heworth Green Link Road;
- Crichton Avenue / Wigginton Road Junction;
Local Development Framework
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- Piccadilly / Castle Mills Bridge Junction;
- Bishopthorpe Road;
- Mansfield Street; and
- Manor lane / Hurricane Way (Clifton).

**Figure 15: Transport Issues**

---

**You Told Us**

13.7 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)):

- the measures put forward in York’s Local Transport Plan which could be delivered through the LDF should be taken forward;
- there was also some support for investigating the Tram-Train proposal including improving rail facilities and implementing new Park & Ride sites on the A59 and on Wigginton Road;
- it was also suggested that the Tram-Train scheme should be emphasised over private motorized vehicles;
• there was a mixed response to the dualling of the York Outer Ring Road. However twice as many respondents were cautious of the proposal compared to those that were in support of it;
• in relation to walking and cycling movements it was suggested that there is a need for a wider strategic approach to green space planning; and
• the need for integrated public transport interchange(s) was seen as a priority.

**The Preferred Approach**

13.8 To improve our quality of life we need to improve transport connections in a way that promotes both urban and rural accessibility - but which is also sensitive to York’s unique environment. To achieve this and enable people to live more sustainably the Local Development Framework Core Strategy will promote the use of sustainable transport as an alternative to the private car, minimise the need to travel, support the objectives of the LTP2, and the schemes proposed since the LTP2 was published to reduce congestion. Locating development in sustainable locations and improving community transport links between the main urban area and rural communities through innovative measures will also support this aim.

13.9 In recognition of the findings through the comments received as part of the Core Strategy Issues and Options consultations, which asked respondents what approach the LDF should take to address the transport issues currently facing York, the preferred approach advocated by respondents referred to the proposed measures put forward in York’s Local Transport Plan which could be delivered through the LDF.

13.10 In addition to the current Local Transport Plan, further transport schemes have since come to light including the Tram Train following ‘The Leeds City Region Tram-Train Feasibility Study’, improvements to York Outer Ring Road, Cycling City project and the emerging revised Coach Strategy. Support was evident for the Tram Train scheme, however there was a mixed response to the Outer Ring Road improvements. The Cycle City scheme is a new scheme which has emerged since the consultation took place. All of these will be included within the preferred approach.

**Strategic Objectives**

**Delivering Sustainable Transport**

• To support development in locations accessible to public transport and appropriate key services by means other than the private car, including maximising the potential of existing and potential rail stations, Park & Ride sites, and, bus, walking and cycling routes;
• Reducing congestion through promoting a shift to more sustainable forms of transport; and
• To reduce the need to travel and deliver a sustainable transport network that supports York’s long-term growth, improves connectivity within York and the wider region and provides an improved environment and a high quality of life for the city’s communities.
Targets

Progress towards achieving these objectives, will be measured against the following targets:

1. Increase bus patronage to 17.5 million passengers (journeys) annually;
2. 2.7 million Park & Ride passengers annually;
3. 15% increase in city centre walking trips;
4. 1% increase in cycling to work and 3% overall increase in cycling trips;
5. reduce the number of car trips to work by 3.5%, and
6. reduce projected traffic growth in the peak period from predicted 14% (based on previous trends) to 7% above 2003/04 levels.

Average car journey time per mile during the am peak less than 4 minutes, by 2011 with no increase beyond then.

Policy CS12: Local Transport Considerations

The City of York Council will support the following:

The Local Development Framework will support accessibility, help reduce congestion through promoting a shift to more sustainable forms of transport, demand management measures, reduce the need to travel and promote the delivery of a high quality sustainable transport network. This will be achieved through ensuring the sustainable location of development and aiding the delivery of the transport schemes and programmes highlighted below:

‘Access York’, Phase 1 (Up to 2011)

- the provision of new Park & Ride sites on the A59 and on Wigginton Road and the relocation and enlargement of the existing Park & Ride site at Askham Bar;
- enlargement of A59/A1237 roundabout to increase capacity; and
- provision of an improved pedestrian/cycling crossing of the Outer Ring Road at the A59 junction.


- reducing congestion at all seven roundabouts on the York Outer Ring Road from Wetherby Road to Strensall Road;
- provision of subways at Wigginton Road and Strensall Road;
- construction of cycle route from Strensall Road to Wigginton Road parallel to the York Outer Ring Road; and
- improve interchange facilities at railway station.
Further Highway Improvements

- Junction improvements at the Hopgrove and Strensall Road Roundabouts (up to 2011), and improvements to the York Outer Ring Road (A1237). These improvements are set out above under the Access York Phase 2 section (2011 – 2021).

Public Transport

- The development of the Tram-Train initiative through helping to facilitate the following routes:
  - York to Harrogate to Leeds line;
  - York to Askham Bar Park & Ride with the potential to extend to Copmanthorpe; and
  - York to area north east of the city centre; (dependant upon feasibility studies due for completion in 2014)

- Facilitate the development of Haxby rail station (2013);
- To facilitate the re-opening of the York-Beverley rail line by safeguarding the route when allocating land through the Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD);
- Improvements to public transport infrastructure including major bus priority measures identified through the LTP3 and the development of a bus interchange facility in the City Centre through the creation of a transport interchange as part of the longer term development of land near the railway station as part of the York Northwest Area Action Plan (2011-2021); and
- To facilitate additional coach Rendezvous Points in the Piccadilly and Lord Mayor’s Walk areas, by safeguarding land through the Allocations DPD.

Cycling and Walking

- Expansion of (safer) cycling and walking routes throughout the city by supporting the delivery of ‘Cycling City’ Strategy Projects. These include the provision of additional ‘on’ and ‘off’ road cycle paths, the expansion of secure cycle parking including the Lendal sub-station in the city centre (2011) and a new pedestrian / cycle bridge at Scarborough Bridge (2011-2021); and
- Further expansion of Footstreets Zone including Goodramgate and Fossgate (the forthcoming City Centre Area Action Plan will provide more detail on the development of Footstreets).

Parking (up to 2011)

- Parking control by both car parking standards and price will continue to be used in York where city centre charges are used to encourage long-stay car parking at Park & Ride sites. This approach will help manage demand and car trip generation and support priority to non car modes. The number of car parking spaces available in the city centre will remain broadly as they are now in order to protect the viability of the retail economy. Details of car parking will be explained in a Supplementary Planning Document (SPD); and
The city currently has no plans to introduce congestion charging.

**Freight (2011-2021)**

- Identify locations for a possible freight centre on the outskirts of York.

### Sustainability Appraisal

13.11 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 187 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

### Question 13:

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to sustainable transport. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you feel that this section adequately supports sustainable forms of transport to reduce traffic congestion and address other key transport issues in York?
Section 14: Green Infrastructure

Introduction

14.1 The Council has a duty to protect and enhance York’s Green Infrastructure which encompasses all “green” assets in York. Green Infrastructure comprises many individual components ranging from established public parks and protected natural sites, through to river corridors and street trees, and from unmanaged and undeveloped sites through to designed and planted open spaces within new built development. Well designed, managed and integrated green infrastructure can deliver a range of benefits, often in combination, these could include:

- opportunities for sport and recreation;
- improvement in environmental quality e.g. better air and water quality;
- improved access to the local environment promoting health and well-being;
- mitigation and adaptation of climate change;
- contribution to sustainable drainage and flood mitigation;
- enhanced environmental backdrop and landscape that will assist in attracting business and inward investment;
- maintenance and enhancement of biodiversity; and
- help in the establishment of local identity or sense of place.

14.2 The multiple functions listed above are generally covered under the three key categories of green infrastructure that the Local Development Framework (LDF) will cover:

- Nature Conservation;
- Open space; and
- Green Corridors and Linkages.

14.3 The context and local issues surrounding each of these key elements is considered below.

Nature Conservation

14.4 Enhancing and protecting York’s natural environment is critical to the city’s image, as well as bringing both social and economic benefits to its communities and providing a safe and high quality environment for York’s wildlife. The vision for York anticipates that the LDF will protect and improve the countryside and the diversity of wildlife and habitats in the York area, including international, national, and locally recognised areas of nature conservation value. All nature conservation sites, including Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) and Sites of Importance for Nature Conservation (SINCs) are indicated on Figure 7 in the spatial strategy section.

Context

Policy Context

14.5 Government guidance (PPS9) sets out the planning policies on the protection of biodiversity and geological conservation through the planning system. It specifically
Local Development Framework
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requires LDFs to take an integrated approach to biodiversity and subsequently they should:

- indicate the location of designated sites of importance for biodiversity, making clear distinctions between the hierarchy of international, national, regional and locally designated sites; and
- identify any areas or sites for the restoration or creation of new priority habitats, including multifunctional sites which contribute to regional targets, and support this restoration or creation through appropriate policies.

14.6 The Accessible Natural Greenspace Standard (ANGSt) model is a national standard which aims to ensure that all homes in England are served by good quality accessible natural greenspace. This is based on the notion that everyday contact with natural greenspace is important for well-being and quality of life. The model states that:

- no person should live more than 300m from their nearest area of natural greenspace of at least 2 ha in size;
- there should be at least one accessible 20ha site within 2km of home;
- there should be one accessible 100ha site within 5km of home;
- there should be one accessible 500ha site within 10km of home; and
- there should be 1ha of Local Nature Reserve (or other designated wildlife site which is accessible to the public) per 1000 popultaion.

14.7 These standards focus on the benefit of green space from a health and well-being perspective as opposed to other standards that specifically focus on access to recreational facilities. The emerging work on green infrastructure aims to address all the benefits and therefore the ANGST model will be used to identify where priority and opportunity areas are within the green infrastructure network as a whole. A subsequent green infrastructure strategy supplementary planning document will inform the LDF process and will feed into subsequent Development Plan Documents (DPD) including the Allocations DPD, City Centre Area Action Plan (AAP) and York Northwest AAP.

14.8 The Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) recognises that we should safeguard, manage and enhance the region’s existing tree and woodland resource in line with the Regional Forestry Strategy (2005), and in particular increase the area of woodland under active management and increase the total woodland in the Yorkshire and Humber area by approximately 500 ha per year.

14.9 RSS requires that biodiversity is safeguarded and enhanced and incorporated into development.

Local Issue

14.10 The Council has commissioned and is undertaking several pieces of work which will help form the LDF evidence base. These include a Biodiversity Audit and Biodiversity Action Plan. The Audit will review the biodiversity value of existing and potentially new locally significant wildlife sites (SINCs). The baseline information set out in the Audit will be used to prioritise further action through a Biodiversity Action Plan which acts as a framework for biodiversity issues within York.
You Told Us

14.11 A summary of the comments to the previous ‘LDF Issues and Options consultations, are provided below;

- high importance should be given to protecting the environment and the landscape character and quality;

- nature conservation sites, valuable landscapes, watercourse corridors and tree cover should all be given equal weight and not be prioritised above one another; and

- the Core Strategy should consider the provision of new sites of natural and semi-natural open space as well as safeguarding and enhancing existing ones.

14.12 Consultation Statement (2009) has been prepared which sets out all the methods of consultation and a summary of the findings of the issues and options consultation. This is available to read alongside this document.

Open Space

14.13 Through the LDF the Council considers the quality, quantity and accessibility of open space (sport, recreation and children’s play facilities) across the city, in order to assess the existing and future needs of the residents of York. The aim is to enhance the provision of new open space, improve the quality of existing open space and to ensure that all residents have access to an appropriate range of recreational open spaces and sports facilities in order to promote healthy lifestyles.

Context

Policy Context

14.14 Government guidance on open space, sport and recreation (PPG17) states that local authorities should undertake robust assessments of the existing and future needs of their communities for open space, sport and recreational facilities. It also states that local authorities should undertake audits of existing open space, sports and recreational facilities, which should be used to set locally derived standards of provision in their area. The government believes that the development and implementation of national standards would be inappropriate, as they do not take into account the demographics of an area, the specific needs of residents or the extent of built development. Therefore PPG17 sets out priorities for local authorities in terms of:

- assessing needs and opportunities - undertaking audits of open space, sport and recreational facilities;
- setting local standards;
- maintaining an adequate supply of open space; and
- planning for new open space.

14.15 The RSS recognises the importance of open space, sport and recreation plays in helping to improve the health of residents, through increased participation and activity. Consequently, the associated policy aims to provide, safeguard and
enhance high quality facilities for sport and recreation. The RSS also seeks to protect open space and playing fields, particularly in urban, urban fringe and adjacent countryside areas, through defining a hierarchy of green infrastructure.

**Local Issues**

14.16 In order to carry out a full assessment of open space, as outlined in PPG17, the Council commissioned consultants, PMP, to undertake an assessment of the City’s open space, sport and recreation facilities, and their future need (the PPG17 Study).

14.17 A citywide consultation gave an overall picture of local need in York and a site survey identified a total existing supply of 657 sites. These sites were then assessed for their accessibility, quality and wider benefits including their biodiversity value/potential which produced an overall score for each site. Local standards have been identified which were based on the audit and site assessments of needs and existing provision.

**You Told Us**

14.18 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)).

- the quantity, quality and accessibility of open space should be considered as equally important to each other;
- overall the protection and enhancement of open space is of great importance; and
- the issue of privately owned open space (gardens etc) are important to the character of areas and general public amenity.

**Green Corridors & Linkages**

14.19 Green Corridors are a fundamental element of green infrastructure as they form linkages between assets making green infrastructure a network as opposed to a collection of sites. York is special as it has several natural green corridors formed by the rivers, the Strays and green wedges which essentially link the central urban area with the wider countryside; these areas generally form part of York’s green belt which is discussed in section 4. The size and function of green corridors varies across York; the river corridors of the Ouse, Foss and Derwent are considered regionally significant green corridors and are identified on Figure 7 in the spatial strategy section.

**Context**

**Policy Context**

14.20 Government guidance (PPG17) promotes the concept of protecting and creating links and networks of habitats and open spaces both on a strategic and local level. These links are important both in terms of providing routes or stepping-stones for the migration, dispersal and genetic exchange of species and also for the movement of humans for recreational or general travelling purposes.
Local Development Framework
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Local Issues
14.21 As part of the ongoing work on green infrastructure, existing green assets have been mapped and a network of green corridors and appropriate buffers are being drawn. These corridors will be identified as being significant on a regional, district and local level. The general extent of the regionally significant corridors, namely the River Ouse, Foss and Derwent corridors are shown in Figure 7 in the spatial strategy section. These are identified as being significant at this level as they have a wide range of functions and cross over into adjacent authorities in our region. These river corridors will act as constraints when considering potential future development locations and have helped shape the spatial strategy. The district and local corridors will follow however it is considered that these will not form absolute constraints, but instead will be designed into and enhanced by new development.

You Told Us
14.22 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (see 'Core Strategy Consultation Statement' (2009)).
- Wish to see the policy topics in the Core Strategy covering the provision, protection and enhancement of green infrastructure including public open spaces, green wedges and links, wildlife corridors and stepping-stones; and
- Significant opportunities for pedestrian and cycle movement can be found by taking a wider strategic approach to green space planning.

The Preferred Approach
14.23 The key issues related to nature conservation and open space were discussed in the Issues and Options documents. The overall view from respondents was that all aspects of the environment should be considered equally important and the steer from government organisations was that green infrastructure needed to be reflected in the core strategy. The preferred approach does this by addressing all green assets under the wider green infrastructure framework.

14.24 It is the purpose of the Core Strategy to set out the strategic approach to green infrastructure, showing how York’s green assets link with the wider region. The Council intends to adopt a Green Infrastructure Strategy Supplementary Planning Document (SPD) which will link to and expand on the Core Strategy policy and will be a flexible means of setting out the detailed objectives, recommendations and actions for Green Infrastructure in York. In addition, the Council will consider the designation of new green infrastructure assets for example open spaces and nature reserves as part of the Allocations DPD as well as through new development proposals.

14.25 Through the LDF, the Council will support opportunities for the enhancement and creation of nature conservation sites, open space and green corridors in York. This will include enhancing existing green assets as well as creating new nature reserves, open spaces, country parks, woodland and pathways. By increasing the level of green space and tree cover in York, we will help to mitigate climate change and will contribute to sustainable drainage and flood mitigation.

14.26 Drawing on the overall Core Strategy vision and on the issues highlighted above, the following strategic objectives, targets and policy have been developed.
Strategic Objectives

Nature Conservation

- To conserve and enhance biodiversity having particular regard to the maintenance, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats and species;
- To maintain and increase the tree cover across York whilst ensuring specific protection for ancient woodland, aged and veteran trees;
- To preserve and enhance the River Ouse, Foss, Derwent and other waterways and their flood plains for biodiversity; and
- To promote accessibility, where appropriate, to natural greenspace, including country parks and woodland.

Open space (recreational and natural)

- To protect existing open space provision;
- To enhance the quality of existing open space and promote biodiversity where feasible;
- To increase accessibility to existing open space;
- To create new areas of open space where needed; and
- To promote understanding of and interest in natural open space.

Green Corridors and Linkages

- To maintain and enhance a city-wide network of green corridors that link the above as part of the wider green infrastructure network.

Targets

Nature Conservation

- No loss or damage to existing wildlife habitats;
- An increase in the number of sites incorporating management for biodiversity;
- An increase in woodland cover in York whilst protecting ancient woodland, aged and veteran trees;
- An increase in the area and extent of waterways and floodplains under management for biodiversity; and
- An increase in accessibility to natural greenspace, where appropriate.

