

**Errata Addendum**

Document and section	Existing Wording	Change								
<p><b>Local Plan Publication Document.</b></p> <p><b>Policy SS12:</b> Land West of Wigginton Road , Page 53, Criterion vi.</p>	<p>Ensure provision of new all purpose access roads to the east/south from A1237 Outer Ring Road/Wigginton Road roundabout and off the Wigginton Road/B1363 (as shown on the proposals map). The internal layout of any future development on the site could be such that it creates discrete sectors, each with a specific access.</p>	<p>Ensure provision of new all purpose access roads to the east/south from A1237 Outer Ring Road/Wigginton Road <b>Clifton Moor Gate</b> roundabout and off the Wigginton Road/B1363 (as shown on the proposals map). The internal layout of any future development on the site could be such that it creates discrete sectors, each with a specific access.</p>								
<p><b>Local Plan Publication Document.</b></p> <p><b>Policy SS19:</b> Queen Elizabeth Barracks, Strensall Page 65, Paragraph Number 3.82</p>	<p>ST35 covers circa 28ha with a net developable area of approximately 18ha and will deliver approximately 12ha of public open space and an estimated yield of circa 578 dwellings. There are no listed buildings or conservation areas currently designated within this site. However, as access to the area has always been restricted, no detailed assessment of the existing buildings has been carried out to determine if the buildings merit designation.</p>	<p>ST35 covers circa 28ha with a net developable area of approximately 18ha and will deliver approximately 12ha of public open space and an estimated yield of circa <del>578</del> <b>500</b> dwellings. There are no listed buildings or conservation areas currently designated within this site. However, as access to the area has always been restricted, no detailed assessment of the existing buildings has been carried out to determine if the buildings merit designation.</p>								
<p><b>Sustainability Appraisal Appendix i Part 2</b></p> <p>Site 148: Land at Moor Lane, Woodthorpe, Summary, Page I277</p>	<p>Current scoring for Objective 4</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="544 979 840 1102"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Effects</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #90EE90;">+</td> <td style="background-color: #FFD700;">-</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Effects		+	-	<p>Scoring for Objective 4 amended to reflect commentary stating neutral to positive effect.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="1843 943 2139 1066"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Effects</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td style="background-color: #90EE90;">+</td> <td style="background-color: #90EE90;">0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Effects		+	0
Effects										
+	-									
Effects										
+	0									
<p><b>Sustainability Appraisal Appendix i Part 2</b></p> <p>Site 148: Land at Moor Lane, Woodthorpe, Summary, Page I288</p>	<p>Replace entire summary with:</p> <p><b>Summary</b></p> <p>A significant positive effect was recorded against objective 1 (housing) as a result of the significant number of new houses that will be constructed in an area of need.</p> <p>A significant negative score for objective 8 (biodiversity) was identified due to close proximity to Askham Bogg</p>									

SSSI and the potential adverse effects from development as well as the uncertainty of effective mitigation. A significant negative effect was also identified for objective 9 (land use) due to the loss of greenfield land. Objective 15 (landscape) was also assessed as a significant negative due to potential harm on the rural setting of the city and the role the site provides as a rural margin between the ring road and existing developments.

Objective 10 (water) scored a mixed minor to significant negative due to potential impact on water usage and consumption with particular reference to how this may affect Askham Bogg. A neutral to minor negative effect was recorded against objective 13 (flooding) as although in flood zone 1, any drainage strategy would need to be balanced against the delicate hydrological regime in the area.

A minor positive effect was recorded against objective 5 (equality) as a result of the inclusion of affordable housing and good access to local services.

A mixed minor positive, minor negative effect was recorded for objective 2 (health) due to the improved access to open space and the potential for short term noise disturbance during construction. Objective 3 (education and training) was appraised as mixed minor positive and uncertain due to the enhancement of trade skills but the unknown access to educational facilities. A mixed minor positive and minor negative effect was also recorded against objective 6 (transport) as the development has opportunity for sustainable travel but may impact on congestion. Objective 7 (climate change) is also identified as a mixed minor positive and negative due to the potential to include renewable energy balanced against potential for increased greenhouse gas emissions. Objective 4 (jobs) was assessed as a neutral to minor positive effect as the scale of job generation will be limited.

Objective 14 (cultural heritage) has been scored as a minor negative effect due to the potential for archaeological deposits. A minor negative was also recorded against objective 11 (waste) as a result of the increase in waste generation and objective 12 (air quality) due to the increase in emissions as a result of construction and traffic increase.

Date: 22<sup>nd</sup> February 2018