		(Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure? (Non FSC Comment)	Us: Do you agree win us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic cathedral City like York? (Non FSC Comment)	Q4: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan proposals as a whole. (Non FSC Comment)	Q2: Luring to the recent Local Plan "Further Site" proposals in your area, to what extent do you agree with the Council's decision to increase the overall size of the proposed MetaalTle Lane Development? (Site Reference ST7)	Q6: Do you agree that the addition of 83 acres of strategic green space' is a largely superficial change that will not affect the overall impact of this development on the existing community? (Site Reference ST7)	 Q7: Do you agree with us that the Council should not 'safeguard' land for future development if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC comment) 	Council should not allocate land for wind and solar farms if it is not required to do so? (Non FSC	Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General	Any further issues of concern? (FSC General Comments)	
11222	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	Use Brownfield, not Greenfield land.	No more HMOs in Osbaldwick, there are far too many and they are still being accepted.	
11223	Opposed	Yes	Yes	No building should be taking place on greenbelt land! Otherwise there will be no greenbelt	Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	No extensions should be granted to the travellers' site in Osbaldwick	Too much development will make residents vulnerable to flooding (even more than they may be already). In Years to come development must be sustainable and immigration managed better.	
11225	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes	Greenbelt land should remain just that.		
5233	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	York is becoming a large open sprawl of concrete spreading from the city centre outwards. Most of the massive proposed development is concentrated in one area thu providing access to A64 from our ever growing population of commuters	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	there is no end in sight to the path of	An example of mass destruction can be viewed at the new development known as Derwenthorpe. Its a total disaster especially to the wildlife that lived there before the houses began to be built. My questions to those responsible for this mess are endless. I am still in disbellef that this really stupid plan was ever given the go ahead there needs to be a Stop to all such schemes going on regardless of local residents concerns and knowledge of this area.	
11226										Still need for a referndum, to control the No oth influx of migrants from EU countries, the comme services health, schooling, and housing are noted over stretched.	nents
11227	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	Yes	Don't Know	Don't Know		Worry about student houses taking over in Osbaldwick. Student cars all over the streets and lots of noise by drunken returning home late at night	
11228	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	More building will only increase traffic in these areas. We are already overburdened with traffic, why does York have to expand so much. We are as small historic town. Lets keep it that way (York is being ruined now)	Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes	f allowed more building to the east of Osbaldwick, will make us just a suburb of York. We need to keep what is left of our village status.	Concerns on EU membership. Other concern. All house lets i.e.: student, should pay council tax or be classed as a business and a business rate applied.	

11220 Character Occurrent	W	¥	The second sectors and second second second	Character Discourse		¥	Dealth Keesse	Louis Frank of Markoville La	1
11229 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The council can leave any green space alone.	Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes	Don't Know	Land East of Metcalfe Lane was said	
			It is all regarded as a potential building site.					to be too wet to build on. Now it is	
			York is a small city that they seem to wan to					proposed that an even larger	
			make into a giant one, engulfing all the					development than originally will be	
			villages. They weren't interested that they					considered. There is no thought	
			left Osbaldwick with no open space due to					what so ever of local people or	
			the building on Metcalfe Lane Fields.					wildlife habitat.	
11230 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Local area problems which exist now should	Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes	Yes	Infrastructure is already stretched to	National Ell concerns
11230 Sti oligiy Opposed	163	163	be rectified before using a crystal ball at this	Strongly Disagree	163	163	163	deal with existing housing issues and	
			point in time to forecast the need (or extent					further building is likely to cause	
			of need) for housing requirements in the					problems.	
			future.						
		1		1	1				
		1		1	1				
11231 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	Don't Know		Yes	What areas of land remain, should	Sorry to loose B&Q. We have more than
								be kept for agriculture we don't	enough food shops.
									enough toou shops.
								need extra houses or immigrants.	
11232 Opposed	Yes	Yes	Do not support labour councils local plan	Strongly Agree	Don't Know	Yes	Yes		
11233 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	I feel there may be an ulterior motive in all	Strongly Disagree	No	No		When are the council going to	
11233 Strongly Opposed	163	163	the labour council's proposals, none of them	Stroligly Disagree	140	140		realise we brought our homes for	
			will benefit the people in our area					the tranquillity that abounded	
			(Osbaldwick) which is starting to feel more					Osbaldwick and area. This council in	
			and more as if they are trying to force us out					particular are fast approaching a	
			of our homes. Why??					'Hitler' type of local government.	
								Dangerous	
								Dangerous	
				1					
				1					
11234 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Strongly Disagree	No	Yes	Yes		
11235 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	If the Conservatives with the next local	Strongly Disagree	Yes	Yes			Wind farms NO
			elections what would their local plan be?	1			1		Solar Yes
			Would they be committed to building on	1			1		
				1					
1			green field sites and building similar number	1			1		
		1	of houses?	1	1				
				1					
1		1		1	1				
				1					
11236 Don't Know	Don't Know	Don't Know		Don't Know	Don't Know	Don't Know	Don't Know		