Open space

- An increase in the overall level of quality of provision across York;
- A reduction in the open space deficiencies identified in the PPG17 Study;
- All new developments meeting the standards as identified in the PPG17 Study; and
- An increase in the multifunctional quality of open space; and

Green Corridors and Linkages

- An increase in the quality and extent of green corridors and linkages.
Policy CS13: Green Infrastructure

The Council’s approach to nature conservation, open space and the green corridors which link them is brought together in this policy and will be expanded on through a Green Infrastructure Strategy SPD which will ensure the protection, enhancement, management and maintenance of York’s green corridors and wider green infrastructure network.

The SPD will act as a strategy that will support the Council to:

- **Promote effective stewardship of the city’s wildlife through:**
  1. Identifying and safeguarding nature conservation sites identified through the Biodiversity Audit as well as national and international protected sites from inappropriate development including the provision of buffer zones where necessary to protect them from negative human impacts;
  2. Developing and maintaining a citywide network of local wildlife sites and wildlife corridors, links and stepping stones between areas of natural green space;
  3. Ensuring that development retains, protects and enhances features of geological, geomorphological or biological interest, and provides for the appropriate management of these features;
  4. Ensuring development seeks to produce a net gain in biodiversity by designing in wildlife, and ensuring any unavoidable impacts are appropriately mitigated;
  5. Supporting wildlife enhancements which contribute to the habitat restoration targets set out in the Regional Biodiversity Strategy and the emerging York Biodiversity Action Plan;
  6. Maintaining and increasing tree cover across the city and ensuring that important individual trees, groups of trees and hedgerows are protected and enhanced; and
  7. Ensuring that the Rivers Ouse, Foss and Derwent and other smaller waterways are maintained and enhanced for biodiversity as well as recreational activities.

- **Ensure that provision will be made for all levels of open space based on those required in the PPG17 Study by:**
  8. Protecting existing open space in York in areas where a deficiency has been identified;
  9. Implementing the open space standards identified in the PPG17 Study; and
  10. Identifying new open space sites through the Allocations DPD process both within new development sites and as freestanding spaces.

- **Promote good access to natural greenspaces by enhancing existing sites and creating new ones.**

- **Protect, enhance and create green corridors which promote walking and cycling for recreational and commuting purposes.**
Sustainability Appraisal

14.27 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 189 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

Question 14:

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to green infrastructure. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you think that the multifunctional benefits of green infrastructure are adequately reflected in this section and will the policy ensure the creation, protection and maintenance of nature conservation sites, recreational open space and green corridors?

b) We intend to protect and improve existing green assets whilst addressing ‘gaps’ in provision. Do you agree with this approach?

c) Which parks, open spaces and other green assets do you think need to be improved and where do you think new ones are needed?
Section 15: Resource Efficiency

Introduction

15.1 Balancing physical growth with environmental sustainability is a key part of both the Local Development Framework (LDF) vision and York's Sustainable Community Strategy's strategic ambitions. In order for York to be a leading environmentally friendly city, it is essential that we move towards a more resource efficient future. The policies within the LDF will help to reduce York’s eco and carbon footprint through the promotion of sustainable design and construction, energy efficiency and renewable energy, thereby reducing overall energy use and help in the fight against Climate Change.

Context

Policy Context

15.2 At the national level, The Climate Change Act (2008) sets a legally binding target for reducing UK carbon dioxide emissions by at least 26% by 2020 and at least 80% by 2050, compared to 1990 levels. The Energy White Paper ‘Meeting the Energy Challenge’ (May 2007) and the Energy Act (2008) (which provides the legal framework for the Energy White Paper), support these binding reduction targets and will move the UK towards a low carbon economy by placing renewables and energy efficiency at the heart of the UK's future energy system. This aims to also generate 10% of UK electricity from renewable energy sources by 2010 with an aspiration to double that figure to 20% by 2020. A key component of this approach is the Energy Hierarchy which primarily aims to reduce the need for energy followed by being more energy efficient, then using renewable energy. The Energy Hierarchy is shown in Figure 16.
15.3 As part of tackling climate change and reducing carbon dioxide emissions, Government is tightening the Building Regulations to bring about a 20% reduction in carbon emissions from new housing by 2010 and nearly 50% by 2013, in order to achieve zero carbon residential development in 2016, this is in accordance with the *Building a Greener Future* Policy Statement (2007).

15.4 Supporting the above legislation and policies, Planning Policy Statement 1 (PPS1) sets out the Government’s overarching planning policies on the delivery of sustainable development through the planning system. Planning Policy Statement (PPS): Planning and Climate Change - Supplement to Planning Policy Statement 1 sets out how planning, in providing for new homes, jobs and infrastructure needed by communities, should help shape places with lower carbon emissions and resilient to the climate change. In particular, local planning authorities should adhere to principles that limit carbon dioxide emissions in spatial plans and make good opportunities for decentralised and renewable and low carbon energy including Combined Heat and Power (CHP).
15.5 Planning Policy Statement 22 (PPS22) sets out the Government's policies for renewable energy and small-scale renewable energy developments, including CHP. The emerging Heat and Energy Strategy also sets out the Government's vision for a 30% reduction in emissions from households in 2020 compared to 2006, and for emissions from existing buildings to be approaching zero by 2050. Whilst it is acknowledged that a variety of decentralised and renewable and low carbon energy should be supported by the planning system, CHP should also be encouraged, alongside other options.

15.6 In relation to sustainable design and construction, government guidance advises that environmental assessment methods such as BREEAM (dealing with commercial development) and Code for Sustainable Homes (dealing with residential development) are used to rate the environmental performance of new and renovated buildings (including conversions and change of use). This is in accordance with PPS1: Delivering Sustainable Development.

15.7 The Government has set a target that by 2010 all new homes should achieve a Code for Sustainable Homes Level 3 status. This will then rise to Level 4 between 2013 and 2016 and then from 2016, all new homes should achieve a Level 6 status. These assessments have been designed to help tackle climate change and resource use and balance these issues against the need to provide safe and healthy homes and communities whilst incorporating high quality design.

15.8 The Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) requires plans to improve energy efficiency and maximise the efficient use of power sources through the design, layout and orientation of buildings and maximise use of CHP (Policy ENV5). The RSS sets an indicative local target for installed grid-connected renewable energy of 11MW by 2010 and 31MW by 2021 for York. The RSS also states that new developments of more than 10 dwellings or 1000m$^2$ of non-residential floorspace and should secure at least 10% of their energy from decentralised and renewable or low-carbon sources, unless, having regard to the type of development involved and its design, this is not feasible or viable.

Local Issues

15.9 The eco-footprint for York indicates that we need 5.38 global hectares (gha) per person to support our current lifestyles and demand for food, energy and waste disposal. York has a target of 3.5 gha per person by the year 2033 which we are trying to meet. The impact of our lifestyles on the global environment and climate change can also be measured in terms of carbon dioxide emissions. In York the carbon footprint is currently calculated at 12.75 tonnes per person. This footprint is above average for the UK, which is 12.08 tonnes per person and above the regional average which is 11.94 tonnes per person. Through requiring high standards of sustainable design and construction (through environmental assessment methods such as BREEAM and the Code for Sustainable Homes) and decentralised renewable energy, this will have a significant positive impact on the eco and carbon-footprint for York.

15.10 In 2005 the Land Use Consultants produced a report entitled ‘Delivering Sustainable Energy in North Yorkshire’. The report recommends adopting the energy hierarchy, covering sustainable design, incorporating energy use assessments, requiring on-
site and stand alone renewable energy generation, including policies on CHP and integrating with other policies and strategies. This evidence base was used to input into the RSS renewable energy targets and has also been used to influence our preferred approach and the targets and policy below.

15.11 North Selby Mine has been identified through the City of York Council Employment Land Review (Stage 2) as being well suited to the development of ‘green technologies’ and the generation of renewable energy. Due to the unique characteristics (including its remoteness in location) it was felt that the site could be used to help meet targets set through RSS for on-site energy production. It benefits from a 12MW power supply and a 2-way grid connection which means that electricity can be exported out of the site as well as being imported in, thus creating the potential for ‘stand alone’ renewable energy production.

You Told Us

15.12 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)).

- overall respondents agreed that resource efficiency should be a priority, encouraging the introduction of measures which would increase efficiency and reduce consumption of all resources including water and energy; and
- respondents were supportive of introducing standards for design and construction (such as BREEAM) and for on-site renewable energy generation. However, some highlighted that any standards need to take account of constraints beyond the developers control such as developments within listed buildings and conservation areas and limits on the ability to utilise certain types of renewable energy resources in certain areas.

The Preferred Approach

15.13 Drawing on the overall Core Strategy vision and on the issues highlighted above, strategic objectives, targets and policies have been developed. The Preferred Approach would involve the following:

- The Energy Hierarchy – a key part of national guidance and the main driver in the approach to resource efficiency. This was also strongly supported by respondents during consultation;
- It is essential that a high standard of sustainable design and construction for all new development is required. The standards used would be dependant upon best practice at the time (currently through BREEAM and Code for Sustainable Homes assessments). The Land Use Consultants report entitled ‘Delivering Sustainable Energy in North Yorkshire’ sets out that on-site renewable energy equipment should be incorporated to reduce predicted carbon emissions by at least 10%. Further details of predicted carbon emission reduction targets will be delivered through an SPD associated with Policy CS14 outlined below;
- It is also intended that the RSS target for York of 11MW by 2010 and 31MW by 2021 will be exceeded. As part of achieving the RSS target, new developments of more than 10 dwellings or 1000m$^2$ of non-residential floorspace and should
secure at least 10% of their energy from decentralised, renewable or low-carbon sources;
• As part of achieving the RSS targets, stand-alone renewable energy generation shall be required. This is to be carried out whilst taking into account nature conservation, the importance of any impact on the environment, sensitivity of the landscape, and the historic character and setting of York; and
• As recommended within the Land Use Consultants report, part of achieving national and regional policy relating to CHP, developers will be required to assess the feasibility of integrating CHP and district/block heating or cooling infrastructure (through renewable energy technologies) into new developments of over 1000m².

### Strategic Objective

The City of York Council will seek to help reduce York’s eco and carbon footprint through the promotion of sustainable design and construction, energy efficiency and renewable energy, thereby reducing overall energy use and help in the fight against Climate Change.

### Targets

**Progress towards the Strategic Objective will be measured against the following targets:**

• All new developments and conversions to be built to the highest quality design using innovative construction and energy and water efficient methods based on targets set out in the forthcoming Sustainable Design and Construction SPD;

• To exceed the RSS targets of 11MW of renewable energy by the year 2010 and 31MW by the year 2021 using installed grid-connected technology;

• All new developments and conversions of more than 10 dwellings or 1,000m² of non-residential floorspace to offset at least 10% of the predicted carbon emission through on-site renewable energy generation; and

• The number of planning applications for new developments over 1000m² that integrate CHP and district/block heating or cooling infrastructure.

### Policy CS14: Sustainable Resource Use

The LDF will contribute to the reduction of York’s eco and carbon footprint through Sustainable Design and Construction and promoting energy efficiency through the application of the Energy Hierarchy. This will be achieved in the following ways:

(i) Future development and conversions will be a high standard of sustainable design and construction using innovative techniques promoting high standards of energy and water efficiency.
All new development and conversions of more than 10 dwellings or 1,000m$^2$ of non-residential floorspace will offset at least 10% of the predicted carbon emission through on-site renewable energy generation.

(ii) Through ensuring we exceed the RSS targets for York through either on-site or off-site generation.

The Allocations DPD, will identify suitable sites for stand alone renewable energy, taking into account any impact on the environment, sensitivity of the landscape, and historic character and setting of York.

(iii) All new developments over 1000m$^2$ will be required to assess the feasibility of integrating CHP and district /block heating or cooling infrastructure (along with other renewable energy technologies).

An SPD will be delivered to address in detail, high quality design and construction, energy efficiency, carbon reduction targets, decentralised, renewable and low carbon technologies and many other core principles of embedded sustainable development into the LDF.

**Sustainability Appraisal**

15.14 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 190 of Annex B. The full Sustainability Appraisal is also available as a separate document.

**Question 15:**

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to resource efficiency. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you think that the sustainable design and construction, energy efficiency, and renewable energy methods highlighted in this section will help to reduce York’s eco and carbon footprints thereby reducing overall energy use in line with the Energy Hierarchy and help in the fight against Climate Change?

b) Do you think that the Council should undertake further work to identify suitable York-based thresholds (beyond those set out in RSS) for renewable energy generation and to identify general locations suitable for CHP generation and other appropriate renewable energy methods?
Section 16 - Flood Risk

Introduction

16.1 Ensuring that new development is not subject to, or contributes to inappropriate levels of flood risk from the River Ouse, Foss and Derwent is a key part of the Local Development Framework (LDF) vision. Flood risk is a particularly important issue for York, the city has a history of flooding and the management of flood risk continues to be an important issue, particularly following several major flooding events in recent years. The Sustainable Community Strategy supports measures to reduce the risk of flooding. Furthermore, it is anticipated that the flooding threat will increase as a result of climate change, due to more intense rainfall and increased peak river flows. The LDF has a role to play in reducing future levels of flood risk, both in terms of the location of new development and also in the design and layout of development.

Context

Policy Context

16.2 Government guidance (PPS25) aims to reduce the risks from flooding to people, and both the built and natural environment. It sets out three key requirements that influence how planning authorities should deal with development and flood risk:

- the need to adopt a risk-based approach to proposals for development in or affecting flood risk areas;
- the requirement to apply this risk-based approach to the preparation of development plans and development control decisions through a Sequential Test and where appropriate the Exception Test; and
- the need for all development plans to consider flood risk areas and for the Environment Agency to provide advice on flood risk and flood defences.

16.3 At a regional level, the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) sets out the key environmental flooding requirements for the York sub area. York should help to mitigate flooding through proactive planning and management and provide appropriate protection. In addition the RSS states that the region will manage flood risk proactively by reducing the causes of flooding to existing and future development, avoiding development in high flood risk areas where possible, and putting those areas unsuitable for built development into a variety of green infrastructure uses.

Local Issue

16.4 The characteristics of the York river catchment, in addition to the significant amount of rainfall it receives, makes York particularly susceptible to flooding. The Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) (2007) assesses the different levels of flood risk in the York area and provides advice on what development is appropriate in each flood risk zone. These zones are set out in Figure 17.
Figure 17: Strategic Flood Risk Assessment Flood Risk Zones

- Zone 1: Little or no risk
- Zone 2: Low to medium risk
- Zone 3a (i) – areas at high risk of flooding which are currently defended to 1 in 100 year protection.
- Zone 3a (ii) - areas at high risk of flooding which are currently defended to 50 year protection for existing development, but are not defended to the appropriate 1 in 100 year for new development.
- Zone 3a (iii) - areas at high risk of flooding which are not currently defended to 50 year protection
- Zone 3b: Functional Floodplain

16.5 The high flood zones (3a i, ii, iii and 3b) are illustrated within the Spatial Strategy (Section 3) in Figure 8. The SFRA approach advocates directing development to the lowest areas of flood risk by applying the Sequential Test.

16.6 Only after the Sequential test has been applied, can the Exception Test be undertaken. The Exception Test approach recognises the need to balance wider sustainability issues with flood risk. This test involves the consideration of whether the proposed development contributes to sustainable development in its wider sense; is located on brownfield land; and whether a detailed site specific flood risk assessment indicates that the development will be safe and will not increase flood risk elsewhere. The Exception Test essentially allows a balance to be struck in some instances between flood risk and wider sustainability objectives, for example where a highly accessible brownfield development site lies within a high flood risk zone.

16.7 To protect existing built up areas, funding has been made available through the Yorkshire Regional Flood Defence Committee. The Leeman Road area of the city has received part of this funding to develop new flood embankments to protect the area when the River Ouse bursts its banks. Preliminary work has now commenced with the two-year construction project due to start in 2011/2012.

You Told Us

16.8 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)):

- it was thought that the findings of the SFRA should be used to identify suitable sites for development;
- development should only be allowed to take place in areas with the lowest risk of flooding; and
- as a result of climate change, the increased risks of flooding were highlighted, and it was emphasised that there is a need for urgent technical solutions as well as employing mitigation measures such as Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS).
The Preferred Approach

16.9 Flood risk is identified as a key constraint in the overall spatial strategy and has been used to inform the location of future housing and employment growth. In addition both the Sequential and Exception Tests set out in the SFRA will be applied to development proposals.

16.10 During previous consultations we asked how the LDF should seek to balance flood risk and sustainability issues when locating development in high flood risk areas. The preferred option seeks to prioritise brownfield sustainable locations and to mitigate potential flood risk through technical solutions. The identification of sites in low flood risk areas regardless of site sustainability was discounted as it goes against the advice in the SFRA in relation to the Exception Test.

Strategic Objective

The City of York Council will seek to ensure that new development is not subject to, nor contributes to, inappropriate levels of flood risk from the Rivers Ouse, Foss and Derwent and other sources, taking into account the full likely future impacts of climate change.

Targets

Progress towards the Strategic Objective will be measured against the following targets:

- No planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood risk and water quality grounds; and
- All brownfield developments in York will be required to demonstrate that there will be a reduction of at least 30% in existing run-off rates as a result of the development. All greenfield developments need to demonstrate no alteration of run-off rates following completion of development.

Policy CS15: Flood Risk

In identifying sites for development the City of York Council will ensure that flood risk is minimised to new development from the River Ouse, Foss, Derwent and their associated tributaries and watercourses. Development in the functional flood plain (Flood Zone 3b) will not be allowed apart from Water Compatible and Essential Infrastructure uses. Development in high flood risk areas (Flood Zone 3a(i,ii,iii)) will be subject to consideration of an Exception Test. This will involve the consideration of balancing wider sustainability issues, alongside site specific mitigation measures identified through a detailed Flood Risk Assessment (FRA).

