

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Site E8				
79	E8	Obj	The Conservation Area western edge is close to the proposed area of E8. Objects to the proposed expansion of the industrial estate at its north side because of its adverse impact on the primary gateway to the village where the visual approach could be dominated by industrial type buildings rather than a pleasant green space as at present.	Wheldrake Parish Council
454(i)	E8	Obj	Land should be retained as green space in view of identified deficits in the local area.	
1200(i)	E8	Obj	Objects to development on the following grounds: development would detract from the approach to the conservation area; existing lack of green space in the village - Wheldrake has no village green.	
13555	E8	Obj	Objection to the proposed expansion of the industrial estate at its north side (E8) as this would impact greatly on the visual approach to the village which at present is a green space. Any expansion of the industrial estate should be at the south side, as in the previous Local Plan.	Wheldrake Ward Councillor

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Site E9				
657	E9	Comm	B2 usage should be restricted to light industry. Limitations should be placed on HGV movement through village.	
5535	E9	Obj	Elvington is surrounded by industrial estates which have already expanded massively. Noise pollution and lorries are an issue.	
5536	E9	Obj	Feels Elvington is already surrounded by industry, as the estates have expanded over the years. Causes noise pollution.	
5738iii	E9	Obj	Increased HGV's, pollution and risk of accident in village.	
5741	E9	Obj	Elvington Industrial Estate - does not support extending an industrial estate that already has many empty units, additional traffic at that part of the village would cause serious problems. Yet more traffic during construction and occupation on the Wheldrake Lane / Industrial Estate junction is a road safety risk.	
9473	E9	Obj	More HGV traffic through Elvington is unacceptable. The Main Street is narrow and HGVs mount the pavement endangering pedestrians. If the extension goes ahead there should be a restriction on HGVs going through Elvington.	
9726	E9	Obj	E9 Elvington Industrial Estate - Concerned about additional traffic during construction and occupation.	
13366	E9	Obj	The construction of these new businesses at E9 will have a severe impact upon the traffic flow between Elvington and York and through the village itself. There are already long queues to the traffic lights at Grimston Bar Roundabout every morning and congestion in the evenings with traffic blocking the roundabout. Also it will increase the amount of traffic and HGV's through Elvington Village, there are already too many HGV's through the village. The proposal will also impact local wildlife, the fields around Elvington and between Elvington and York are an important habitat for many species which are under pressure due to loss of habitat.	
13434i	E9	Obj	Improvements such as widening need to be made to Elvington Lane (B1228) for safety. New access from A64 needs building. The bridge between Elvington and Sutton-on-Derwent needs a weight limit.	

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
13556	E9	Obj	Extension to Elvington Industrial Estate will increase traffic onto Main Street through Elvington Village and Elvington Lane. Both are inappropriate for high volumes of HGV's. E9 would change the character of the village.	
61	E9	Supp	Supports the sites inclusion but points out that it is not a 'brownfield' site as described but is a grassy paddock.	Elvington Parish Council
3046	E9	Supp	Supports site being included (though classified as a grassy paddock and not a brownfield dite) as will bring jobs to local economy, however there needs to be a form of traffic management to limit HGVs travelling through centre of village for safety purposes.	
5259	E9	Supp	Supports the principle of employment development on the site, noting the need for traffic management to limit HGV access through the village.	
5816	E9	Supp	Could bring jobs to the local economy, however traffic management should limit HGV access via the village.	
10047	E9	Supp	Support the development but only if 7.5 tonne weight limit imposed on Main Street, in the village. Also, there should be due attention paid to the continuation of the existing wildlife corridors set up under the Biodiversity plan.	
10453	E9	Supp	Support the development but only if 7.5 tonne weight limit imposed on Main Street, in the village. Also, there should be due attention paid to the continuation of the existing wildlife corridors set up under the Biodiversity plan.	
13555	E9	Supp	Elvington Industrial Estate is largely supported, see Parish Council's comments for detail.	Wheldrake Ward Councillor

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Site E10				
6304	E10	Comm	Industrial areas need light small affordable units (50-100 sqm) to accommodate business start-ups.	
59	E10	Supp	This is accepted as sensible as it develops a currently derelict site within the industrial estate.	Dunnington Parish Council

