ID Ref	Q1: Thinking about the Local Plan as a whole, to what extent do you support the Labour Council's plans for over 16,000 houses on York's greenbelt? (Non FSC Comment)	Q2: Do you agree with us that the overall scale of development Labour are proposing is unsustainable and is likely to outpace investment in infrastructure? (Non FSC Comment)	us that Labour's Local Plan is in appropriate for a historic		QS: Turning to the recent Local Plan 'Further Site' proposals in your area, do you support the Council's recommendation to confirm the withdrawal of the proposed Knapton Showpeople site? (Site Reference 220)	for a Compressed Natural Gas Fuelling Station and Freight	the Council should not allocate	proposals for more than 600 houses in Copmanthorpe is too much? (Non FSC	Q9: Give us your thoughts on the Local Plan 'Further Sites' proposals in your area. (FSC General Comment)	Any further issues of concern? (FSC General Comment)	
1884	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Take no account of the wishes of residents in outer York.	Yes	No	No	No	Fuelling station and freight centre seems bizarre to propose a large industrial installation with huge heavy traffic movements on prime agricultural land situated dose to large domestic urban settlements is totally inappropriate plus the general traffic consequences and appearance at this gateway from SW to York.		
1885	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Seem intent on destroying greenbelt all around beautiful city.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes			
1886	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Build houses on land well outside the city of York. Build new towns if must.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes			
1888	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes			
1981	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Support sports centre in Copmanthorpe. Do not support the CNG site and see this as wholly inappropriate for York in this location.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes			
1999	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes			
2012	Opposed	Yes	Yes	The scale of house building estimated is not proven. The range taken from the consultants report has too many variables and will cause blight as land is cherry picked for ease of construction. Brownfield sites must be used first. There is no mention of proposed fracking sites.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Askham Bryan CNG station is not shown on the plan other than a shaded area. The public need to see the size and scale of what is proposed. This is another intrusion into the green belt and is of industrial dimensions which defeat the protection status of the greenbelt.	for Copmanthorpe cannot be	

2029	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes		
2026	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	No infrastructure to support the	Voc	Yes	Yes	Yes	Copmanthorpe is overcrowded, this would further overload	
2030	Strongly Opposed	res	res	plan.	res	res	res	res	Local services and facilities.	
2038	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
2041	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
			1.55		1.00					
2042	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Has a thought been given to facilities in the village at	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
				present? Cannot cope with the						
				amount of housing proposed,						
				education, health and traffic wise.						
2074	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Who/where are the people who	Yes	No	No	Yes	The development at Askham Bryan is quite inappropriate in a	only interested in city centre for
	0, 1,			are going to live in the 16,000					rural area. The land should be producing food for livestock or	visitors and residents not
				new houses? Before precious greenbelt is destroyed forever,					humans. If anything has to be built it should be a wind farm or solar panels.	considered.
				derelict housing should be					Solal panels.	
				revamped, empty housing						
				reoccupied and if really necessary Brownfield sites						
				developed for housing.						
				Copmanthorpe is hardly a village						
				now, it does not need to/must not grow bigger.						
2095	Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
2108	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	HGV fuelling station at	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Concern of over stretch amenities and services. Particular	20pmh areas - overtaken when letter attached,
				A64/A1237 inappropriate on approach to beautiful city. Also					Copmanthorpe School. Concerns of using Temple Lane to develop a route out of the	doing speed limit by a cyclist noted in brief
				pollution effect on Askham bog.					village and development when road is inadequate and unsafe.	
				Junction already busy.						
2131	Opposed	Yes	Yes	Badly thought out plan, takes no	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	600+ houses for Copmanthorpe is madness. Village does not	
				account of the city that York is					have the infrastructure and would lose its village feel. As for	
				and wishes to remain. If plan goes ahead may as well redraw					wind farms don't think they should be in anyone's back yard. Is this really the best site for a CNG station?	
				boundaries and become part of					is this really the best site for a CNG station:	
				West Yorkshire.						
2159	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The present infrastructure does not function i.e. Ring road, yet	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Plan for Copmanthorpe creates mass housing on open land, local roads already congested, school full, sewerage system	
				building continues around it.					inadequate to cope with the number of properties. Access in	
				Schools are full, especially					and out of the village would be totally inadequate.	
				primary.						
2104	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No		
2180	or origin opposed	163	163		ies	NO.	163			
2193	Opposed	Yes	Yes			Don't Know	Yes	Yes		
2400	Strongly Oc	Voc	Voc	A 'now' village is the eathers	Voc		No	Voc		
2199	Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	A 'new' village is the only way to solve the infrastructure problem.	res		INU	Yes		
	ļ	1		1	1	1	+	+	4	

	Yes	Yes	excess of what has been deemed necessary in the past. Where is the employment coming from? Do we want to join the west side of York into a suburb of Leeds?		No Transfer of the Control of the Co	Yes	Yes	The proposal at Askham Bryan is wholly inappropriate on greenbelt land, and could potentially open the gates to the industrialisation of all of that side of the A1237.		
2293 Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	No			
	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes		There are no grounds whatsoever for building any houses on greenbelt land when consider the amount of Brownfield sites available and the amount of Iand builders have in land banks. Use this first and then see what (if any) more are needed.	
	Yes	Yes		Yes			Yes		Traffic build up at Askham Bar end of Copmanthorpe has got a lot worse over the last year resulting in more and more using the A64/Askham Bryan exit. This exit needs improving.	
	Yes Yes	Yes Yes		Yes Yes			Yes Yes	Object to CNG station and freight centre.		
2489 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Local schools, roads and transport will not cope with the proposed new homes. Need to improve and expand the local infrastructure to cope with the influx.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Appear determined to ruin the green belt and rural areas. Why do we need a gas refuelling depot?		
2612 Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes			
2616 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Disappointed to hear the proposals with regard to the greenbelt. It would be preferable to use Brownfield areas.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	It will be disappointing if land alongside Manor Heath has to be developed as it will appear not as a village but as an extension of York towards Leeds.		
3626 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Don't Know	No	Yes	Yes			
4414 Opposed	Yes	Yes	Would like to know where the jobs are going to come from which will encourage 16000 people to buy new homes in York.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Copmanthorpe infrastructure struggles at peak times now with traffic. A further 600 homes could bring an extra 1000 cars. There will be gridlock at peak times with people trying to exit the village onto the main trunk roads.		