In line with the City of York Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment, a site-specific Flood Risk Assessment, which takes account of future climate change must be carried out when allocating sites through the LDF process and submitted with appropriate planning applications (as outlined in Appendix E of PPS25). This relates
to Flood Zones 2, 3a(i), (ii), (iii) and 3b and for development proposals of 1 hectare or greater in Flood Zone 1.

Brownfield developments in York will be required to demonstrate that there will be a reduction of at least 30% in existing run-off rates as a result of the development, Greenfield developments need to demonstrate no alteration of run-off rates following completion of development.

All new developments will include the implementation of Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems where technically feasible. This will be explained in more detail though the production of a Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems Supplementary Planning Document.

**Sustainability Appraisal**

16.11 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 191 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

**Question 16:**

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to flood risk. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you think that the policy will ensure that new development is not subject to, or contributes to inappropriate levels of flood risk from the River Ouse, Foss and Derwent?

b) Do you think that the 30% target for the reduction of run-off rates is achievable on all brownfield sites? If not, please submit alternative evidence to support any proposed revised target.
Section 17 - Sustainable Waste Management

Introduction

17.1 For York to be an environmentally friendly city we must consider the way we deal with the waste that we create. The Local Development Framework (LDF) will aim to reduce waste production through supporting innovation and improvement of current waste practices, promoting recycling and providing sustainable and accessible waste sites, with the overall aim of achieving sustainable waste management. This is also a fundamental part of both the LDF vision and York’s Sustainable Community Strategy.

17.2 The LDF must ensure that adequate sites and facilities are available to manage the quantities of municipal, commercial and industrial, construction and demolition, agricultural, and hazardous waste which will be produced over the plan period. Waste sites will be identified through the Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD). The Core Strategy will include planning polices to guide the location of new waste facilities, and encourage waste minimisation.

Context

National Context

17.3 The Government highlights through Planning Policy Statement (PPS) 10 that a plan-led approach to the delivery of waste management facilities should be adopted. PPS10 specifies that the Core Strategy should set out the planning strategy for sustainable waste management that enables sufficient opportunities for the provision of waste management facilities in appropriate locations. In addition it highlights broad location types that should be considered for waste management including industrial sites, co-location opportunities and previously developed land.

17.4 A key principle of government guidance in PPS10, is to drive waste management up the Waste Hierarchy by addressing waste as a resource, with disposal as a last option. The Waste Hierarchy primarily aims to reduce the generation of waste followed by reusing, recycling and energy recovering. If none of these options are viable only then should waste be disposed of. Sustainable waste management requires that more waste is managed by the options toward the top of the Waste Hierarchy (See Figure 18).
17.5 To measure progress towards this, the Council is required to contribute to achieving national landfill diversion, recycling and waste recovery targets.

17.6 The Waste Strategy for England 2007 highlights several key objectives that Local Authorities should build on through their LDFs, these include:

- decouple waste growth (in all sectors) from economic growth and put more emphasis on waste prevention and re-use;
- meet and exceed the Landfill Directive diversion targets for biodegradable municipal waste in 2010, 2013 and 2020;
- increase diversion from landfill of non-municipal waste and secure better integration of treatment for municipal and non-municipal waste;
- secure the investment in infrastructure needed to divert waste from landfill and for the management of hazardous waste; and
- get the most environmental benefit from that investment, through increased recycling of resources and recovery of energy from residual waste using a mix of technologies.

17.7 England has made significant progress since the original Waste Strategy in 2000. Recycling and composting of waste has nearly quadrupled since 1996-97, achieving 27% in 2005-06. The recycling of packaging waste has increased from 27% to 56% since 1998. Less waste is being sent to landfill, with a 9% fall between 2000-01 and 2004-05. Waste growth is also being reduced with municipal waste growing much less quickly than the economy at 0.5% per year.

17.8 This progress has been driven by significant changes in government policy. The landfill tax escalator and the introduction of the Landfill Allowance Trading Scheme (LATS) have created sharp incentives to divert waste from landfill. Additional funding to help local authorities achieve this has been made available through PFI credits. Further funding has also been made available through the Waste Infrastructure Grant which has enabled increases in kerbside recycling operations for York.

17.9 The new Waste Strategy for England 2007 sets higher national targets for:

- recycling and composting of household waste – at least 40% by 2010, 45% by 2015 and 50% by 2020; and
- recovery of municipal waste – 53% by 2010, 67% by 2015 and 75% by 2020.
Regional Context

17.10 The Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) also places great emphasis on applying the Waste Hierarchy. The policies identify key regional objectives and address issues related to the type and location of waste management facilities. In addition the RSS provides waste arisings forecasts for the whole region broken down to a local authority basis. Tables 5 and 6 show the forecasts for York. In summary the City of York Council are required to manage 146,000 tonnes of Municipal Solid Waste (MSW) and 299,000 tonnes of Commercial and Industrial waste (C&I) by 2021.

Local Context

Waste Streams Arising in York

17.11 Guidance indicates that Waste Planning Authorities need to ensure that adequate sites and facilities are available to manage a range of municipal, commercial and industrial, construction and demolition, agricultural and hazardous waste streams. In order to determine land requirements for these different types of waste the volumes to be managed need to be considered. Information on waste volumes are set out below and the land requirements are addressed through the Preferred Approach section within this chapter.

Municipal Solid Waste

17.12 MSW is a waste type that includes predominantly household waste including biodegradable waste (e.g. green waste and kitchen waste), with the addition of the following:

- Recyclable materials e.g. paper, glass bottles, cans and plastics; and
- Inert waste: e.g. construction and demolition waste

The RSS forecasts for MSW are set out below in Table 5:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Tonnes to be managed</th>
<th>Maximum landfill capacity</th>
<th>Minimum treatment capacity</th>
<th>Minimum tonnage to be recycled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>87 (73%)</td>
<td>32 (27%)</td>
<td>32 (27%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>60 (47%)</td>
<td>67 (53%)</td>
<td>51 (40%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>45 (33%)</td>
<td>91 (67%)</td>
<td>61 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2021</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>37 (25%)</td>
<td>110 (75%)</td>
<td>73 (55%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Commercial and Industrial Waste

17.13 C&I waste is controlled waste arising from the business sector. Industrial waste is waste generated by factories and industrial plants whereas commercial waste arises from the activities of wholesalers, catering establishments, shops and offices.

RSS forecasts for C&I waste are set out in Table 6.
17.14 Hazardous waste is essentially waste that contains hazardous properties that may render it harmful to human health or the environment and includes items such as asbestos, refrigeration equipment, oils, batteries, televisions and chemicals.

17.15 Figures produced by the Environment Agency indicate that 8,380 tonnes of Hazardous Waste per annum is produced in the York area. 5,030 tonnes per year of this waste is managed by the City of York Council. The disposal methods are highlighted below in Table 7:

**Table 7: Hazardous Waste Disposal Methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Disposal</th>
<th>Amount (Tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landfill</td>
<td>13.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycling / Reuse</td>
<td>1179.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer (Recovery)</td>
<td>49.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td>3787.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>5030.21</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Agricultural Waste**

17.16 In May 2006 new regulations came into force which affect whether or not farmers can burn, bury, store or use their waste on the farm or send it elsewhere. The Environment Agency acts as the regulation body and issues exemption licences and visits sites to monitor the waste-related activities on farms. In some cases, the issuing of licences mean that farmers are able to deal with some of their waste themselves on their land, however in most cases, the waste needs to be taken to waste disposal sites or be dealt with by professional waste collectors in accordance with a Duty of Care transfer note.

17.17 At present, the Council does not deal with Agricultural Waste in terms of regulating or collecting it.

17.18 The Environment Agency have provided Agricultural Waste Arising figure for 2006 on a regional level. These are set out in Table 8 for Yorkshire and the Humber:
Table 8: Regional Agricultural Waste Arisings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agricultural Waste Type</th>
<th>Amount (Tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Plastics</td>
<td>9585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agricultural Paper</td>
<td>767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Animal Health Waste</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal Waste</td>
<td>3096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk Waste</td>
<td>1153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFCs (Containers)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Deposits and Residues</td>
<td>13207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oils</td>
<td>2771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asbestos Roof Sheeting</td>
<td>3324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Batteries</td>
<td>341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFCs (Gas)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyres</td>
<td>2872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>37,299</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sub-Regional and Local Waste Strategies

17.19 In 2006 the City of York Council and North Yorkshire County Council produced a joint Municipal Waste Management Strategy entitled ‘Let’s Talk Less Rubbish’. The strategy sets out the aspirations of the partnership for dealing with municipal waste for the period 2006-2026. It sets out targets for the reduction of waste disposal to landfill, and increases in recycling rates throughout the partnership, these are set out below:

- Divert 75% of municipal waste from landfill by 2013;
- Recycle or compost 40% of household waste by 2010;
- Recycle or compost 45% of household waste by 2013; and
- Recycle or compost 50% of household waste by 2020.

17.20 The existing City of York Council Waste Management Strategy 2002 – 2020 (2002/amended 2004) effectively sits beneath the ‘Let’s Talk Less Rubbish’ document and will be delivered through action plans. Both strategies highlight the importance of developing waste management schemes and services which will enable York to meet the local, regional and national recovery/recycling targets in a cost effective manner. This must also be in line with the principles of Best Value, Best Practicable Environmental Option (BPEO), Waste Hierarchy, proximity principle and self-sufficiency. In September 2008 a Waste Management Strategy – refresh for the period 2008 - 2014 was approved at the City of York Council’s Executive Meeting. The Waste Strategy key aim was to reduce waste going to landfill and increase kerbside collections to all households including terraced properties and flats. It was expected that the outcome of this would increase the amount of waste diverted from landfill and would result in a household waste recycling rate of about 50% once fully implemented.

17.21 York is working jointly with North Yorkshire County Council to divert biodegradable waste from landfill, this is likely to require a new treatment facility. The Councils have received £65 million of Private Finance Initiative (PFI) credits which was approved in July 2007 by the DEFRA funding programme. The project is currently at an
advanced stage within the procurement process. It is expected that the preferred bidder will be selected in mid 2009, after which they will apply for planning permission and the plant should be operational in 2013. This scheme requires suitable sites to be identified for large waste treatment plants, for example, energy from waste (EfW) and/or mechanical biological treatment (MBT) facilities. It has been agreed that the facility will be located within the North Yorkshire County Council area. Dependent upon where the large waste treatment plant is located in North Yorkshire, York may need to identify suitable sites for Waste Transfer Stations (where waste is delivered for separation or bulking up before being removed for recycling, treatment or disposal).

17.22 The Council’s Household Waste Recycling Centres are also being evaluated. Beckfield Lane has been acknowledged within the City of York Council’s Policy Prospectus as a possible waste facility to be replaced. The current site has problems due to its close proximity to a residential area leading to antisocial behaviour and noise problems. The physical size of the Beckfield Lane site is also a constraint due to the lack of opportunity to expand. Following the evaluation of existing facilities, the Allocations DPD will undertake an assessment of any potential future sites.

You Told Us

17.23 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)):

- respondents felt that different polices needed to be developed for the different phases of waste management e.g. waste generation, waste collection and waste treatment;
- it was argued that the Core Strategy should either identify specific sites for waste facilities or provide sufficient and clear locational criteria from which acceptable sites can be identified;
- in terms of identifying sites it was felt that maximum use should be made of previously developed land and existing waste sites. The allocation of these sites should also be informed by the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA);
- on a smaller scale, respondents stated that all development should include space for the separation and segregation of waste at source; and
- with regard to waste treatment it was argued that new waste technologies should be explored.

The Preferred Approach

17.24 As stated previously, the City of York Council needs to ensure that adequate sites and facilities are available to manage a range of municipal, commercial and industrial, construction and demolition, agricultural and hazardous waste streams. The land requirements for these various waste streams are discussed below:

Municipal Solid Waste

17.25 Table 9 indicates the amounts of Municipal Waste and its disposal methods in the City of York Council area over the past three years
Table 9: Municipal Waste Arisings and Disposal Methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Waste</th>
<th>Landfill</th>
<th>Recycled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006/2007</td>
<td>122,380 tonnes</td>
<td>74,210</td>
<td>39.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007/2008</td>
<td>118,600 tonnes</td>
<td>68,040</td>
<td>43.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/2009 Estimates</td>
<td>114,780 tonnes</td>
<td>64,240</td>
<td>45.17%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17.26 The total waste and recycling figures indicated in Table 9 above show that the City of York Council are currently performing beyond some of the targets set out in the Waste Strategy for England 2007, RSS figures indicated in Table 5 and in Let’s Talk Less Rubbish. However the Council intends to build on these through increased kerbside collections for flats and terraces, and other initiatives as set out in the Councils Waste Strategy Refresh for the period 2008-2014 (Executive September 2008).

17.27 The figures in Table 10 below are Municipal Waste projections over the next 10 years based on a 1% growth rate (based on zero growth in existing domestic property base but with additional waste arisings from 1000 new households per annum):

Table 10: Municipal Waste Projections 2009/2010 - 2019/2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Waste</th>
<th>Recycled</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2009/2010</td>
<td>115,750 tonnes</td>
<td>48.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010/2011</td>
<td>116,730 tonnes</td>
<td>50.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011/2012</td>
<td>117,720 tonnes</td>
<td>50.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012/2013</td>
<td>118,720 tonnes</td>
<td>51.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013/2014</td>
<td>119,730 tonnes</td>
<td>51.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014/2015</td>
<td>120,750 tonnes</td>
<td>52.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015/2016</td>
<td>121,780 tonnes</td>
<td>52.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016/2017</td>
<td>122,820 tonnes</td>
<td>53.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017/2018</td>
<td>123,870 tonnes</td>
<td>53.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018/2019</td>
<td>124,930 tonnes</td>
<td>54.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019/2020</td>
<td>126,000 tonnes</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17.28 The targets in Let’s Talk Less Rubbish, Landfill Allowance Trading Scheme and in the Waste Strategy for England 2007 indicate reducing biodegradable waste going into landfill is key. This is being managed through the Waste PFI process and the identification of a site within North Yorkshire for the major waste treatment facility. The Allocations DPD will identify sites for appropriate smaller waste transfer stations within York and any other facilities if they are required by the Waste Management Strategy.

17.29 Construction and demolition waste is also a component of Municipal Solid Waste. A small element of this waste stream is dealt with at York’s three Household Waste Recycling Centres (an estimated 5,450 tonnes in 2008/2009). In connection with the sustainable design and construction requirements referred to under Policy CS14 within the Resource Efficiency section, standards will be introduced to require developers to minimise construction waste and to include design measures which
maximise opportunities for future occupiers to reduce the amount of waste eventually sent to landfill (for example through the provision of recycling and composting facilities).

**Commercial and Industrial, Hazardous and Agricultural Waste**

17.30 Commercial and Hazardous Waste is dealt with by private waste management firms and the City of York Council. Industrial and Agricultural waste is primarily dealt with by private waste management firms. To gain an increased understanding of the land requirements for these waste streams we will discuss waste arisings and required facilities with the key operators as part of the Preferred Options consultation.

### Strategic Objectives

**The City of York Council will seek to:**

Maximise the extent to which waste is reduced, reused and recycled, and provide appropriate sites for waste management in accordance with both the regional and local Waste Management Strategies. This will be achieved through:

1. Supporting and encouraging waste minimisation,
2. Supporting and promoting reduction, reuse, recycling, and composting of waste in line with the Waste Hierarchy, this includes meeting or exceeding the requirements of the European Landfill Directive, National Waste Strategy, RSS and sub-regional/local strategies;
3. Providing adequate household recycling facilities across the city; and
4. Allocating sufficient, appropriate and accessible land within York that is capable of accommodating a range of strategic waste management and treatment facilities, including facilities in relation to the Waste Private Finance Initiative (PFI).

### Targets

By providing sufficient land and facilities for waste management, the Council will aid progression towards the Strategic Objectives, this will be measured against the following targets:

1. To reduce residual household waste per household from 663kg in 2008 to 563kg by 2011;
2. To reuse, recycle and compost - at least 54.5% of household waste by 2020. This exceeds the Waste Strategy for England 2007 target of 50% by 2020;
3. To recover at least 67% of municipal waste by 2015 and 75% by 2020; and
4. In accordance with the European Landfill Directive and avoid financial penalties, to reduce the amount of biodegradable municipal waste (BMW) sent to landfill. In key target years the amount of BMW sent to landfill should not exceed:
   - 2009/2010 - 44,280 tonnes
   - 2012/2013 - 29,490 tonnes
   - 2019/2020 - 20,640 tonnes
Policy CS16 - Sustainable Waste Management

The Council will promote sustainable waste management by encouraging waste minimisation through the use of the Waste Hierarchy and effectively managing York’s waste arisings. This will be achieved by:

1. Facilitating the provision of new or enhanced waste management and treatment facilities by identifying suitable sites through the Allocations DPD, if required, to accommodate commercial and industrial or municipal waste transfer, recycling and recovery facilities; and
2. Requiring the integration of facilities for waste minimisation, re-use, recycling and composting in association with the planning, construction and occupation of new development;

In considering proposals for new or expanded waste facilities, consideration will be given to environmental, operational, transportation factors and the locational criteria set out in PPS10. This will include protecting the historic character and setting of the City and protecting the amenity of residents.