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Site E16				
192	E16	Comm	Any future part or total redevelopment of E16 should consider its location on an important approach to York. This should not be overdeveloped and should remain a rural business.	
238	E16	Comm	No objection to the redevelopment of the part of the site currently occupied by buildings. Note the extent of site should be reduced to exclude currently undeveloped area to the south of the existing buildings.	Historic England
238	E16	Obj	Objects to the extension of development beyond the footprint of existing buildings on site. Such development would reduce the gap between the ring road the effective southern boundary of Poppleton. It would harm a number of elements identified as contributing to the special character and setting of the City. Along with ST2, this would result in a considerable alteration to the setting of Poppleton as a free standing settlement, and its relationship with the City. It would threaten coalescence with Northminster Business Park to the south.	Historic England
13613	E16	Obj	Object	
71	E16	Supp	The general consensus from the neighbourhood plan is that the garden centre should remain as a valuable attribute to the area. Change of use to housing would be opposed.	Nether Poppleton Parish Council
71i	E16	Supp	The general consensus from the neighbourhood plan is that the garden centre should remain as a valuable attribute to the area. Change of use to housing would be opposed.	Poppleton Neighbourhood Plan Committee
78	E16	Supp	The general consensus from the neighbourhood plan is that the garden centre should remain as a valuable attribute to the area. Change of use to housing would be opposed.	Upper Poppleton Parish Council
1338	E16	Supp	Site E16 - Support the removal of the site from the Green Belt and support its inclusion within the settlement boundary of the Village of Upper and Nether Poppleton as well as the allocation of the site for employment use under policy EC1. Goes into detail explaining the site context and transport links, suggests the site is suitable for B1a employment use as well as the current designation for B1c, B2 and B8.	Indigo Planning on behalf of Wyevale Garden Centres

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
13628	E16	Supp	The general consensus from the neighbourhood plan is that the garden centre should remain as a valuable attribute to the area. Change of use to housing would be opposed.	Poppleton Neighbourhood Plan Committee

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
Site E18				
77	E18	Comm	The comment in the rep refers to ST35, asking that equivalent policy considerations are afforded to this site (E18) as well, where relevant.	Strensall With Towthorpe Parish Council
1355	E18	Comm	Whilst the indicated proposals for light industry are welcome consideration should be made for the additional HGVs coming to and from the site and how this may affect Strensall village. At his recent drop-in session it was proposed that an entry access road to this site could also provide, avoiding the SSSI land, an access route to the proposed Barracks site.	Julian Sturdy MP
2846	E18	Comm	The comment in the rep refers to ST35, asking that equivalent policy considerations are afforded to this site (E18) as well, where relevant.	
9432	E18	Comm	Concerned about future congestion along Strensall road. Supports Strensall Parish Councils traffic management scheme, such as an upgrade of the junction between Towthorpe Moor Lane and the A64, road realignment, a new link road between Strensall Barracks housing site to Towthorpe lines commercial site, widening and improvement to Towthorpe Moor Lane, and a full off road cycle track down Strensall Road.	
12357	E18	Comm	Objects to development unless improvements to roads, cycle paths, schools, doctors and leisure facilities are made first. Strensall Common's conservation should also be a priority.	
13454	E18	Comm	Including the MOD sites will not be available in time.	
5961	E18	Obj	Referring to site E18 - the village has very few facilities and school is over-subscribed. There is no bank or post office, three pubs and one Tesco Express. The Main Street is chaotic with residents parked on both sides of the street and buses have great difficulty getting through currently. Development will exacerbate existing traffic problems.	
12655	E18	Obj	Would like the uses expanding on the allocation to include B1a and B1b as currently the allocation is too restrictive. These additional uses would complement the existing uses on the site. Also consider that there is potential to expand the size of the development footprint subject to ecological assessment.	GVA on behalf of DIO Estates (MOD)
12894	E18	Obj	The facility for training medical staff deployed to disaster areas should be considered as an invaluable asset.	

Local Plan Pre- Publication Draft 2018 Summary of Consultation Responses

ID	Site	Obj/Supp/ Comm	Summary	Respondent (name of individuals removed)
77	E18	Supp	Whilst regretting the Government's decision to close Towthorpe Lines (and Queen Elizabeth 2 Barracks), the inclusion of the sites in the Plan are logical and the allocation for business and employment is broadly supported, to provide more local employment and to reduce the need to commute.	Strensall With Towthorpe Parish Council
1355	E18	Supp	Is pleased the proposals indicate light industry	Julian Sturdy MP
2846	E18	Supp	Whilst regretting the Government's decision to close Towthorpe Lines (and Queen Elizabeth 2 Barracks), the inclusion of the sites in the Plan are logical and the allocation for business and employment is broadly supported, to provide more local employment and to reduce the need to commute.	
12655	E18	Supp	Support the site for employment uses.	GVA on behalf of DIO Estates (MOD)
13520	E18	Supp	Support the inclusion of Towthorpe Lines as a location for commercial use as the existing buildings could be adapted for a number of business uses.	Strensall with Towthorpe Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group