4423 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
5261 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	III thought out.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Copmanthorpe Parish Council plan well designed and	
								sustainable.	
5765 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	III considered. Greenbelt land should be protected. The roads and schools are already overloaded. The premise for growth is overly optimistic.	Yes	No		Yes		
7454 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
	ies								
8208 Strongly Opposed		Yes	Too ambitious and unnecessary. The infrastructure and in particular the ring road will not stand it. It cannot cope now at rush hour.	Yes	Don't Know	Yes	Yes	Roads and services will not cope. Already difficult to leave Copmanthorpe by road during the rush hour.	
8209 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The proposal for so many extra houses has been done without planning infrastructure/amenities/traffic congestion/parking problems etc to the degree necessary.	No	No	Yes	Yes	To increase the size of the village population by 10% would be the maximum sustainable.	
10005 (4	V	W		V	N-	V	V		
10965 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	1	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10966 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	There seems to be little evidence that business growth will warrant such an expansion and therefore this cannot be justified.		No	Yes	Yes	A CNG station, if required, could be located close to the rufforth airfield where a major haulier already has a depot. 600 houses in Copmanthorpe will completely change the village into a small town, with no additional infrastructure and will ruin this charming village mentioned in Doomsday.	
10967 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Copmanthorpe cannot accommodate more housing without huge investment in infrastructure Le. Bigger school (present are already oversubscribed), library, shops. Traffic access in and out at Manor Heath already a nightmare in rush hour.	
10000 (*********************************	V	W		V	N-	V	V		
10968 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10969 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10970 Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes	The northern ring road is so congested, that any further development along its route is unbelievable.	It seems that the unpopular planning i.e. Wind arms, Showpeople sites are submitted and then alternative ones slip through as the least objectionable.
10971 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Very few of these proposals appear to be of any benefit, directly to local people.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	600 homes in Copmanthorpe will mean 1200 more vehicles on local roads - there is already inadequate parking in and around the village and the condition of some of the roads is poor.	
10972 Strongly Opposed	No	Yes	1	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10973 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10974 Strongly Opposed		Yes	There does not appear to be any 'joined up' thinking and the plan for 16,000 houses isn't commensurate with the needs of the community.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	The idea of a CNG plant in an area where road congestion can be a problem is ill-thought. The impact on local residents and a wider impact on all traffic visiting York makes this proposal unworkable.	
10975 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	1	Don't Know	No	No	Yes		
10976 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	The infrastructure cannot support ideas - village school, doctors are overcrowded as it is.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		
10077			+	tu .	1	L.		+	+
10977 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	1	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		

10978 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Interested in plans for village development. Seems to follow no plan except as convenient places to build estates. Has an alternative Showpeople site been considered? How much have Showpeople been consulted?	Don't Know	No	Don't Know	Yes	Copmanthorpe and Askham Bryan are linked with medical facilities, churches, schools etc It would help the villages if plans were made to ease travel between them - cycle path, footpaths & roads. CNG station seems appalling. Wind and solar probably good but needs very careful consideration of a suitable is perhaps nowhere near York is suitable. perhaps swith fewer houses, 600+ is certainly too many. Perhaps York could look at all possible sites in villages and consider how new building areas can be made to fit into the present village, what kind of buildings are most suitable for development in any village, how extra traffic can be managed, are schools, medical facilities suitable too can the houses, layout suitably reflect the village they are built in e.g. road patterns & width, green areas, wild flowers, footpaths? Too much building proposed for a small village that has already tried to absorb acres of new housing. find better alternative sites for houses and a CNG station - fields in Temple Lane could be good.
10980 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	More houses, in the wrong sites with no upgrade to roads,	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	What safety precautions have been submitted to support the CNGF Station? The roundabout at junction of AG4/A1237 is
			services, water, schools, broadband etc					already congested and dangerous at peak times.
10983 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Greenbelt land should not be used until all Brownfield sites have been built on i.e. Teardrop, Terry's, British Sugar and the old airfield at Clifton Moor.		No	Yes	Yes	Copmanthorpe should remain a village, not become part of the city of York as a whole built up area.
10984 Don't Know	Don't Know	Yes		Yes	No	Yes	Don't Know	Housing is always needed and always will be and has to happen somewhere. If Copmanthorpe can accommodate some then great.
10986 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Copmanthorpe does not have the facilities, shops, pubs to accommodate any further people/housing and the roads are always busy and there are problems with parking with many people parking on the pawent causing problems for pedestrians and this would be exacerbated with further housing.		No	Yes	Yes	Totally disagree with Further Sites proposals.
10988 Strongly Opposed	Yes	Yes	Far too much building proposed on the greenbelt especially with plenty of Brownfield sites available e.g. York Central.	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	