Sustainability Appraisal

17.31 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 192 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

Question 17:

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to sustainable waste management. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you think that the correct approach is being taken in relation to the Waste Hierarchy in terms of promoting waste prevention, minimisation, reuse and recycling?
Section 18 - Minerals

Introduction

18.1 An important aspect of resource efficiency is considering how we manage minerals. They are a finite, but vital resource. The Sustainable Community Strategy highlights the importance of tackling climate change with the reduction of Mineral extraction playing a key role. The Local Development Framework (LDF) will seek to reduce dependency on primary extraction through encouraging the use of secondary and recycled aggregates wherever possible. Safeguarding mineral deposits along with reducing the use of non-renewable resources, is a key part of the LDF vision. Where mineral deposits exist the LDF must ensure that they are safeguarded from sterilisation.

Context

Policy Context

18.2 National planning policy on minerals (MSP1: Planning and Minerals) recognises the need for an adequate and steady supply of material to provide the infrastructure, buildings and goods that society, industry and the economy needs, but highlights that this provision needs to be made in accordance with the principles of sustainable development. MSP1 states that in order to secure the long-term conservation of minerals it is necessary to make the best use of them. This can be achieved by adopting a hierarchical approach to minerals supply, which aims firstly to reduce as far as practicable the quantity of material used and waste generated, then to use as much recycled and secondary material as possible, before finally securing the remainder of material needed through new primary extraction.

18.3 Where extraction is necessary an integrated approach should be adopted which considers the social, environmental and economic factors of doing so and securing avoidance or appropriate mitigation of environmental impacts.

18.4 At a regional level, the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS) seeks the safeguarding of mineral deposits, and maximisation of the use of secondary aggregates. However, the sub-regional apportionments set out in the RSS does not identify York as needing to produce aggregates in the period 2001 to 2016. Therefore, at this stage, the Council will not be identifying specific sites and areas for future working.

18.5 However, MSP1 requires that Mineral Safeguarding Areas (MSAs) are defined in Development Plan Documents to ensure that proven resources are not needlessly sterilised by non-mineral development, although there is no presumption that resources defined in MSAs will be worked.

Local Issues

18.6 In 2001, the British Geological Survey were commissioned by Yorkshire and Humber Regional Aggregates Working Party to produce the Yorkshire and Humber Sand and Gravel Study, to identify the broad areas of sand and gravel resources in the region and to further identify potentially suitable resources for use as concrete aggregate. Phase 1 of this study examines the broad areas of potential reserves of sand and
gravel suitable for use as a concrete aggregate. The results of this study confirmed areas within York which offer potential reserves of sand and gravel. Phase 2 of the study assesses the likely social, economic and environmental impacts of extraction. Also, funding for a building materials study is being sought to assess the levels and location of brick clay and other minerals in Yorkshire and the Humber. The Core Strategy will need to reflect the findings of these studies once they are complete.

You Told Us

18.7 The following comments were provided as part of the Issues and Options consultations (for further information see ‘Core Strategy Consultation Statement’ (2009)).

- as a starting point respondents argued that we should maximize the recycling of building waste and aggregates from all developments;
- there was a mix of views as to when minerals extraction should be permitted ranging from when there was a national shortfall to giving priority to local market need;
- on extraction this was supported only when there is a minimal impact on the surrounding area, natural environment and local communities; and
- it was also argued that any new extraction should be informed by the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA).

The Preferred Approach

18.8 Drawing from the overall approach of the Core Strategy and the issues highlighted above, a strategic objective, target and policy approach has been developed, as shown below.

18.9 During previous consultations we asked how the LDF should seek to manage mineral deposits. All respondents agreed that the exploration, appraisal, winning and working of sand and gravel should be permitted provided there is a demonstrable need and demand locally in the York area. However it has been suggested that this option is only suitable if it is made clear that there will be minimal impact on the surrounding area, natural environment and local communities.

Strategic Objective

The City of York Council will seek to safeguard mineral deposits and reduce the consumption of non-renewable mineral resources by encouraging re-use and recycling of construction and demolition waste, whilst contributing to meeting the RSS, Sand and Gravel and Brick Clay Study requirements.

Targets

Progress towards the Strategic Objective will be measured against the following targets:

- No developments permitted which would compromise the mineral resource protection area set through the Regional Sand and Gravel Study;
• All developments to demonstrate good practice in the use, re-use and recycling and disposal of construction materials; and
• Meet the RSS, Regional Sand and Gravel and Brick Clay Study requirements from appropriately located sites.

Policy CS17: Safeguarding Mineral Resources and Local Amenity

The Council will safeguard mineral resources in any locations which are identified in the Yorkshire and Humber Sand and Gravel Study from other forms of development that would prejudice future mineral extraction.

Minerals extraction will be considered in line with future mineral requirements set out in RSS. Minerals development will not be permitted where it would adversely affect the amenities enjoyed by existing or future occupiers and users of nearby dwellings and buildings, or other adverse impact on significant natural or historic features and open spaces. The Council will also require satisfactory after care and restoration proposals.

The Council will promote minimising the consumption of non-renewable mineral resources by requiring developments to demonstrate good practice in the use, reuse, recycling and disposal of construction materials.

Sustainability Appraisal

18.10 For a summary of the Sustainability Appraisal (SA) relating to the policy within this section, please refer to page 193 of Annex B. The full SA is also available as a separate document.

Question 18:

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to minerals. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you agree that known locations which contain mineral resources should be safeguarded from other forms of development that would prejudice future mineral extraction?
This section looks at how the Council will make sure that developers build the right type of building in the right place whilst making sure that important parts of the environment aren't damaged. It also sets out how the Council can check whether the rules set out in this document are used and whether they work towards making York a better place.
Section 19: Delivering New Infrastructure

Introduction

19.1 This section is concerned with ensuring that the physical, social and green infrastructure needed to support the amount and distribution of development proposed is delivered. It is crucial that new development is supported by appropriate infrastructure to ensure the creation of sustainable communities.

Context

Policy Context

19.2 Government guidance (PPS12) emphasises the role of delivery and expects Core Strategies to include a delivery strategy. The delivery strategy should identify as far as possible:

- infrastructure needs and costs;
- phasing of development;
- funding sources; and
- responsibilities for delivery.

19.3 As the Core Strategy develops, we will work with key delivery partners to identify infrastructure needs and costs. A key source of funding for infrastructure will be developer contributions, of which a number of potential mechanisms are set out in the paragraphs below.

Planning Obligations

19.4 National legislation has put in place a system for agreeing planning obligations. Planning obligations (also referred to as Section 106 Agreements) are agreements between a local authority and a landowner/developer to mitigate a development’s impact. They are commonly used to bring development in line with the objectives of sustainable development. Planning obligations can be used for a range of different purposes, such as:

- to prescribe the nature of the development (e.g. requiring that a certain proportion of housing is affordable);
- to secure a contribution from the developer to compensate for loss or damage caused by the development (e.g. loss of open space); or
- to mitigate a development’s impact (e.g. through increase public transport provision).

19.5 Contributions can also take different forms, including contributions ‘in kind’ or financial contributions and these can be made through one off payments, phased payments, maintenance payments or pooled contributions.

19.6 The Practice Guidance on Planning Obligations (2006) states that planning authorities should use the Core Strategy to outline their high level planning
obligations which contribute towards delivering their spatial vision and policies. They should generally cover the following issues:

- the circumstances in which planning obligations will be sought (applying policy tests of Circular 5/05\(^1\));
- the range of infrastructure, facilities and services for which contributions are likely to be sought for different types of development; and
- the types of contribution that will be sought e.g. whether pooled or phased contributions will be used and when maintenance payments will be sought.

19.7 Other Development Plan Documents (DPDs) should be used if it is intended to use a specific approach to obligations policy for particular sites or areas, for example in an Area Action Plan (AAP) or Allocations DPD. Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs) should be used to set out the detail of the obligations policy as there may be a need to revise or update detail due to changing circumstances, pricing or formula.

19.8 The Council’s current advice note to applicants on planning obligations outlines the matters which obligations commonly cover:

- Education;
- Public open space;
- Affordable housing;
- Off site highways works;
- Payments in lieu of a shortfall in on site car or cycle parking provision;
- CCTV contributions;
- Green Travel Plans or Transport Policy Statements;
- Energy efficiency schemes;
- Restrictions on use of adjacent land;
- Riverside walkways;
- Drainage and flood protection measures; and
- Environmental improvements.

19.9 In light of policy areas emerging through the Core Strategy, future additions to this list might be:

- Community facilities;
- Pedestrian, cycleway and public transport initiatives;
- Green infrastructure;
- Public realm improvements;
- Protection and improvement of the historic environment; and
- Public art.

**Tariffs and Standard Charges**

19.10 Guidance on planning obligations encourages the use of formulae and standard charges to help calculate the level of obligations that might be appropriate for certain

\(^1\) A planning obligation must be: relevant to planning; necessary to make the proposed development acceptable in planning terms; directly related to the proposed development; fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the proposed development; and reasonable in all other respects.
types of development. Standard charges are now being used increasingly by local authorities as part of their framework for securing obligations. A number of authorities are also introducing standard tariffs in their Local Development Frameworks (LDFs), so that individual developments contribute to the delivery of strategic infrastructure and cumulatively contribute towards ensuring that a place grows in a sustainable way.

**Community Infrastructure Levy**

19.11 PPS12 refers to the introduction of a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) which local authorities could charge to help finance the infrastructure needed to support growth. The intention is that CIL would be a standard charge, set by local authorities, which is then levied on most types of development on the basis of how many units or how many square metres are proposed. The receipts would then be used to fund infrastructure ranging from transport, schools, and health centres to flood defences, play areas and open space. It is proposed that these new powers will come into effect by Autumn 2009.

19.12 The government are also exploring whether it would be appropriate to allow different rates of CIL to be charged within an authority’s area, reflecting the fact that some authorities have already designed tariffs which require different rates for different areas.

**The Preferred Approach**

19.13 The Council is committed to the comprehensive delivery of the Core Strategy. A key element of delivery will be to ensure that the infrastructure needed to support development is provided and funded. The Council is currently considering the best approach and working with key delivery partners to ensure this is achieved. This will take account of national policy changes, in particular, the anticipated introduction of the Regulations to guide the charging of a CIL in 2009 and any subsequent restrictions on the use of planning obligations. It is likely that a future approach would combine obligations with a standard tariff or CIL approach. For example, affordable housing may continue to be delivered through obligations whilst other contributions to infrastructure would be sought through a tariff or CIL approach. The overarching approach will be set out in a Core Strategy policy, with the supporting detail then included in a SPD.

19.14 The monitoring and delivery section currently identifies the key delivery agencies and mechanisms for implementing the Core Strategy policies. At the next stage this will be expanded to include delivery of any key infrastructure schemes and will provide indicative timescales for delivery and identify sources of funding.

19.15 Whatever approach is adopted through the Core Strategy it is recognised that contributions should not prejudice development which supports the Council’s vision and objectives. If it is claimed that a development is unable to support the costs of a planning obligation, tariff or CIL (other than those essential to allow the development to proceed) then this would be the subject of negotiations. In such cases, the developer will have to demonstrate non-viability via an ‘open book’ approach. Normal development costs, and the costs of high quality materials and urban design
considerations are universally applicable and will not be allowed for in negotiations to reduce contributions.

**Policy CS18: Infrastructure and Developer Contributions**

New development will be supported by appropriate physical, social and economic infrastructure provision. The Council will work with infrastructure providers and other delivery agencies to determine the appropriate level of provision. The Council will seek contributions from developers to ensure that the necessary infrastructure is in place to support development.

The Council will prepare a Supplementary Planning Document which will set out the mechanism through which developer contributions will be sought. This could include the use of planning obligations, tariffs, standard charges or a Levy.

**Question 19:**

This section raises a range of key issues. Using the response form provided, please tell us what you think of the Council’s preferred approach to delivery and review. Please feel free to give any comments you consider appropriate but in particular:

a) Do you have any views on what would be an appropriate mechanism for funding infrastructure? Should the Council use one, or a combination, of the following:

- planning obligations;
- standard charges;
- a standard tariff system; or
- a Community Infrastructure Levy (if introduced by national policy)?

b) Are there any other approaches which you think we should consider?
Section 20: Monitoring and Delivery

20.1 One of the key features of the Local Development Framework (LDF) is the ability to maintain flexibility to changing circumstances through the plan, monitor and manage approach which is inherent in the process. This allows the plan to be updated when required due to changes in circumstances.

20.2 It is essential that the LDF allows mechanisms to:

- Monitor the strategy’s preparation and outcomes by reviewing its performance, effectiveness and relevance; and
- Manage its implementation by taking early action to overcome barriers to delivery, or reviewing the strategy to meet changing circumstances.

Monitor

20.3 A set of key indicators and targets have been developed for each strategic objective, allowing their direct and indirect effects to be monitored. As the Core Strategy has been developed, the policies and proposals have been assessed in terms of their performance against key objectives and targets included in the Sustainability Appraisal, to assess their contribution towards promoting sustainable development.

20.4 The Annual Monitoring Report (AMR) is the main process for addressing LDF performance. The AMR is a requirement, as set out in the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, and provides an annual assessment of the implementation of the Local Development Scheme, and the extent to which the policies in Local Development Documents, including the Core Strategy, are being successfully implemented. Whilst many of the indicators have an existing monitoring process through the AMR, some of the proposed indicators in this section are new (shown in italics in the following table) and work on how the information will be collected is currently ongoing.

Delivery

20.5 The delivery of the policies in the Core Strategy will be undertaken through a range of public, private and voluntary bodies working in partnership with the Council. The role of the Core Strategy is to provide a clear policy framework to guide development and investment in the city.

20.6 York’s Local Strategic Partnership (LSP) – Without Walls, will also play a key role in delivery. The LSP prepared York’s Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS), which has informed the overarching vision of the LDF and the strategic objectives set out in the Core Strategy. The policies within the Core Strategy will therefore help to deliver the aims and objectives of the SCS and it will be critical to work with LSP partners to deliver these common objectives. Once the LDF is adopted then the SCS will be refreshed and refined and the measures in the delivery plan (the Local Area Agreement) reviewed to ensure the LDF and SCS are fully integrated.
20.7 Within the Local Development Framework itself, the Local Development Scheme (LDS) will provide a vital role in assisting in implementing the policies and proposals set out in the Core Strategy. The LDS is a management tool, setting out the programme for all the documents which form the LDF, which will support and enable the Core Strategy. The LDS will provide an approach which ensures an integrated policy approach across all the LDF documents and allows for co-ordinated timescales for the documents and associated issues. This will assist in ensuring an efficient and ordered approach to the objectives set out in the Core Strategy.

20.8 In developing the Core Strategy the Council is working with key delivery partners to ensure that the agencies and funding necessary for delivery of the policies and proposals will be in place. This will include identification of the level and type of infrastructure required to deliver the Core Strategy. As a result of this ongoing work, at the next stage, the Core Strategy will also provide indicative timescales for delivery and identify potential sources of funding.

20.9 The table at the end of this Section outlines the strategic objective, target, indicator and delivery agency for each policy in the Core Strategy. Together, this information will provide a comprehensive overview of the success and efficiency of each policy and indicate how each policy will be implemented and the partners involved in its delivery.
### Section 3 – Spatial Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Policy</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Spatial Principle 1:** A Sustainable Settlement Hierarchy (SP1) | To deliver the LDF vision through the adoption of a strategic spatial strategy for the distribution of development which allows the city to meet its economic and social aspirations whilst ensuring the following:  
- York’s unique character & setting is protected;  
- future development is concentrated in the locations well served by public transport & services;  
- that flood risk is appropriately managed; and  
- wildlife habitats are protected. | To ensure that all future development including allocations and windfalls are in conformity with the Spatial Principles highlighted in Section 3. | As the Spatial Strategy sets the overarching approach to development in York, the indicators in the individual Sections will also be used to judge the success of the Spatial Strategy. | Delivery Agency: City of York Council  
Delivery Mechanism: Allocations DPD, determination of planning applications |
| **Spatial Principle 2:** Areas of Constraint (SP2) |  |  |  |  |
| **Spatial Principle 3:** Approach to Future Development (SP3) |  |  |  |  |

### Section 4 – The Role of York’s Green Belt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Policy</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **CS1: The Role of York’s Green Belt** | • To set a permanent green belt for York that will not need to be reviewed for at least 20 years;  
• To maintain and preserve the historic setting of York;  
• To retain and protect special features such as the strays, green wedges and views of the Minster;  
• To reflect the other purposes set out in PPG2. | Adoption of detailed Green Belt boundaries through the Allocations DPD. | • Progress on preparation of the Allocations DPD. | Delivery Agency: City of York Council  
Delivery Mechanism: Allocations DPD |

### Section 5 - York City Centre

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Policy</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>CS2: York City Centre</strong></td>
<td>York City Centre will have a vibrant, successful economy that respects its heritage and historic settings. It</td>
<td>The adoption of a City Centre Area Action Plan by 2011.</td>
<td>• Progress on preparation of City Centre Area Action Plan.</td>
<td>Delivery Agency: City of York Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Strategy Policy</td>
<td>Strategic Objective</td>
<td>Target</td>
<td>Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)</td>
<td>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 6 – York Northwest</td>
<td>CS3: York Northwest</td>
<td>• To create exemplar new sustainable and inclusive communities of outstanding sustainable design which prioritise access by sustainable transport modes; • To ensure the new communities and development are fully integrated with existing communities and have adequate open space and local services and facilities; • To maximise a unique opportunity to contribute to the overall economic prosperity of the City.</td>
<td>• Provision of up to 3030 dwellings by 2030; • Provision of a minimum of 87,000sqm employment space by 2030 as part of a new office quarter; • Adoption of York Northwest Area Action Plan by 2011.</td>
<td>Delivery Mechanism: City Centre Area Action Plan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section 7 – York’s Special Historic and Built Environment**

**CS4: Urban Design and the Historic Environment**

To continue to protect, preserve and enhance York’s exceptional historic legacy, including its architecture and archaeology, significant views and the distinctive townscape characteristics of York’s neighbourhoods, and ensure that all new development delivers the highest quality of urban design, architecture and public realm.

