

Community Impact Assessment: Summary

1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

City of York Council DfT Highway Maintenance Challenge Fund Bid 2017

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

The bid is to fund structural and interpretational improvements to National Cycle Network Route 65 running between Skelton and Bishopthorpe. The mostly off-road route provides a safe alternative to the busy road network for cyclists, walkers and disabled users.

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Steve Wragg, Flood Risk and Asset Manager

4. Have any impacts been Identified? (Yes/No)

Yes

Community of Identity affected:

Age
Disability

Summary of impact:

Positive impacts for both communities through the continued availability and improvement of a safe, mostly off-road route

5. Date CIA completed: 29th March 2017

6. Signed off by: Tony Clarke

7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.

Name: Tony Clarke

Position: Head of Transport

Date: 31 March 2017

8. Decision-making body:

Date:

Decision Details:

Send the completed signed off document to ciasubmission@york.gov.uk It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website.

Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required

Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title:
CYC Bid to DfT Highway Maintenance Challenge Fund 2017

What evidence is available **to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect** on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? **For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people.** NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Active People Survey		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to services and employment • Longevity • Health 	<p>P</p> <p>P</p> <p>P</p>	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Improved quality and longevity of mostly off-road cycling and walking route	N/A	Improvements will ensure the route is available for all users for many years to come and will improve the experience for users	S. Wragg	29/03/17
---	-----	--	----------	----------

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			S. Wragg	29/03/17

Community of Identity: Disability

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Census data		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to services and employment • Longevity 	P P	None

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Health 	P	
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
Improved quality and longevity of mostly off-road cycling and walking route		Improvements will ensure the route is available for all users for many years to come and will improve the experience for users	S. Wragg	29/03/17

Community of Identity: Gender

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	Can negative impacts be justified?	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			S. Wragg	29/03/17

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			S. Wragg	29/03/17

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			S. Wragg	29/03/17

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			S. Wragg	29/03/17

Community of Identity: Race

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			S. Wragg	29/03/17

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			S. Wragg	29/03/17

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
			None	None
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
			S. Wragg	29/03/17