- The completion of characterisation studies for key strategic sites;
- The completion of a Conservation Area Appraisal for the Central Historic Core and delivery of the City Centre Area Action Plan by 2011;
- All large sites of at least 10 local indicator: Progress on preparation of characterisation studies for key strategic sites; Local indicator: Progress on preparation of Conservation Area Appraisal for the Central Historic Core and delivery of the City Centre Area Action Plan; Core Indicator H6: Housing Quality (Building For Life)

### Section 8 – Housing Growth, Distribution, Density, Mix and Type

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Policy</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| CS5: The Scale of New Housing | To deliver an adequate number of housing sites in suitable locations to ensure that:  
- the RSS required housing numbers are achieved; and  
- the distribution of growth reflects the overall LDF spatial strategy.  
To ensure that York’s current and future residents have access to decent, safe and accessible homes throughout their lifetime, by ensuring that new development proposals respond to the findings of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment and Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment. | delivering at least 640 additional dwellings per year 2004-2008 and 850 per year 2008-2030;  
- Broadly, 98% of new housing will be concentrated within or adjacent to the Sub Regional City of York;  
- Minimum net housing densities of 75dph (City Centre), 50dph (Urban Area), 40dph (Suburban Area and Haxby) and 30dph (Rural Area) will be achieved;  
- At least 60% of homes delivered over the plan period will be houses rather than flats.  
- Delivery of sites for 36 additional Gypsy and Traveller pitches by 2030. | Core Indicator H1: Plan Period and Housing Targets;  
Core Indicator H2:  
a)Net additional Dwellings (in previous years)  
b)Net additional dwellings (for reporting year)  
c)Net additional dwellings (in future years)  
Core Indicator H3: New and Converted Dwellings - on Previously Developed Land (PDL);  
Local Indicator: Percentage of new housing concentrated within or adjacent to Sub Regional City of York;  
Local Indicator – Housing Mix (% of houses / flats - type and size of dwellings completed);  
Local Indicator - % of new dwellings completed at  
i) less than 30dph;  
ii) between 30 and 40dph;  
iii) between 40 and 60dph;  
iv) over 75dph in each of the location zones.  
Core indicator H4: Net additional pitches (Gypsy and Traveller). | Delivery Agency: City of York Council, developers  
Delivery Mechanism: Allocations DPD, determination of planning applications |
<p>| CS6: Gypsy, Traveller and Showperson Sites | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Policy</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 9 – Access to Affordable Housing</strong></td>
<td>CS7: Affordable Housing Options</td>
<td>To ensure that York’s current and future residents have access to decent, safe and accessible homes throughout their lifetime, by ensuring that new development proposals respond to the findings of the Strategic Housing Market Assessment.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Provision is made for at least 9,141 affordable homes for local people (43% of overall housing provision);</td>
<td>• Core Indicator H5: Gross Affordable Housing Completions;</td>
<td>Delivery Agency: City of York Council, developers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Delivery of Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document by 2011;</td>
<td>• Affordable housing completions as a percentage of overall housing completions per year;</td>
<td>Delivery Mechanism: Allocations DPD, determination of planning applications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Progress on preparing Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Document;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Local Indicator – Number of affordable dwellings provided on rural exception sites;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 10 – Access to Services</strong></td>
<td>CS8: Access to Services</td>
<td>To provide appropriate and accessible services as a key part of creating sustainable communities. All communities will have access to a range of local, and city-wide services including healthcare, education, local shops, a sports stadium, built sports facilities, other community facilities, public transport and emergency services.</td>
<td>All residential areas of the city to have access to appropriate services by 2030, including healthcare, education, local shops, a sports stadium, built sports facilities, other community facilities, public transport and emergency services.</td>
<td>Local Indicator: The amount of new residential development within 30 minutes public transport time of a GP, hospital, primary school, secondary school, employment areas, sports stadium, and retail centres;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Amount of new development within walk time of primary school, local shop;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Access to public transport from new residential development;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Percentage of population within 20 min travel time of 3 sports facilities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Section 11 – Future Economic Growth</strong></td>
<td>CS9: Future Growth of York’s Economic</td>
<td>To deliver the appropriate number, type and size of sites for existing</td>
<td>• A sufficient number of suitable new employment</td>
<td>Delivery Agency: City of York Council,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Core Indicator BD1: Total amount of additional employment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Policy</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Sector               | and future employment-related uses in York, to ensure that York fulfils its role at the centre of the York Sub Area and as part of Leeds City Region, including the delivery of a new York Central office quarter within the York Northwest area, enhancing the success of Science City and promoting York’s tourism and evening economy. | sites being allocated to allow for the job growth highlighted in Table 3;  
- An increase in the percentage of working age population in employment;  
- Deliver annual growth in visitor expenditure by a minimum of 5% average; and  
- An increase in the average length of stay of visitors to the city. | floorspace – by type (gross & net);  
- Core Indicator BD2: Total amount of employment floorspace on Previously developed land;  
- Core Indicator BD3: Employment Land available by type;  
- Local Indicator: % of working age population in employment;  
- Annual visitor expenditure;  
- Core Indicator BD4: Amount of completed retail, office and leisure development in the City Centre;  
- Local Indicator: Increase in the average length of stay of visitors to the City. | developers, inward investment board  
Delivery Mechanism: Allocations DPD, determination of planning applications                                                                 |

**Section 12 – Retail Growth and Distribution**

| CS11: Distribution of Retail Growth | To deliver new shopping provision to support the economic role of the city centre and meet local shopping needs. This will be achieved by:  
- Ensuring that any new shopping provision positively contributes towards the vitality and viability of the city centre;  
- Maintaining and enhancing the city centre’s role as a regional shopping location through encouraging proposals that increase the quality and range of shopping; | To achieve an increase in comparison goods floorspace of 35,127sqm net by 2017, 62,907sqm net by 2022 and 106,927sqm net by 2029;  
- To halt the decline in the market share achieved by the city centre and increase the share to 34%;  
- To achieve an increase in convenience goods floorspace of 5,034sqm net by 2017, 7,036sqm net by | Core Indicator BD4: Amount of completed A1 & A2 retail developments in the City Centre;  
- Amount of comparison goods floorspace developed;  
- Market share of the city centre (NB: This indicator is collected as part of the Retail Survey, and is therefore, not collected annually);  
- Amount of convenience goods floorspace developed. | Delivery Agency: City of York Council, developers  
Delivery Mechanism: Allocations DPD, determination of planning applications |
### Core Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Policy</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (<em>new indicators shown in italics</em>)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| CS12: Local Transport Considerations | • To support development in locations accessible to public transport and appropriate key services by means other than the private car, including maximising the potential of existing and potential rail stations, Park and Ride sites, and bus, walking and cycling routes;  
• Reducing congestion through promoting a shift to more sustainable forms of transport;  
• To reduce the need to travel and | 2022 and 10,015sqm net by 2029. | • Use of local bus services (LTP Indicator 3A);  
• Usage of park and ride (LTP Indicator 9A);  
• Levels of walking in and around the city centre (LTP Indicator 8B);  
• Local Indicator: Modal split of journey to work (LTP Indicator 1B);  
• City wide cycle usage (LTP Indicator 8A);  
• Change in area-wide traffic | Delivery Agency: City of York Council, public transport providers  
Delivery Mechanism: LTP2, Allocations DPD, |

**Section 13 – Sustainable Transport**

- To support development in locations accessible to public transport and appropriate key services by means other than the private car, including maximising the potential of existing and potential rail stations, Park and Ride sites, and bus, walking and cycling routes;
- Reducing congestion through promoting a shift to more sustainable forms of transport;
- To reduce the need to travel and
### Core Strategy Policy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (<em>new indicators shown in italics</em>)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>deliver a sustainable transport network that supports York’s long term growth, improves connectivity within York and the wider region and provides an improved environment and a high quality of life for the City’s communities.</td>
<td>growth in the peak period from predicted 14% (based on previous trends) to 7% above 2003/04 levels. Average car journey time per mile during the am peak less than 4 minutes, by 2011 with no increase beyond then.</td>
<td>mileage (LTP Indicator 3B); Congestion – Vehicle delay (LTP Indicator 6C).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Section 14 – Green Infrastructure

**CS13: Green Infrastructure**

**Nature Conservation:**
- To conserve and enhance biodiversity having particular regard to the maintenance, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats and species;
- To maintain and increase the tree cover across York whilst ensuring specific protection for ancient woodland, aged and veteran trees;
- To preserve and enhance the River Ouse, Foss, Derwent and other waterways and their flood plains for biodiversity;
- To promote, where appropriate, accessibility to natural greenspace, including country parks and woodland.

**Open Space (recreational and natural):**

**Nature Conservation:**
- No loss or damage to existing wildlife habitats;
- An increase in the number of sites incorporating management for biodiversity;
- An increase in woodland cover in York whilst protecting ancient woodland, aged and veteran trees;
- An increase in the area and extent of waterways and floodplains under management for biodiversity;
- An increase in accessibility to natural green space where appropriate

**Open Space:**

**Nature Conservation:**
Core Indicator E2: Change in areas and population of biodiversity importance including:
- Loss and addition of priority habitats and species by type; and
- Change in areas designated for their intrinsic environmental value including sites of international, national, regional, sub-regional or local significance;
- *indicators to measure management of areas, waterways, floodplains for biodiversity and increases in woodland cover.*

**Open Space:**
- The number of open spaces meeting the quality standards identified in the PPG17 study;

**Delivery Agency:** City of York Council, developers, Natural England

**Delivery Mechanism:**
- Green Infrastructure SPD, Allocations DPD, determination of planning applications
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Policy</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>To protect existing open space provision; To enhance the quality of existing open spaces and promote biodiversity where feasible; To increase accessibility to existing open space; To create new areas of open space where needed; To promote understanding of and interest in natural open space.</td>
<td>An increase in the overall level of quality of provision across York; A reduction in the open space deficiencies identified in the PPG17 Study; All new developments meeting the standards as identified in the PPG17 Study; and An increase in the multifunctional quality of open space.</td>
<td>Performance against open space deficiency standards identified in the PPG17 study; Local Indicator: percentage of new residential developments meeting the standards set in the PPG17 Study; Number of schemes to improvement the biodiversity value of existing open spaces.</td>
<td>Green Corridors and Linkages: Number of schemes to improve the quality of green corridors and linkages; New or extended green corridors and linkages such as footpaths and cycle paths.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Corridors and Linkages: To maintain and enhance a city-wide network of green corridors that link the above as part of the wider green infrastructure network.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section 15 – Resource Efficiency**

<p>| CS14: Sustainable Resource Use | The City of York Council will seek to help reduce York’s eco and carbon footprint through the promotion of sustainable design and construction, energy efficiency and renewable energy, thereby reducing overall energy use and help in the fight against Climate Change. | All new developments and conversions to be built to the highest quality design using innovative construction and energy and water efficient methods based on targets set out in the forthcoming Sustainable Design and Construction SPD; To exceed the RSS targets of 11MW of renewable energy by the year 2010 | Percentage of new developments achieving highest quality design as required by the Sustainable Design and Construction SPD; Number of planning applications for large scale non-residential developments (1000sqm+) and residential developments of 10 or more that offset at least 10% of the predicted CO2 emission through onsite renewable energy | Delivery Agency: City of York Council, developers, energy providers Delivery Mechanism: Sustainable Design and Construction SPD, determination of planning applications |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Policy</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>and 31MW by the year 2021 using installed grid-connected technology; • All new developments and conversions of more than 10 dwellings or 1,000m$^2$ of non-residential floorspace to offset at least 10% of the predicted carbon emission through on-site renewable energy generation; • The number of planning applications for new developments over 1,000m$^2$ that integrate CHP and district / block heating or cooling infrastructure.</td>
<td>• Number of planning applications for new developments over 1000m$^2$ that integrate CHP and district / block heating or cooling infrastructure (subject to change?); • Performance against the RSS targets of 11MW of renewable energy by the year 2010 and 31 MW by the year 2021, using installed grid connected technology; • Core Indicator 9: Renewable energy capacity installed by type.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Section 16 – Flood Risk**

**CS15: Flood Risk**

The City of York Council will seek to ensure that new development is not subject to, nor contributes to, inappropriate levels of flood risk from the Rivers Ouse, Foss and Derwent and other sources, taking into account the full likely future impacts of climate change.

1) No planning permissions granted contrary to the advice of the Environment Agency on flood risk and water quality grounds; 2) All brownfield developments in York will be required to demonstrate that there will be a reduction of at least 30% in existing run-off rates as a result of the development. All greenfield developments need to demonstrate no alteration of

**Delivery Agency:** City of York Council, developers, Environment Agency

**Delivery Mechanism:** determination of planning applications, Allocations DPD
## Section 17 – Sustainable Waste Management

**CS16: Sustainable Waste Management**

The City of York Council will seek to:

1. Maximise the extent to which waste is reduced, reused and recycled, and provide appropriate sites for waste management in accordance with both the regional and local Waste Management Strategies. This will be achieved through:
   1. supporting and encouraging waste minimisation;
   2. supporting and promoting reduction, reuse, recycling, and composting of waste in line with the Waste Hierarchy, this includes meeting or exceeding the requirements of the European Landfill Directive, National Waste Strategy RSS and sub-regional / local strategies;
   3. providing adequate household recycling facilities across the City; and
   4. allocating sufficient, appropriate and accessible land within York that is capable of accommodating a range of strategic waste management and treatment facilities,

2. To reduce residual household waste per household from 663kg in 2008 to 563kg by 2011;
3. To reuse, recycle and compost at least 54.5% of household waste by 2020. This exceeds the Waste Strategy for England 2007 target of 50% by 2020;
4. To recover at least 67% of municipal waste by 2015 and 75% by 2020;

In accordance with the European Landfill Directive and avoid financial penalties, to reduce the amount of biodegradable municipal waste (BMW) sent to landfill. In key target years the amount of BMW sent to landfill should not exceed:

- 2012/2013 – 29, 490 tonnes;

### Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)

- Core Indicator W1: Capacity of new waste management facilities by waste planning authority;
- Core Indicator W2: Amount of municipal waste arising and managed by managed type, and the percentage each management type represents of the waste managed;
- Amount of biodegradable waste going to landfill;
- Local Indicator: The number of waste sites that are allocated and subsequently developed within York.

### Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism

Delivery Agency: City of York Council, waste services providers

Delivery Mechanism: Allocations DPD,
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Strategy Policy</th>
<th>Strategic Objective</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Indicator (new indicators shown in italics)</th>
<th>Delivery Agency and Delivery Mechanism</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS17: Safeguarding Mineral Resources and Local Amenity</td>
<td>The City of York Council will seek to safeguard mineral deposits and reduce the consumption of non-renewable mineral resources by encouraging re-use and recycling of construction and demolition waste, whilst contributing to meeting the RSS, Sand and Gravel and Brick Clay Study requirements.</td>
<td>No developments permitted which would compromise the mineral resource protection area set through the Regional Sand and Gravel Study; All developments to demonstrate good practice in the use, re-use and recycling and disposal of construction materials; Meet the RSS, Regional Sand and Gravel and Brick Clay Study requirements from appropriately located sites.</td>
<td>• The number of developments permitted which compromise the mineral resource protection area. • Number of developments demonstrating good practice in the use, reuse, recycling and disposal of construction materials; • Core Indicator M1: Production of primary land won aggregates by mineral planning authority; • Core Indicator M2: Production of Secondary and recycled aggregates by mineral planning authority.</td>
<td>Delivery Agency: City of York Council, developers, mineral extractors Delivery Mechanism: Sustainable Design and Construction SPD, Allocations DPD, determination of planning applications</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Annex A:

Glossary of Terms

Allocations DPD: One of the documents in the Local Development Framework, the Allocations DPD will identify the development sites needed to deliver the objectives of the Core Strategy.

Annual Monitoring Report (AMR): Part of the Local Development Framework, the Annual Monitoring Report will assess the implementation of the Local Development Scheme and the extent to which policies in Local Development Documents are being successfully implemented.

Area Action Plan: Used to provide a planning framework for areas of change and areas of conservation. Area Action Plans will have the status of Development Plan Documents.

Biodiversity: The different plants, animals and micro-organisms, their genes and the ecosystems of which they are a part.

Biomass: The shared description for the controlled release and use of the energy potential locked up in trees and plants – straw, reeds or willow - or created as a part of regularly recurring natural processes – the bi-products of the process of decomposition or the bacterial digestion of natural things i.e. sewerage, various farm wastes or decaying material such as garden clippings and/or other largely natural materials such as paper.

Brownfield Sites/Locations: Previously developed land that is, or was, occupied by a permanent structure (excluding agricultural or forestry building) and associated fixed surface infrastructure.

CABE, the Commission for Architecture and the Built Environment: The government’s advisor on architecture, urban design and public space. CABE was set up in 1999 to work with architects, planners, designers, developers and clients and provide advice on behalf of the public.

Carbon Emissions: Emissions to the atmosphere principally from the burning of fossil fuels and deforestation.

Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL): Initiative proposed in the Planning Bill that would enable the Council to require a contribution to be sought from each dwelling provided and other forms of development towards a range of identified infrastructure and service provision.

Comparison Goods: Comparison goods comprise clothing, footwear, household appliances (electric or gas), carpets, furniture, computers, books,
music/videos, toys, DIY equipment, audio-visual equipment, sports equipment and leisure goods.

**Conservation Area Appraisal**: An appraisal to describe, define and analyse the special character and appearance of the Central Historic Core Conservation Area and assess its current condition.

**Convenience Goods**: Convenience goods comprise food, drink, tobacco, newspapers, magazines and confectionery. Outlets include bakers, confectioners, butchers, tobacconists, newsagents, fishmongers, frozen food stores, greengrocers, delicatessens, health food stores, off licenses, shoe repairs/key cutting stores, petrol forecourt stores and supermarkets, although not all of the goods sold at these stores are convenience goods.

**Core Strategy**: Part of the Local Development Framework (LDF). The Core Strategy sets out the long-term spatial vision for the local planning authority area and the spatial objectives and strategic policies to deliver that vision. The Core Strategy will have the status of a Development Plan Document.

**Development Plan**: As set out in Section 38(6) of the Act, an authority’s Development Plan consists of the relevant Regional Spatial Strategy and the Development Plan Documents contained within its Local Development Framework.

**Development Plan Documents (DPDs)**: Spatial planning documents that are subject to independent examination, and together with the relevant Regional Spatial Strategy, will form the Development Plan for a local authority area for the purpose of the Act. They can include a Core Strategy, an Allocations DPD, and Area Action Plans (where needed). Individual Development Plan Documents or part of a document can be reviewed independently from other Development Plan Documents. Each authority must set out the programme for preparing its Development Plan Documents in the Local Development Scheme.

**Development Control Policies**: These will be a suite of criteria-based policies which are required to ensure that all development within the area meets the spatial vision and spatial objectives set out in the Core Strategy. They may be included in any Development Plan Document or may form a standalone document.

**GIS (Geographical Information System)**: GIS allows a variety of spatial information to be viewed in a map format. It is a system that uses one or more ‘mapped’ information layers over Ordnance Survey base maps; (for example layers could include residential areas, shopping centres, roads etc).

**Geology**: is the science and study of the solid and liquid matter that constitute the Earth.
Geomorphology: is the study of landforms and the processes that shape them. Geomorphologists seek to understand why landscapes look the way they do.

Green Infrastructure: Green infrastructure is the physical environment within and between cities, towns and villages. It is a network of multifunctional open spaces including formal parks, gardens, woodlands, green corridors, waterways, street trees, nature reserves and open countryside.

Greenfield Sites/Locations: An area of land that has never been built upon.

Greenhouse Gases (GHG): A group of gases that absorb solar radiation, storing some of the heat in the atmosphere. The major natural greenhouse gases are water vapour, carbon dioxide, and ozone. Other greenhouse gases include, but are not limited to: methane, nitrous oxide, sulphur hexafluoride, and chlorofluorocarbons.

Historic Environment: Refers to the historic buildings, streetscapes, landscapes and parks which together form an important aspect of the character and appearance of York.

Issues and Options: Produced during the early production stage of the preparation of Development Plan Documents and may be issued for consultation.

Lifetime Homes: Are homes that incorporate design features that together create a flexible blueprint for accessible and adaptable housing in any setting. Lifetime homes increase choice, independence and longevity of tenure, vital to everyone’s well-being.


Local Development Framework (LDF): The name for the folder of Local Development Documents. It consists of Development Plan Documents, Supplementary Planning Documents, a Statement of Community Involvement, the Local Development Scheme and Annual Monitoring Reports. Together these documents will provide the framework for delivering the spatial planning strategy for a local authority area.

Local Development Scheme (LDS): Sets out the programme for preparing Local Development Documents.

Local Plan: A document which, forms part of the Development Plan for a specified area. The Local Plan consists of a Written Statement and a Proposals Map. It sets out detailed policies and proposals for the development and use of the land within the District. Local Plans are prepared by local planning authorities at District level, following statutory procedures, including public consultation exercises and if necessary, a Local Plan Inquiry.
The Planning and Compensation Act 1991, requires that new Local Plans provide district wide coverage.

**Local Transport Plan (LTP):** A 5-year strategy prepared by each local authority for the development of local, integrated transport, supported by a programme of transport improvements. It is used as a bid to Government for funding transport improvements.

**Planning Policy Guidance Notes (PPG) and Planning Policy Statements (PPS):** These are prepared by the government after public consultation to explain statutory provisions and provide guidance to local authorities and others on planning policy and the operation of the planning system. They also explain the relationship between planning policies and other policies which have an important bearing on issues of development and land use. Planning Policy Statements replace Planning Policy Guidance Notes.

**Preferred Options:** Previously a formal stage in the Local Development Framework process. Preferred Options is informed by the Issues and Options consultation and the Sustainability Appraisal and the Preferred Options Stage is an opportunity to debate the draft strategy before the finalised strategy is submitted to the Secretary of State.

**Proposals Map:** The adopted proposals map illustrates on a base map, (reproduced from, or based upon a map to a registered scale) all the policies contained in the Development Plan Documents, together with any saved policies. It must be revised each time a new Development Plan Document is adopted, and it should always reflect the up-to-date planning strategy for the area. Proposals for changes to the adopted proposals map accompany submitted Development Plan Documents in the form of a submission proposals map.

**RAMSAR:** The Convention on Wetlands, signed in Ramsar, Iran, in 1971, is an Intergovernmental Treaty which provides the framework for national action and international cooperation for the conservation and wise use of wetlands and their resources. There are presently 151 Contracting Parties to the Convention, with 1593 wetland sites, totaling 134.7 million hectares, designated for inclusion in the Ramsar List of Wetlands of International Importance.

**Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS):** Sets out the region’s policies in relation to the development and use of land and forms part of the development plan for local planning authorities. Planning Policy Statement 11 ‘Regional Spatial Strategies’ provides detailed guidance on the function and preparation of Regional Spatial Strategies.

**Spatial Planning:** ‘Spatial’ planning is a wider, more inclusive approach to considering the best use of land than traditional ‘land-use’ planning. Land-use planning has an approach that focuses on the regulation and control of land whereas spatial planning provides greater scope for the Council and other organisations to promote and manage change in the area.
SSSI: Sites of Special Scientific Interest.

Statement of Community Involvement (SCI): Sets out the standards which authorities will achieve with regard to involving local communities in the preparation of Local Development Documents and development control decisions. The Statement of Community Involvement is not a Development Plan Document but is subject to an independent examination.

Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA): A generic term used to describe environmental assessment as applied to policies, plans and programmes. The European ‘SEA Directive’ (2001/42/EC) requires a formal ‘environmental assessment of certain plans and programmes, including those in the field of planning and land use’.

Sub-Regional City of York: Sub Regional Cities should be the prime focus for housing, employment, shopping, leisure, education, health and cultural activities and facilities in the city.

Sustainability Appraisal (SA): Tool for appraising policies to ensure they reflect sustainable development objectives (i.e. social, environmental and economic factors) and required in the Act to be undertaken for all local development documents.

Sustainable Communities: Sustainable Communities are places where people want to live and work, now and in the future. They meet the diverse needs of existing and future residents, are sensitive to their environment, and contribute to a high quality of life. They are safe and inclusive, well planned, built and run, and offer equality of opportunity and good services for all.

Sustainable Development: Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs. Sustainability looks at reconciling environmental, social and economic aims.

Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS): Sustainable drainage is a concept that includes long term environmental and social factors in decisions about drainage. It takes account of the quantity and quality of run-off, and the amenity value of surface water in the urban environment. Many existing urban drainage systems can cause problems of flooding, pollution or damage to the environment and are not proving to be sustainable.

Supplementary Planning Documents (SPDs): Provide supplementary information in respect of the policies in the Development Plan Documents. They do not form part of the Development Plan and are not subject to independent examination.

Use Class: The Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) Order 1987 requires the type of use which is being determined in a planning application to be identified for instance A1 is classified as shops, B1 is business etc.
Windfalls: Windfall sites, as defined by PPG3, are those, which have not been specifically identified as available in the local plan process through land use allocations. They comprise previously developed sites that have become unexpectedly available. These could include for example, large sites such as might result from a factory closure or very small changes to the built environment, such as a residential conversion or a new flat over a shop.

York Northwest: An area immediately to the north and west of York city centre consisting of two distinct brownfield sites: York Central and British Sugar. These sites are separate but are located close to one another. There is a separate Area Action Plan for York Northwest that will link-in with the City Centre Area Action Plan.
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**Introduction**

This document summarises the key sustainability issues arising from the Sustainability Appraisal for the City of York Core Strategy Preferred Options document and makes recommendations on how to make the policy more sustainable. The findings of the SA should be taken into consideration and reflected within the adopted DPD to ensure that it maximises its contribution to future sustainability.

The Development Plan Documents within the Local Development Framework must be subject to Sustainability Appraisal (SA). SA involves identifying and evaluating a plan’s impacts on a community, the environment and the economy, which are the three core themes of sustainability. Importantly, the City of York SA process also incorporates the requirements of European Directive 2001/42/EC, which requires that spatial and land use plans undergo a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA).

The SA for the Core Strategy Preferred Options has assessed each policy within the document against the SA sustainability objectives set out in table 1 to understand the positive and negative impacts of the policy and how compatible it is with sustainable development principles. There has been subsequent changes to the Preferred Options document since the SA Analysis. Where there has been changes which meet the recommendations set out by the SA, an audit trail in bold italic print specifies how this has been met. The full sustainability appraisal, including the full audit trail, should be read in addition to the summarised information and in conjunction with the Preferred Options report.

Following consultation, the Council will make appropriate changes to the Core Strategy Preferred Options in light of responses and / or the findings of this appraisal. Any changes regarded as particularly significant will be subject to further appraisal. The SA process also involves monitoring the agreed Core Strategy, which will begin once this document has been adopted.

There have been preceding sustainability documents produced for the Core Strategy, which have been taken into consideration in the preparation of the Preferred Options Core Strategy Report and SA analyses; including:

- Sustainability Scoping Report (June 2006)
- Issues and Options Initial Sustainability Report (June 2006)
- Issues and Options 2 Initial Sustainability Report (August 2007)
### Table 1: Sustainability Objectives

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Headline Objective</th>
<th>Environmental</th>
<th>Social</th>
<th>Economic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>H1. To reduce City of York’s Ecological Footprint</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>EC1. Good quality employment opportunities available for all</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EN1.</strong></td>
<td>Land use efficiency that maximises the use of brownfield land</td>
<td><strong>S1. Enhance access to York’s urban and rural landscapes, public open space/recreational areas and leisure facilities for all</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EN2.</strong></td>
<td>Maintain and improve a quality built environment and the cultural heritage of York and preserve the character and setting of the historic city of York</td>
<td><strong>S2. Maintain or reduce York’s existing noise levels</strong></td>
<td><strong>EC2. Good education and training opportunities for all which build skills and capacity of the population</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EN3.</strong></td>
<td>Conserve and enhance a bio-diverse, attractive and accessible natural environment</td>
<td><strong>S3. Improve the health and well-being of the York population</strong></td>
<td><strong>EC3. Conditions for business success, stable economic growth and investment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EN4.</strong></td>
<td>Minimise greenhouse gas emissions and develop a managed response to the effects of climate change</td>
<td><strong>S4. Safety and security for people and property</strong></td>
<td><strong>EC4. Local food, health care, education/training needs and employment opportunities met locally</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EN5.</strong></td>
<td>Improve Air Quality in York</td>
<td><strong>S5. Vibrant communities that participate in decision-making</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EN6.</strong></td>
<td>The prudent and efficient use of energy, water and other natural resources</td>
<td><strong>S6. Reduce the need to travel by private car</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EN7.</strong></td>
<td>Reduce pollution and waste generation and increase levels of reuse and recycling</td>
<td>**S7. Developments which provide good access to and encourage use of public transport, walking and cycling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EN8.</strong></td>
<td>Maintain and Improve Water Quality</td>
<td>**S8. A transport network that integrates all modes for effective non car based movements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EN9.</strong></td>
<td>Reduce the impact of flooding to people and property in York</td>
<td><strong>S9. Quality affordable housing available for all</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>S10. Social inclusion and equity across all sectors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sustainability Appraisal Chapter Summaries

Background and Vision

Section 1: Background

The background section uses information and updated statistics from the Annual Monitoring Report and Baseline chapter of SA Scoping Report. The main topics covered in the document set out clearly the issues in York. More detailed information will be included within the full Sustainability Appraisal under the “Identification of Sustainability Issues”.

Section 2: Vision and Objectives

VISION

The purpose of the Vision is to set out the aspirations for the city and respond to the planning issues challenges and opportunities facing York over the coming years. The Vision set out in the Core Strategy is split into 4 main sections which contain specific information with which directly address the 3 core strands of sustainable development and will help to achieve the SA sustainable development objectives. Each section of the vision interlinks with the a short analysis of each section of the vision is below:

York’s Special Historic and Built Environment

This section addresses the need to protect and conserve the historic character and setting of the city, including the heritage assets and valued openspaces, and promotes high quality urban design and architecture. The aims set out will help to directly meet environmental sustainability objectives EN2, EN3 and EN6 with regards to maintaining the historic environment and conserving a biodiverse natural environment. This section of the vision will also help to support economic objective EC3 with regards to maintaining conditions for business success and economic growth given that the unique character of the city is a key driver to the success of tourism within the city.

Building Confident, Creative and Inclusive Communities

This section aspires to support and promote sustainable communities in terms of equity of access to services and facilities, jobs, education and training, recreational facilities and a range of homes. This therefore helps to achieve a broad range of sustainability objectives set out by Table 1. The key social objectives that will be met are S9, S10, S4, S3 and S1 relating to quality housing for all, social inclusion and equity across all sectors, access to openspace and recreational facilities and the health and wellbeing of the population. The policy will help to also meet all of the economic objectives as directly supporting communities will support a workforce, training and education, employment opportunities and local access to services. This section therefore aims to address key social needs within the city such as quality housing but will also support conditions for economic success. The vision also relates well to setting the spatial principles within the city for the locations of development including its strategic sites and is upfront about potential future growth based upon sustainable extensions. The consideration of specific aspects of the strategic sites and urban extensions is undertaken in the relevant chapters and SA policy analysis but will help to partly achieve environmental objective EN1: maximising the use of
previously developed land through the identification of some of York’s known brownfield sites. However, this is positive in conveying the importance of sustainable development within the city in relation to building confident and inclusive communities as a key theme for the Core Strategy to consider.

**A Prosperous and Thriving Economy**
Delivering continued economic success and prosperity is fundamental to this section of the vision. It advocates an approach which will support the development of different industries across the city to ensure York’s role as a key driver in the regional economy and high employment levels, strengthening York’s role as a sub-regional shopping and entertainment centre as well as its role as a world class centre for further and higher education. This section of the vision will help to achieve all of the economic sustainability objectives (see table1) through the promotion of employment opportunities, education and training, conditions for business success and provision of further shopping and entertainment opportunities. This also links well to social sustainability objectives with regards to access to recreational facilities and retail (S1) and social inclusion (S10). The section also relates to how this will be implemented spatially through the identification of strategic sites which will help to deliver the vision. The consideration of specific aspects of the strategic sites and urban extensions is undertaken in the relevant chapters and SA policy analysis but will help to partly achieve environmental objective EN1: maximising the use of previously developed land through the identification of some of York’s known brownfield sites. The aim to support growth across the city which will be prosperous for the whole community also helps to make it relevant to consider the localised economy within communities and links well to social objectives.

**A Leading Environmentally Friendly City**
This section firstly aspires to deliver a reduction in York’s carbon and eco-footprint as well as mitigate and adapt the city to climate change. It also addresses the city’s transport issues and creating a permanent greenbelt as well as aiming to protect the countryside and diversity of wildlife and habitats within York. A broad range of sustainability objectives have been met in this section within each part and therefore has been individually analysed below:

- **The first part of this section aims to achieve the headline sustainability objective for York which is to reduce the ecological footprint.** To do this the approach aspires to balance physical growth and sustainability, reduce energy use and produce renewable energy, reduce waste but also provide accessible and suitable waste sites, limit flood risk, promote sustainable design and construction and avoid the depletion of the Sherwood Sandstone Aquifer. These aspirations will help to meet the environmental sustainability objectives EN2, EN4, EN5, EN6, EN7, EN8 and EN9. It could also help to meet social objectives S3 and S4 with regards to having a positive impact on the well-being of the population and safety of people and businesses.

- **The second part of this section aims to reduce the need to travel followed by ensuring sustainable transport provision to deliver a fundamental shift in travel patterns.** This will help to meet a broad range of sustainability objectives. The environmental and social objectives met relate to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and improving air quality (EN4 and EN5) as well as reducing the need to travel and a transport network that integrates modes for effective non car based travel specifically (S6 and S8). It would also help to improve equity of access and social inclusion through the ensuring accessibility which achieves social objective S10. These aspirations will also
help to improve movement and accessibility around the city which supports conditions for economic growth and success (E3).

- The section of the vision relating to the Greenbelt will achieve environmental objective EN2 with regards to maintaining the historic environment and character and setting of the city as well as EN3 on conserving the natural environment. The Aspiration however, to protect and improve the countryside and biodiversity including the historic strays and river corridors will help to meet specifically EN3 as well as indirectly help mitigate climate change (EN4) and maintaining the setting and character of the historic city (EN2).

**Overview**

The LDF vision is comprehensive in meeting the majority of the sustainability objectives set out by the SA to meet sustainable development objectives for York. When all sections of the vision are read in conjunction there is a clear sense of how York is desired to be in the future and a direction of how this should be achieved. The vision expresses in detail the main issues which need to be addressed within the city and clearly steers the development of policies in the following chapters. There are however some inevitable strategic conflicts between different sections of the vision. These are:

- Reducing the ecological footprint for York whilst also advocating development growth for housing, employment, retail and leisure which will have impacts on resource consumption and reducing car travel.
- Advocating development growth to meet the needs of future populations whilst also trying to preserve the historic character and setting of the city, limiting flood risk and the prudent use of resources.

These conflicts relate to the impacts of growth on the city and specific concerns regarding this will be addressed in greater detail within each policy analysis, where applicable.

**OBJECTIVES**

The Vision and Spatial Objectives set out in Figure 4 of the Preferred Options document clearly expand what is expected from the vision themes and the key areas for which the following chapters and policies should focus. The objectives are set out in each chapter of the Preferred Options Core Strategy document and relate to what each policy should aim to achieve. The analysis of the strategic objectives has therefore been taken into consideration within each section of the chapter and policy analysis.
Spatial Strategy

**Section 3: The Spatial Strategy**

Three Spatial Principles have been developed within the Preferred Options Core Strategy setting out the how the city will approach development and growth. These spatial principles should be read in conjunction with each other and should not be considered mutually exclusive. The analysis below addresses each spatial principle individually but also includes for cross over between the principles.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spatial Principle 1 will:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Maintain the long-term viability and vitality of the sub-regional city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Maintain the character and setting of the city through promoting differing levels of development in different location which is within short distances of essential services, facilities and sustainable transport links.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Not resolve limited service and sustainable transport provision within villages without further development than stipulated within the policy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Direct the majority of development to the urban area and local service centres reducing the distances to existing services and encourage the use of sustainable transport links leading to a reduction in car use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Allow expansion of the city to meet affordable housing and openspace need</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Risk exacerbating inequalities and undue pressure on existing infrastructure through not providing services and facilities in parallel to development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Preserves the unique historic character and setting of the city. However, directing the majority of growth to urban areas may have adverse impacts on the historic environment and will need to be sensitively developed to mitigate this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limits development in environmentally important and unsustainable areas but should not lead to higher densities within the main urban area, local service centres or villages, which would potentially threaten the integrity of the historic setting and character.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promotes a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions helping towards cleaner air quality and managing climate change</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations:**

- Additional text could be incorporated to prioritise affordable housing development in small villages. Although the development advocated is for redevelopment and infill, including this additional text would ensure that affordable units would be provided in line with the affordable housing policy.
- The policy could make it clearer that new employment opportunities in the villages and smaller villages will be supported in areas considered deficient which will be important to maintain the viability of smaller villages. These opportunities should be supported by the Council where these are sustainable, make use of previously developed land and provide local job opportunities.
- The issues of housing and economic development in rural areas need to be addressed more fully.
### Spatial Principle 2 will:

| Economic  | Maintain the long-term viability and vitality of the sub-regional city by directing development to the most sustainable locations, in line with SP1, and through being accessible via public transport and not at risk from flooding to ensure conditions for economic success.  
| Social    | Support the majority of development to the urban area and local service centres (as per SP1) by making sure development locates where it reduces the distances to existing services leading to equity of access and encourages the use of sustainable transport links, including walking and cycling leading to healthier lifestyles as well as reduce use of the car.  
| Environmental | Preserves the unique historic character and setting of the city.  
| Environmental | Limits development in environmentally important and unsustainable areas, including areas of greenbelt importance and high flood risk.  
| Environmental | Promotes a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions helping towards cleaner air quality and managing climate change  
| Environmental | Conserve biodiversity and the natural environment |

**Recommendations:**
-Whilst the policy refers to access to a sustainable transport network, it could also refer to accessibility of services and facilities. The policy should incorporate additional text to refer to local provision of facilities and services, although it is acknowledged that this is a consideration in the determination of the Settlement Hierarchy within SP1.

*The policy out for consultation has been revised subsequently to the SA analysis. This recommendation has been partly met through the inclusion of a new key criteria: “(v)There is good access for communities to key services including education, healthcare and sports and community facilities.”*
- The phrasing of the first requirement regarding accessibility to sustainable modes of transport could be strengthened to reference the transport network to promote linkages across the wider city.
- The phrasing of SP2 could strengthen the links to climate change and the role sustainable transport and protection of greenspace / habitats will have in addressing climate change
- The SA recommends that when assessing the impact of development on nature conservation sites, a buffer should also be included around the site to maintain its integrity more robustly. This should be indicated on the key diagram alongside

### Spatial Principle 3 will:

| Economic  | Maintain the long-term viability and vitality of the sub-regional centre by directing development to the most sustainable locations, inline with SP1, and ensuring conditions for economic success through the provision of land for growth.  
| Economic  | Raise questions and issues of the viability of developing brownfield sites  
| Economic  | Need to be monitored to check the cumulative impacts of developments on services given the allowance of infill development in villages and smaller villages. |
**Social**
- Direct the majority of development to the urban area and to a lesser extent, the local service centres, in line with SP1, reducing the distances to existing services leading to equity of access and encouraging the use of sustainable transport links, including walking and cycling, leading to healthier lifestyles as well as reduced use of the car.
- Need to develop services in parallel to developments to reduce adverse impacts and undue pressure on the existing facilities and transport provision
- Potentially create a new sustainable community which would meet social objectives.

**Environmental**
- Maximise the use of previously developed/ brownfield land
- Preserves the unique historic character and setting of the city.
- Limits development in environmentally important and unsustainable areas, including areas of greenbelt importance and high flood risk.
- Promotes a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions helping towards cleaner air quality and managing climate change
- Potentially develop Greenfield sites which may could be adverse to biodiversity and a loss of the natural environment.

**Recommendations:**
- Clarify in which order there is an intention to develop greenfield sites within the sub-regional centre with regards to if this is prioritised over and above brownfield and infill opportunities identified within the local service centres.

---

### Section 4: The Role of York’s Greenbelt

**Policy CS1- Greenbelt will:**

**Economic**
- Support conditions for business success through maintaining York’s unique character and setting.
- Allow space for the city’s potential expansion to help meet economic growth targets.

**Social**
- Maintain access to openspace and the natural environment
- Direct the majority of development, as per SP1, to the urban area and local service centres reducing the distances to existing services
- Direct the majority of development, as per SP1, to the urban area will encourage the use of sustainable transport links and a reduction in car use
- Allow expansion of the city to meet affordable housing and open space need

**Environmental**
- Preserves key areas which are important to preserve the character and setting of the city
- Limits development in environmentally important and unsustainable areas but should not lead to higher densities within the main urban area, local service centres or villages, which would potentially threaten the integrity of the historic setting and character.
- Promotes a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions helping towards cleaner air quality and managing climate change
- Maintains biodiversity and open land.

**Recommendations:**
- It would be beneficial for the core strategy to encourage the use of land designated as Greenbelt in line with PPG2 to reinforce the designated land as an asset of the city. These uses could include rural diversification and the use of natural environment for recreational activity as well as supporting measures which address climate change in York and which would meet reducing York’s ecological footprint. Accessibility will be
key to promoting use of the Greenbelt, however, so that the location of any facilities or schemes does not rely on, or increase, the use of the car.

Section 5: York City Centre

Policy CS2 - York City Centre will:

| Economic | Maintain the long-term viability and vitality of the city centre and conditions for economic success through making it the primary focus for retail, leisure, office use and tourism and through enhancing the quality of the public realm and accessibility of the city centre. |
| Social | Prioritising employment provision on previously developed land/brownfield sites |
| Social | Enhance provision of services and facilities for residents as well as visitors. |
| Environmental | Promote decision-making, social inclusion and engagement of people with the development of the city centre through the CCAAP. |
| Environmental | Improve the appearance, connectivity and functionality of the city centre through enhancements to the sustainable transport network and public realm; |
| Environmental | Promote healthier lifestyles through the provision and maintenance of openspace |
| Environmental | Continue supporting the city centre as the social and cultural heart of York and preserve the unique historic character and setting of the city as well as its heritage assets. |
| Environmental | Promote a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions improving air quality and helping to mitigate and manage climate change through sustainable travel and protection of greenspaces where possible |
| Environmental | Need to specify in more detail how it intends to promote the prudent use of energy and natural resources. |

Recommendations:

- The policy should reference the preparation of the Central Historic Core Conservation Area Appraisal to help promote a proactive approach to development based upon an understanding of what makes the characteristics of York unique and special.
- The City Centre Area Action Plan needs to highlight the issues that focus on the provision of specific sites for development and regeneration, housing, sustainable design and construction, including the prudent use of energy and resources and a framework for decision-making to fully meet sustainable development objectives.

Section 6: York Northwest Area Action Plan

Policy CS3 - York Northwest should:

| Economic | Provide opportunity for significant employment space as part of a new office and urban quarter creating new employment opportunities |
| Economic | Deliver jobs with training and career prospects for those starting with low skills |
| Economic | York Northwest and particularly the new office quarter will be an attractive location for businesses who want to be located close to the City Centre and a major transport network |
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Social

- Potential to create a vibrant mixed community
- New residents, employees and visitors would be located close to a major public transport hub and within walking distance of the city centre
- Opportunities should be maximised to integrate sustainable public transport to encourage non-car movements
- Policy should make reference to provision of affordable housing

Environmental

- Potential to conserve or enhance biodiversity through careful design and provision of new green space
- Focusing development in urban areas will help to reduce generation of polluting emissions and greenhouse gases
- New development provides the opportunity to promote sustainable design and construction, resource efficiency and renewable energy generation

Recommendations:
- The policy makes reference to high standards of sustainable design and construction to be achieved on the site although energy efficiency and water efficiency are not specifically mentioned. The policy as it stands makes reference to an 'exemplar sustainable community' but does not clarify what this means or make any reference to adhering to the Resource Efficiency policy within the Core Strategy (CS14) or to the forthcoming SPD which will address these issues.

The policy out for consultation has been revised subsequently to the SA analysis. This recommendation has been partly met through the inclusion of additional text: “The development will provide an exemplar of sustainable development including outstanding sustainable design and technologies and prioritising access by sustainable transport modes.”

- It is recommended that explicit reference is made to the achievement of certain established standards on the York Northwest development such as BREEAM and the Code for Sustainable Homes
- The policy could make it clearer that the vision for York Northwest is for an exemplar sustainable community which will have excellent provision of local services including education, public transport, open space and community facilities and services to serve the needs of the new population and surrounding areas.
- The policy should link to the green infrastructure policy (CS13) set out in Chapter 14 of the Core Strategy
- The policy should make reference to the increased priority and provision of public transport, cycling and walking to be delivered as part of the scheme and also to improved pedestrian and cycle access to the city centre

This policy has been revised subsequently to the SA analysis. This recommendation has been partly met through additional text: “The development will provide an exemplar of sustainable development including outstanding sustainable design and technologies and prioritising access by sustainable transport modes” and through including the prioritising access to the site by sustainable transport modes in the strategic objectives.

- The policy refers to up to 3,030 dwellings being provided as part of a sustainable mixed use regeneration scheme but makes no reference to meeting affordable housing needs or providing a mix of dwellings in line with the policies in the emerging Core Strategy. The policy should make reference to the provision of affordable housing to help meet the city’s needs and also to providing a suitable mix and size of dwellings in line with policy CS5.
- The policy could make reference to the conservation and enhancement of the historic
character and setting of York and to the high standards of design and construction that will be adhered to
• This policy would benefit from the explicit inclusion of the approach to water management and efficiency.

York Special Historic & Built Environment

Section 7: York’s Special Historic & Built Environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy CS4- Urban Design and the Historic Environment will:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Maintain the long-term viability and vitality of the city and conditions for economic success through the preservation and enhancement of York’s character and setting as well as the city’s heritage assets which is vitally important to York’s economy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Encourages a more accessible city through inclusive access and movement through the city’s streets, spaces and buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote a high quality built environment which will help to provide safe and secure locations for people to live and work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Encourage participation through the development of Village Design Statements and Parish Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Preserve and enhance the unique historic character and setting of the city as well as its heritage assets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions helping towards cleaner air quality and managing climate change through sustainable travel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Need to resolve potential conflicts with waste generation and renewable energy implementation so that it will not have negative affects on the character of the city or any of the nationally and locally important historic assets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Recommendations:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The policy should clarify that the reference to “nationally and locally designated sites, buildings and other heritage assets” includes the care and protection of unlisted buildings of local importance as well as listed buildings with respect given to local character and architectural merit in addition to historic interest.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This policy has been revised subsequently to the SA analysis. This recommendation has been partly met through additional text in the second bullet part (b): “massing in relation to other buildings and spaces, particularly buildings of architectural or historic significance;”
Building Confident, Creative & Inclusive Communities

Section 8: Housing Growth, Distribution, Density, Mix and Type

**Policy CS5 – The Scale of New Housing will:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic</th>
<th>Social</th>
<th>Environmental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Support conditions for economic success and stable economic growth through the provision of housing that will support the needs of the workforce in line with job growth as outlined by the Employment policy.</td>
<td>• Deliver a range of quality homes, which will meet the needs of the population in York and support healthy living standards and well-being.</td>
<td>• Maximise the housing potential of brownfield land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support the existing services and facilities within the city and stimulate the potential for more provision, which should take place in parallel to development.</td>
<td>• Improve equity of access to secure and affordable homes, which will promote community cohesion and sense of ownership in urban and rural areas.</td>
<td>• Need to consider the historic context within which development would take place within the urban area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Help to maximise the use of the existing infrastructure and spur the expansion of sustainable transport modes around the city which is important for equity of access to services and facilities across the city.</td>
<td>• Need to minimise the inevitable effects on resource consumption though development which incorporates sustainable energy and resource efficiency measures as well as sustainable waste and water management techniques to help adapt and mitigate against climate change. This will be particularly important within the city centre (see policy CS14).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Need to limit undue pressure on existing services and facilities by developing sustainable access and service provision in parallel to development.</td>
<td>• Need to provide open space alongside development to maintain access and biodiversity (see policy CS13).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Need to adhere to the primary objective of providing and protecting existing open space. Development should still allow for significant on and off site open space provision.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Need to clarify how it intends to address the balance of housing to flats in villages and smaller rural locations.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations:**

**CS5 A and B**

- None—the issues raised can be addressed by emerging Core Strategy policies relating to design and biodiversity and relating to green space enhancement which will help to protect the Green Infrastructure that may be affected by development.

**CS5 C**

- Need to clarify the amount of area required to be set aside for infrastructure and community facility provision. This policy would benefit from the inclusion of more information regarding how much of a gross site area would be expected to be left, as a minimum, to implement this. It would be beneficial for the Allocations document and Area Action Plans to consider this in more detail.
- Need to clarify how the policy will implement and ensure a 70/30 split of houses to flats and how this will link to location-specific needs identified through the Housing Market Assessment. The policy refers to site specific standards to be developed through the Allocations DPD so it is unclear at this stage how the policy will be implemented to ensure this. It is unclear from the policy whether any consideration...
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has been given to villages and smaller rural settlements in addition to the urban area in terms of historic mix and size trends and what needs to be done to redress the balance in these locations. This should be considered as the core strategy moves towards submission.

- To improve the performance of the policy higher density requirements could be set for locations with excellent access to sustainable transport routes and access to services and possibly the district centres to maintain and enhance the provision of services by increasing population to sustain the vitality and viability of the centre for the future.

Policy CS6 - Gypsy, Traveller and Showperson Sites will:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Given the small number of sites required this policy is unlikely to have a significant effect on the economy. Well located permanent sites could improve Gypsy and Traveller access to work places</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Social</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• On the sustainability objectives linked to social issues there is a positive result. The policy will help to ensure that Gypsy, Traveller and show people have access to decent, appropriate and affordable accommodation and will help to redress inequalities related to their race and lifestyle. The policy should, in providing more and better permanent residential sites, improve the ability for gypsy and travellers to access health services and education.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Require strong site design and landscaping to mitigate against potential amenity and visual impact concerns.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendations:
- The policy could refer to addressing social inclusion and the need to improve relations between these groups and the surrounding communities
- The policy could make specific reference to enabling decent, appropriate, affordable housing for the Gypsy and Traveller community
- The policy could make specific reference to consideration of the historic character and setting of York when considering locations for sites
- The use of renewable energy sources is referred to in the Good Practice Guide, Para 7.21 'Any opportunities for using energy from renewable sources should be considered'. This could be added as a consideration in policy CS7.
- Recycling and reducing waste should be considered and refuse and recycling facilities should be provided on site
- Opportunities to encourage water efficiency on authorised developments should be maximised
- The use of permeable surfaces should be encouraged as well as the incorporation of green space within the site to minimise flood risk from increased surface run off
- The need to avoid locating sites where there is an unacceptable flood risk should be recognised in policy CS7.
Section 9: Access to Affordable Housing

The Preferred Options document puts forward three options on how to move forward with obtaining affordable housing through the planning process. The sustainability comments below describe the SA impacts of providing affordable housing. For a discussion of the different options, please refer to Section 5 in the Full SA report.

Policy CS7 - Affordable Housing will:

| Economic     | Help support and deliver economic growth through the provision of houses, which are affordable to rent and buy for residents in the city. |
|              | Secure a workforce in line with job growth |
|              | Support the existing services and facilities within the city and stimulate the potential for more provision, which should take place in parallel to development |
|              | Require negotiation with the development industry to ensure policy implications do not stagnate sites or investment in residential development. |
| Social       | Deliver a range of affordable homes, which will help to meet the needs of the population in York and support healthy living standards and well-being. |
|              | Improve equity of access to secure and affordable homes, which will promote community cohesion and sense of ownership in urban and rural areas. |
| Environmental| Maximise the use land with a sliding scale in relation to affordable housing provision. |
|              | Need to provide homes which are sensitive to the character and setting of the city |
|              | Need to adhere to high energy and resource efficiency standards and waste and water management to help adapt and mitigate against climate change. |
|              | Need to provide openspace alongside development to maintain access and biodiversity. |

Recommendations:
- The SA recognises that “maximum targets” is terminology specific to affordable housing. However, it should be made clear that sites may deliver higher than the maximum targets and only deliver less affordable housing in exceptional circumstances.
- The SA also recognises that, because of viability issues, some sites may deliver fewer affordable houses than expected. This will need to be taken into consideration through the Allocations DPD to make sure sufficient land is allocated to accommodate housing and population growth in York.

Section 10: Access to Services

Policy CS8 - Access to Services will:

| Economic     | Provide community and neighbourhood services will help to ensure access to local shops, schools, community and health facilities. This is important for local provision as well as helping to provide conditions for business success. |
### Social
- Provide more built sports facilities, which will help to enhance access to sporting activities and promote healthier lifestyles and well-being
- Provide other community facilities that will lead to greater participation in events and places where information can be shared
- Make sure facilities are accessible by sustainable modes of transport ensuring equity of access for all whilst also helping to reduce the need to travel by private car

### Environmental
- Help to reduce the use of the car and be positive in terms of improving local air quality, reducing greenhouse gas emissions and tackling climate change
- Protect against the loss of services and facilities which may be detrimental to the character of the local area.

### Recommendations:
- It is recommended that the Council re-word policy CS8 to emphasise that new development in Local Service Centres and Villages should be premised on increasing accessibility. Development in these villages should be premised on increasing accessibility from employment and housing to services etc and maintaining the long term viability of these villages.
- To avoid pressure on existing services it will be important for the Council to ensure that new facilities do not ‘lag behind’ new development.

---

### A Prosperous & Thriving Economy

#### Section 11: Future Growth of York Economic Sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy CS9 - Employment growth will:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Meet the economic objectives set out in the SA and stimulate economic growth and support conditions for business success.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Strengthen links between the universities and business in York.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Promote the creation of jobs. These jobs will need utilise the skills of the workforce in York to avoid large inward commuting in response to job growth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Direct the majority of development to the sub-regional city of York (main urban area) reducing the distances to employment areas and encouraging the use of sustainable transport links, including walking and cycling, leading to healthier lifestyles as well as reduced use of the car.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Need to develop services in parallel to developments to reduce adverse impacts and undue pressure on the existing facilities and transport provision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Support employment opportunities in rural communities but must be cautious not to promote increased greenhouse gas emissions through car based access.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Environmental
- Help to maximise the use of brownfield land and minimise the amount of Greenfield land required for development.
- Need to balance the need for expansion of employment land against natural land take-up and protecting the character and setting of the city from potentially adverse levels of high density development and inappropriate use.
- Have the opportunity for encouraging new employment development and existing businesses to incorporate energy and resource efficiency, sustainable waste and water management. This will help to mitigate and adapt the city for climate change.

**Recommendations:**
- The associated targets for the policy should incorporate additional text to refer to supporting the rural economies specifically to totally embed the support for this in the policy approach. There may be potential conflict between rural diversification and other policies in the core strategy (Greenbelt and Green Infrastructure), however, and a clear understanding should be made between the policies of what would be suitable development in these locations.
- This policy does not reflect the growth of tourism, retail and leisure as it concentrates on specific business uses. These industries contribute to the economic growth of the city and additional wording should be added to show support for them and bridge the gap between policy CS9 and CS10 on culture, leisure and tourism.

### Economic
- Help economic objectives through the enhancement of cultural, leisure and tourism facilities which will support tourism as a buoyant industry in York.
- Maintain the long-term vitality of the city centre into the future and encourage people to visit the city into the future.

### Social
- Provide beneficial effects by promoting an enhancing facilities and the establishment of a more diverse evening economy.
- Promote equity of access through making facilities well connected via public transport, walking and cycling routes.

### Environmental
- Enhance the cultural assets of the city will also help to preserve the historic character and setting of the city which is a primary objective for the Core Strategy.
- Encourage access to facilities by alternative modes to the car which will have positive implications for minimising greenhouse gas emissions and on adapting/mitigating climate change.
- Provide an opportunity though enhancements to incorporate sustainable energy and resource consumption technologies, although these would need to be sensitive to the historic environment.

**Recommendations:**
- This policy does not reflect the growth of jobs in tourism, retail and leisure which is also lacking in Policy CS9. These industries contribute to the economic growth of the city and additional wording should be added to show support for them and bridge the gap between policy CS9 and CS10 on culture, leisure and tourism.
- The core strategy should confirm the opportunity to incorporate energy and resource efficiency measures alongside the enhancements to the cultural, leisure and tourist facilities to help the city, and particularly the city centre, manage, adapt and mitigate climate change.
Section 12: Retail Growth and Distribution

Policy CS11 – Distribution of Retail Growth will:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic</th>
<th>Social</th>
<th>Environmental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maintain the long-term viability and vitality</td>
<td>Encourage use of the existing transport network</td>
<td>Maximise the use of brownfield sites for retail development;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>of the city and conditions for economic</td>
<td>to access the retail destinations in order to</td>
<td>Promote a reduction in greenhouse gas emissions helping towards cleaner air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>success through expanding York’s retail</td>
<td>reduce the need to use a car, particularly</td>
<td>quality and managing climate change through sustainable travel and access</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>provision (within the city centre primarily)</td>
<td>walking and cycling links between the city</td>
<td>Mitigate through design inevitable impacts on resource and energy provision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and increasing the city’s market share in the</td>
<td>centre and York Northwest;</td>
<td>through the incorporation of energy and resource efficiency measures as well</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>region.</td>
<td>Provide increased retail provision within</td>
<td>as the waste and water management schemes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to make sure new retail development</td>
<td>Local Service Centres helping to provide local</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>offers complementary retail provision and</td>
<td>access to services and social inclusion.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>does not damage the vitality of existing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shopping streets through being a competitive</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>retail destination. Links between the city</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>centre and York Northwest will be especially</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>critical to achieve no harmful effects on the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vitality of the city centre</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recommendations:
- The policy should be reworded to explicitly include the anticipated linkage between the city centre and new retail areas.
- The locations for growth within the city centre at Castle Piccadilly and Stonebow need to also provide complementary retail to the city centre to avoid conflict with the existing retail offer. The policy should reflect this.
- Neighbourhood and community services and facilities need to be more strongly represented alongside the provision within villages in the policy to coordinate with policy CS8 on access to services.
- The issues regarding if particular areas of the city centre, such as shopping streets, need to be recognised above others for their special character should be addressed through the City Centre Area Action Plan.

A Leading Environmentally Friendly City

Section 13: Sustainable Transport

Policy CS12 – Local Transport Considerations will:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enable conditions for business success through the provision of a sustainable transport network which will allow better access to facilities and services in and around York for residents, workers and commercial/ non commercial traffic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Need to mitigate any conflict between the benefits of commercial consolidation centres on the periphery of the city and potential impacts on businesses in terms of costs, timescales for delivery etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Encourage a model shift towards using the sustainable transport network to movement in and around York;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enhance equity of access to services and facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote healthier lifestyles and the safety of pedestrians and cyclists;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Reduce short term impacts of congestion through road improvements but potentially increase car based travel in the long-term.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations:**

- The transport policy is quite urban focussed will little explicit consideration for rural communities. Additional wording should be included to relate specifically to the transport approach for rural communities.

  The policy out for consultation has been revised subsequently to the SA analysis. This recommendation has been partly met through reference to rural accessibility and improving community transport links in the preferred approach and throughout the policy.

- The background information to the policy acknowledges the sensitivity of inputting major infrastructure such as the tram-train route. The policy itself would benefit from making this more explicit to embed within the policy key considerations for the character and setting of York.

- Park and Ride facilities help to ‘catch’ local residents and visitors who would otherwise travel into the city centre by car and therefore helps to reduce congestion and improve local air quality. However, there is still reliance on car use for part of the journey and they should only be used as a starting point and considered in conjunction with other public transport for achieving a greater modal shift away from car use for whole journeys.

  The policy out for consultation has been revised subsequently to the SA analysis. This recommendation has been partly met through reference to demand management measures which will help to deter people from using their car in the city, particularly through the use of car parking charges and parking bay restrictions for example.

  Additional text referring to public transport also helps to meet this recommendation: “Improvements to public transport infrastructure including major bus priority measures identified through LTP3 and the development of a bus interchange facility in the City Centre through the creation of a transport interchange as part of the York Northwest Area Action Plan which is a longer term measure (2011-2021)”. 

- The policy should advocate an approach that Park and Ride routes should contribute to the wider transport needs of city by integrating stops on route useable by all and not just park and ride users. However, this should not be detrimental to the viability of existing bus routes or deter from the attractiveness
of using the park and ride facilities in terms of additional delays to journeys and potential over crowding on buses.

- Road improvements must be queried as although improvements will reduce congestion in the short-term, with positive benefits in the short term for the economy and local air pollution, these are likely to be lost in the long term with rapidly increasing car use and increase emissions. It should be ensured that alongside road improvement schemes there are contributions made to improve public transport such as the implementation of bus lanes and dedicated cycle lanes.

### Section 14: Green Infrastructure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy CS13 - Green Infrastructure will:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Economic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support conditions for business success and the long-term viability of the city through maintaining York’s unique character and setting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Preserve and enhance access to openspace and green links to access facilities and services around the city.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Social</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Maintain and increase equity of access to openspace and the natural environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote alternative sustainable transport by linkages across the city for walking, cycling and commuting, which will be positive in reducing the use of the car.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote health and well-being of the population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enhance the safety of routes for pedestrians and cyclists.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Environmental</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Help to meet the majority of the environmental objectives.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Promote the importance of greenspace for both residents and flora and fauna.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Protect and enhance biodiversity within the urban area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Protect the historic and unique character and setting of the city</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Help to improve air quality and pollution levels through the preservation of greenspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Need to resolve conflicts between recreational use of green space and potential adverse effects on the biodiversity value through trampling, litter etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Recommendations:**

- The policy is positive in its links between green infrastructure but would benefit in integrating additional text with regards to linkages with sustainable public transport routes.
- This policy should reference the designated historic parks and gardens which are of particular cultural and recreational importance for the city.
- The policy should include an intention to manage open space to embed its importance within the policy approach and take forward the issue in the SPD.
- The policy should reference green infrastructure in relation to its intention for green walls, roofs and soft borders.
### Section 15: Resource Efficiency

#### Policy 14 - Sustainable Resource Use will:

| Economic | The sustainable resource industry may be stimulated within York given that development will need to adhere to the policy which advocates the incorporation of sustainable design and construction and resource efficiency measures.  
|          | Potentially cause conflict between the upfront cost of implementing schemes and it being economically effective for business against the long-term benefits in terms of lower running costs and positive environmental effects. |
| Social   | Lead to positive benefits on health and well-being through the reduction of pollution from less use of fossil fuels and more use of renewable technologies.  
|          | Promote high design and construction and energy and resource efficiency standards are incorporated within development assisting the provision of quality homes and reducing running costs for residents. |
| Environmental | Supports the implementation of sustainable energy and resource technologies and sustainable design and construction which will help achieve the majority of environmental objectives.  
|          | Offset and minimise greenhouse gas emissions and also mitigate and adapt the city to climate change. This will also be positive in reducing the city’s eco-footprint.  
|          | Encourage, through design, the incorporation of open space which will have positive impact on biodiversity. |

**Recommendations:**

- This policy should make clear the requirements of incorporating renewable technologies and energy efficiency measures in large-scale conversions and refurbishment projects to extend the remit of the policy beyond just new development. Where possible existing neighbourhoods should be linked into renewable energy technologies being installed into new developments.

*The policy out for consultation has been revised subsequently to the SA analysis. This recommendation has been partly met through additional text in point (i): “Future development and conversions...”.*

- The SA recommends that the standards for development are included within the policy, such as BREEAM and the Codes for sustainable homes to make clear the construction standards expected.
- The SA thinks it would be beneficial to prepare the SPD in parallel to the production of the Core Strategy to make sure the policy is backed up by specific guidelines when it is adopted.
## Section 16: Flood Risk

### Policy CS15 – Flood Risk will:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic</th>
<th>• Deter development in York which will pose a high flood risk to residents or businesses, which will be positive in maintaining conditions for economic success.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>• Use openspace as multifunctional where necessary to reduce the vulnerability to and impact of flooding, enhancing flood water storage capacity, reducing the vulnerability to extreme weather such as storms, increasing the ability to collect rain water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Apply a Sequential and Exception test to development proposals, where required, in higher flood risk zones such as housing to help protect people from adverse impacts of flooding and maintain the safety and security of their belongings and improving water quality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Help to minimise flood risk related health and well-being issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental</td>
<td>• Should advocate the incorporation of green spaces within development to help with flooding and also benefit through increased biodiversity.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Recommendations:

- The SA suggests that the policy is strengthened to reflect and take full account of likely future impacts of climate change as the objective refers to this but the policy does not.  
- The policy states that all new developments will include the implementation of SUDS where technically feasible but it is considered that this policy could be made stronger by setting out more clearly how these measures could be incorporated into new developments  

*The policy out for consultation has been revised subsequently to the SA analysis. This recommendation has been met through additional text explaining the intention to produce a Sustainable Urban Drainage System SPD.*

- Opportunities for incorporating grey water recycling, water harvesting and green roofs/walls should be explored and could be referred to more explicitly in the policy  
- To reduce risk of flooding the policy could more specifically refer to safeguarding land that is required for current and future flood risk management (including risks associated to likely future climate change), reduce flood risk to and from new development through location, layout and flood resilient design and materials, make space for water-use, green infrastructure for water storage, conveyance and SUDS and take opportunities to relocate existing buildings that could be vulnerable to flooding.
### Section 17: Sustainable Waste Management

**Policy CS16 - Sustainable Waste will:**

| Economic | • Potentially increase costs associated with waste disposal, particularly Landfill, given that a supplementary cost will be associated for waste to be disposed of over and above the quota for the city. Also, the costs associated with recycling and composting schemes at a commercial level, may also have an impact on businesses within York.  
• Identify opportunities to re-use and recycle waste which may open up new economic opportunities in York |
| --- |
| Social | • Need to ensure local access to waste disposal facilities to reduce transportation of waste and increase residential recycling and composting schemes.  
• Potentially affect the landscape depending on the type and location of the facility. Potential negative effect will need to be mitigated.  
• Need to ensure no adverse effects to the health and well-being of the population. |
| Environmental | • Need to ensure limited adverse effects on the built environment and setting of the city  
• Need to ensure limited impacts on and the continued protection of the significant nature conservation sites within the authority. |

**Recommendations:**

- The continued screening and scoping of proposals to assess the need for an Environmental Impact Assessment
- The continued protection of European Sites through the Appropriate Assessment procedures
- The policy makes reference to protecting the historic character and setting of the City and protecting the amenity of residents but could be reworded to make specific reference to protecting York’s natural environment and open spaces and noise and air quality issues
- Policy CS16 makes reference to protecting the historic character and setting of the City when considering proposals for new or expanded waste facilities and promotes the waste hierarchy for disposal but does not set out specifically how this would be controlled or how the policy will be implemented to prove these matters have been thoroughly assessed (particularly by developers).
- The policy could be re-worded to consider an assessment of the cumulative impact on local communities of these types of operation
- More detail could be given on the strategic location of waste facilities so that these are delivered through the Allocations DPD in locations that will meet projected waste production and that reduce the need to travel.
**Section 18: Minerals**

### Policy CS17 - Minerals will:

| Economic | • Unlikely to have significant effects for the economy.  
|          | • Identify new potential economic opportunities in York  
|          | • Need to address concerns that constraining supply would have adverse impacts on costs to local businesses. |
| Social   | • Need to address concerns of mineral extraction on the landscape character and minimise potential effects on the built environment and setting of York;  
|          | • Need to limit potential noise pollution from potential future extraction  
|          | • Need to ensure consider the cumulative impacts of extraction on local communities. |
| Environmental | • Safeguard land for mineral extraction although this may not maximise the land in terms efficiency.  
|             | • Need to ensure limited adverse effects on the built environment and setting of the city  
|             | • Need to ensure limited impacts on and the continued protection of the significant nature conservation sites within the authority. |

### Recommendations:

- The appropriate and sensitive restoration of mineral sites once mining has ceased to recognise that mineral development/extraction is ‘temporary’ based upon the amount of mineral deposit.
- A wide range of measures to protect the environment and the amenity of communities through the use of enforceable planning conditions attached to minerals permissions.
- The continued screening and scoping of proposals to assess the need for an Environmental Impact Assessment and continued protection of European Sites through the Appropriate Assessment procedures.
- The use of the word ‘significant’ is not defined and it is unclear as to what would be classed as a ‘significant’ natural or historic feature. The SA would query whether this offers enough protection to locally significant rural landscapes, public open spaces and important historic features.
- The policy sets out the need to take amenity issues into account but could specifically refer to noise pollution.
- The policy could be re-worded to consider an assessment of the cumulative impact on local communities of these types of operation.
- There is the potential for new mineral extraction to result in adverse impacts on air quality. The policy should set out the need to take this into account in considering proposals.
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